

A Richardson Studies Collection on

Creation Science

Highlighting Kent Hovind's Creation Science Seminars, 'Is Genesis History', 'Dinosaurs or Dragons', Universal Model, David Barker's 'Science & Religion', Inspired Scientists, Worldwide Flood, Young Earth, Contents of Key Creation Books, My Science Teaching Experience, etc.

Universal Model Presentations



Copyright 2023 by Nate Richardson, published at RichardsonStudies.com. This book may be shared in its entirety for educational non-profit purposes.

Nate Richardson is a member of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. This book is written to benefit people of faith & goodwill everywhere. Nate has taught science full time for several years.



Detailed Contents

David Barker's 'Science and Religion: Reconciling the Conflicts' – Book Highlights incomp	7
Barker Ch. 1 Fact or Theory?	7
Failed Science, & More Taxes	8
“Science is Real” Hilariously (and Tellingly) Included in Ultimate Woke Social Propaganda Flag.....	10
Why Kids Don't Like Science: They're getting half truths (or worse) which don't lead to answers of the questions of life.	11
MSRA: Make Science Religious Again	13
When Teachers Experience Controversy When Teaching Evolution ...	14
What Happens when an Evolutionist Introduces Creation to Students	17
Review of “Universal Model: A New Millennial Science” Vols. 1 & 2 by Dean Sessions	18
Dragons are Recent Dinosaurs: <i>No Survivors? Where Do All the Stories Come From I Wonder?</i>	25
Why They Say 70% Of Land Animals Died In An Extinction	33
Spontaneous Generation Disproved Repeatedly (So No Big Bang!) ...	33
Arbitrary Epochs And Other Fallacies Seen In An Earth Science Praxis Teacher Licensing Study Guide	34
Once You've Seen the Truth, Falsehood is Bitter & Vain	36
"Disconformities" in the Geologic Record: Coverup for Diverse Fossils Close Together	37
My Journey as a Science Teacher	37
Is Genesis History? Documentary Highlights.....	40
Analysis of “Judgement Day: Intelligent Design on Trial” by NOVA	46
Inspired Scientists: Isaac Newton	49
Inspired Scientists: Galileo Galilei	54
Reflecting on “Reflections of a Scientist” by Henry Eyring Sr.: Some Major Issues.....	61

Serial Killers Agree: Evolution Dehumanizes – Pending.....	69
Skulls & Neanderthals: Con Science.....	69
Building the Great Cathedrals – Documentary Notes.....	72
Resources: Creation Science Books, Videos, Websites, Forums, etc. ..	76
Scriptures on the Water-Based Creation of Earth.....	79
Earth’s Core is C H O Nature Journal Study Says.....	79
Electric Universe Against Big Bang etc. Lecture Notes	79
Highlights from Dragons or Dinosaurs? Creation or Evolution? By Darek Isaacs.....	80
Rain Before the Flood	83
Peleg Science Theories	84
Plasma Physics.....	84
Assorted Study Notes on Creation Science (much hovind now elsewhere, remove).....	87
Does God use base 12 math for simpler formulas?.....	121
Some astronomy study notes on scale of universe etc.....	122
Some Notes on The Culture of Creation Science, The Flood, & Flood Mechanics based on UM	124
Corrupted Animals to Make Dinos?	127
Problems with Nuclear Fusions (& the Supposed Dec. 2022 Breakthrough).....	127
“No Monkeys In My Family Tree” Creationist T-Shirt!	128
Dean Sessions note clarifying why the hydrofountains harden 2023.01.20.....	129
Some Creation Science Video & Article Links	130
Resource: Facebook Group on Creation Science	132
A Bible-Based Geologic Column.....	133
Why aren't rock layers all separated by density if they were deposited in the flood?.....	134

save this sci bk fair geode water v magma, appears ive lost the link, its in the fam pics somewhere	135
By Design: Behe, Lennox, and Meyer on the Evidence for a Creator on Hoover Institution – Highlights	135
Yes Ocean Pre-Flood	137
No, That's Not Why We Stop Drilling Superdeep...	137
Yes, Experiments ARE the foundation of science!.....	138
Their Attempt of Making Theory Look Important to Keep Evolution Alive	139
The "Established" theory of evolution	139
Electric Book of Abraham etc. - Lecture Notes	140
Universal Model Video Links	142
An Underground Ocean? Scientists Discover Water Deep Within Earth - SciTechDaily	142
Book: Joseph Smith as Scientist.....	142
Black Holes Do Not Exist	142
INTRODUCING SOME CREATION SCIENCE BOOKS & CONTENTS	147
Add UM?	147
Doctrinal Foundations of the Creation of Life by Lee H. Pearson, PhD (An LDS View & Scientific Evidence) - Introductory Pictures from the Text.....	148
.....	149
.....	149
Icons of Evolution: Science or Myth? Why Much of What We Teach About Evolution is Wrong by Jonathan Wells – Introductory Pictures of the Text.....	150
The Evolution Cruncher by [redacted] - Introductory Pictures from the Text	152
Of Pandas & People: The Central Question of Biological Origins by [redacted], a Creation-Based Student Textbook – Introductory Pictures from the Text	154

Using the Book of Mormon to Combat the Falsehoods of Evolution by
 Clark A Peterson - Introductory Pictures from the Text..... 158

Earth in the Beginning by Walt Brown: Compelling Evidence for
 Creation & The Flood – Introductory Pictures from the Textbook,
 Including a 74-Point Chart of Theistic Evolution vs The Creation
 Account..... 160

The Token of the Bow: Enoch, Noah, & Reclaiming the Rainbow:
 Learn the Incredible Reach of Covenants by R Lane Wright –
 Introductory Pictures from the Text (see if lds book or just general w
 lds author)..... 171

Dire Dragons: Untold Secrets of Planet Earth by Vance Nelson –
 Introductory Pictures from the Text 173

Guide to Dinosaurs by Institute for Creation Research (ICR) –
 Introductory Pictures from the Text 175

Creation Basics by Institute for Creation Research (ICR) – Introductory
 Pictures from the Text..... 176

Guide to the Universe by Institute for Creation Research (ICR) –
 Introductory Pictures from the Text 179

Additional Resources on Creation Science 180

David Barker's 'Science and Religion: Reconciling the Conflicts' – Book Highlights

Incomp

Barker is a latter-day saint author who shows the limitations of scientific claims and affirms the biblical chronology of earth being limited to around 13,000 years old (given each day of creation being 1000 years).

Note: These notes do not represent the entire message of the text, they do not demonstrate all ideas presented in the text, they do not show references and quotations from the text, but are simply a collection of important ideas which I perceived from the text. To gain a complete and correct understanding of the intended message and authority of the author, read the book.

Preface

Peer review can be positive, ensuring accuracy and quality. But it can also be negative, acting as a strainer to filter out material unwanted in the main stream, particularly when that filtering excludes anything to do with God or the Bible.

Introduction

Barker Ch. 1 Fact or Theory?

John A. Widtsoe for us to have good science we need facts to be honestly and accurately observed, and distinct segregation between facts and inferences in utterances of scientific men.

Scientists claim the 4.6 billion year old earth dogmatically; rather they should be more precise and accurate by saying "the Earth is estimated to be about 4.6 million years old, based on the ... dating system." TV shows archeologists saying ages of things they unearth as though they were known facts, precisely measured.

It's been said "a million scientists can't be wrong" but they can and have been.

Great evidence is needed to prove even a small thing definitively.

Proof is usually an individual perception rather than a scientific certainty.

Some looked at moving stars and said that proves there is no God, Aristotle looked at the same stars and said "That proves there is a God. I don't need any more argument."

Theology was more important to Newton than science, and researchers on him have suppressed that. Newton said that "It is indeed, practically certain...that the true and ultimate cause of gravity is the action of the "spirit" of God." Newton's followers took the very things he said were proof of God and claimed they were proofs of no God. Nibley pointed out that "Newton got the right answers, but scientists refused to accept his explanation, which embarrassed them"

Hugh Nibley demonstrated that Hippocrates insisted "that one should always use the scientific cure even when it does not work and avoid a traditional remedy even when it does." The 'superstitions' were rejected, despite often having centuries of testing to demonstrate their effectiveness. A famous Jewish scholar Miamonides didn't like certain cures of the rabbis which worked because he didn't know why they did. Nibley points out, "Just because we can never prove that the matter of the brain produces thought is no reason for doubting that it does!"

Nibley said "Years of religious oppression and suppression have given all religion a bad name."

Failed Science, & More Taxes

♥ Cari Kelemen liked



Matt Couch @RealMattC... · 1h ...

60's... Oil would be gone in 10 years..

70's.. Another Ice age in 10 years..

80's.. Acid rain will destroy all crops in 10 years..

90's.. Ozone layer will be destroyed in 10 years..

2000's.. Icecaps will be gone in 10 years..

None Happened, but all resulted in more taxes..


💬 146

↻ 2,513

♥ 4,995



“Science is Real” Hilariously (and Tellingly) Included in Ultimate Woke Social Propaganda Flag



Brand: OUXIOAZ

4.7 ★★★★★

Pride Flag Garden Flags for in This House We Believe
Science Is Real Black Lives Matter Vertical Double Sided
12.5"X18" Indoor Yard Outdoor Decoration



300+ bought in past month

Telling how they have to include “science is real” in their leftist propaganda flag. We all know that sciences today are extremely bias toward leftist politics. Try passing a study off which could be construed as conservative in a social science academic journal, not going to happen!

Obviously, REAL science isn't on a crusade to convince the world of progressive ideologies. Beware, the propaganda is all throughout the textbooks, the curriculum, it's the new mainstream, the new requirement, the new Reich.

Why Kids Don't Like Science: They're getting half truths (or worse) which don't lead to answers of the questions of life.

What's the point? Why bother to understand nature? "It's just nature" one said.

Frankly, I have to agree on some level. There is not much point. Until you have the whole truth. What is the whole truth? That nature was intelligently designed for a purpose, with that purpose being your advancement in joy.

If you can't give the why behind your teachings, they are at best amusing, at worst miserable. A school which disallows the teaching of God, of the flood of Noah, of the creation of earth and cosmos to prove the existence of God and his moral code and his promise of a fullness of joy, then without these things the teaching of science becomes mundane and shallow, vain and commercialistic. We know these trifling things cannot fill

the real soul's desire.

Without the full truth, the knowledge that there is truth and that we can have it and that possessing it will bring us joy, without this wisdom, we can have only limited success in science.

A true understanding of science brings with it the key to all philosophy and wisdom. Why are we on earth, why do humans exist, why am I here? True science holds keys to these philosophical truths, just as false science damns a person in their pursuit of philosophical and metaphysical truths. When you can understand how the earth was really made, the true development of things physically, this will ALWAYS lead you to confess the reality of God. Of a God that carefully designed the earth for human habitation, an involved God, involved with the historic actions of humankind, manipulating nature to respond to the needs of people.

This understanding of ordered, biblical nature, leads to the truths of the meaning of life. When we cannot connect the dots and show God's hand in all things, and how the scientific record matches the biblical record, the students are left learning random facts (which they don't know whether to believe or not) (and which in most classrooms frequently are false), and their genuine interest in science will fail.

Humans have souls, they want answers to deep questions, all pursuit of knowledge is tied into these questions. False science leads to a believe in a false God of evolution chaos despair and nothingness. It is to this despair that false teachings lead them. And the opposite

is true: when we find the truth of how earth was made, and this truth is that God has done it by natural laws (not strange ways of evolution), then we learn the joy of the possibilities of scripture, and eternal life as God himself.

Without recognizing the biblical nature of science, done by God, they can't connect to this subject on a moral level. My children and I can get excited about science as a way to prove God, to show that he is real, to show that he cares and is involved in our lives in the past, and will be in the future. But those in most schools are not allowed these thoughts, and most curriculum works against these truths.

MSRA: Make Science Religious Again

Kids don't like science because it isn't answering the big questions, they see it as random complicated facts. Without recognizing the biblical nature of science, done by God, they can't connect to this subject on a moral level. My children and I can get excited about science as a way to prove God, to show that he is real, to show that he cares and is involved in our lives in the past and will be in the future. But those in most schools are not allowed these thoughts, and most curriculum works against these truths.

Some claim that science is better now that religion is out of it. But they fail to recognize that some of the greatest scientific discoveries have come from deeply religious men, Isaac Newton not the only one of those.

When righteous men seek truth, they are guided by the Holy Ghost to make true discoveries. When wicked men seek learning, they are often beguiled into making false discoveries.

Sure we don't want to hide truth when it doesn't match a preconceived notion. That is a sin some have had to repent of. But God is truth, and truth will point to God.

When scientists of modern times repent and turn to God, their discoveries will be more accurate, and liberate mankind beyond their wildest imaginations.

The spirit of Christ is the spirit of freedom. It is the spirit of truth, of discovery, of seeking, of honesty, of finding, of proving. It is the scientific method at its purest. It is wholehearted commitment to truth. It sees past short sighted and politically motivated theories.

A great sin hangs over the head of modern scientists who have rejected the plain and obvious evidence for God as the creator. You want to end deception? You want to stop hiding the truth? Then stop brushing the evidence for God under the rug. Getting God out of science has been the greatest deception of modern times. It has been the most unscientific and abominable anti-scientific coverup of our times. It is ironic how modern scientists accuse creationists and creation scientists of the very sins they are guilty of.

When Teachers Experience Controversy When Teaching Evolution

Here is a very modest response to what a science teacher should do when encountering evolution teaching controversy from students and parents. Its not as bold as my typical writing as it was originally written for a class and I couldn't go in full guns blazing... Anyways here it is:

If I were teaching a unit on evolution in a geology class, I would want to discuss the evidences for the theory, and I would want to discuss the controversy which is inherent in this subject. Though most scientists do believe in evolution, the vast majority of the American population does not. Often parents have reservations about evolution and its implications. Students from Judeo-Christian homes are typically taught that a creator made the earth, and in a pretty short time period. Students should be aware that there are an army of "creation scientists" who affirm, with scientific evidence, that the earth is relatively youthful, and that all of nature gives evidence of being created by intention, rather than by chance.

As youth are exposed to both sides of this subject, they will be in a position to chose for themselves what to believe. I must admit that I don't think anything against evolution has much of a chance in schools, most science textbooks are filled with evolution theory, ever since Eisenhower got a grant to increase evolution teaching in public schools in the latter end of the last century in efforts to better compete with the Soviets in the space race. How much evolution theory has to do with spacecraft technology is debatable, but since then, students have not heard much of the traditional teaching, and now hear mostly evolution theory. Believe it or not, it was just 200 years ago that most geologists taught of a young earth, and a created earth, not an evolved earth. Today we hear of many sad cases of professors and other professionals being fired for espousing a view of creationism. At any rate, when a teacher today teaches evolution, I think special effort should be made to present not only evidences in favor of

evolution from the textbooks, but evidences for creation as found elsewhere. There are various well written textbooks on creation-based science, but these of course would not be found in public schools today. As scientists and science teachers training up other scientists, we must emphasize the importance of having an open mind in our investigation, following where the evidence leads. We must encourage freedom of speech and intellectual diversity when it comes to this hotly disputed subject.

Some practical ideas for showing both sides could be to play the debate between Ken Ham and Bill Nye ([\(583\) Bill Nye Debates Ken Ham - HD \(Official\) - YouTube](#)), or a debate between Kent Hovind & Professor Dave ([\(583\) Professor Dave Destroys Kent Hovind \(Young Earth Creationism Debunk\) - YouTube](#)), for example. Another idea would be to explore the possibility of an advanced race colonizing planets.

Teachers should minimize expression of their personal views on this subject. I myself am a creationist as might be evidenced by this post, but I give proper exposure to evolution theory to my classes and I avoid discussion of my personal opinions. Teachers should ensure students know that it is healthy to debate leading theories to ensure the scientific method is being followed without political or social bias. The role of the teacher is to introduce thinking methods which will allow students to use the empirical scientific method as they consider every topic. Students on either side of the debate should be taught to never shame those who disagree with their views, and teachers should never shame students who have an opinion different than their own. With the progress of civilization at stake, the role of teachers to influence student to become strong in their scientific inquiry cannot be overstated. This is why Peter Hlebowitsh stated, “The American Public School has

always carried a heavy burden of responsibility (Hlebowitsh, 2001, p. 4).

Reference

Hlebowitsh, P. S. (2001). Foundations of American education: Purpose and promise. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

What Happens when an Evolutionist Introduces Creation to Students

I must say, points to the evolutionist who dares mention the truth that there exists an army of believers and scientists who aren't buying evolution theory. That's certainly a risk most teachers aren't willing to take.

But there is something dangerous about only giving brief lip service to creation science.

If 10 hours of instruction are given promoting evolution, and only a little handout with little to no instruction is given promoting creation science, is that really fair? Can you expect students to hold a debate between the two views, or to write a personal opinion on the subject? Hardly.

Hopefully responsible teachers will point out that evolution isn't proven, isn't a law, and doesn't explain any known laws (they say theories are to explain laws, so what law does evolution explain? None.)

Hopefully responsible teachers will point out as they go the pros and cons to the various claims of evolution, and possible reasonable alternative theories.

Some like to divorce science from religion. “There’s a reason for that” they claim, and to be sure, science is about promoting discoveries of how nature actually works, not dogma about what someone thinks it should work like. But they are forgetting that many (most) of sciences greatest discoveries have been from men of great faith, who drew upon their connection to God and the spirit of God to make their discoveries. Without men of faith, science would be even more devoid of real truths of nature than it is.

[Review of “Universal Model: A New Millennial Science” Vols. 1 & 2 by Dean Sessions](#)

I’ve done a lot of work with UM and have some documents overviewing their materials in more detail elsewhere, but here I’ll give a very brief introduction.

Essentially, UM is the most compact truth filled textbooks I’ve ever encountered, and I delight to study it.

I’ve never seen a science work, even a creation science work, make religion so obviously scientific. It made a strong case for religion as a reasonable central thing which goes with science.

UM has made science much more exciting for me, and I see it as a blessed work with more concentrated truths than any other science work. I was against evolution etc. before I found UM, but UM has made me much more persuasive and bold (and I have much still to learn from UM).

In UM1, it wasn't as openly pro-God as UM2, but the pro-flood chapter did show the pro-God theme. It did renounce evolution multiple times as well. Then UM2 was openly pro-God (more so), and that was particularly exciting. I'm not saying UM1 wasn't pro-God, it just was setting the stage. UM's work proving the world-wide flood was very pro-God. I also recognize the purpose of UM isn't just to promote God, it's to promote true science (which is inherently pro-God).

For those of us ready for the firehose, having everything in just a few volumes is ideal. I'm sure smaller UM booklets will come forward in time for those who aren't ready for the whole deal.

Now I'll go over the UM chapters, a few thoughts on each.

Ch. 1-4 Introduction: This gets people ready to understand that modern science is WAY off. People might see the "big pic of mod sci" (against Darwin and Einstein) at first without seeing the evidences first, and automatically reject UM (of course it's inherently hard sharing new ideas with the world and we can't get everyone on board).

The UM Intro booklet shows a few problems with science, and suggests the water planet.

Ch 5 Magma – Good stuff, and in the Ch. 7 on water we see lots of answers which the magma ch. poses. The diagrams and images were extra helpful to clearly dismantle the magma theory.

Ch 6 Rocks – this was quite difficult reading. Good, but difficult. I'll certainly be returning to this for a more careful examination. These are writings preparatory for the flood chapter.

Ch 7 hydroplanet – A really exciting chapter! Revolutionary ideas to finally prove the old water-planet idea held by people long ago. Here the magma mysteries are answered.

I did a presentation attempting to combine ch 5 and 7 and some of 9. Really exciting stuff when you start to connect all the pieces.

Ch 8 flood – this is also a pretty technical chapter, but great stuff. This answers mysteries posed in the rock chapter.

Ch 9 weather – great stuff, helps clear up confusing meteorology, though even with UM this can be a difficult subject. There were really exciting concepts here, the geofield, very exciting.

Ch 10 Age – great stuff, threw down hard on radiometric dating, lots of great examples, enjoyed the DNA and dendrochronology etc., the true dating as well as the exposing false. I like the 1 day to 1000 years conversion from scripture being applied to scientific research.

I think this chapter will open people's eyes to how shaky modern science is since the age of earth is so dogmatically promoted.

The earth's core is important for knowing the earth's age once one puts the pieces together.

Ch 11 Fossils – Most are surprised to hear of the flood fossilizing everything, UM nailed how it happened.

I eventually realized that all of UM is anti-evolution, just taking on different aspects of that battle.

Ch 12 Evolution – awesome, enjoyed thoroughly. It's nice that UM includes a few overviews of some contributions from other creation science texts in here too. UM gives credit where it's due, and takes things to a whole new level. The magma exposé brings a whole new branch of strength to the anti-evolution topic which most people miss.

Ch 13 Living – lots of exciting new ideas, and making clear laws of living things, exciting to start seeing the higher intelligence be emphasized. The earth as a pond idea was awesome. The microbe stuff is certainly revolutionary and makes God quite obvious, great stuff. A rich chapter.

Ch 14 History – Very exciting. The language record was very exciting to me, as I minored in Biblical Hebrew, and have studied various ancient languages. The simplicity of the 3 races etc. was mind-blowing. The family history back to Adam and so on was very exciting, and has made a renewed faith in me for doing genealogy work. I love the idea that we have true history. The idea of family heritage is lost to my generation.

I have a strong impression that UM is doing God's work, and has corrected MANY errors so many others have missed. Both history and science are fraught with error, and UM is an epic help to be grounded as I approach those subjects, a reminder to take the bible seriously and literally, etc.

Ch 15 Clovis – This was news to be, human fossil artifacts in the USA which show the pre-flood people lived there. This I'm sure will be news to many. We have a hint of it in the Latter-day Saint religion; this sets the stage for demonstrating the Book of Mormon as a historical text (Adena Jaredites, Hopewell Nephites, etc.) All of this helps prove that God placed humans here at a certain time, that humans haven't lived on earth for so long.

Ch 16 Human – this was perhaps my favorite UM chapter thus far. Loved the stuff throwing down against childlessness and abortion (I think that was this ch, it may have been ch13). Kids these days want to know why having kids is important, this shows why in a way important even for those who don't believe in God.

Another thing I wanted to mention – some kids reject God and everything to do with God when they don't like a particular church. UM helps show how God is reasonable, and how basic concepts of faith are important even for those who don't have a religion they trust yet. It helps them not be atheist, however popular. It shows religious people are happier, etc.

There were good demonstrations in psychology and successful family life.

I loved the political science model and the boldness in showing that we need a balance and a medium, but also showing that the liberals have taken over and are toxic. UM does that in a scientific way.

I love when UM is bold! Truth has permission to be bold!

I loved the medical model. I found lots of new ideas which renewed my faith not only in good nutrition, but in herbalism and things to help irregular conditions to improve. The Jethro Kloss Back to Eden stuff about natural medicine is fascinating. I know his ideas need to be proven like any other idea, but I do see the weight of evidence from actually healing many people. Naturally, the academic journals etc. will do everything they can to get rid of these things which don't cost boat-loads of money and actually work.

UM exposes many conspiracies. The Book of Mormon certainly warns us to beware these secret combinations. The conspiracies often go deeper than most are willing to admit. UM does well with the vaccine writings, showing they have potential, but are typically useless and dangerous.

This subchapter advocates natural whole foods, and advocates eating plant based.

UM shows that the human was meant for life on earth, that such proves a creator, that we don't thrive in artificial environments, and that this applies to what we eat as well. Brilliant. Proves life is intentional, full of purpose.

The noetic science stuff was interesting. UM makes a great point that conscience is beyond science, that we can prove that the spiritual realm is real, etc. UM endorses the idea that people (like prophets and other inspired individuals) can have information in ways which are purely spiritual. We say faith is just for religion, but UM shows it's for science. We also say religion is just faith, but perhaps someday soon people will recognize much of religion is provable, and UM has done well at highlighting this.

UM mentions acupuncture as effective, I thought it was a hoax, but I am open to learning new things. The issue is where to get good information, and UM is a huge help with that. I know UM does good research, sites everything, and make looking into these things much easier.

The 'grounding' (walking barefoot on soil/grass to get benefits to the blood from the piezoelectric nature of soil) makes sense, as does dowsing, and the piezoelectrics and electric nature of the body makes sense. If any sort of energy healing is suggested, I remain very skeptical of it, and know these things can be taken advantage of financially spiritually and otherwise.

UM mentions that the psychics who didn't charge for their services were typically the most successful, that was neat

UM highlights that scientists are openly anti-religion, it does a great job at proving this, and it helps paint the picture overall that history, including the bible, is fundamental to science, and that Godless science doesn't work!

The human model covers topics more people are familiar with, and will be quite easy reading for the public. All of UM is understandable, but this chapter people already know something about, and they'll have quite an easy time with.

How did vol 1 flow into vol 2? It was good. Vol 2 introduces the social sciences, not just the "hard" sciences into the picture, and it makes UM all the more beautiful and simple, not being afraid of these controversial subjects, these more 'subjective' sciences, UM makes them more objective, and shows how bias and atheistic agendas have made social sciences into watered down and less useful, and by doing this UM shows how correct use of social sciences can be very useful. Everyone would do well to remember that we have potential for both physical and social science in a good way.

I can say that after spending much more time with UM, I now have a newfound ability to marvel at nature, and begin to understand how geology etc. really works, which is most exciting, and has me more interested in that subject than I thought I could be. I'm excited about using UM1 (and 2) as guides to get better at geology etc., finally a trusted source I can dive more confidently into.

UM has blessed my life immensely. It'll remain a core resource for my learning and teaching.

Dragons are Recent Dinosaurs: No Survivors? Where Do All the Stories Come From I Wonder?



[Image: [Albrecht Dürer - Saint George Killing the Dragon \(NGA 1943.3.3597\)](#) - [Saint George and the Dragon - Wikipedia](#)]

When you learn that dinosaurs died after the flood of Noah, you wonder how they lived among humans before then, if any were on the arc, and if any thereby survived the flood and lived, at least for a while, before being killed off, among modern man.

-The word dinosaur wasn't invented when the bible was written. Its passages about dragons are about dinosaurs.

-Josephus and many prominent historians speak of the reality of dragons.

- Alexander the Great reported large reptiles with huge tails, or a giant lizard which frightened his army.
- Marco Polo describes seeing dinosaurs in China
- We have found dinosaur fossils with soft tissue
- In China there was an official government position called the “royal dragon feeder” as they raised dragons to pull chariots in parades
- The Epic of Gilgamesh, one of our oldest texts, describes dragons
- The Chinese calendar has 11 real & commonly known animals and then a dragon. This anomaly suggests they used to live among dragons.
- There are reports of modern dinosaur sightings such as the Loch Ness Monster.
- There are reports of dinosaurs still living in a large swamp of Africa, so mosquito infested, that people simply don't go in there. Natives report sightings around this place.
- The Job 40 on Behemoth & 41 Leviathan are described as animals with massive dinosaur-like features. We know the book of Job is a true account despite what literary critics may claim because in modern revelation, Jehovah compares Joseph Smith to Job, he would not compare his trials to that of a fictional character.



-Job 40 on Behemoth:

¹⁵ Behold now behemoth, which I made with thee; he eateth grass as an ox.

¹⁶ Lo now, his strength is in his loins, and his force is in the navel of his belly.

¹⁷ He moveth his tail like a cedar: the sinews of his stones are wrapped together.

¹⁸ His bones are as strong pieces of brass; his bones are like bars of iron.

¹⁹ He is the chief of the ways of God: he that made him can make his sword to approach unto him.

²⁰ Surely the mountains bring him forth food, where all the beasts of the field play.

²¹ He lieth under the shady trees, in the covert of the reed, and fens.

²² The shady trees cover him with their shadow; the willows of the brook compass him about.

²³ Behold, he drinketh up a river, and hasteth not: he trusteth that he can draw up Jordan into his mouth.

²⁴ He taketh it with his eyes: his nose pierceth through snares.

-Job 41 (KJV) on Leviathan:

1 Canst thou draw out leviathan with an hook? or his tongue with a cord which thou lettest down?

2 Canst thou put an hook into his nose? or bore his jaw through with a thorn?

3 Will he make many supplications unto thee? will he speak soft words unto thee?

4 Will he make a covenant with thee? wilt thou take him for a servant for ever?

5 Wilt thou play with him as with a bird? or wilt thou bind him for thy maidens?

6 Shall the companions make a banquet of him? shall they part him among the merchants?

7 Canst thou fill his skin with barbed irons? or his head with fish spears?

8 Lay thine hand upon him, remember the battle, do no more.

9 Behold, the hope of him is in vain: shall not one be cast down even at the sight of him?

10 None is so fierce that dare stir him up: who then is able to stand before me?

11 Who hath prevented me, that I should repay him? whatsoever is under the whole heaven is mine.

- 12 I will not conceal his parts, nor his power, nor his comely proportion.
- 13 Who can discover the face of his garment? or who can come to him with his double bridle?
- 14 Who can open the doors of his face? his teeth are terrible round about.
- 15 His scales are his pride, shut up together as with a close seal.
- 16 One is so near to another, that no air can come between them.
- 17 They are joined one to another, they stick together, that they cannot be sundered.
- 18 By his neesings a light doth shine, and his eyes are like the eyelids of the morning.
- 19 Out of his mouth go burning lamps, and sparks of fire leap out.
- 20 Out of his nostrils goeth smoke, as out of a seething pot or caldron.
- 21 His breath kindleth coals, and a flame goeth out of his mouth.
- 22 In his neck remaineth strength, and sorrow is turned into joy before him.
- 23 The flakes of his flesh are joined together: they are firm in themselves; they cannot be moved.
- 24 His heart is as firm as a stone; yea, as hard as a piece of the nether millstone.
- 25 When he raiseth up himself, the mighty are afraid: by reason of breakings they purify themselves.
- 26 The sword of him that layeth at him cannot hold: the spear, the dart, nor the habergeon.
- 27 He esteemeth iron as straw, and brass as rotten wood.
- 28 The arrow cannot make him flee: slingstones are turned with him into stubble.
- 29 Darts are counted as stubble: he laugheth at the shaking of a spear.
- 30 Sharp stones are under him: he spreadeth sharp pointed things upon the mire.
- 31 He maketh the deep to boil like a pot: he maketh the sea like a pot of ointment.
- 32 He maketh a path to shine after him; one would think the deep to be hoary.
- 33 Upon earth there is not his like, who is made without fear.
- 34 He beholdeth all high things: he is a king over all the children of pride.

Dinosaurs always lived with humans they just had a different name for them dragons

We often debate when dinosaurs went extinct but a better question is did they go extinct at all

1841 is when we invented the word dinosaur the King James Bible was printed in 1611 in the late 1800s A dictionary defined dragons as "now rare"

Many ancient recipes call for dragon bones dragon saliva et cetera

The Chinese calendar has 11 real animals and a dragon so that's a big hint that the dragon used to be real used to be alive

One of the main reasons that we don't have any more dragons is because we've slain them all it's hard to settle in area with dragons it was commonly known that you had to drive out the dragons when you came to settle a new area

1st dynasty Egypt shows pottery with long next dragons

Isaiah 14 as well as the book of mormon talks about fiery flying serpents

Herodotus spoke of anarabia serpents with wings like a bat

Exodus speaks of Moses killing the fiery flying serpents as well in Ethiopia

The Babylonian God marduke is shown on a fiery breathing dragon

The book of Daniel has several apocryphal chapters which speak of Daniel conquering a dragon

Daniel took pitch and hair and fed it to the dragon in the dragon verse to sunder you can't Digest hair It also had fat in it which is salty tasting and the pitches made from tree sap which is very sticky These stuck up his intestinal tract

2600 years ago in Babylon on the wall they were carvings of dragons and lions

Alexander the Great said his soldiers were scared by dragons when they conquered part of India

A Roman mosaic shows 2 long next dinosaurs with their necks intertwined fighting

Beowolf slew two dragons and died from a 3rd

The record says he pulled off an arm of the dragon and it died and there's an ancient depiction of someone pulling an arm off of a dragon

See the book after the flood by Bill Cooper which speaks of dragons and humans interacting See the book after the flood which speaks of dragons and humans interacting

An Irish writer said they killed a dragon with iron nails on its tail this sounds like a Stegasaurus

Vikings put dragons on their ships the heads carved on the ship ends

He's Marco Polo spent a dozen years in China he said the emperor is raising dragons to pulcheriots in his prades

1611 there was a position called Royal dragon feeder

There is a 16th century castle with dragons on it

Silver dollars from 1500s and 1600s show someone slaying a dragon

Indians carved dinosaurs onto the wall of the Grand Canyon many old crests and stamps showed someone slaying a dragon Blanding Utah caves have divisions of dragons

One Russian city was renamed after the man who slayed the dragon

Peruvian nazka lines show a spider with no eyes and one of its 8 legs a little bit longer than the others we used to think this was their ignorance but we have discovered in the Amazon a 1000 miles away a tiny little cave spider with no eyes and 1 of the legs slightly longer as it exchanges dna off that leg in mating for around 5 seconds so these ancients were having all these various depictions and everything aren't as ignorant as we think Is the nazka burial stones show depictions of dinosaurs

Many of ancient depictions on stone show men hunting dragons with spears knives et cetera

Many clay figurines of dragons have been found in Mexico tens of thousands of them

One octopus weighing 5 t and measuring 200' across washed up on the beach in Florida

We have found blood inside of dinosaur bones which means it's impossible that it was millions of years ago

In the Congo a monster washed up on the shore with a 20 ft long neck

In 1841 they changed dragon to dinosaur

There's an 18 ft tall hornless rhino. Not only would humans have grown much bigger before the flood but animals too.

Insects who live in oxygen-rich environments grow many times bigger. Deer with 12 ft antlers massive sloths grasshoppers centipedes cockroaches donkeys we found fossils of giant in many animals and insects. A 1500 lb guinea pig. 13 ft tall birds. Geese that were as big as an elephant. 8 ft long beavers. 6 ft long salamanders.

One reason there could be extra big animals in the waters before the flood as well is if there is increased air pressure the more of the gas would be forced into the water and so these animals of the water would also get more oxygen.

Someone raised piranha fruit flies etc in a more increased magnetic field and they grow to be tremendously bigger.

Filtering the sun's rays to have less x-ray and increasing oxygen content and air pressure would all lead to longevity.

They're serious indicators that these were conditions before the flood.

Most reptiles continue to grow all through their life. If they were conditions where they lived a long time they could get very big such as is the case with dinosaur Giants.

There are Inca Stones depicting humans riding dinosaurs

Dinosaur footprints and human footprints have been found together

See dinosaur valley State Park. In this area human footprints have been found alongside dinosaur footprints.

In some instances we have human footprints inside dinosaur footprints potentially indicating an exodus in a certain direction perhaps running away from the flood waters.

Some human footprints are a 6 to 7 ft stride meaning they were very big

One Nova employee insisted that he did not see anything at a certain dig where human footprints were beside dinosaur footprints well the Nova guy said he didn't see anything there to disprove evolution but what happened is he refused to look at the dig to look at these prints. He said he did not want to see it.

Flying serpents blocking the way chasing them
Would have been big

Vance Nelson books on dino and legend: Dire Dragons

Mary Schwitzer found blood vessels in dino bones

Egyptian cubit shows the ark in Turkey is Noah's size exactly.
Nearby is the city of 8, there were 8 on the ark.

City near called Naxuan meaning Noah's Zion. Another called Moshe, menacing out of water.

Many graves near, wanted to be buried by Noah their patriarch.
Family burial.

Lucy was found over a 2 mile radius.

Lucy they put white eyes on it like humans.

And feet like human all toes pointing forward.

And jaw of baboon.

And hip broken and grinded to make it walk upright.

Additional study on recent dinosaurs:

-See book *The Great Dinosaur Mystery and the Bible*, by [Paul Taylor](#)

-See DVD "Dragons or Dinosaurs? Creation or Evolution?" by Darek Isaacs

-Kent Hovind shares this almost 3 hour lecture on Dinosaurs on his YouTube channel:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HsQIF7Yh3hI&list=PL6-cVj-ZRivqXevIRaH8LK6kLBWN1T7c7&index=3>

Why They Say 70% Of Land Animals Died In An Extinction

Permian-Triassic: most severe. 250mya. 96 marine, 70 land vertebrates

They probably say 96 marine as they can't track all the marine, and 70 land because many land survived which we know of today. Species survived on the ark of Noah, so they reason that the extinction must have been more limited.

PS - they weren't many mass extinctions, but the one great flood of Noah.

Spontaneous Generation Disproved
Repeatedly (So No Big Bang!)

Why do we say everything came from nothing in the Big Bang? They used to say it came from an explosion of a small amount of material, then a tiny amount of material, now they say it was just from nothing at all.

People used to think something can come from nothing, such as maggots just appearing on rotting meat. Redi proved that it was the flies landing on the meat laying eggs, not spontaneous generation!

Again, Pasteur proved that bacteria only grows when something is exposed to bacteria, and that when we kill all bacteria and shield it from atmospheric bacteria, no bacteria will grow.

So since we disproved spontaneous generation over 100 years ago, why do we now think it can happen in theoretical physics?

All living things are made of cells. Where do cells come from? From other cells!

And no, you can't have random "quantum fluxes". That's a fairy tale if I ever heard one!

Arbitrary Epochs And Other Fallacies Seen In An Earth Science
Praxis Teacher Licensing Study Guide
May 2023

Here are some scary things from the an Earth/Space science study guide I had to devour recently for a test.

In a Mometrix Study Guide for the Earth and Space Science Praxis test for teachers, it admits, speaking of the Cenozoic era,

"Though this naming process occurred in a single area, it has been correlated worldwide. Therefore, unlike the relatively arbitrary subdivisions of other eras, the subdivisions of this era are based on practical experience."

Wow! When are they going to stop pedaling unscientific ideas in science!

Then they go on to claim that there were all these different extinctions and so on, but it's more of the same as they themselves admit, it's all "arbitrary subdivisions"

It also makes other funny admissions.

"there is little evidence that nucleotides could have been formed in the primordial soup"

and about the Miller-Urey experiment, that they had "partial success" (aka failure) in making amino acids, which were "thought to have been present in the atmosphere of early Earth", and that "these molecules are theorized to have accumulated..." Then it admits, "Some doubt is applied to the success of these experiments as repeated experiments have largely been unsuccessful."

About the theory that life evolved from non-living materials, they admit in their explanation, "The modern definition of the term (abiogenesis) is generally applied to the evolution of modern life from simple organisms created by the interactions of nonliving chemicals in the early atmosphere and hydrosphere, although the processes by which this occurred are largely unknown."

Comically, they point out that spontaneous generation (life from nonliving things) cannot happen in present conditions, but that it must have somehow in the past.

Sorry fellas, it can't happen now, and it couldn't have happened then! People like to point out possible ways it "could" have happened, but can never get one of these to hold up in real experiments.

To their credit, the author does mention the existence of "creation biology", and point out that in this theory, "since life must be created from life, a god figure must have created the earliest life forms on Earth."

This is halfway there! God created humans in his image, and created animals complete, they didn't evolve from lower organisms. But at least they MENTION a possibility that there is a God.

In short, the general theme of the entire science when it approaches subjects of how things began is one fairy tale after another, ramblings on about how things began, basing one theory off of another, and a whole circle of confounded nonsense.

[Once You've Seen the Truth, Falsehood is Bitter & Vain](#)

May 2023

Someone spoke to me of dealing with modern science knowing universal model creation science, "This (modern science) must be painful to you now that you have seen this (Universal Model science)."

It's like when apostles have visions of heaven, it is hard for them to stay on earth.

"Disconformities" in the Geologic Record: Coverup for Diverse Fossils Close Together

Evolution's Fight Against Reason Continues. When there's something that doesn't fit their blessed geologic column (which is all the time), the claim it is a "disconformity", aka, it doesn't conform to their theory.

They say a disconformity can only be recognized by the fossils. The soil all looks like the same time, but they "know" it isn't because fossils there indicate several "periods" of time. What they don't want to admit is the simple truth, that these fossils were created at the same time, just as the soil suggests.

They also have a "paraconformity", similar to the disconformity, with even less indication of any layering whatsoever, yet again, the fossils are together in these areas, and the evolutionary philosophers insist that this means that some layers are missing, hiding millions of years of deposits.

The truth is that the supposed geologic column is only found in part and in a few places around the world - it's not what they've lead you to believe about worldwide fossil deposits.

[My Journey as a Science Teacher](#)

My journey in college fighting evolutionists is captured in the petition to BYU against evolution. Here I'll share some thoughts about my years as a science teacher.

At first I was hesitant to teach science when the opportunity, somewhat unexpectedly, came to me. Could I teach my classes evolution which I so thoroughly disagreed with? Would I find questions which I couldn't find the answers to? My experience has been anything but that.

We are often tempted to think that the experts know it all, and that we have no right to have a different opinion than them since we are so ignorant. My God sent opportunity to teach science has certainly strengthened my faith in creation doctrines and helped me stand against dogmatic evolutionists. But this being said, God is the greatest expert, and prophets who speak his word are referencing a greater authority than any academic journal. It's ok to walk in faith. It's ok to disagree with experts.

Sure I don't have all the answers, but time and again, I've found there are many more issues with the underpinnings of evolutionary theories than creation theories. I teach my students, "the leading scientific theory about ____ is ____, but remember there are other theories, and keep an open & inquisitive mind about these things." I tell them about the historic battle between religion and science, and invite them to not be too one sided on the issue, but to seek truth. I seldom tell my personal views on these matters when in a professional setting, other than pointing out potential flaws in the theories being taught, and encouraging them to study and try various theories. Some students express their biblical views which contradict some leading scientific theories, and I encourage them to move forward with their faith and not give up their religious views.

I've found time and again loopholes and assumptions in textbooks, theories taught as fact, and other dogmatic approaches to



evolution and an old earth which leave me awe struck, and confident that these theorists are up to no good, and will stop at nothing to push their views.

It's almost impossible to watch a geologic or astronomical or biological documentary without hearing the term, "millions of years". It is almost comical how often they push it in there! Ask any kid about the time of dinosaurs, "millions of years," they shout the refrain!

I've been thrilled to find an army of creation and intelligent design scientists who, like I, have refused to put down the scriptures and the prophets in favor of some theories of man. When properly equipped, science can build father rather than shake it. God has been merciful in bringing so much truth into our reach, we don't have to cower to the dogmatic evolutionists. Evolutionists are all too common, both inside and outside of the church. But there is a rising movement against these false doctrines, and we must each take a side.

We know there are fundamental issues which evolutionary theory presents to the religious world view, and we know that the scientific community is militantly opposed to all things religious. Scientists high and low have overstepped the bounds the Lord has set in their efforts to be empirical, have tipped the word of the Lord on its head, and in the process, lost the spirit of God in their search for truth, and are left to perish in the dark.

The Book of Mormon, written for our day, says, "O that cunning plan of the evil one! O the vainness, and the frailties, and the foolishness of men! When they are learned they think they are wise, and they hearken not unto the counsel of God, for they set it aside, supposing they know of themselves, wherefore, their wisdom is foolishness and it profiteth them not. And they shall perish." (2 Ne. 9:28)

I used to think it necessary to, when asked by my students my personal opinion on evolution and other false theories, just say, "there are other theories with strong evidence, but in this class we are focusing on the main theories

of modern science.” Now I’ve become more comfortable adding to this something like, “and my personal view is that this is false.”

Is Genesis History? Documentary Highlights

These are my notes on the presentation, and do not exactly capture the ideas presented. As they are extensive, permission has been obtained to share them from the author.

- Mt. Saint Helens made geological structures which we usually attribute to being extremely old. Deep bedrock can be cut in just a few days with powerful mudslides. Catastrophic processes can make big things happen fast. (see also Universal Model theory of the Grand Canyon as being formed by a major earthquake)
- see Steve Austin PhD Geologist
- see Genesis speaks of fountains of water coming up at time of Noah's flood
- mountains have risen since the flood, so we can't look at them to determine how deep the flood was (Latter-day Saints know that the earth was baptized by immersion, completely, by the flood. Great evidence exists for this fact in science and doctrine.)
- the standard idea is that the Colorado river wore the Grand Canyon down over tens of thousands of years but erosion would have collapsed it over that time period
- the Grand Canyon could have been eroded in just a few weeks
- the Grand Canyon would have been from a large powerful flood, not just a local flood.

-the Grand Canyon more logically would have been made with a lot of water in a little time rather than a little water over a long time.

-science isn't just about evidence, its about the paradigms, how you interpret the evidence.

-Steven Boyd PhD Hebraist says the worlds greatest Hebraists agree that Genesis is narrative, not poetic. Meaning that the text should be understood as it is written. The biblical text does not conform with the contemporary narrative. God creates mankind. Marriage is invented in the beginning of mankind. A global flood occurs. The tower of Babel text shows how different languages evolved.

-Jesus descended from Adam as the bible text genealogy shows. Mankind was created on the 6th day of creation. This shows that the days of creation could not have been extremely long ago.

-Mt. St. Helens was small compared to other historic volcanic eruptions

-We can't use present day rates of these processes to determine how long the geological record accumulated.

-the millions of years of decay rate of atoms at present day doesn't mean the rate was consistent in the past. Universities ignore evidence of historic rates being different because they are set in their millions of years geological evolution idea. They insist that we have rocks millions of years old to support this narrative.

-samples from the same rock can test to be vastly different ages

-where there are no evidence of erosion between layers, those layers were quickly laid down upon each other; this is seen in areas of the Grand Canyon

-Grand Canyon was underwater deposition because... (see presentation)

-see Kurt Wise Paleontologist

-book of Peter says how people in the last days will say that the Lord isn't going to come because things are always going to be

as they always have been; they deny the idea that the past was any different than the present

-the bible describes different epochs of time where very different things happen in different times; God starts and ends certain projects. At the time of Adam and Eve it says they would have lived forever if they had not sinned, there were different conditions. Now the sun won't burn forever, etc.

-in the ante-diluvian (before flood) epoch, there were very different animals and plants on earth. In Peter it says that world was destroyed. (The scriptures speak of new heaven and new earth several times).

-the earth is still recovering from the flood; this can describe glacial history, etc.

-the modern epoch of time based on our current observations can only describe the earth back to a few hundred years after the flood of Noah

-the bible records historical events but it doesn't tell how they happened; we can study them to find out how they happened

-a great flood could have taken ocean animals and thrown them onto land continents. The Cambrian explosion (appearance of lots of marine animals shows up almost out of nowhere) makes sense as the flood was about destroying ecosystems; we see a complex whole explosion of life; whenever you move up in the geological record, you see different ecosystems. The flood waters got higher and higher and destroyed more and more, until it got to the top. In other words, all of that life was already there, we are just looking at the graveyard of all of that life.

-placement of the next layer on the fossil record must have been quick; entire ecosystems and species getting wiped out

-at the time of the flood the earth was filled with violence, it was not so at the time of creation. When we go to natural history museums, we are seeing the animals of the time of the violence on earth. (In the beginning there weren't carnivores) [Indeed, 2 Ne. 2:22 says no death before the fall of Adam, and a millennium where things will go back to paradise, when there will again be no more death.]

-fossilization requires very special circumstances; if a coyote dies in the desert today, it's body soon disappears. Fossilization is rare, yet we find dinosaur fossils all over the earth. The animal dies, it's body rots away for a few months, then the bone remains are fossilized. [Rapid fossilization has been observed, and occurs easily when conditions are met, including high pressure etc.]

-The rule is that there are no transitional forms, those forms remain the same in the next stages of the fossil record; when there are transitional forms, that's the exception rather than the rule.

-Devin Anderson PhD microbiologist speaks of what's inside dinosaur bones. There have been tissue with cells found in dinosaur fossils which are supposedly 80 millions of years old, but those should have broken down faster. Such tissue has been found in a triceratops, etc.

-see Creation Research Society

-soak a fossil in EDTA, the tissue remains; stretchable, pliable tissue. An even closer electron scanner shows extreme details of the cells. You would not expect such elaborate detail still intact if the sample was as old as many claim. The scientific community responded to this saying it was just bacteria or other things it could be, so those who originally published this tissue finding did more research and even found proteins. The controversy has been how to explain such. Some claim it means nothing because our other methods of dating say it's older. But this tissue is a method of dating. This challenges the entire dating process.

-Time is the critical component for evolution; they claim to account for massive change of organisms with time.

-Darwin first read about millions of year-old earth, and made his theory to fit that paradigm; he didn't come up with the millions idea.

-evolution is a belief that enough change over time and enough time can account for every species coming from one thing; but there are major missing links in every species. A shark is a shark,

and there are variations of a shark, but even back in the fossil record you have sharks.

-no one would agree that random mutations would result in a higher lifeform. The number of changes required to move from one species to another requires many changes at once.

-things do change over time, but they don't jump to different species. Several animals can be very similar within their group. Animals can have similar sets of genes, but the hox genes controlling development of the embryo are very different in different species.

-look at computer programs; everything doesn't just come from a single symbol.

-the 4th dimension is time; the genome changes shape over time; all 3 dimensions change in the 4th dimension. You can't build something like that one step at a time; there must be foresight, it can't be one letter at a time with natural selection.

Animals were created with the ability to change and adapt to their environments, and we have mistaken that as evolution.

-in an ecosystem, it comes crashing down without all factors being present; remove just a few factors, and it collapses. If you have 'missing links', you can't have a complete genome.

-each kind of animal descended from a master form which was on the ark of Noah. God didn't just build a cat, he built an animal from which a variety of cats could come. Diversity of today is built into the kind. (But not every kind came from a single common ancestor).

-natural selection can't generate all diversity we see; natural selection does fine tuning, but it doesn't account for all the variety. Selection takes a variation and turns it into a local adaptation. An exquisite design in the beginning built into the system of an animal the ability to adapt to different climates to an extent. Each kind has its own tree of variation. Therefore the Genesis paradigm embraces both similarity and difference.

[Natural selection evolution is very atheist by definition. The whole point is an attempt to do away with God and purpose.]

-there are discontinuities between humans and non-humans. Neanderthals are a variety of human. There are a large variety of human similar to how there are a large variety of dog. But there are discontinuities between humans and non-humans. Apes for example are very different from humans, large discontinuities.

-see Danny Faulkner PhD astronomer

-eclipses are spectacular and rare; these are part of a design for signs as the scriptures say.

-scripture said let the earth bring forth plants; it could have been rapid creation, the bring forth suggests that. It may have appeared like a time lapse taking place in regular time. This could be why we see light from distant galaxies. (The ideas on light having traveled billions of light-years from distant galaxies to reach us is a fabrication to hold up their theory of deep-time.)

-If spiral galaxies were so old, why would they still appear spiraled? They would have come together.

-The Big Bang theory is far from universally accepted by scientists. Some claim it can be compatible with the bible, but those are people who attempt to wed Genesis with our current paradigm. We should interpret the world in terms of Genesis, not the other way around. [At BYU the evolutionary biologists put it terrifyingly: they seek to reconcile religious FAITH with scientific FACT!]

-Douglas Petrovich PhD archeologist shows biblical events unfolding in the East at Mesopotamia. He speaks of language popping up out of nowhere, and great diversity in grammar forms of language to language even in ancient languages. (I recall in my Egyptology class we spoke of the oldest language records appearing around 4000 BC).

-our bodies are set up for the timing of a day; our sleep cycles, our work cycles, etc. The timing of a day was set up in Genesis.

-if you remove a literal Adam and Eve, you greatly alter human history and it becomes open to lots of interpretation about relationships, the character of gender, sexuality, marriage, etc.

-We understand the life of Christ as recorded in the bible being historical events; why do we think that the Old Testament would not be historical events? We are constantly bombarded with the message that we have to adjust our views. The entire bible refers back to all the characters of Genesis. The entire bible is refuted if you throw out the original characters and major events of Genesis. Throw out the first few chapters of the bible, and you have to throw out the whole thing. History anchors all the other disciplines. It tells us what happened, then science attempts to answer how those events of history happened. The mechanics of those events. If you reverse that and have science say what happened, you get a constantly shifting world view, and moral relativism is the necessary outcome. God has given us the bedrock to build on by giving us the bible.

-nothing in the world makes sense except in the light of Genesis

Analysis of “Judgement Day: Intelligent Design on Trial” by NOVA

A Dover Pennsylvania school district had science teachers read a 1-minute statement saying intelligent design (ID) is an alternative to evolution. That life is too complex to evolve on its own, and that evolution's theories have lots of holes. Many science teachers and parents became angry about this and sued the school saying that the school was pushing religion. The science teachers refused to read the one minute ID possibility statement required by the board! Court trials ensued. Currently it is considered a violation of rights to teach ID!

The evolutionists in the presentation said ID is just an attempt to push religion. They said they value their theory more than mere facts (what!?). They spoke of how evolution is much more than a theory to them, and how doubting evolution to them would be like saying the US Civil War never occurred (so much for it being a theory). They talk about the “theory of gravity” – wait, isn’t it the “law” of gravity? Yep, because we have specifically demonstrated it over and over, unlike evolution of species (and no one can even define species, because they don’t want to be exposed when we show that one species can’t cross into another)! The evolutionists in the presentation claim that nothing has disturbed the theory of evolution for 150 years. This is ultimate pride. How can these scientists be unaware of the scores of errors in this theory and make such a pompous statement? Ultimately the evolutionists, of course, won the case.

The ID advocates in the presentation said they wanted both evolution and ID taught to give the students fair exposure to both theories. George W Bush was in favor of intelligent design being taught at schools as another theory to be presented. (Good for Bush!) Of course, the presentation did a terrible job of presenting the ID view, not really talking about any evidence of ID, but mostly just featuring ID people talking about how upset they are. They put on quite the show demonstrating the blundering horrors of the twisted creationists (obviously threats and vandalism are uncalled for, but why focus on that?), while leaving the evolutionists enthroned, not showing flagrant deception perpetrated by their hand. This bias even in this documentary on a two-sided battle is not surprising as NOVA themselves are of course dogmatic evolutionists, as all mainstream “scientific” establishments are these days. A few cases for ID were presented by Michael Behe, author of Darwin’s Black Box, such as the flagellum motor and other things which have **irreducibly complex parts**, meaning parts that if removed the whole system doesn’t work, and therefore cannot form through gradual evolution. Of course

NOVA gives the evolutionists plenty of time to throw things at this, as the majority of the presentation gives time to evolution rather than ID.

Analysis:

The real issue is that we have misunderstood separation of church and state for a long time now. It wasn't meant to mean state should be free from religion, as in only atheist. It was meant to not have the state push a certain church as the only true church. Saying that intelligent design is one of various scientific theories is in no way violating separation of church and state.

Science should be concerned with pointing out flaws in all theories. If evolution doesn't hold water, they should drop it. Unfortunately, conspiring leaders dogmatically and militantly drive evolution. Ironically, atheism has become the state religion, and no dissenting views are tolerated. It's a vertical wall in the academic journals and peer review process when you try to publish anything that isn't in line with evolution. These professional pharisees don't dare put their name on the line by getting involved.

One flaw in the theory of evolution includes the tree of life which has many gaps. The tree is shown a few times in the presentation. In reality, there is no tree! There are some similar species, but no continuous flow of one species to the next, culminating in the evolution of the human.

One flaw of the ID theory is that limiting idea that the creation took place in 7 days, when the bible itself says that 1000 years to man is a day to God, meaning a 7000-year creation is wholly possible within the parameters of the 7-day narrative of the bible. The critics of ID always talk about a ridiculous 7-day creation, when ID is not even necessarily limited to that! It could be either way, but evidence I've seen points to the 7000 year creation over the 7 day version.

Intelligent Design resources mentioned in the presentation:

Textbook: Of Pandas and People: The Central Question of Biological Origins, 2nd Ed.

Darwin's Black Box by Michael Behe

Discovery Institute: a major organization in favor of intelligent design

DVD: Unlocking the Mystery of Life

Book: Darwin on Trial by Phillip E. Johnson

Movie: "Inherit the Wind" is an old movie retelling the account of a Tennessee teacher fined for teaching evolution at school back in the day. I've not seen the film but it looks, from the clips shown, to portray the evolutionists as the sophisticated ones, and the ID advocates as backwards hillbillies, which obviously is bias. One value of this movie might be in simply demonstrating to youth that there is debate, that its not all one sided as modern schools portray.

Book: Traipsing into Evolution by the Discovery Institute, responding the Dover case.

Inspired Scientists: Isaac Newton

Insights into his life and key discoveries. Some of this comes from "Newton's Dark Secrets" documentary.

He saw science as a way to discover the truths of God, and insisted that the planets and their order could only exist due to an intelligent and powerful creator.

He was an avid studier. He seldom traveled or even left his room where his personal library was.

His studies of early Christian writers etc. lead him to not believe in the trinity of Father Son and Holy Ghost being 1 and the same person. He also believed the Father was the supreme being above the Son and Holy Ghost.

Says be chaste via thinking of other things than chastity lest you be thinking of women.

Before 2060 is his armagetton and apocalypse prediction. He made complex chronologies, combing the bible for clues, matching things with stars, etc. He had 100's of pages of these timeline calculations.

He had 30 bibles that he compared.

Inventing calculus at age 22 for physics calculations of objects at any given moment not just average speed.

Known for premature white hair and longwinded lectures.

When no one came to his classes he read to the walls.

Used mirrors not lenses so no color distortion from the edges of lenses which acted like a prism distorting the white light. Still the model we base today's large telescopes on.

An alchemist, wrote recipes in code language, interpreted Roman authors as code language for recipes.

"Truth is the offspring of silence and unbroken meditation. I keep the subject constantly before me and wait 'til the first dawns open slowly, by little and little, into a full and clear light."

When in the throngs of important research, he ate and slept little. Once he went without sleep for 5 days (though it resulted in a mental breakdown).

His father passed before his birth.

Laws of motion inertia acceleration & reaction. The inertia law showed planetary orbit: a fast enough object would get into permanent orbit as gravity wouldn't overcome it before it reached the curvature of the earth.

Simple & elegant force of gravity: $F_g = (m_1 m_2) / r^2$

Wrote a book on apocalyptic writings of Daniel and Revelation. He wrote as much or more about religion than he did of science. Book Natural philosophy mathematics. Book on Optics where he began to reveal calculus.

Died at 84 years old.

“I offer no hypothesis concerning gravitation.” He knew it existed, but couldn't say how.

Entered Trinity college in Cambridge at age 17.

for 18 months he hardly ate or slept, he didn't leave his house. He was focused solving astrophysics, and after this period he published his first famous work, the Principia Mathematica.

He went without sleep 5 nights trying to solve a problem, and had a mental breakdown.

With some of his religious insights, he didn't bother trying to persuade people about them, because he felt the time was not right, so there was no point.

had a 1600-1800 volume library. Spent most of his time at home, rarely ever traveled

"The way to chastity is not to struggle directly with incontinent thoughts but to avert the thoughts by some employment, or by reading, or meditating on other things. For he that is always thinking of chastity will always be thinking of women."

“Live your life as an exclamation rather than an explanation.” – Isaac Newton

“What we know is a drop, what we don't know is an ocean.” – Isaac Newton

“My powers are ordinary. Only my application brings me success.” – Isaac Newton

“If I am anything, which I highly doubt, I have made myself so by hard work.” – Isaac Newton

“Gravity explains the motions of the planets but it cannot explain who sets the planets in motion.” – Isaac Newton

“Tact is the art of making a point without making an enemy.” – Isaac Newton

“To me there has never been a higher source of earthly honor or distinction than that connected with advances in science.” – Isaac Newton

“In the absence of any other proof, the thumb alone would convince me of God’s existence.” – Isaac Newton

“Plato is my friend, Aristotle is my friend, but my greatest friend is truth.” – Isaac Newton

“Don’t doubt the Creator because it is inconceivable that accidents alone could be the controller of this universe.” – Isaac Newton

“Truth is ever to be found in simplicity, and not in the multiplicity and confusion of things.” – Isaac Newton

“If I have ever made any valuable discoveries, it has been due more to patient attention, than to any other talent.” – Isaac Newton

“Genius is patience.” – Isaac Newton

“If others would think as hard as I did, then they would get similar results.” – Isaac Newton

“Truth is the offspring of silence and meditation. I keep the subject constantly before me and wait 'til the first dawning opens slowly, by little and little, into a full and clear light.” – Isaac Newton

“Nature is pleased with simplicity. And nature is no dummy.” – Isaac Newton

“I believe the more I study science, the more I believe in God.” – Isaac Newton

“A man may imagine things that are false, but he can only understand things that are true.” – Isaac Newton

“To explain all nature is too difficult a task for any one man or even for any one age. 'Tis much better to do a little with certainty, and leave the rest for others that come after you, than to explain all things by conjecture without making sure of anything.” – Isaac Newton

“All my discoveries have been made in answer to prayer.” – Isaac Newton

“The best way to understanding is a few good examples.” – Isaac Newton

“The wonderful arrangement and harmony of the cosmos would only originate in the plan of an almighty omniscient being. This is and remains my greatest comprehension.” – Isaac Newton

“Physics, beware of metaphysics.” – Isaac Newton

“Did blind chance know that there was light and what was its refraction, and fit the eyes of all creatures after the most curious manner to make use of it? These and other suchlike considerations, always have, and always will prevail with mankind, to believe that there is a Being who made all things, who has all things in his power, and who is therefore to be feared.” – Isaac Newton

In the 1800s many French interpreters would take God out of Newton.

Newton owned more than 30 bibles, and wrote more of religion and alchemy than of math and science.

He believed the same laws that govern earth must govern the heavens.

Inspired Scientists: Galileo Galilei

Some of these insights come from the documentary, “Galileo’s Battle for the Heavens”, though the ideas presented are general and not exclusive to that production. The film does depict a bit

of a false dichotomy of “science vs religion”, though understandably so.

He was committed to his Catholic faith throughout his life, despite their banning his books (for hundreds of years). Believed the bible was the word of God and never erred, but didn't believe how others always interpreted it or applied it. When brought to trial, he argued that what he wrote was appropriate and not overly offensive to the church. They still banned it. Bravely, he remained devoted to the church. He wondered if his life's work would make a difference.

Before the chief subjects of philosophy and theology could be studied in medieval university, 7 subjects were required, namely astronomy, grammar, rhetoric, arithmetic, geometry, logic, and music. (see medievalists.net/2011/08/medieval-university/)

When Galileo found out there was no professor of math at the medical school, he dropped out and taught himself math.

Made the lens telescope, later Newton made the mirror telescope.

Considered becoming a priest.

His view was built upon the view of Nicholas Copernicus, that the Earth went around the sun. Several disagreed, saying the bible said the sun stopped as commanded by Joshua and a few other biblical passages. Note: the sun did appear to stop from their point of view, it was the earth slowed in its rotation however. Sometimes the bible teaches us from our point of view, like explaining to a child in a way they will understand.

After making the lens telescope he hardly slept for 8 weeks as he meticulously sketched the moon and its phases, including the holes etc. This brought a view that the objects in the

heavens were much more similar to the earth than before supposed.

The geo-centric view was held strongly in religious circles as it was said that all is above the earth, and that the lowest place in the universe is the earth, and only hell was below that (deep inside the earth). The new view of earth not being “at the bottom” seemed to threaten the idea of one advancing higher into the heavens. That analogy was useful of course, but other correct religious analogies about progressing upward to heaven can also be made with the more scientific view.

He preferred time researching to teaching.

He advocated evidence, thinking, and experimenting over just hearing what others say.

He put his daughters in a Catholic convent. They were illegitimate and were unlikely to marry, particularly without a large dowry which Galileo didn't have.

He stayed in touch with one daughter exchanging frequent letters. His daughter looked up to him greatly, and called him sire and Lord in her letters. Toward the end of his life he reflected in solitude that his only comforts were the memories of his friends.

He said **philosophers should fly alone like eagles**. He said reasoning is not like hauling rocks where more hands make better work, but that solitude best calculates success in thinking. This is similar to what **Newton** said, **that “Truth is the offspring of silence and unbroken meditation. I keep the subject constantly before me and wait 'til the first dawns open slowly, by little and little, into a full and clear light.”**

He had a knack for pleasant arrogance, and said to someone, “your ignorance of astronomy astounds me!”

good philosophers fly alone like eagles, not in flocks like starlings -galileo

if reasoning were like hauling rocks then several haulers would be better, but reasoning is not like hauling rock

something about: 1 bird easily overtakes in speed many horses and chariots

"the father acknowledged that tears came to his eyes several times when he was reading it, that I should be begged to publish such a book. Meanwhile it sits in a corner..."

"I have never met a man so ignorant that I couldn't learn something from him."

— Galileo Galilei

"I do not feel obliged to believe that the same God who has endowed us with sense, reason, and intellect has intended us to forgo their use."

— Galileo Galilei, Letter to the Grand Duchess Christina

"In questions of science, the authority of a thousand is not worth the humble reasoning of a single individual."

— Galileo Galilei

"All truths are easy to understand once they are discovered; the point is to discover them."

— Galileo

“Philosophy [nature] is written in that great book which ever is before our eyes -- I mean the universe -- but we cannot understand it if we do not first learn the language and grasp the symbols in which it is written. The book is written in mathematical language, and the symbols are triangles, circles and other geometrical figures, without whose help it is impossible to comprehend a single word of it; without which one wanders in vain through a dark labyrinth.”

— Galileo

“Passion is the genesis of genius.”

— Galileo Galilei

“The sun, with all those planets revolving around it and dependent on it, can still ripen a bunch of grapes as if it had nothing else in the universe to do.”

— Galileo Galilei

“Sì perché l'autorità dell'opinione di mille nelle scienze non val per una scintilla di ragione di un solo, sì perché le presenti osservazioni spogliano d'autorità i decreti de' passati scrittori, i quali se vedute l'avessero, avrebbero diversamente determinato.

For in the sciences the authority of thousands of opinions is not worth as much as one tiny spark of reason in an individual man. Besides, the modern observations deprive all former writers of any authority, since if they had seen what we see, they would have judged as we judge.”

— Galileo Galilei , Frammenti e lettere

“Wine is sunlight, held together by water.”

— Galileo Galilei

“There are those who reason well, but they are greatly outnumbered by those who reason badly.”

— Galileo Galilei

“Long experience has taught me this about the status of mankind with regard to matters requiring thought: the less people know and understand about them, the more positively they attempt to argue concerning them, while on the other hand to know and understand a multitude of things renders men cautious in passing judgment upon anything new.”

— Galileo Galilei

“Mathematics is the language in which God has written the universe”

— Galileo Galilei

“My dear Kepler, what would you say of the learned here, who, replete with the pertinacity of the asp, have steadfastly refused to cast a glance through the telescope? What shall we make of this? Shall we laugh, or shall we cry?”

— Galileo Galilei, Frammenti e lettere

“It is surely harmful to souls to make it a heresy to believe what is proved.”

— Galileo Galilei

“By denying scientific principles, one may maintain any paradox.”

— Galileo Galilei

“With regard to matters requiring thought: the less people know and understand about them, the more positively they attempt to argue concerning them.”

— Galileo Galilei

“See now the power of truth; the same experiment which at first glance seemed to show one thing, when more carefully examined, assures us of the contrary.”

— Galileo Galilei , Discorsi E Dimostrazioni Matematiche: Intorno a Due Nuoue Scienze, Attenenti Alla Mekanica & I Movimenti Locali

“I've loved the stars too fondly to be fearful of the night.”

— Galileo

“The Bible shows the way to go to heaven, not the way the heavens go.”

— Galileo Galilei

“They seemed to forget that the increase of known truths stimulates the investigation, establishment and growth of the arts; not their diminution or destruction.”

— Galileo Galilei

Reflecting on “Reflections of a Scientist” by Henry Eyring Sr.: Some Major Issues

I am not against the author, he is a good man. I agree with him that we should seek and embrace true science. I merely question whether much of our current science is true science. I emphatically disagree with his claim that organic macro-evolution is good science. I also disagree with his view that miracles of the scriptures may not have been literal, etc.

Those who believe in science and religion don't have to be organic-evolutionists or big-bang-theorists or man-from-ape-ists or old-earth-ists; those are false theories of men, held up as a state religion via paradigms rather than evidence.

Eyring breeds tolerance for those of different ideas than your own within the realm of reason and toleration, even to a religious man, and even particularly to a religious man. But I have some very strong objections. Since Eyring is here bold about questioning the teachings of the prophets, I will here be bold about questioning his teachings, and receive the similar respect of a questioner. Eyring probably wants people to question his scientific theories. If we didn't question him, we would not be very good scientists.

He does not consider the thieving men who, not being scientists, pushed the study of science into the field of evolution and that sort of thing, for their own purposes of discrediting religionists. Their plan was to say that things happening very slow meant anything is possible, even life and order, and thereby that no God needed to be involved.

Eyring's point of view is that the miracles in the bible could have really happened, or not. He doesn't care. He says they can be expressions or mistranslations for all he cares. He also gives the theory that they are higher laws being expressed. We are allowed more boldness in our belief of the events of the bible! Let us not trivialize it to mere analogy!

-Some historians, for example, and this is actually their current "decision" of what the facts are, discredit the prophet Job as being a true, historical character, who once lived like you and me, and classify him as an imaginary character. But then comes in the word of God in the D&C, when God tells Joseph to cheer up, as his lot is not yet as difficult as Job's was. Would God do something so mean as to compare a person with a fictional character as basis for the persons' need for fidelity in the face of trial? That would not be fair. That would be the equivalent of you chastening us by saying, "Come on, Barney the Purple Dinosaur goes around loving people all day, why can't you!?" So here we have one of ten thousand examples wherein the wisdom of man is foolishness unto God.

When one takes the view of "you don't have to believe anything that is not true", which he presents in the text, though true, it's very dangerous that you'll get into hesitating obedience. How would such a person respond to something like, per se, the law of polygamy which God had the Saints practice in early church history? Would he regard that as a mere false opinion of the leaders of the church? That is an extreme example, but my point is that we must be able to follow council of our leaders even when we do not understand it. We pray for guidance, but we go forward with faith. The scripture says that this life is about walking by faith. He confesses that revelation is possible, that God can come and give instruction to man, but does he reject some of that instruction? I'm not saying that he necessarily does, but it's with great care that one should take up these views; One interesting point on this topic that Hugh Nibley makes regarding the way to tell when a prophet is speaking as a man vs merely as himself is that one must decide

for themselves. But the difficulty of this remains. Eyring seems to keep this discussion about science, and points out that little is mentioned of the topic in official scripture standard works, and thus says it could merely be a topic not very important for us, and hence we can go either way with it. When the Lord speaks a certain instruction, though not frequently, should we heed it, or brush it aside because of its infrequency? Perhaps such a pitfall would be a powerful temptation to one who takes such views. If one is to put himself deep into the philosophies of man, he had better make well sure that he is simultaneously putting himself in deep in the philosophies of God. Let not the scientist be ignorant of the other dimensions of human experience, which, though harder to measure, must be addressed in the all-encompassing reality we call truth.

Eyring suggests manna doesn't need to have come from heaven, but that it could have merely been something that fell off of a tree which they ate. The symbolism of the manna is that it is like Jesus Christ. It came from heaven miraculously to save the people from otherwise certain death. Same with Jesus Christ, he came from heaven to save the people. But if we take the scientific view that the manna was not from heaven, it seems to break down the whole purpose for all of this, as well as a multitude of other scripture symbols.

Our education system is fragile and politically loaded with ladder climbing and social prestige as this society is. It should be all too familiar to us how a cunning adult can load a child with unfair data only supporting his way of looking at things, while neglecting to show the child the debates on the other teams' platform. So may it be with scientists, or any other field of study in the Babylonian society in which we live.

This world is run on money as its premiere motivator, and that doesn't exclude it's dealings with science. The prince of this world is Satan, he has taken over and is running things quite his own way. We have got certain things under the table in our underground resistance efforts, like true Christianity and mechanics which allow us to build sky scrapers and other

technological conveniences, but the fact remains that Satan is in charge of the mainstream flow of info (dis-info) around here. Jesus always taught that broad is the way that leads to death, but narrow is the way that leads to life, and few there be that find it. This statement from the master should cause us to be skeptical of all major theories held by the world at large which are not expressly simultaneously taught in the Kingdom of God, which is The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

This article is not about a debate pertaining to whether the Church is true. It is addressed to those who already know (not merely believe) that it is. Here we are scientists and lovers of truth, and we care not of your telling us that the sun is not shining at noon day when we have seen that it is. In brief: certain facts are beyond debate to those to whom they have been revealed. If I reveal what cards I am holding in a game to my partner, he then knows with certainty what my hand is. Similarly, God **does** reveal himself and his methods to persons. Just ask Joseph Smith. Just ask any of the saints who have received similar (though not necessarily in the same way) witnesses, like myself, and they will likewise confirm what they know to be true, despite all hell combining against them, even to the taking of their lives. Religion is a special thing, and God is a special thing. I have had a supernatural experience revealing to me that The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints is true, and that on countless occasions. I have 10 trillion evidences toward its truthfulness, and doubts have I none. Amen, I need say no more, my soul desires no further explanation of the matter. One can argue and debate things all day long, but nothing can be said about a declaration of testimony, a witness of firsthand knowledge. We live in a day of psychological programming and brainwashing, but I stand a convicted soul, with no trace of doubt as to whether I've strayed off on some strange road; no hinting of the conscience that what I've become involved in may not be the best way when it comes to this the membership in a particular religion. There are no senses of my body requiring psychotropic drugs to

suppress feelings which might be hinting that I should turn another way. There is no doublethink taking place here. I've learned no such technique (of suppressing knowledge A for knowledge B because knowledge B is politically correct). The soul of the human race, that spirit of freedom and independence, that spirit of cunning and intellect to stand alone upon one's feet without the support of the mind of a neighbor, this is the force with which I am a fully committed, a fully invested, fully involved member of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

There is much evidence is coming out in contemporary scientific journals which opposes many of the traditional scientific views which Eyring states in his theory of science, such as the methods of carbon dating; many chinks in that armor are coming out and revealing vulnerabilities. If one is willing to give controversial (anti-religious, humanist, Darwinian, otherwise morally-progressive) theories a chance, they should also give the religious and traditional opinions a chance. We look at the scientific evidence the philosophers have come out with showing chinks in the chain of theories of evolution, including the half-life radioactive decay being too long for reproduction to the level it has supposedly evolved into; we look at how fresh lava flowing from a volcano is carbon dated to be millions of years old despite its newness; we look at the new theories in science suggesting that the speed of light won't be constant when reacted with several other factors, which would destroy the timelines of the evolutionists. These things raise questions which are often stifled out of the conversation by the thieving men we spoke of earlier, who have hidden agendas for why they will and won't publish certain scientific findings. Recall the treatise "Brave New World" which illustrated how it can be to the benefit of certain political rulers to stifle the progression and awareness of scientific studies in certain fields for political controlling purposes. Recall the instability factor recently determined by scientists.

I refer the reader to read this book which addresses who were the first promoters of evolution and what their hidden agendas were to get that into the public sphere of thought and curricula: "Using the Book of Mormon to combat the Falsehoods in Organic Evolution" by Clark Petersen. Another book, "Darwin's Black Box" which shows many scientific loopholes in the theory of evolution. Universal Model textbooks by Dean Sessions are also a must. Further, a study of the philosophy of religion will point out many more of these scientific loopholes like an age of evolution which was supposed to take a certain long period of time according to the Darwin organic evolutionists, actually jumped and went blazing by much faster in the progression of its stage of life than could have been predicted, this stage being somewhere in the supposed evolution from amoeba to human. Another book about political or other social agendas getting into school curriculum is "None Dare Call it Education" by John Stormer.

Recall that in all of human history, conspiring political rulers have sought control at all costs, and have come up with the most deceptive and sophisticated means of propagating such. They've come up with very clever lies. Recall that it would be ridiculous to think that the nature of men has changed merely because we have more technology now. Deception is worse not better in this age of technology! Corruption in human nature finds its greatest expression with these advanced tools, not to mention these are the last days, when Satan is pulling out all the stops.

Yes let us continue in good science, and let us try to promote our work. There are many examples of good science getting hushed by the power elites, such as engines which run on better (cheaper, cleaner, sustainable) fuel being banned. I've heard several engineers give lectures on this topic, and it's quite evident that we don't have quite the level of freedom of speech (to share our research of good science) as we thought we had.

Look at the repercussions of some of the theories of contemporary mainstream science; the moral Darwinism plague

has caused our women to hate men, and to drive them mad by not dressing modestly. Look at the lawyers who are taught that lying is merely a business technique used to attain the most beneficial results of a trade deal. Look at how these scientific theories justify these workings, and tie in so closely. Much of it is mere theory, aside from the parts which they show in laboratories as being reasonably plausible; but it is true that Satan creates a counterfeit edition of every good thing which God does. He rips off the inventor, and twists things for his purposes.

At any rate, weather the contemporary scientific theories are correct or not, I think we as a society aren't good enough scientists in looking into where these things originated from, and not good enough scientists of holding our media accountable to truth rather than letting them use money to motivate which stories they do and don't publish; we are too far into believing whatever authoritative figures, be they persons or companies such as media companies, are selling us (and yes I do mean selling). Why do so many scientists become atheist? Well, perhaps that's another talking point from the devil, and perhaps many of our best scientists don't, but the fact remains that we are going into a less and less transparent world because of the monopolies on the media market, the monopolies on which schools our children must go to, and other monopolies which we look the other way for whilst they're being rooter further and further into our society. Brainwashing is a larger problem than most will admit.

Let us establish a more free scientific community; I believe that at its current stage, the scientific community and establishment is too infant to be taken too seriously as it's been so suppressed by politics. Give me a Millennium where the earth is governed by God, and liars are burnt to stubble, where ideas are not suppressed, then I'll get more seriously into a study of science when I'm in an environment where my ideas won't be crushed. Until then, yes, that time when souls are

saved, I'll focus on saving souls from hell, and take theories of science with a grain of salt, if the grain is due at all.

That is the clear priority from the prophets. That is the outline of their message constantly. Get the soul safe, and worry about the other stuff only secondarily. Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and the rest will follow. But try it the other way around, and you lose both.

The conversation and research of science is an important part of all this, and to those of you who feel called upon to base your contributions primarily in this field. May God bless you in it and may you not rebel against him who has taught you all things. But I feel the devil is getting too many of us locked into this field which is so unstable, so fragile upon the whims of the rulers as to what we can hear of this. May those who chose to take science as their theme demand of themselves a sharp questioning of the mainstream, and a dedication to publish their findings even if it pushes them to the gutter, out of the schools and leagues, and into the underground black market of unpopular truth.

To those of you inclined toward the study of theology, literature, poetry, humanities and arts, languages, history, culture, tradition, antiquity among cultures, anthropology and the indigenous tribes, and all the powerful evidence they bring toward your conclusions, don't let the mainstream contemporary scientists scare you away from bringing to light a critical portion of the conversation which, if neglected, would hinder the human race from the finding of truth all the more.

While it's true that we don't want to throw out the baby with the bath water when it comes to science, it's also equally and perhaps more so true, or at least more important, that we don't throw the baby out with the bath water when it comes to religion! Go on believing, go on hoping, go on rejoicing, looking forward to the fulfillment of the words of the prophets about the glorious things which are going to come to pass in the near future, for verily prophets have testified with all boldness in the name of Christ of the major events to come in

the near future which will be of such favor, beauty, holiness and glory to the righteous, and such woe to the wicked! Yes, press forward Saints, feasting upon the word of Christ, believing Him over the world!

[Serial Killers Agree: Evolution Dehumanizes – Pending](#)

Skulls & Neanderthals: Con Science

Some say the skulls of various humanoids have been found. These always turn out to be, upon closer research, just various primates, pygmies, and children.

Some suggest that the elongated skulls being found indicate an ancient alien race. These however are likely just warped from human practices, as is seen by Chinese foot binding, African neck stretching, etc.

We have various Neanderthal skulls the artists could make them look anyway they wanted they were instructed to make them look ape-like. The eyebrow ridge never stops growing so if you live to be a long time your skull would look a good deal different. Further if you use the chewing muscles a lot it pulls on the bones and changes the shape of the skull this is seen among some aborigine populations today perhaps these ancients use their mouths as tools or perhaps they ate a lot of vegetables which requires a lot of chewing.

Nebraska Man

Nebraska man was used as evidence for evolution for a long time all they had of him was one tooth. Later they found the tooth was from a pig.



(Nebraska Man. Image: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nebraska_Man)

Hilton Man

Hilton Man the jaw was broken and the teeth filed down to fool people but it was in textbooks for decades until proved a fraud in the 50s.

Lucy (1974, Africa)

The person who discovered the **Lucy** skeleton was 2 weeks away from running out of grant money to discover the missing link of evolution. Lucy was obviously a chimpanzee of some kind. They named it Lucy because they were listening to The Beatles song Lucy in the sky with diamonds which stands for LSD. They found a bone a mile and a half away that they called the knee joint of Lucy. They say that knee joint was the best evidence of Lucy becoming human. Actually the type of femur was just indicative of it being a tree climbing monkey. They say the bones of Lucy are slightly bigger than normal which proves it's becoming human but this is not good logic there are various animals with certain breeds having larger bones than others.

St Louis zoo put human feet bones on The Lucy but not one foot or hand bone was found for Lucy.

The foot of apes has a tow coming off on the side to grip like a thumb. Often they brush this under the table and just to pick these evolution drawings as having human-like feet.



(Lucy pieces. Image:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucy_\(Australopithecus\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucy_(Australopithecus)))



(Lucy reconstruction. Image:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucy_\(Australopithecus\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucy_(Australopithecus)))

But the Lord is clear on this: Moses 3:7: “And I, the Lord God, formed man from the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul, the **first flesh upon the earth**, the first man also; nevertheless, all things were before created; but spiritually were they created and made according to my word.”

Building the Great Cathedrals – Documentary Notes

A Nova Program

Gothic Cathedrals have 100 million pounds of brick, they are as heavy as the empire state building.

-They were built with mere craftsmen and stone.

-the bible says how God made the universe, they followed these patterns; they used sacred numbers from the bible as their blueprint. It's a hidden mathematical code in the gothic cathedrals

-in the 12th century they built these Cathedrals

- rocks are split open by hammering on their natural fault lines.
- these cathedrals look like the temple of Solomon in their core structures.
- mortar was made from burnt limestone and sand; it dries very slow; inside the walls where there is little air, it could take 1000's of years to dry, but it's the weight of the stone keeping it in place.
- the stones must be level, or the walls would collapse.
- the only way to build tall back then was building thick walls, making bulky dark places, the Church of Saint Denis in 1144 AD they built a Cathedral not so thick. Abbot Suger is who came up with this type of architecture. Abbot Suger associates these cathedrals with the temple of Solomon. In making windows, it brought more light into the room, which was like more of God getting in.
- the builders went from thick walls to walls with much glass windows.
- the thick bulky style was the Romanesque style. The Gothic style was taller and thinner walls with big openings to allow in more lights, and the arches are a different shape, pointed. The pointed arch directs the stress line downwards rather than outwards, allowing them to build taller, but this creates stress at the top of the columns.
- the center of many of these are 12 stories high.

- many of the cathedrals are shaped like a cross at an aerial view.
- the ceiling is thousands of tons heavy
- many of these were built to hold 20 thousand people (about the size of the LDS Conference Center today built with modern technology).
- a laser measuring the distance between it and the walls around it can give a virtual 3D image of the structure it is measuring, which helps determine if the columns remain straight etc.
- structural analysts came push virtual buildings to their max limits to see at which point the building would collapse.
- putting another wing on the arch called a flying buttress helps to stabilize the arches. All of these can make a building like a spider web.
- hydraulic hammers were had in ancient Greece, it's something to use to make longer stele. It can be powered by a water cog.
- a ribbed vault (vaulted ceiling) is two intersecting pointed arches, which concentrates the weight to the columns rather than the walls, hence the weight of the building was not on the walls and the walls could be filled with glass windows.
- glass is essentially made from metals and vegetables

- copper gave green and red. cobalt gave blue. Different metals give the glass different colors.
- few people could read or write, so the multimedia for them were the stain glass windows. The colored light was a new experience for them.
- the cathedrals were to be a heaven like experience with its smells and sights and music etc.
- the Paris Notre Dame cathedral is two floors each being 30 royal feet tall. Solomon's temple was 30 cubits to the first level, and 60 cubits to the second level. This probably is builders including sacred numbers into their architecture.
- builders of the cathedrals studied the classical Roman and Greek texts, and found bible numbers considered God's sacred dimensions; they considered the universe to be built with ideal numbers.
- Noah's ark was 50 cubits long, The Amine Cathedral with the center parts 50 feet, trying to follow this pattern in the bible.
- book of Revelation of John measures the city of God, it's 144 cubits; the cathedral, when converted into this medieval numbers, it's 144 of their feet, trying to follow this pattern.
- using these numbers was an attempt to make a heaven on earth.

Resources: Creation Science Books, Videos, Websites, Forums, etc.

- Science and Religion: Reconciling the Conflicts, by David M. Barker. David has also made this available as a free ebook/pdf here: <http://davidmckaybarker.com/science-and-religion-2/>
- <https://www.discovery.org/about/> Discovery Institute, one of the largest Intelligent Design organizations in the world.
- <https://answersingenesis.org>
- Hugh Nibley comments published in the New Era at (<https://www.lds.org/new-era/1973/09/the-genesis-of-the-written-word?lang=eng&query=evolution>)
- UniversalModel.com an LDS scientist with revolutionary theories backed by meticulous academic evidence demonstrating the universal flood, the young earth, and more. Several full length textbooks for sale.
- Book: [Using the Book of Mormon to combat falsehoods in organic evolution 1992 by Clark A Peterson](#)
- DissentFromDarwin.org – Over 1000 PhD Scientists Skeptical of Darwinism: “We are skeptical of claims for the ability of random mutation and natural selection to account for the complexity of life. Careful examination of the evidence for Darwinian theory should be encouraged.”
- icr.org Institution for creation research
- CreationAstronomy.com

-Mormon Prophets on Evolution vs Creation [Extended]

<https://youtu.be/rMkrl19i3WY>

-Kent Hovind – see his videos on YouTube, brilliant materials.
See Dr.Dino.com

-creationism.org has a plethora of free mp3 and video
downloads of Kent Hovind, etc.

-creationism.org

-drdino.com Kent Hovind

-Full Documentary: Our Solar System: What you aren't being told, by
Spike Psarris of creationastronomy.com:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Gr8Az3QQZdI>

-Full Documentary: Stars and Galaxies: What you aren't being told, by
Spike Psarris of creationastronomy.com:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E66409i-yn4>

-Lecture: Universe: What you aren't being told, by Spike Psarris of
creationastronomy.com (this is a lecture, not the full documentary):

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=w6SoTVZrBV8>

-53 Min. Lecture: Evolution: Not a Chance! by Dr. David Menton

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jck4naOUGQo>

-1hr 6 min Lecture: Dr Jason Lisle - Astronomy Reveals 6,000 Year
Old Earth - Institute For Creation Research

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bEejUyEyhhg>

-25 Proofs Earth is Young - Dr Grady McMurtry - 6,000 Year Old
Earth?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XAqzTuye4-g>

-Noah's Flood and Catastrophic Plate Tectonics (from Pangea to
Today)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zd5-dHxOOhg>

-10 Min Presentation: How the Universe is Way Bigger Than You Think

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Iy7NzjCmUf0>

-What You Haven't Been Told About Dinosaurs - Institution of Creation Research - Seminar by Brian Thomas; Apologetics Symposium; Cedar Park Church, Bothell WA

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J7drcTvX0v0>

-81 min video: Hubble - 15 years of discovery

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XeZ3APhUT2Q>

-78 min video: Defeating Atheism with Science by Spike Psarris of creationastronomy.com

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sh1cXwhY1sE>

climatedepot.com

<http://www.mormondialogue.org/topic/67910-elder-holland-declares-earths-existsnce-is-6000-years/>

<https://bycommonconsent.com/2007/05/20/elder-nelson-doesnt-believe-in-evolution/>

<http://www.ldsliving.com/3-Fascinating-Things-Every-Mormon-Should-Know-About-Kolob/s/82249>

<http://www.lds-mormon.com/6000.shtml>

<http://emp.byui.edu/SatterfieldB/Quotes/WorldSixThousandLo rdSeventhThousandYears%20JosephSmith.html>

Scriptures on the Water-Based Creation of Earth

Psalms 124:1-2 earth was founded upon the seas.

Ps 33:7

Ps. 136 he stretched Earth above the waters

Gen. 7

Job38 earth born like a baby

Earth's Core is C H O Nature Journal Study
Says

<https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2022/02/15/superionic-material-found-inside-earths-inner-core/6796897001/>

[Electric Universe Against Big Bang etc.](#)
[Lecture Notes](#)

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kz-Bwi5xTTs>

Many more ideas were covered in this short lecture than my notes here. The full lecture is only about 15minutes.

See David Talbott with the Thunderbolts Project at thunderbolts.info

The universe is not expanding (Did I understand this correctly?)

Can't stretch space

Red shift is local, an object itself, something going on in that object, not space itself. The man who discovered this, like Galileo, was ignored and ridiculed.

Relativity of Einstein is the most read which leaves the people more confused than when they began.

Can't define mass length energy anymore.

Why Cepheid variables can't be used for distance.

Universe is static

If universe just gravity all would collapse. Gravity is a force that can repel as well as attract.

[Highlights from Dragons or Dinosaurs? Creation or Evolution? By Darek Isaacs](#)

produced by Cloud Ten Pictures

Disclaimer: These are my notes and do not represent all

ideas in the presentation, nor do they necessarily represent the full intent of the author.

See

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PHesNW9WnDM>

-Word dinosaur was invented after bible published. They use jackal now instead of dragon because of fear of evolutionists, but the word should be translated as dinosaur based on the descriptions of historians.

-Much lore of dragons across cultures

-One Indian legend said a giant bird would bring thunder when it visited them which lived in the mountaintops. We see for a bird to live in the mountain tops it would need the updraft from a thunder storm to get there, hence the Indians said it was a bird which brought lightning.

-Many things that were around in the supposed age of dinosaurs are still here today like Oak and other trees.

-Water deposited sediment is where we find most fossils. Such is like Noah's flood time, when sudden massive amount of water comes. Most bones are very scattered since when fall to floor of ocean are devoured. Also calcium carbonate is soluble in sea water. Hence, fossils forming is a rare thing to happen. [Note: But the near complete skeletons, and many in an area, indicate rapid burial.]

-Mt. Saint Hellens made many layers of sediment not taking 100's of years to form, but one day. This surprised geologists.

-Measure current lava flow from Hawaii and you won't get 0 years old, but ancient.

-Radioactive decay rates have been at increased rates in certain periods of history one study called RATE shows.

-see vid. about 50 min in data supporting the earth being 6000 years old. [, could be 13k with 7k creation.]

-see about 50 min in for lava flow in a canyon younger than the canyon yet measured as older than the canyon.

-see about 52 min in for C14 in several things.

-about 53 min in for dino found with blood cells in it; fresh marrow with blood vessels and being soft. This could not be if that animal died many years ago.

-Scrope said he is out to free the world of Moses; he is trying to get the world to not believe the creation account. about 56 min in.

-life were it to go from microbes to man, it would take more like googol years than billions of years, evolutionists saying billions of years can be a way of saying an impossible thing can happen.

-they say over billions of years anything is possible, but would you claim a person could win the lottery daily for 100 years daily? This is the type of claim evolutionists make.

-Evolutionists say the simplest life was long ago, like a jellyfish, but they actually have about as much DNA as we do. [Not so simple, are they.]

-If you want to say things are by chance in being formed, what is the difference between billions of years vs. thousands of years?

-There should be millions of species between others in evolution should such be true, but there is not. People had evolution of the horse theory, that turned out to be fake. Darwin's stages of animals etc. are no longer what we use.

-Newton, Boyle, Maxwell, Faraday, Carver, Pasteur, all these were Christian bible believing people. They have helped open us to more science than most.

-Job 40 "I made (this beast of beasts) along with you". Some Hebrew experts say it was the largest land animal God made. It was said to have a tail like a Cedar, the tree. Consider the Cedars of Lebanon, they are huge. Another place says arms like great bars of iron.

-Job 41 Leviathan; it's describes as leaving a trail in the mud that shatters pots etc. Describes that it has layers of shields with no gaps between them. Says they breath fire. There is a beetle that shoots hot liquid at things, the electric eel that electrocutes, the cobra that shoots poison into the eye. There is a hollow part in the dinosaurs that is unknown what is for, it could be for mixing chemicals to make fire.

- Dinosaurs on the ark of Noah? Avg. size of dinosaur is that of a goat. Animals were on the boat so they could reproduce. Science today teaches they could reproduce at age 8 to 10, so young dinosaurs would have been brought. Much of them would have been wiped out by the flood.
- There are legends of hunting dragons; that is one way there are less of them.
- The ice age after the flood of Noah could have killed many dinosaurs also.
- Many think of how we come into existence randomly without a creator so they don't have to be accountable to a creator. When Christ comes evolutionary theory will utterly go away.
- Evolutionary theory is driven by paradigms not by evidence.
- Appellation mountain and Himalayas were made from the flood. There are fossils in it because animals were crushed in that in the flood. [double check this reference] [we know some mountains were made in the initial creation, and flood waters were very high]
- Today one of the biggest reasons they can't believe in Jesus is because of what they teach in science class in school; based on what they teach in school the bible does not make sense.
- Today's science is proving that processes that were thought to take millions of years can be done in very short periods of time.
- Man's views and opinions are always changing; rest your hopes and views on God's wisdom, not man's.
- Forensic scientists were not at the crime scene; they make conclusions and suggestions on what could have happened. The judge and jury will determine the case by what the eye witness of who fired the gun from where. This is what the bible does for us.

Rain Before the Flood

Yes rain before flood scripture says god caused rain to come on earth. Diff atmosphere pre flood so may have rained before it too just no rainbow. Since any mist or water can make rainbow. Or it was just no big ones in sky before that.

Peleg Science Theories

We know people lived much shorter after Peleg.

Some theorize it wasn't the actual continents being divided but rather: Languages divided? Land surveyed divided? I don't think these are right, but I mention them here.

An interesting idea: Water rose from ice melt which divided continents, also cold water absorbs CO2 from air so losing protection from radiation so shorter lives. Less greenhouse effect, so more radiation, and shorter lives.

Plasma Physics

Here are some introductory plasma physics study notes and resources. Though the plasma advocates may overly apply plasma to explain everything, they do have a lot of correct ideas and exciting science.

See ThunderboltsProject YouTube channel.

Electric universe cosmology:

Notes from these 2 lectures

https://youtu.be/J4NffTr_GMk

<https://youtu.be/Dk2-IH9ewuA>

Electrodynamic force is the most prevalent, gravity is minor.

Book there was no big bang by glan L? 1991 about plasma electric physics

Plasmoid toris (torus?) teroidal (donut shaped) in center of galaxies, not black holes

Cosmic strings, a line, not a center of gravity mass

Space and time are both concepts not real things

Mass and energy cannot be defined, so neither can emc^2

Wal Thornhill of holosciene.com

Space pics of supposed black hole are CG. Algorithms fill the gaps.

Cosmic magnetism is key.

No magnetic fields without electric currents

Organized magnetic fields everywhere

Electric filament going from arms of galaxy to core

You can't have mass or energy at a point. Not even a single particle can fit in a point.

Infinity is a concept not a reality. Too many theoretical mathematicians in physics.

Electrical engineers are who should be doing astrophysics.

There are cosmic scale magnetic fields.

Magnetic fields are involved in star formation.

.....
Some from here <https://youtu.be/5AUA7XS0TvA>

Einstein completely ignored electricity

Einstein himself said he wasn't satisfied with his theory. That it couldn't be demonstrated.

The sun isn't self consuming, not a nuclear furnace. It isn't dying or evolving. It's a channel wherein energy from the galaxy is channeled. (The center of the galaxy is the source of energy, the throne of God.)

Electricity is invisible unless highly concentrated.

No evidence for ort cloud.

Most of visible universe is plasma (this is well known).

Plasma currents flow in strings.


Venus was the original comet

Comet vs asteroid the difference is orbit

Charged plasma explains the cosmos, not gasses in a vacuum.

Assorted Study Notes on Creation Science (much hovind now elsewhere, remove)

These got mixed up and I'll be sorting them into categories by topic. Lots of it are notes from lectures by Kent Hovind (whom I mention when something of his in particular is mentioned.)



“Evolution is a carefully protected state religion.” -
Dr. Kent Hovind

Human population wouldn't have stayed at a few thousand for so many years, that's not what happens in human population growth naturally.

Double atmospheric pressure canopy overhead. Venus etc have cloud canopy. Lots of water.

The Gap theory was invented by a Scottish preacher named Chalmers in 1814 who said that between the verses of creation millions of years passed

He has founded it upon the seas this is a verse along with others that indicate water was the basis of the creation of the earth not hot rock

Psalms says that after the flood mountains arose therefore the flood would not have needed to cover Mount Everest etc

It rained for 40 days but it says the water kept coming up for 150 days so most of the water was from underneath not from above

Some plants such as Norwegian maples do really good at absorbing the pollution so we don't need so many pollution controls we need to plant trees along the highway that absorb pollution some places do this

There may have been a canopy of several inches of ice around the Earth and the atmosphere somewhere at some point in the earth's past some scripture verses seem to indicate this this could have acted as a greenhouse etc and could have come down during the flood it would not to have to have been very thick

Depending on its location this can if you could have compressed the air pressure which would have eliminated the possibility for weather storms which could have resulted in good weather year-round especially if the Earth wasn't tilted at that point in

time.

This also is similar to the idea of why there were no rainbows before the flood it could have been that there were no rain and storms and that the ground the water came up from the ground instead as a sort of a mist

Two reasons dinosaurs couldn't live in our current environment is their nostrils are too small and their lungs are too small back when they lived it must have been a different atmosphere

Insect fossils are the same now they're the same as what we have now and so they scratch their heads and say isn't it amazing that insects haven't evolved in so many million years but obviously the million years is the wrong idea

If there was an oxygen-rich environment you would be able to run great distances without becoming tired because the reason we get tired is depletion of oxygen in the cells

MS arthritis leprosy strokes lots of things can be treated by hyperbaric chambers which imitate this increasingly high oxygen of the past

It also dramatically decreases infection rate

People who know that they have inborn natural rights from God that cannot be taken do not make very good slaves so the people who want to enslave humanity try to teach evolution which has the effect of deleting God and thereby deleting your rights

The reason we have so much coal is because most of the Earth was not underwater and was there by producing plants which turned into all that coal it's true that in today's current conditions all the plants couldn't make that much coal but back then there was much more plants because the Earth wasn't covered in water.

Then all those plants turned into coal in the flood. We used to find human artifacts in coal deposits when coal was mined by hand now not so much because we mine coal by large machines and we don't really see what comes out due to the large scale mining and the dark environment.

A canopy of water over earth is one theory of how people lived longer before the flood as it would stop harmful rays from the sun

2 basic theories on the ice age is that one it caused the flood or two it flood caused it

Admiral Byrd said he saw Palm leaves at the South Pole

Drillers at the North Pole going down a thousand feet said they drove through a 300 ft tree right down through it the tallest tree in the world is 320 ft and there are probably taller ones buried up there

December 25 used to be the shortest day of the year it was a pagan holiday to celebrate the return of the Sun God as from here on out days would get longer well back then you also have Stonehenge etc that would have been calculated to have light shining through at certain places on this certain day and we can measure from back then to see how much the Earth is wobbled to make it so that now it's December 21 that's our shortest day and it can be concluded from all this that around the time of the flood something struck the Earth in other words something striking the Earth could have triggered the flood
I think the name of the fellow who did this chart and made this assessment was Godwell

The first time the Bible mentions cold weather is after the flood
This could indicate that the flood and the striking object are what caused the Earth to tilt as we would have no seasons without the tilt

Scientists admit that large canyons on Mars could be formed in a matter of very short time such as a few weeks so why can't they allow that same process for the Grand canyon on earth?

Thousands of mammoths have been found in the far north many of them dying standing up of suffocation with still having food in their mouths and undigested food in their stomachs.

Something such as severe weather could have made the suffocation take place.

Woolly mammoth lived in warm places their long hair does not insist on their place of living being cold these animals ate plants and they would have had to do a lot of digging if they lived in deep snow to find their food and their tusks do not show signs of wear of doing that digging unlike the deer also consider the deer does not have long fur. Many cold weather animals do not have long fur.

Peleg in Hebrew means divide his brother Joktham means shorten. Peleg was born a few hundred years after the flood.

Psalm 24:1-2 the Earth was founded on the seas
This could refer to subterranean aquifers

If an ice meteor came to Earth and was destroyed by the Earth's atmosphere remnants of it might land on the North and South Pole due to the magnetic force around the earth distributing it to the north and south

There are about as many university professors who believe in and teach creation as there were professors in the Soviet Union teaching capitalism. Dissenters are removed.

The 7 animals on Noah's arc could be what's typical in nature: 1 male has a group of females. This contributes to genetic variation.

You can squeeze and heat garbage into oil in just a few minutes. Fossil fuel oils are likely from the animals people and plants buried in the flood.

Rings in ice reflect warm cold not annual, this is demonstrated in many observed phenomenon.

If the earth was really old the whole gulf of Mexico would be full of mud from a delta from the Mississippi river.

They always claim ice age after ice age reset things rather than considering an alternate age of the earth.

One tree farmer raised trees and always cut them at 7 years, he said on average they had 11 rings. They aren't annual rings.

One way that tree rings can vary by year is if one tree dies next to the other then the other tree will get more sunlight than the other and grown a different rate

The oldest tree in existence is 4300 years old which makes sense as the flood was 4400 years old and things wouldn't have well survived that

They claim Niagara falls is around 9,000 years old but that's making the assumption that the erosion rate has remained constant the whole time. Evolutionists say that the reason the gorge under Niagara falls isn't longer than it is is because of an ice age they often throw in an ice age when something doesn't

fit their theory.

Some ask how freshwater fish would have survived during the flood but in that question they assume that the flood was salt water yes the oceans are salty today but they didn't always have to be that way.

Furthermore animals can adapt to saltwater freshwater over relatively short periods of time.

Cave flowstone and other formations can form quickly in one case there was a bat covered in flowstone before it could rot and it does not take long for a bat to rot

Perhaps a month cave temperatures are around 55°, not freezing.

From 1922 to the 1960s under the Lincoln memorial they're formed 50 inch long straw shape flowstones, this is more than an inch a year.

In 55 years and abandoned lead mine in Australia developed massive flow stones

If Earth is as old as they say it is the continents should have eroded many times by now. Additionally any fossils would have been washed out if the fossils were that old.

Evolutionists cannot explain how language would have evolved from the grunts of animals, the gap is gigantic

All languages have the same thinking process of nouns for things and adjectives to describe those.

The Chinese calendar says it's around year 4700. if the Earth is so old why isn't there a culture that has a much older calendar. The Egyptian calendar is off because the Pharaohs would

exaggerate how long they were in charge. It's very hard to get accurate secular histories before 1500 BC.

600 BC Nebuchadnezzar King of Babylon is the first empire if the Earth is so old there would have been an empire before that

Slaves of Egypt paid 1/5 or 20% in taxes now we pay \$40 or 50%, so we are worse off than the slaves of Egypt people who know that they have unalienable rights (meaning rights that you can't put a lien against these) people are hard to control and evolution is a way of teaching people that they don't have those rights and that they need the government's permission for everything. They think there is no creator and that the government is in charge of everything.

A building permit to do something on your own property of birth certificate a marriage license none of these are legal. Property ownership is part of a god given right. They want to get rid of that. We don't have any money we have Federal reserve notes.

75% of kids who go to public schools reject their Christian faith the first year they go to college

Nearly 100% of kids go to school and not very many go to church and they're in church for a few hours a week and they're in school for a lot of hours a week

If the moon is as old as they say it is there would have been very thick dust on the surface making it impossible to land there

The flood would have pressed and released multiple times pressure on the particles at the bottom of the waters similar to what happens on a beach you put your head under the water and you'll see there's sand being picked up and dropped all the time this automatically stratifies or sorts the layers if you put in a reptile a bird a fish couple dead of those and you do this

process of sifting from the pressure of the water receding and going forward then automatically will stratify into layers of reptiles birds etc

This can have an effect up to 50 ft deep at least and we know it's the upper areas that usually have all the fossils.

The 1st day origin of species by Darwin came out all Copies were sold but a couple of rich people arranged to buy them all up to make it look like a runaway best seller

Change is limited to whatever is already in the gene pool a cockroach may develop resistance to certain pesticides but there are limitations to the adaptations for example it will likely never develop an adaptation to a sledge hammer Change is limited to whatever is already in the gene pool a cockroach may develop resistance to certain pesticides but there are limitations to the adaptations for example it will likely never develop an adaptation to Resist a sledgehammer

It's a bait and switch cheap shot that they use the term micro evolution which is something that does happen change in species to adapt but then they turn around and say the word evolution and suggests it means macro evolution cosmic evolution evolution of life et cetera

If we say That someone did something ridiculous we would quickly believe it's false's quickly believed it's false but then evolution tries to hide between deep time and say that just because it took a long time to happen that it's not ridiculous yet it is

Evolution can't be proven, so its a religion. Why is it tax supported taught at schools? At least we admit put faith is religion.

Maybe we can't explain all of where God comes from, but

neither can they explain where the gravity pressure matter heat came from to make the big bang, or the "first" big bang.

One school shooter was found dead wearing a tee shirt that said "natural selection". Many shooters say they were inspired by Darwin.

Evolution theory has no good fruits. No inventions etc are inspired from the theory.

Evolutionists try to define a scientist as someone who believes in evolution, and they shut down those who don't from teaching and from publishing in academic journals.

Law of angular conservation says something spinning and explodes the outer particles spin faster so all go spinning in same direction. Yet many moons and several planets spin backwards. Yet they have no sight of being smashed to reverse them.

Big bang says everything should be evenly distributed, but it isn't.

1925 Scopes trial, staged by ACLU, pushed a sub teacher to claim he taught evolution in public school, was to try and get rid of no teaching evolution laws. They lost and it remained illegal to teach evolution. In 1981 Arkansas passed a balanced treatment law saying if you teach evolution you must also teach evolution. Later a court said you can't DEMAND someone teach creation (it didn't say you can't teach creation). There's never been a law against teaching creation or the Bible in public schools.

1963 they removed MANDATORY prayer for the classroom. That didn't make it illegal to pray in class.

See magazine "impact" on creation science.

Stone v Gram 1980 says you can teach Bible when teaching history, ethics, comparative religion, etc.

ACLU and others threaten to sue schools for teaching creation, they won't win, but the threat makes principals say don't do it.

1st ammendment freedom of speech means you can teach creation in public schools.

There can be a Bible class as an elective in public schools with a preacher teaching it.

ACLU is a communist organization.

Evolution is always taught before communism in public schools.

Students have even more rights than teachers than public classrooms they can write essays on The Bible that can give sermons in Speech class. If the teacher tries to stop them the student can ask are you discriminating against my beliefs?

Students have the right to be exempt from any class at public school which is teaching a subject against their religion Students have the right to be exempt from any class at public school which is teaching a subject against their religion

Send a certified letter in the mail to the school saying I don't know what my children taught evolution it is against my religion and I do not want my child taught sex education it is against my religion and the school is required by law to give alternative material to that student

Movie and book against the wind is about a court trial of creation versus evolution and the whole point of it is to twist what really happened in the court trial and make the christians look stupid

In 1957 Sputnik was in space before the United States could get anything to orbit and they used this as an excuse to say that the United States science was inferior because they weren't teaching as much evolution 2 years later 1959 was the 100 year anniversary of Darwin's book Where they did a major push for evolution to be more laced throughout the texts

Is my life without God By Henry book about prayer being removed from schools

After prayer was removed from schools the sixties showed way more crime laymore divorce etc

Most people do not believe that the universe created itself and therefore the schools are not correctly representing the views of the people

Evolutionists can't explain how life went from a asexual to 2 sexes with serial reproduction. All they say is "they emerged". No one has any idea how sells manage to unwind dna strands and match them with the other pair and then wind backup.

With Adam and Eve the race was pure there were no defected genes so Adam-and-Eve's children marrying each other wouldn't have resulted in mutations.

You should complain to your county about evolution being in the textbooks

It was the religious scientists who stood up against Darwin the pastors just went right along with it

People know that if they can discredit the book of Genesis the rest of the book gets thrown away

Once you can demonstrate to someone that the Earth is not very old it becomes obvious that someone created it

The current world is only about 3% habitable in prefluid conditions and conditions during the millennium much more of it will be habitable this is how all those aborted children et cetera will get to grow up here . Also before the flood there could have been much better atmospheric conditions which would allow for easy growth of food the population could have been extremely large before the flood of Noah . The scripture says that God-made the Earth to be inhabited.

A famous book said that we're getting lots more people here and we're not gonna have enough food but obviously if there's more people there's gonna be more food planted by those people.

See book Henry Morris the long war against God

The world population would be much larger if the Earth was in fact as old as they say it is

And the Georgia guidestones and talks about maintaining the population At under half a billion. These guidestones have the 10 commandments of the new age

We have spiral galaxies because those im the middle are spinning faster, its winding up

Book Scientific creationism Henry Morris

Book Walt brown

Book Its a young world after all by Paul Ackerman

Galaxy clusters are expanding it proves a young universe

Dinosaurs stomachs have giant insects which indicates different atmospheric conditions is air conditions before the flood

Ganymead still has a magnetic field if it were as old as they say it is this would not be so

Evolutionists go through all kinds of wild mental theorizing to try and you've pulled gymnastics to try and hold up their theory in all kinds of scenarios where it just doesn't work

Saturn's rings are unstable and are expanding Saturn's rings are unstable and are expanding They can't be very old

Planets and moons are almost perfectly round this wouldn't have happened according to the creation theory which is the leading theory these days that clumps just came together from gravity of a big Bang

No one has ever seen the oort cloud It's a way of trying to explain away why we still have comets when they should only last several when they should only last Is several 1000 years. The theoretical cloud is supposedly 50000 a use away send Au's away and what's device hes eyes based on a series of postulations and mathematical errors.

The Earth's magnetic field is declining at such a rate that it cannot be more than 25000 years old it's not be more than 25000 years old and it might be and it might be a logrhythmic function rather than a linear function with it other than a linear function which would mean much less old
. Is the theory of magnetic reversals on the ocean floor that the Earth changes its magnetic Force every so often has never been

proven and is just a way of treating and it's just a way of trying to explain away the fact that the Earth is losing its magnetism. Is with a less powerful magnetic field we are exposed to less radiation has exposed to less radiation and radiation is what makes carbon 14 so there would have been less of it in the 14 so there would have been less of it in the past which is another reason we can't trust the carbon 14 and trust the carbon 14 radiometric dating. When they measured the magnetic strength of rocks in the ocean has ox in the ocean they found that somewhere stronger somewhere weaker but that doesn't prove a rule has thicker but that doesn't prove a reversal it just shows that some were stronger and some were weaker.

The Earth is measurably slowing down that's why we've had to add leap seconds here and there throughout history you can't hear and there throughout history this proves that it used to be going faster it's that used to be going faster and if the Earth is as old as the ever if the Earth is as old as the evolutionists say it is then the Earth would have been spinning way too fast then the Earth would have been spinning way too fast to sustain any sort of life at all

Deserts are automatically created by winds with is winds which deplete the soil minerals is minerals so nothing can grow in them these winds are from the coriola's effect from the coriola's effect of the Earth's spinning. The Sahara Desert Which is the largest desert in the world is about 4000 years old used to be a lush tropical forest youth lush tropical forest if the Earth is extremely old why don't have bigger deserts old why don't we have bigger deserts than we do. The flood was 4400 years ago which is why we don't have which is why we don't have deserts older than that because you can't really have a desert you can't really have a desert under a flood the flood redeems the land.

There was no rain before the flood Noah's message that it was going to rain was something that had never happened before

It is true that if it rained enough to cover mount Everest it would cook the world but no one's saying that mount Everest was had to be covered in rains for one the mountain's provident form until later for 2 the most of the water didn't come from rain

The Lord founded the Earth upon the seas says the book of psalms this means the continents are placed on top of the oceans

magnetic reversals On the seafloor are just rocks tipped over likely from the flood

. See Walt Brown book "in the beginning" . debunks magnetic reversals et cetera physicist

It's actually pretty jumbled up down there it's not so consistent as the textbooks say

The magnetic field of the Earth is declining which indicates that life could not have existed on Earth a long time ago this is a problem for evolutionists so they came up with the theory of magnetic reversal. It has never been observed to reverse only to decline .

See the hydroplate theory of Walt Brown about Floodwater is breaking forth in one day with supersonic pressure shooting the water 20 miles into the air causing things to freeze et cetera torrential Rains

There are some problems with the pangea theory the fossils shown to be in correlating places are likely in a lot more places than that and just because continents seem to fit together doesn't mean they used to be together just like how 2 houses that appear they could fit together don't necessarily have a past

of fitting together it could be the time of the continent being together was just before the time of the flood because you remove the water and put the water under the Earth or above the Earth And you get the continents connected

There are only a few 1000 years worth of mud from erosion in the oceans if the Earth were billions of years old there would be much more also also The mountains what have you wrote it by now if the Earth is as old as they say it is. They use pangea theory to try and get around thisThey use pangea theory to try and get around this.

Is a driller found a 300' tall tree under a 1000' of frozen ground in Alaska

See Henry Morris

Some of the ancient temples Stonehenge et cetera don't quite align anymore there is evidence that around the time of the flood something large struck the Earth knocking it to its 23.5° tilt this could have triggered flood events you're the flood events

Melting water in one of the craters that overflowed and washed out the rim are the explanation that is given by scientists for a major canyon greater than the size of the Grand Canyon to fork on Mars they say this canyon formed in a matter of weeks

The misner effect you put 2 magnets on top of each other and one floats The misner effect you put 2 magnets on top of each other and one floats There's even a train in Japan that works like this laboratory.

Paylag in Genesis chapter 10 verse 25 is mentioned that the Earth was divided in his day and his brother's name is

mentioned as well while the name's paylag and the name of his brother they mean shortened and divided Paylag in Genesis chapter 10 verse 25 is mentioned that the Earth was divided in his day and his brother's name is mentioned as well while the name's paylag and the name of his brother they mean shortened and divided

When you shoot a snowball out of a cannon the forces too great it will dissipate similarly a comet coming into our atmosphere especially with the inverse square law it would get increasingly faster as a. closer that would dissipate as well making super cold snow this perhaps covered the Poles

More radiation and the days of payleg could be shortened the lives of the people payla and his brother had names which meant divided and shortened it could be something to do with the dividing of the Earth from the flood starting or the canopy above potentially

Gravity isn't strong enough to keep things in orbit, something else keeps everything together.

Some say that craters on the moon are no longer observed because they were once from the result of the flood sending a bunch of Earth craters into space which hit the moon potentially revolving around them for a while 1st another theory is that the craters on the moon are in fact not from impact but are hydrocraters

Many things indicate that the mammoth is not designed for cold weather the long hair is also had by many tropical animals there are many indicators including preserved leaves and other things which indicate that the Poles were tropical Many things indicate that the mammoth is not designed for cold weather the long hair is also had by many tropical animals there are many

indicators including preserved leaves and other things which indicate that the plants were tropical

Some say the flood caused the ice age others say the ice age caused the flood

Is cold air mixing with hot air causes it to rain

The book of Joshua speaks about the Lord shaking the Earth causing the flood

One theory is that ice being dumped on the Earth could cause this cracking and shaking

If you flatten things out there's enough water to cover all the Earth 8000' deep

They have found 11' across oysters 2000' above sea level

Diatomaceous Earth is often found along fault lines major deposits of them this could indicate that super hot water came out from the Earth and killed all the were in a certain radius of it when the flood happened and the waters of the deep broke The Bible says the fountains broke all in one day

Fewer humans than animals fossilized as they found ways to avoid drowning till last minute, being more intelligent than the animals.

Underwater landslides (turbidity currents) mixed around skeletons etc and buried them, fossilized them.

Insects breathed through their skin they were not required to be brought on the arc only animals land animals who breathe through the nostril were regarded we brought insects can survive just fine look at a flood when the water recedes in the middle you've got plenty of insects

They say there was no oxygen in the ancient environment but yet the rocks absorbed the oxygen
Well what oxygen did they absorb

Some say that it's hard to believe that all of the dogs of today came from 2 architect dogs on Noah's Ark. But what's even harder to believe is the teaching of evolution which says that all dogs came from a rock
Some say that it's hard to believe that all of the dogs of today came from 2 architect dogs on Noah's Ark. But what's even harder to believe is the teaching of evolution which says that all dogs came from a Rock
In evolution the theory is that it rained on rocks which then created a soup which then created life which then created all animals

Jeremiah 227 potentially speaks of people who think they came from Iraq

270 flood legends have a band identified around the world

There are several theories on the location of Noah's Ark. One is a teardrop shaped object some sites of mud flow but it's pointing the wrong direction also the wood on 1 of these doesn't have any grains in it indicating there were no growing seasons before the flood was good whether you're round further there's a city in Turkey called in English the village of 8 there are 8 people on the Ark. There are several theories on the location of Noah's Ark. One is a teardrop shaped object some sites of mud flow but it's pointing the wrong direction also the wood on 1 of these doesn't have any grains in it indicating there were no growing seasons before the flood was good whether you're round further there's a city in Turkey called in English the village of 8 there are 8 people on the arc

There are evidences of Noah using lots of stones to throw

overside the boat these would act as an anchor and keep you perpendicular to the waves so you wouldn't capsize it wouldn't matter that it slowed them down because they weren't going anywhere there was just trying to float

One of these arc findings is about 3:00 Egyptian cubits which is about the right size it's about 2 football fields long

We often debate whether evolution should be taught at public schools but the better question is should with there be public schools at all

Samuel bloomingfield lecture on why the schools went public as part of the plan for the new world order

After the flood the ages of people increasingly decreased The soil wasn't as abundant in minerals and the canopy of water was gone

Not until 1977 did scientists know there were springs of the sea, yet the book of job speaks of them

There is no delta from where the supposed Colorado River made the Grand Canyon so we know it was not the river that slowly formed the canyon

There is no geologic column if there was it would be a hundred miles thick

There are erosion layers there are no soil layers between the rocks these prove the layers of sediment were not laid down millions of years apart

You can easily demonstrate shaking up a container full of

different particles and within seconds they'll separate into distinct players

The trilobite is supposed to be one of the earliest fossils one of the earliest animals to evolve yet it has one of the most complex eyes

Dead trees only remain standing for five to 10 years yet we have tons of dead trees petrified trees and the standing position

Just because something has sharp teeth does not mean it was a carnivore we have fruit bats various monkeys various deer etc that have sharp teeth but which are completely vegetarian

The Bible says the food for all the animals was plants now when did they stop eating plants and become carnivores either after Eden or after the flood

When people complain about suffering in this world and death they blame God and hate God but God designed a perfect world with no death and it was man who brought the fall and it is man who continue to push conditions which are falling it's like crashing a car and blaming the manufacturer

There is a human shoe print that stepped on a trilobite so these aren't as old as everyone thinks they're the prototypical index fossil for millions of years ago but they existed not long ago with humans

Mutations are abundant but they only scramble what already exists they don't add anything new. For example if an animal has an extra leg they already had that Gene coding to make a leg it just made one in the wrong place.

No one can show an example of a good mutation yet they claim good mutations are how natural selection evolution takes place Sickle cell anemia to avoid malaria in Africa is not a beneficial mutation because the sickle cell disease is very harmful overall Natural selection keeps a species strong it doesn't evolve the species. Nature can only select what there is available to select from. Natural selection is a conservative process.

Natural selection is a quality control that ensures the bad ones don't survive but it doesn't change it to something else. Survival of the fittest does not explain arrival of the fittest.

It's a totality nonsensical train of thought to say the fittest are those who survive and those who survive are the fittest.

It's more up to luck who survives.

Some schools give a question of do you think humans are still evolving this is a loaded question Soviet style brainwashing

Josephus wrote that the Jews believed that on the second day of creation God placed a crystalline firm into around the Earth. Perhaps this was the water above the firmament which the scripture speaks of perhaps it was ice in form perhaps some magnetic forces held at suspended similar to phenomena we observe today

In an oxygen-rich environment your muscles would not be depleted of oxygen so you can run for great amounts of time without becoming tired this gives us a glimpse of the happiness of millennial life and of the reality of life in Eden or perhaps before the flood

Also we know that hyperbaric chambers where you get extra oxygen lead to extra fast healing.

There may have also been an increased air pressure in these Early times perhaps due to this overhead canopy and we know

that these hyperbaric chambers with increased oxygen and increased pressure lead to great health

The ocean may not have been there before the flood due to The fountains of the deep and the water canopy overhead

Water and concrete are two things that block x-ray if there was a thick canopy of water over the Earth back in the day we would have been protected from the being x-rayed by the sun on a daily basis

There's only one bone in the human body that will grow back if you remove it that's the lower rib so Adam did have one less rib but it grew back

There are many skeletons of 9 10 and 11 and 12 ft tall men. Museums aren't interested in these skeletons because it contradicts the theory of evolution which says we were small and we're getting bigger. The Smithsonian has hidden many of these skeletons and artifacts of giant tools they used it's also explains how some giant structures were created. The cubit of Noah was probably bigger than ours.

Some of these giant skeletons were found in America

There are many things in science textbooks which have been proven wrong yet stay in textbooks for decades after the fact of their being disproven

The Stone age could have been after the flood people scrambling to get tools and so forth without taking the time to smelt the ores for metal tools. They could make these tools on

the spot after following a herd or tracking herd and then ditch the tools rather than carrying them around and just make new ones out of the stones and the next place they go.

They look at more exactly precise created arrowheads as more civilized people and more rugged rough arrowheads as less civilized but it might just be that the one guy had more time to make his than the other due to an urgent hunting situation or something.

Their fossilized closed clam shells on Mount Everest clams open when they die which means this must have been a rapid burial and death indicative of flood conditions

The mountains were perhaps formed at the end of the flood due to all the plate changing from The fountains of the deep opening

The flood was 40 days but the Bible says the water kept coming up for 150 days it kept coming up from the fountains

Tubalcaine was an artificer of brass and iron so we know there was iron being used before the flood

Call formed at the flood due to everything being buried

Egyptians knew how to electroplate things I know about electricity we found several ancient artifacts indicating this

They found human tools buried in digs that were supposed to be areas over 50 million years old

Prehistoric is a new word the 1892 dictionary doesn't have it nor dictionaries before that

Brachiosaurus, an 80 foot dino, had same size nostrils as a horse sucking all the air it would have needed it would have basically started a fire so we know the atmosphere must have been different oxygen content levels higher back then before the flood

Some suggest that the creation was six days instead of 6,000 because the verses which say a thousand years to man is a day to God are not referring to the creation

The Hebrew word for Day always refers to one day the word is Yom

One indicator that the days were six days not 6,000 years is that the plants would have been around for a long time without animals calling it them or sunlight

Kent Hovind arranged this analysis of evolution vs bible, how evolution got lots of things backward. I see it is the Devil laughing at us in getting us to believe the direct opposite of the truth!

Earth before sun VS sun before earth

Oceans before land VS land before oceans

Light before sun VS sun before light

Land plants first VS marine life first

Fruit trees before fish VS fish before fruit trees

Fish before insects VS insects before fish

Plants before sun VS sun before plants

Marine mammals before land mammals VS land mammals before marine mammals

Birds before reptiles VS reptiles before birds

Atmosphere between two layers of water VS atmosphere above water

God made man VS man made God

Man brought death VS death brought man

The god of evolution is cruel wasteful and retarded

Sahara desert 4k yrs old, no desert over 4.4k yrs since that's when flood was.

Earth is getting slower spin, so it was faster, can't have ancient dinos, would have flown off

We have never seen a star form. When we see a bright spot appear in a nebula it doesn't mean a star is being born it could mean the gas moved to where a star behind it is now visible or it could mean a nova is taking place at which time they do get more bright.

If the universe is as old as they claim why don't we see more novas

We cannot measure the distance to Stars to say that we know how many thousands and millions and billions of light years away a certain star is cannot be accurate. The parallax trigonometry is not that precise.

Experiments have been done where the speed of light has been brought to zero and brought to several hundred times the original speed so we can't make the argument of star distances and age of earth based on the speed of light

The atomic clock is based on the cesium atom which means it's based on the light constant so we're never going to figure out if the speed of light changes based on that

God can expedite natural processes and manipulate things for example Jesus turned water into wine so we should not think that the observed laws of physics etc are the all of the limitations put on God he knows higher laws

The Bible says God stretched out the heavens this could explain red shift.

book the evolution cruncher 900 pages

The red shift is basically the only evidence they use to say the Big bang happened because it's this idea that things are moving further apart from each other which they used to say they used to be all together but the red shift could be various things not necessarily Stars moving further away. Redshift could be something that happens as light travels through space as we cannot say with a certainty that there is nothing in space. Whatever is in space could be acting as a prism which bends the light.

Radioisotopes and the age of the Earth a book on carbon dating

Book thousands not billions or title is thousands not millions

There are things like diamonds and deep things in the earth which according to the layer they're in they're not supposed to have any carbon-14 but they have tons of carbon-14 which if it's as old as they say should have all been away by now

Book The mythology of modern dating methods

If you light a candle and you want to know how long it's been burning you have to know how tall it was at the beginning versus how tall it is now and the rate at which it burns but when we're trying to date the age of the Earth we don't know that the rates have always been constant and we don't know the initial size so to speak

Bones of contention by Marvin
Book

We seem to find not as many mammals and human fossils one could question why this is the case when the universal flood covered everything it could be in part due to humans running to higher ground indeed we often see footprints all going in the same direction meaning they're trying to get away from something. You're only fossilized if you're buried under layers and running to the top and then dying by the waters wouldn't create a situation of fossilization. The Bible says humans were bigger before the flood speaks of giants in the land this could also indicate massive bones which if we found it today we wouldn't call them human bones we would likely obscribe them to some animal.

Garden of Eden conditions were such that they didn't need cities, thats why we can't find them. No need to shelter from bad weather or from vicious beasts. No need for highways as all you need is growing right there, and you can run fast.

Civilizations don't evolve, they just appear. No evidence of people grunting roaming.

Pre-flood earth may have had a much stronger magnetic field. This could explain how we find ancient tools of certain metals which would only combine in such conditions.

Ancient people were smart. Evidence that they did brain surgery, knew of airplanes, steam engines, wheels, simple computers, etc.

An old map shows antarctica

Book Hidden History of the Human Race by Thompson and Cremo

When they find things, sophisticated things, which are very old, they say "aliens came and left it" rather than acknowledging their dates are off.

book Creation's Tiny Mystery by Robert Gentry - about polonium halos which shouldn't exist if earth was hot in the beginning

How does the dust collapse to make stars? It doesn't. They say if 20 stars nearby explode it could create enough pressure for a star to form. But losing 20 to get 1 doesn't seem logical.

According to Big Bang theory matter should be evenly distributed but it is not

Evolutionists can't tell you where laws of physics came from, where energy comes from, or where the matter came from, as they say the bang came from nothing.

Book Icons of Evolution by Jonathan Wells

One single cell is more complex than a space shuttle. There's no such thing as a simple single cell.

Its not a link in the evolutionary chain that's missing, it's the whole chain.

Whales have a "radius and ulna" just like humans have radius and ulna bones. But those are just arbitrary names given to the whale bones.

Evolutionists say the Grand canyon was formed by a little bit of water over a long period of time but the truth is that it formed with a lot of water over a little bit of time it is of interest that Satan often teaches direct opposites of what are true. Of course massive earthquake could also be a cause of the Grand canyon creation.

There is no washout Delta at the Grand canyon therefore it was not formed by a river slowly eating away at it

There's no evidence of erosion between Grand canyon layers therefore the layers were laid down quickly one after another

The only place the geologic column exists is in textbooks. They date the fossils by what layer of Earth they are found in and they date the Earth by what sort of fossils are found in that layer this is circular reasoning.

Once water seeped into a coffin the body became petrified shortly thereafter. There are many examples of petrification occurring rapidly.

There's more carbon-14 in Earth's atmosphere now than there was 10 years ago this proves that Earth cannot be older than 30,000 years as such as the time it would take to get rid of that

We don't know when the animal died and we don't know the amount of gases in the atmosphere at the time of their death therefore we cannot determine their age based on current gas levels in their fossils this is like the analogy of the burning candle if you walk into a room in a candle is burning you can't tell me when that candle started burning because you don't know how tall the candle was to start with and you don't know if the burning rate has been consistent the entire time as the rate can change based on various atmospheric differences such as increased or decreased oxygen.

Often organisms still alive carbon date as being thousands of years old. One of the issues is you don't know how much radioactive carbon was in the plants that these animals consumed and the variety of plants they consume and so forth.

Active lava flows often date as millions of year old

Sampling items by radioactivity which have a known age it doesn't work but then we go and assume that sampling items of an unknown age will work

Some items have an official date of 300,000 years old plus or minus 300,000 years

The age of Earth keeps getting longer the more these scientists have to say . Whenever someone proves that something couldn't have happened a certain amount of time they just add more time.

Some textbooks said baby humans have gills in an attempt to make humans and fish similar to support the theory of evolution. of course this is false. The little folds seen near the chin develop into bones etc they never have anything to do with breathing.

Many scientists openly admit that we do not have the evidence of one species becoming another

Hegel made fake drawings to try and make animal embryos and human embryos look more like. Some of his drawings are still used in textbooks today despite being proved false and hegel's own University punishing him for this.

Evolution is what fueled the mass killings of Hitler the idea that one race is more evolved than another and has more right to live

Evolutionists say that babies in the womb are not human but in one case a 5-month-old baby undergoing surgery in the womb reached out and grabbed the doctor's finger

Dinosaurs did not turn into birds they would have had to go through a mid phase where they could neither fly nor run and therefore they would have died extinction before evolving into birds

Just because some birds have claws on their wings or teeth in their mouth does not prove they came from dinosaurs there are all kinds of mammals reptiles and even fish that have teeth or no teeth claws or no claws etc . This is called archeopteryx and it is false.

They say bird feathers evolved from fish scales but they're not even on the same chromosomes. They're made of the same protein carotin but that's all the similarities they have. Hair nails feathers scales it doesn't matter that they're all using the same material it is the same designer after all.

Evolutionists use vestigial organs structures to say that we are evolving and have things we don't even use this is false the appendix etc we do use them for immunity and so forth. You can live without a leg as well but it doesn't prove you don't need it. Losing an appendix makes you susceptible to various diseases.

The whales bones in the abdomen are not a pelvis which it used to walk by as the evolutionists say but they are in fact bones which hold various muscles in place of the reproductive system. The male and female whale anatomy are very different.

There are nine muscles which attached to the tailbone which enable human reproductive behavior and digestive behavior therefore the human tailbone is not evidence that we used to have a tail.

It is not vestigial.

lobe fin fish still exist. When we found these alive the scientists said wow they survived for millions of years because they're the same thing of the fossil which they claim to be millions of years old.

Does God use base 12 math for simpler formulas?

A friend of mine pointed out that he believes God's math is done in base 12, and that if our formulas were in base 12, that many of them would be much simpler and more accurate. He talks about the frequent use of 12 by God, and suggests earth's original days were 360, etc.

12 is divisible by 1, 2, 3, 4, 6.

10 is only divisible by 1, 5.

Some astronomy study notes on scale of universe etc

sun's mass accounts for gravity of the solar system

1 million earths could fit in the sun

if earth were a soccer ball, real earth would be 60million x bigger

heliosphere?

solar wind pointing comets from sun's magnetic field?

we have seen heliospheres of other stars

galaxy was seen as the universe, could indicate

statements of making all things being about this universe;

galaxies are seemingly independent

brighter stars pulse more slowly; same pulse rate means same brightness, if dimmer, then it's further if same rate.

2.5mill ly away is Andromeda galaxy

alpha centauri is our nearest system, 2 large stars and 1 smaller

the orion arm of the mwg is the one we live in

there's not enough mass in the mwg to keep the mwg together, about 85% not enough

WHY is it a problem for the galaxy to appear to not have enough gravity to hold all its mass in? Perhaps it IS spitting stuff into space, and the central hub is a creative center... They do say the spin rate perhaps should eject material faster?

center of galaxies give off a very low sound wave once every long time

galaxy centers give off large bursts of energy

infrared we can see through the dust of our galaxy, thus we can get a rough count of star number.

in our galaxy core we see stars orbiting each other it appears the core of every galaxy has something very strong pulling the other stars (they call this a black hole but such is a non-reality)

they say there is massive frictionally generated heat in galaxy cores.

there are dwarf galaxies 1/10th the size of the mwg, and there are others many times larger.

"The great attractor", something the size of trillions of stars which pulls the lanikea supercluster of galaxies together, a great central point!

They suggest there's "not enough gravity" to have networks larger than super clusters of galaxies. But isn't this what they keep finding? That the universe is bigger and more organized than ever imagined? As far as we know, the universe is infinite, until proven otherwise.

Some Notes on The Culture of Creation Science, The Flood, & Flood Mechanics based on UM

PART 1: THE CULTURE OF CREATION SCIENCE

My BYU experience, fighting for truth, exhausted by faithless professors.

They made no mention whatsoever that there was any such thing as creation science I had to discover it completely on my own

They had us think their science was the only way.

Simplest explanation: Either all this complexity is an accident, or God is a sophisticated colonizer. Which is harder to believe?

Then it's reasonable to have a governing deity on every level of the cosmos, and for each to move up as more is created.

Kids need to know both what mainstream says and what creation science says.

Science overwhelming now that we don't need to be shy

Giving a basic briefing of this while teaching at schools, somehow must witness, ok to profess your belief

Freedom of speech of teachers, represent Christianity and creation science to an extent in the public class

Talk about creation science as you talk about your life

Those interested must seek to learn independently, but you'll stumble onto a world of those seeking creation science.

Dissent from Darwin. Website with professors against Darwin?

Read a list of LDS and other popular creation science texts. Naturally Dean's the highest recommendation.

PART 2: INTRO TO FLOOD

Why it matters that the flood was global: the bible says it was. Quote the verses.

Shows the whole earth was reset. Shows the baptism by immersion of the whole body, a witness of the method of true baptism.

Attempts to deny global flood to fit in, even Nibley

Water interior of earth establishes where the flood waters came from, how the whole thing happened. Do some science of that.

PART 3: MECHANICS OF FLOOD

Water filled earth supplies the water. Atmosphere isn't enough.

Continents broken and baptized. Death & 7 pieces, rebirth.

Scripture suggests comet at Noah time, as last days are as days of Noah

"Covered every mountain." We proclaim there were mountains pre-flood, experiment pressure ____psi & ____ temp shows it was 5mi, airliner height.

Story of age of earth speaking with coworker who disbelieves evolution, "the great dying" or "Cambrian explosion" is the flood, shows youthful earth. Don't need old Earth, he learned.

Fossil record appears like a new home built overnight.

Why minimal fossils before flood? Unique flood conditions. God's signal of judgment.

Anywhere fossils, flood was there.

No current fossilization.

Dinos died in flood, many admitting.

Sudden fast, irregular. Normally things decay.

Walking on flood sediment. Fountains send sand, stone, mud, water.

Hardened pipes worldwide. ____ monument like sewer pipe thrust out of ground in earth quake.

Corrupted Animals to Make Dinos?

I don't know about this but it was suggested as a possibility to me based on Jasher 4

<https://www.sacred-texts.com/chr/apo/jasher/4.htm>

I don't see it as a likely possibility.

What is true is that similar pre-flood conditions will exist pre-fire, and those conditions obviously involve great amounts of evil. Some speculate about very spectacular things happening pre-flood with genetic engineering and point to this happening today, this could be a possibility but I try to avoid the spectacular speculations.

Problems with Nuclear Fusions (& the Supposed Dec. 2022 Breakthrough)

[\(527\) The Nuclear Fusion Breakthrough - Is It Really A Breakthrough ? - YouTube](#)

[\(527\) Was There a "Major" Breakthrough in Fusion? Not Really...Here Are The Facts - YouTube](#)

[\(527\) The Problem with Nuclear Fusion - YouTube](#)

[\(527\) What FUSION IGNITION Really Means for The Future of Energy Production - YouTube](#)

[\(527\) Former fusion scientist on why we won't have fusion power by 2040 - YouTube](#)

[\(527\) The Shocking Problem That Could End Nuclear Fusion - YouTube](#)

“No Monkeys In My Family Tree” Creationist T-Shirt!

No Monkeys In My Family Tree Creationist Religious T-Shirt

<https://a.co/d/dNh8FqA>



Dean Sessions note clarifying why the hydrofountains harden 2023.01.20

The pipes harden because they become saturated with silica rich water in a hypretherm. So when the pressure or temperature is reduced, the silica turns to rock. This typicality took place near the end of the UF. The portion of the pipe we see in places like Kodachrome Basin are from liquefaction (shaking from earthquake causing loose material to fall and pipe staying in place), or from receding waters washing away sediment around the pipe.

Some Creation Science Video & Article Links

Does God Exist? A Conversation with Tom Holland, Stephen Meyer, and Douglas Murray on Hoover Institution

<https://youtu.be/o2u54a1FL28> see also Book: Tom Holland “Dominion: The Making of the Western Mind”

Mathematical Challenges To Darwin’s Theory Of Evolution, With David Berlinski, Stephen Meyer, And David Gelernter – on the Hoover Institution: Uncommon Knowledge with Peter Robinson

<https://www.hoover.org/research/mathematical-challenges-darwins-theory-evolution-david-berlinski-stephen-meyer-and-david>

Dismantling Big Bang Theory – Video Link

https://www.youtube.com/live/_V58ma6ykP0?feature=share

Webb Telescope Continues to Challenge Big Bang – Article Link

<https://www.icr.org/article/13903/>

Answering Skeptics’ TOUGHEST Questions About Christianity – Video Link

<https://www.youtube.com/live/rAqbe-BamZM?feature=share>

Debate: Ken Ham vs Bill Nye

<https://www.youtube.com/live/z6kgvhG3AkI?feature=share>

Dean Sessions on a Universal Model of Science

<https://youtu.be/a5IAz9wg9cA>

What If Einstein Was Wrong? - Stephen Crothers, Checking the Math <https://youtu.be/wMBIt7bHt9s>

Real Physics Talk: Wolfgang Kundt, do Black Holes Exist?

<https://youtu.be/BhDpApRa6BI>

How was the Universe Created? The Big Bang, the Axis of Evil, Creationism, and More! <https://youtu.be/yY0oeOAlxps>

This Drives Evolutionists Crazy, but It's True

<https://youtu.be/jyATE9StbK8>

Wonders of creation video series:

<https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLziUyIPw8Dfv2W6D4koLxtv2YRXyvXv7G>

Also their creation evolution series:


<https://youtube.com/playlist?list=PLziUyIPw8DfvARKvtog28ZbDHDwcZ54Mr>

Resource: Facebook Group on Creation Science

LDS true science

<https://www.facebook.com/groups/latterdaysaintsforsoundscience/?ref=share>

A Bible-Based Geologic Column



From <https://www.mathetis.org/topic/does-the-fossil-record-support-creation-and-the-flood/>

The entire article at that page is very good.

Remember the geologic column really doesn't even exist in nature! But this is a fun depiction showing how young the earth is.

Why aren't rock layers all separated by density if they were deposited in the flood?

Here is an answer from Kent Hovind from when he debated "Professor Dave Explains":

Global tides made water rush in and out of places, mixing the densities of the materials.

Yes tides are global, particularly when earth is covered in water.

The current oceans rise due to tide but you don't notice when you're out there.

Even the crust rises and falls about 8 inches a day, called earth-tide.

save this sci bk fair geode water v magma,
appears ive lost the link, its **in the fam pics
somewhere**

Hilariously (though at no fault of the brainwashed child presenting it), a child presents at a science fair all about how geodes form by magma lava, then proceeds to perform an experiment where geodes are formed by water!

By Design: Behe, Lennox, and Meyer on the
Evidence for a Creator on Hoover Institution
– Highlights

<https://youtu.be/rXexaVsvhCM>

The Cambrian explosion and other times in the geologic record show that birds appear suddenly reptiles appear suddenly fish appear suddenly there's no intermediate species

Findings are going away from Darwin not towards him because we are finding more unique animals not animals with like intermediate species

In the fossil record and animal appears stays and then disappears upon Extinction or survives to today

Just opening up a niche after a mass extinction does not mean no species are going to be created because there's no code for them

Evolution does not answer the question of the original life that claims that life changed but it doesn't explain how life started

Life cannot have originated on Earth mathematically there's not enough time even for evolution

In Darwin's day they did not know cells were very complex they looked like little blobs of jelly today we know cells are run by complex machines

Back in Darwin's day they had no idea how complex cells and DNA are. They thought it was reasonable for natural selection to evolve life. But it isn't reasonable. It's WAY too complex.

Yes Ocean Pre-Flood

In the book of Moses it states there was an east sea, Moses 6:42, the beginnings of the Atlantic Ocean, probably an extension of the Arctic Ocean.

No, That's Not Why We Stop Drilling Superdeep...

My comments recently to a geology professor, 3.2023

It's a popular idea to say that increasingly hot depths of the earth stop our drilling abilities, but my understanding is that it's more based on parts breaking, expensive cost of drills, and excessive amounts of water they have to clear out of the hole, etc. But my understanding is that people to this day continue to spend lots of money trying to drill deep and find the free energy source of deep magma reservoirs.

The only increasing heat we have found as we get deeper is where there are fault lines. Some mines near faults for example in Africa are extremely hot. But most caves are cold as you get

deeper. If there is magma, we haven't found it yet. Heat flow maps also fail to demonstrate more heat coming off of thinner oceanic crust, which is another mystery. Thicker continental crust shows more heat coming off than thinner oceanic crust, which is the opposite of what we would expect with magma hot being under the continental and oceanic crust.

Drilling for magma energy seems to have the same problem as our particle accelerators to attempt nuclear fusion energy: they keep getting "close", but never having success.

As scientific thinkers, we prize evidence and observation, and we adjust theories to explain what we observe and demonstrate. I don't claim all the answers, just many questions. To me it is very evident that many mysteries remain unsolved in our investigation of planet earth, and many observations which appear to demand adjustment to some popular ideas.

Yes, Experiments ARE the foundation of science!

They say it's not just about experiments, observation of distant things can tell us much! On one level that's true, but more specifically, we need to have measurements of what we are seeing to prove what is going on, we need those experiments. An example is how so much of our "knowledge" of cosmology is false!

Their Attempt of Making Theory Look Important to Keep Evolution Alive

They know we are on to them about evolution not being a theory, so they try and say how theory is just as good as law. It's really not.

Also they say theory has a place, it's how we describe the law.

It is said that "Laws are generalizations, principles or patterns in nature and theories are the explanations of those generalizations" (Rhodes & Schaible, 1989; Homer & Rubba, 1979; Campbell, 1953).

Ok, what is the law which evolution describes? Nothing.

This is all a straw man argument to try and distract us from the fact that evolution is not a reliable way to explain the origins of life on earth.

The "Established" theory of evolution

On an exam, an official praxis state licensing exam, one question was worded, "the established theory of evolution". What's an established theory? Haha! Come on guys, established theory isn't like a rank above normal theory. And no, established theory doesn't mean law! Sorry evolution, you're not a law! No one has ever directly observed one species becoming another, you're just speculation! Sorry Lord's and God's of the underworld,

your theory still stinks! But ooh, how you worry that people will point out how the emperor has no clothes, so you go out of your way to extol it as an "established" theory! Well excuse me, high and mighty "established theory", I wouldn't dare be caught considering anything that would ever go against your royal and eternal principles of life and salvation! Everyone make way! Make way for the "established" theory of evolution! None dare to molest or make afraid!

Electric Book of Abraham etc. - Lecture Notes

<https://youtu.be/ZkBRZ80lprk>

Spherical bodies are like anodes along electrical lines

See Sapphire nuclear plasma reactor

Hot plasma self-organizing develops its own contained field

Various elements produced from nuclear reactions

Stars act as a complex transformer of energy coming in from the Galaxy

The Stars step down the power

With more electricity to the gas planets they would glow as well

There's no such thing as empty space matter is permeated by electric fields

About this is like the scripture of DC there is no Kingdom where there's no space

The surrounding medium is the cathode and the star itself is the anode

Stars do not create energy they transform energy

The solar wind is not gas it's electrically charged plasma

It increases the speed as it gets farther from the Sun because of an electrical gradient

Elements being created electrochemically in the corona of the Sun that is where the fusion occurs

The abundant lighter elements are transmuted into heavier

Magnetosphere around the earth to protect from external overly powerful rays and heliosphere around the Sun to protect the Sun from overly powerful days

Moons connected to planets, planets to stars, etc. Many connections (all connected)

Berkland currents /cables, connecting things

It is northern lights too

Joseph Smith said the gods are interacting in this physical universe we observe.

No need for other dimensions

Kolob is the star nearest to God we can see?

Universal Model Video Links

1hr from editor and author overview of vol1

https://youtu.be/Za4si_NoVpl

5min by author on sand origin

<https://youtu.be/3LSJlhGVC5l>

An Underground Ocean? Scientists Discover
Water Deep Within Earth - SciTechDaily

<https://scitechdaily.com/an-underground-ocean-scientists-discover-water-deep-within-earth/>

Book: Joseph Smith as Scientist

<https://gutenberg.org/cache/epub/34362/pg34362.html>

Black Holes Do Not Exist

People forget that black holes are a theory of modern science, not a proven law of nature.

The main reason this is a false theory is that you can't force endless matter into an infinite point (a 'singularity'), this defies all known laws of physics.

The images supporting Einsteins eclipse relativity theory were handpicked, throwing out the many which didn't match his claims. Relativity theory is needed for black holes, but Einstein himself didn't believe in black holes. More details disproving Relativity theory are elsewhere, this essay focuses on black holes.

Universal Model Vol. 3 (forthcoming) extensively disproves relativity, big bang, and black holes.

Plasma electric physicists, though they attribute perhaps too much to electricity, agree that black holes are not needed to explain nature, and have many convincing arguments against black holes, and provide very reasonable alternatives.

Here are some videos articles and books for further study:

Videos:

Take a look at this video with Wal Thornhill from Thunderbolts Project against black holes:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Dk2-IH9ewuA&t=37s>

Says stars forming near center of our galaxy, 3ly from supposed black hole, they wouldn't be able to form there. It's a plasmoid, not a black hole, at the galaxy center. Speaks of G2 star going near the supposed black hole that should have been torn apart but wasn't.

Filamentation is electric current passing through plasmoids, we see such filament at the center of the galaxy.

Galaxies line their spin axis along cosmic strings, there are connections between galaxies, this is all beyond gravity, its a line of filament, not gravity.

Refers to Halton Arp studies.

Space-time: neither of these are physical, you can't curve non-physical concepts.

They use a rubber sheet with a heavy ball on it, but that's using gravity to describe gravity.

The concentration of mass and energy in a point is impossible; a point is non-physical, nothing can occupy non-space.

There's also no physical definitions of mass and energy; no one can explain emc^2 . The issue is the dominance of mathematicians in physics.

See Wihelm Weber, they don't talk about him.

It's an electrodynamic universe, not a gravitational universe, electrical engineers should be the ones doing astrophysics.

They say there's no magnetic fields on a cosmic scale, very wrong.

They now think magnetic fields play a large role in star formation. We are seeing the fields. They are structured magnetic fields, not chaotic as the gravity people would have us believe.

There's no magnetic field without electric currents, and ordered fields means ordered currents. The filamentary nature of the universe is being discovered.

Consider this from See the Pattern against black holes:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkObyvzVPY0>

Stephen Crothers with the Thunderbolts project against black holes and relativity:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q185InpONK4>

Another interesting presentation on electric universe and falsehood of black holes:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wkq3oUXUrfQ>

"Unzicker's Real Physics" on black holes not existing, with professor of Astrophysics Wolfgang Kundt

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BhDpApRa6BI>

Articles:

This article gives lots of good information debunking black holes: [Deep Analysis of Black Holes - The Science Analyst \(substack.com\)](http://thescienceanalyst.substack.com) thescienceanalyst.substack.com

<https://www.livescience.com/black-holes-may-not-exist.html>

https://www.huffpost.com/entry/black-holes-dont-exist_n_5885940

<https://phys.org/news/2014-09-black-holes.html>

A Nature Journal article against black holes:

<https://www.nature.com/articles/news050328-8#:~:text=The%20theory%20suggests%20that%20a%20s>

[ufficiently%20massive%20star%2C,a%20near%20certainty%20that%20black%20holes%20don%27t%20exist.](#)

Books:


Universal Model Vol. 3 (forthcoming)

See book "Farce of Physics" by Brian G Wallace
(apparently out of print, if you find where to purchase it,
let me know)

INTRODUCING SOME CREATION SCIENCE BOOKS & CONTENTS (reformat)

Add UM

Doctrinal Foundations of the Creation of Life
by Lee H. Pearson, PhD (An LDS View &
Scientific Evidence) - Introductory Pictures
from the Text



CONTENTS

Preface

Acknowledgements

Introduction

PART I. PHILOSOPHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. Worldview

2. Stay Close to the Prophets

3. Intent of the Promoters of Evolution

4. Impact of Darwinism on Science

PART II. DOCTRINAL CONSIDERATIONS

5. Doctrinal Considerations Relating to the Creation

6. Doctrinal Considerations Relating to the Fall and Atonement

7. Doctrinal Considerations Relating to Noah's Flood

PART III. SCIENTIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

8. Radiocarbon Dating

9. Other Dating Methods

10. Complexity of Life

11. More Examples of Intelligent Design

12. Conclusions and Summary

Appendix

Index

COMPLEXITY OF LIFE

133

EVIDENCE OF COMMON DESCENT, but, in reality, it speaks loudly of a common designer.


Irreducible Complexity

Upon further evaluation, the argument can be made that some molecular machines, if not all of them, are "irreducibly complex." This term was coined by Michael Behe who defined an irreducibly complex system as one:

... composed of several well-matched, interacting parts that contribute to the basic function, wherein the removal of any one of the parts causes the system to effectively cease functioning. An irreducibly complex system cannot be produced directly (that is, by continuously improving the initial function, which continues to work by the same mechanism) by slight, successive modifications of a precursor system, because any predecessor to an irreducibly complex system that is missing a part is by definition nonfunctional. An irreducibly complex biological system, if there is such a thing, would be a powerful challenge to Darwinian evolution.

Simply stated, a system is irreducibly complex if it can be shown that when any part is missing the system will lose its function. All parts must be present. Behe gives the simple example of a mousetrap as an irreducibly complex system. A common mousetrap is composed of several parts:


1. a flat wooden platform,
2. a vertical hammer,
3. a spring,
4. a sensitive catch,
5. a metal holding bar.



1. Michael J. Behe, *Darwin's Black Box* (New York: Touchstone by Simon & Schuster, 1996), 39.

2. Ibid., 42.

Conceptual drawing of the bacterial flagellum



A biological example of an irreducibly complex system is the bacterial flagellum. This system has 40 different parts constructed from protein molecules that make up what functionally is an outboard motor. If any one of its parts is missing, it would be rendered non-functional. If this system was constructed by natural selection, according to Darwin's definition it would undergo a process where:

... natural selection is ... scrutinizing [us] ... the slightest variations, rejecting those that are bad, preserving and adding up all that are good.


With this process, the bacterial flagellum would have to be built gradually having no function until it is fully assembled. This poses a significant problem because, by definition, natural selection is a process that would reject any variation that is not immediately beneficial.

1. Ibid., 71.
2. Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species* (New York: D. D. Colver & Sons, 1957).


RECONCILING DATING

137

AGE PROJECTIONS FROM STANDARD AND CORRECTED THEORIES



Equations (5) and (9) are solved numerically to produce a plot of the function like that in equation (6) yielding a corrected age projection given the measured ^{14}C count. The mathematical solution is given in the Appendix. The figure above shows the corrected age curve from equations (5) and (9), compared with the standard method, equation (3).



The table below shows some sample calculations from the numerical solution of the corrected age followed by a graph showing consistent solutions of predicted age out to 10,000 years.

Count	Standard Method Age (years)	Corrected Method Age (years)
30	871	819
70	2949	2930
50	5730	4010
20	9953	8231

Some may argue that the conclusions of this effort are not correct because radiocarbon dating has been corroborated and validated. While radiocarbon dating has been corroborated and validated, it is important to note that the standard method of radiocarbon dating is based on the assumption that the rate of decay is constant. However, as shown in the graph above, the corrected method shows a much slower reduction in count over time, which would result in a much younger age projection for the same count. This is a significant difference, especially for older samples.

Dendrochronology

Some may argue that the conclusions of this effort are not correct because dendrochronology has been corroborated and validated. While dendrochronology has been corroborated and validated, it is important to note that the standard method of dendrochronology is based on the assumption that the width of tree rings is proportional to the amount of rainfall. However, as shown in the graph above, the corrected method shows a much slower reduction in count over time, which would result in a much younger age projection for the same count. This is a significant difference, especially for older samples.

A. MATHCAL® - Representation of the Numerical Solution to Corrected Dating Equations is found in the Appendix.

Dendrochronology

Some may argue that the conclusions of this effort are not correct because dendrochronology has been corroborated and validated. While dendrochronology has been corroborated and validated, it is important to note that the standard method of dendrochronology is based on the assumption that the width of tree rings is proportional to the amount of rainfall. However, as shown in the graph above, the corrected method shows a much slower reduction in count over time, which would result in a much younger age projection for the same count. This is a significant difference, especially for older samples.

A. MATHCAL® - Representation of the Numerical Solution to Corrected Dating Equations is found in the Appendix.



Dendrochronology

Some may argue that the conclusions of this effort are not correct because dendrochronology has been corroborated and validated. While dendrochronology has been corroborated and validated, it is important to note that the standard method of dendrochronology is based on the assumption that the width of tree rings is proportional to the amount of rainfall. However, as shown in the graph above, the corrected method shows a much slower reduction in count over time, which would result in a much younger age projection for the same count. This is a significant difference, especially for older samples.


A. MATHCAL® - Representation of the Numerical Solution to Corrected Dating Equations is found in the Appendix.

Icons of Evolution: Science or Myth? Why Much of What We Teach About Evolution is Wrong by Jonathan Wells – Introductory Pictures of the Text

Contents		
	Preface	x1
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
Chapter 2	The Miller-Urey Experiment	9
Chapter 3	Darwin's Tree of Life	29
Chapter 4	Homology in Vertebrate Limbs	59
Chapter 5	Haeckel's Embryos	81
Chapter 6	<i>Archaeopteryx</i> —The Missing Link	111
Chapter 7	Peppered Moths	137
Chapter 8	Darwin's Finches	159
Chapter 9	Four-Winged Fruit Flies	177
Chapter 10	Fossil Horses and Directed Evolution	195
Chapter 11	From Ape to Human: The Ultimate Icon	209
Chapter 12	Science or Myth?	229
Appendix I	An Evaluation of Ten Recent Biology Textbooks	249
Appendix II	Suggested Warning Labels for Biology Textbooks	259
	Research Notes	261
	Index	333



The Evolution Cruncher by -
Introductory Pictures from the Text



Contents

Preface:

- A Treasure House of Information** 8
*The origin of this book
and how to use it*
- 1 - History of Evolutionary Theory** 15
*How modern science got into
this problem*
- 2 - The Big Bang and Stellar Evolution** 68
*Why the Big Bang is a fizzle
and stars cannot evolve out of gas*
- 3 - The Origin of the Earth** 119
*Why the Earth did not evolve
out of a molten state*
- 4 - The Age of the Earth** 126
Why the Earth is not millions of years old
- 5 - The Problem of Time** 160
*Why long ages
cannot produce evolutionary change*
- 6 - Inaccurate Dating Methods** 167
*Why the non-historical
dating techniques are unreliable*
- 7 - The Primitive Environment** 208

- The Evolution Crun*
- 8 - DNA and Protein** 242
*Why DNA and protein
could not be produced by random chance*
- 9 - Natural Selection** 283
*Why natural selection
only makes changes within species*
- 10 - Mutations** 318
*Why mutations cannot produce
cross-species change*
- 11 - Animal and Plant Species** 373
Why the species barrier cannot be broken
- 12 - Fossils and Strata** 411
Why the fossil/strata theory is a hoax
- 13 - Ancient Man** 519
*Why there is no evidence
humans have evolved from anything*
- 14 - Effects of the Flood** 615
What actually happened after the Flood
- 15 - Similarities and Divergence** 691
*Why similar structures
are not an evidence of evolution*
- 16 - Vestiges and Recapitulation** 717
*You have no useless or unnecessary
structures*

Chapter 8

DNA
AND PROTEIN

**Why DNA and protein
could not be produced by random chance**

This chapter is based on pp. 265-313 of Origin of the Life (Volume Two of our three-volume Evolution Disproved Series). Not included in this chapter are at least 110 statements by scientists. You will find them, plus much more, on our website: evolution-facts.org.

One of the most important discoveries of the twentieth century was the discovery of the DNA molecule. It has had a powerful effect on biological research. It has also brought quandary and confusion to evolutionary scientists. If they cared to admit the full implications of DNA, it would also bring total destruction to their theory.

This chapter goes hand in hand with the previous one. In the chapter on Primitive Environment, we learned that earthly surroundings—now or earlier—could never permit the formation of living creatures from non-living materials. This present chapter will primarily discuss the DNA code, the components of protein—and will show that each are so utterly complicated as to defy any possibility that they could have been produced by chance events.

Yet random actions are the only kind of occurrences

DNA and Protein

which evolutionists tell us have ever been used to accomplish the work of evolution.

The significance of all this is immense. Because of the barrier of life to form and different species! Each successive speciation change would require a totally new and different—but highly exacting code to be in place on the very first day of its existence as a unique new species. As with a number of other chapters in this book, this one chapter alone is enough to completely annihilate any evolutionary theory in regard to the origin or evolution of life.

1 - DNA AND ITS CODE

GREGOR MENDEL—(*#1/7 Gregor Mendel's Monumental Discovery*) It was Mendel's monumental work with genetics in the mid-19th century that laid the foundation for all modern research work in genetics. The complete story will be found on our website.

YOUR BODY'S BLUEPRINT—(*#2 The Story of DNA*) Each of us starts off as a tiny sphere no larger than a dot on this page. Within that microscopic ball there is over six feet of DNA (*deoxyribonucleic acid*), all coiled up. Inside that DNA is the entire code for what you will become,—all your organs and all your features.


The DNA itself is strung out within long coiling strips. DNA is the carrier of the inheritance code in living things. It is like a microscopic computer with a built-in memory. DNA stores a fantastic number of "blueprints," and at the right time and place issues orders for distant parts of the body to build its cells and structures.

You have heard of "genes" and "chromosomes." Inside each cell in your body is a nucleus. Inside that nucleus are, among other complicated things, chromosomes. Inside the chromosomes are genes. The genes are attached to chromosomes as beads on a chain. Inside the nucleus is the complicated structure we call DNA. F

CONTENTS

User's Guide to the Book	v
Introduction	vii
Of Pandas and People: An Overview	1
Excursion Chapter One: The Origin of Life	41
Excursion Chapter Two: Genetics and Macroevolution	59
Excursion Chapter Three: The Origin of Species	77
Excursion Chapter Four: The Fossil Record	91
Excursion Chapter Five: Homology	115
Excursion Chapter Six: Biochemical Similarities	135
Glossary	149
A Note to Teachers	153
Index	165
Authors and Contributors	169
Credits	170

Of Pandas & People: The Central Question of Biological Origins by , a Creation-Based Student Textbook – Introductory Pictures from the Text



would no longer exist, because of reproductive isolation, the extreme subpopulations would now be considered sibling species.

Or consider the case of the two kinds of squirrels separated by the Grand Canyon. The Kaibab squirrel inhabits the north side of the Canyon, while the Abert squirrel inhabits the south side. It seems evident the two descended from one original population. Rarely, however, can squirrels from both populations come together, and thus there is no interbreeding between them. For some time biologists have disagreed as to whether the squirrels had reached the level of two separate species, or if they remain one species with two well-marked varieties (subpopulations). It is easy to speculate that the small groups of founders exhibited different gene frequencies from the ancestral population. Then, the different environmental

conditions on the two sides of the Canyon could also have selected for different genotypes as the expense of others. Perhaps, species of squirrel.

Can reproductive isolation occur? Can speciation occur without geographic isolation? Consider the following: tropical rain forest can have significant differences in altitude, soil types, soil water, etc., creating "best fit" between genetic make-up and the support of the environment. One individual carrying certain genes so well confined to an environmental niche and hence reproductively isolated from adjacent subpopulations.



Figure 3-4. Subpopulations of many mice inhabit specific areas, which can live about reproductive isolation from nearby subpopulations.



Dis Losses Lead to Gains?

What are the implications of these gene frequency changes in small populations? According to Darwinism, the road to adaptive radiation occurs, the road to diverse evolutionary change lies open, and one of isolated populations now in diverse families, orders, and even phyla can emerge. The first step according to the theory, must be reproductive isolation. Then the way is cleared for organisms to diversify as earthworms and human beings to eventually develop from the isolated populations.

Design proponents view reproductive isolation in a different light. They point out that speciation actually represents a relatively minor change in a population, and does not provide evidence that the great amount of functional information acquired through genetic modification, genome drift, fixation, the founder effect, and the bottleneck effect discussed earlier are each based upon losses of certain genes.

The striking thing about the diversity of biological organisms, whether living or fossil forms, is the very formidable quantities of new functional information that characterize each form as one moves up the scale of complexity. As we said, Dobzhansky acknowledges the necessity of an observational basis for speciation, a process we can explain strictly by a loss of information. Of course, change that occurs through the loss of information must soon come to an end. Yet surely, the problems of the speciation pale by comparison to the problems in accounting for the sources of functional information that code for the spectacular pageant of life on earth.


The Darwinian explanation is that earlier stores of information were elaborated into extensive random mutations, and the

resulting "enriched" gene pools are then weeded out by natural selection to achieve new functional information. Remember, the coding sequences of nucleotide bases in the DNA cannot be just any sequences; they must be specific and meaningful ones.

The experimental work on the origin of life and the molecular biology of living cells is consistent with the hypothesis of intelligent design. What makes this interpretation so compelling is the amazing correlation between the structure of informational molecules (DNA, protein) and our universal experience that such sequences are the result of intelligent causes. This strong analogy leads to the conclusion that life itself owes its origin to a master intellect. One can talk about adding innumerable random mutations, but proponents of intelligent design still wonder: How were such impressive genes in functional information consolidated? It is a fair and crucial question.

Does speciation fit with the theory that species were originally designed? If the intelligent design explanation is true, there may be species on the face of the earth that have undergone no substantial change since their beginning. On the other hand, the idea of intelligent design does not preclude the possibility that variant within species occurs, or that new species are formed from existing populations (illustrated by the previous discussion of squirrels). The theory of intelligent design does suggest that there are limits to amount of variation that natural selection and random change mechanism produce. Design proponents are interested in research that will answer questions as the limits of change that exist, can identify original species (if at all today, and what the exact biological condition of a species should be.

Using the Book of Mormon to Combat the Falsehoods of Evolution by Clark A Peterson - Introductory Pictures from the Text



it was 75 million years older than *Archaeopteryx*. To his eyes, the animal had vertebrae and a pelvis more bird like than dinosaurs as *Archaeopteryx*. He became convinced that it was a bird. Ostrom is very skeptical, but thinks it is closer to a bird than a dinosaur. Ostrom said elsewhere that "No fossil evidence of any pro-avis exists. It is purely a hypothetical pre-bird, but one that must have existed."¹⁴⁹ Martin says "A lot of dinosaur specialists have been very dogmatic about their position on the origin of birds, and because of that, they find it very difficult to back down." He said "I don't think there is any doubt that pro-avis is nearly as old as the oldest dinosaur, and you can't be older than your ancestor." Many clues lie buried in some of dinosaurs, or their cousins, the search for the true branch in the tree of life is a continuing process of discovery.¹⁴⁸

The program said that this fossil was the most disputed fossil ever found, and talked about the dispute in terms of whether the fossil was a descendant or cousin of the dinosaur. The real dispute comes between those who claim it is a missing link, and those scientists who say it is a true bird because it had true feathers. The program never considered the possibility that birds are not even related to dinosaurs, but presented only the views of committed evolutionists. While many scientists object to the theory that birds evolved from dinosaurs, Kevin Padian dogmatically promotes it. He was on two committees setting up the *Science Framework*, which mandates that "Birds must be classified under dinosaurs, no matter how they have been traditionally classified."¹⁴⁹

On the *Nova* program "Fraud in Science," the question is asked "Do scientists cheat?" The program tells of the most famous fraud in science, the Piltdown Man, and says that people believed in it because they wanted to believe in it. Science demands objectivity, but sometimes cultural bias overwhelms objectivity. The 1980s have seen more cases of fraud than ever before. *Cooking and trimming* is a term used to describe manipulation of data to make reports and proposals look better, and it was reported that some scientists falsify data to get research grants. One lab reported that they require \$8 million a year, and write 200 proposals a year, some in millions of dollars. A big component in science is writing proposals, and going to Washington to get the money.

The scientific community wants to expose misconduct. The stories of scientific whistle blowers reinforces this concern. When anyone reports scientific misconduct such as fabricated data or fraud, they may lose funding on their own projects, or lose their jobs, so they don't make waves. The program reported that the peer review process doesn't catch sloppiness or mistakes, and wouldn't be able to catch deliberate fraud.¹⁵⁰

Many scientists start with the foregone conclusion that since we exist we must have evolved, and apply for grants from the government to find out how we evolved. They use expensive equipment such as electron microscopes, CAT scans and computers. They start with the wrong assumptions, and arrive at conclusions that support their beliefs. Any explanation will do, as long as it does not imply a Creator. They demand that their beliefs be taught in public schools to the exclusion of all other theories. How long are we going to be led by these foolish and blind guides?

It has been said that scientists need a lot of imagination. A little imagination goes a long ways. The less evidence there is, the more they can stretch their imagination. From a pig's tooth with a fragment of jaw attached, a drawing was made of the Nebraska man, his mate, and the primitive tools he was using to plant seeds. In the case of the alleged ancestor of the whale, there are no bones at all, so the drawing is based on pure imagination.

The media is continually presenting stories with claims of new evidence of evolution. As the evidence is scrutinized, some of it turns out to be exaggerated claims based on meager evidence, and other cases are exposed as outright frauds or hoaxes. Cave men were men who lived in caves. The Java man, discovered by Dubois in 1891, consisted of a human leg-bone and an ape-like skull. Years later, Dubois revealed that he had also found two human skulls at the same site, and that the original skull was nothing more than a giant gibbon.¹⁵¹ The Neanderthal man has been shown to be true human with bone deformities from disease.¹⁵² A fossil named *Lucy* was said to be intermediate to upright walking because the knee joint was found in humans. When her discoverer, Johanson, found the knee joint, he

Table of Contents

Part 1 - <i>The Book of Mormon</i> as a Basis for Truth		1
Creation Reaffirmed	2	1
Opposition	3	2
Revelation Withheld	5	3
People In America	9	5
Our Supreme Creator Is Still In Control	12	9
False Teachings	15	12
A Test For Truth	27	15
Consequences For Not Accepting The Word Of God	28	27
Summary	29	28
Part 2 - Separating Truth from Falsehoods		31
Astronomy	31	31
Origins	34	31
Noah's Flood And Other Catastrophes	47	34
Future Catastrophes	49	47
Modern Theories Of Origins	51	49
Theories Of Evolution	62	51
Statements Of Modern Prophets	63	62
Renewed Emphasis For Evolution	65	63
Creationism	66	65
Scientific Models	68	66
Secular Humanism	70	68
More Definitions	71	70
Biological Classifications	75	71
Hierarchical Tree	76	75
Phylogenetic Tree	78	76
Other Aspects Of The Theory	82	78
Dating Methods	82	82
The Fossil Record	88	82
Living Evidence?	106	88
Plate Tectonics	108	106
The Bering Strait Theory and People in America	110	108
Biogenesis or Spontaneous Generation?	128	110
Molecular Biology	133	128
Human Makeup and Development	138	133
Languages and Knowledge	140	138

Earth in the Beginning by Walt Brown:
Compelling Evidence for Creation & The
Flood – Introductory Pictures from the
Textbook, Including a 74-Point Chart of
Theistic Evolution vs The Creation Account



Table of Contents

Preface v

Part I: The Scientific Case for Creation 1

Life Sciences 5
Astronomical and Physical Sciences 25
Earth Sciences 43
References and Notes 49

Part II: Fountains of the Great Deep 103

The Hydroplate Theory: An Overview 105
The Origin of Ocean Trenches and the Ring of Fire 143
Liquefaction: The Origin of Strata and Layered Fossils 169
The Origin of the Grand Canyon 183
The Origin of Limestone 221
Frozen Mammoths 229
The Origin of Comets 263
The Origin of Asteroids and Meteoroids 295

Part III: Frequently Asked Questions 315

Why Are Creation and the Flood Important? 316
How Can the Study of Creation Be Scientific? 318
Have Scientific Tools Detected Adam and Eve within Us? 319
Galaxies Are Billions of Light-Years Away, So Isn't the Universe Billions of Years Old? 322
Why Does the Universe Seem to Be Expanding? 328
What Predictions of the Hydroplate Theory Have Been Confirmed? 332
If the Sun and Stars Were Made on *Day 4*, What Was the Light of *Day 1*? 333
How Old Do Evolutionists Say the Universe Is? 336
What Was *Archaeopteryx*? 338
How Accurate Is Radiocarbon Dating? 342
How Could Saltwater and Freshwater Fish Survive the Flood? 345
Is Global Warming Occurring? If So, What Causes It? 346
What about the Dinosaurs? 350
Have Planets Been Discovered Outside the Solar System? 352
Did the Flood Last 40 Days and 40 Nights? 354
Is the Hydroplate Theory Consistent with the Bible? 355
How Was the Earth Divided in Peleg's Day? 357
Did It Rain before the Flood? 360
Did a Water Canopy Surround the Earth and Contribute to the Flood? 362
If God Made Everything, Who Made God? 370
What Triggered the Flood? 371
How Did Human "Races" Develop? 377
According to the Bible, When Was Adam Created? 381
Why Did the Flood Water Drain So Slowly? 382
Is There Life in Outer Space? 383
Is There a Large Gap of Time between Genesis 1:1 and 1:2? 385
Is Evolution Compatible with the Bible? 387
Does the New Testament Support Genesis 1-11? 393
How Can Origins Be Taught in High School or College? 396
What Are the Social Consequences of Belief in Evolution? 399
How Can I Become Involved in This Issue? 402
What Questions Could I Ask Evolutionists? 404
How Do Evolutionists Respond to What You Say? 406
How Do You Respond to Common Claims of Evolutionists? 407
Why Don't Creationists Publish in Leading Science Journals? 409
What Is the Written Debate Offer? 409
What Is the Taped and Transcribed Telephone Debate Offer? 412

Technical Notes 413

Illustration Credits 433

Index 434

62. Galaxies



Figure 27. Spiral Galaxies.

Evolutionists now admit that galaxies cannot evolve from one type to another. There are also good reasons why natural processes cannot form galaxies.⁶² Furthermore, if spiral galaxies were billions of years old, their arms or bars would be severely twisted.⁶³ [See Figure 170 on page 325.] Because they have maintained their shape, either galaxies are young, or unknown physical phenomena are occurring within galaxies.⁶⁴ Even structures composed of galaxies are new known, to be so amazingly large, and yet relatively thin, that they could not have formed by slow gravitational attraction.⁶⁵ Slow, natural processes cannot form such huge galactic structures; rapid, supernatural processes may have.

Techniques That Argue for an Old Earth Are Either Illogical or Based on Unreasonable Assumptions.

A Note of Caution: To date an event or thing that preceded written records, one must assume that the initial setting is known, and that the clock has not been disturbed. These three assumptions are almost always unstated, overlooked, or invalid.

63. Corals and Caves

Estimated old ages for the Earth are frequently based on "clocks" that today are ticking at extremely slow rates. For example, coral growth rates were thought to have always been very slow, implying that some coral reefs must be hundreds of thousands of years old. More accurate measurements of these rates under favorable growth conditions now show that no known coral formation need be older than 3,400 years.⁶⁶ A similar comment can be made for growth rates of stalactites and stalagmites in caves.⁶⁷ [See Figure 130 on page 223.]



Figure 28. Stalagmites, some from an underground spring, were built up around sticks lying on the floor. In that time, limestone ready to be precipitated's chemistry is favorable. And because stalactites and stalagmites are growing slowly today from hot water that is millions of years old. As we will see in Part II, conditions after the flood provided the ideal chemistry for rapidly forming such rock formations.

64. Radiometric Dating: Contradictions and Key Assumptions

The public has been greatly misled concerning the consistency and trustworthiness of radiometric dating techniques (such as the potassium-argon method, the rubidium-strontium method, and the uranium-thorium method). For example, geologists hardly ever subject their radiometric age measurements to "blind tests."⁶⁸ In science, such tests are a standard procedure for overcoming experimenter bias. Many published radiometric dates can be checked by comparisons with the evolution-based ages for fossils that sometimes lie above or below radiometrically dated rock. In more than 400 of these published checks (about half of those sampled), the radiometrically determined ages were in error—indicating major errors in methodology and understanding.⁶⁹ One wonders how many other dating checks were not even published because they, too, were in error.

A major assumption underlying all radioactive dating techniques is that decay rates, which have been essentially constant over the past 100 years, have also been constant over the past 4,600,000,000 years. This is a huge and critical assumption that few have questioned. Several lines of evidence show that radioactive decay rates were made that earth's radiotopes quickly formed and that most decayed at the beginning of a global flood. [See "Energy in the Subterranean Water" on page 424.]

65. Index Fossils

In the early 1800s, noticed that certain fossils were found in specific layers of rock and were used to identify the age of the rock.



Figure 29. 70,000,000-Year-Old Fish? Thought to have been extinct for 70,000,000 years, the coelacanth (C. latidorsalis) was first caught in 1938, deep in the Indian Ocean, northwest of Madagascar. Hundreds were then offered for coelacanths, so hundreds were caught and sold. In 1988, they were also found off the coast of Indonesia.⁷⁰ How could two groups of coelacanths, separated by 4,000 miles, survive for 70,000,000 years to leave fossils? (Fossils here are under "Index Fossils" on pages 62-64.)

Before coelacanths were caught, evolutionists incorrectly believed that the coelacanth had lungs, a large brain, and four bottom fins (not to evolve into fish). Evolutionists reasoned that the coelacanth, or a similar fish, crawled out of a shallow sea and fished its lungs with air, becoming the first four-legged land animal. Millions of students have been incorrectly taught that the fish was the ancestor of all amphibians, reptiles, dinosaurs, birds, and mammals, including people. (Was your ancestor a bird?)

J. L. B. Smith, a well-known fish expert from South Africa, studied the first two captured coelacanths (nicknamed the coelacanth "Big Fourings") and wrote a book by that title in 1956. When dissected, did they have lungs and a large brain? Not at all! Furthermore, in 1987, a German team found six coelacanths in their natural habitat. They were not crawling on all fours!

Before being coelacanths were found in 1938, evolutionists dated any rock containing a coelacanth fossil as at least 70,000,000 years old. It was an index fossil. Today, evolutionists frequently express amazement that coelacanth fossils look so much like captured coelacanths—despite more than 70,000,000 years of evolution. If that age is correct, billions of coelacanths would have lived and died. Some should have been fossilized in younger rock and should be displayed in museums. Their absence implies that coelacanths have not lived for 70,000,000 years.

evolutionary material, especially for those later, after the theories established that the before the upper crust helped sort organisms. [For an explanation of "index fossils" and plants buried, for example, a coelacanth at 70,000,000 years. Today, geologists find their fossil coral evolution. Yes, the sequence of fossils, however, is unexpected.]

66. Homestead Hamlike old, have been Kentucky? Laotian, in headed by four-point human chromosome.

67. Dental Practice. This is the most common surface order.

68. J.N. dec DN most common surface order.

69. J.N. dec DN most common surface order.

The Scientific Evidence Is Consistent with Genesis

In the Beginning God Created the Heavens and the Earth




Figure 1. Organization of the Scientific Case for Creation.

Table 24. Theistic Evolution vs. The Biblical Account

Theistic Evolution	The Biblical Account
1. Creation required few, if any, miracles. Science can now explain how everything evolved.	1. Creation was a miracle. Evolution [See pages 5–101.] A miracle is a supernatural event.
2. Genesis 1–11 is either allegory, poetry, or myth. It is not literally true.	2. Genesis 1–11 is accurate history. Jesus Christ and every New Testament writer affirmed that the events that shaped human culture. [See page 333.]
3. Genesis contains two conflicting creation accounts, Genesis 1:1–2:3 and Genesis 2:4–2:25. Obviously, both cannot be correct—or taken literally.	3. Genesis contains two descriptions of creation, while the second is from man's perspective. The two descriptions shows no conflict. Christ, who lived by the two descriptions, knew they referred to the same events. [Endnote 1 on page 361 contains more details.]
4. Natural processes (or “Mother Nature”) can explain the formation of the heavenly bodies, earth, and life. Matter preceded mind.	4. The Creator, with supernatural power, created the earth, and life. Mind preceded matter.
5. Space, time, and matter are eternal. Time existed before things were created.	5. God who is eternal, created space, time, and matter out of nothing. There was a beginning. [See Mt 24:21, Mk 13:19, Jn 1:1, Col 1:16.]
6. The universe began as a burst of light with the big bang. Ten billion years later, the earth slowly formed in the presence of sunlight.	6. On the first day, the earth was formed, but before the Sun and stars were created. [See page 333.]
7. The big bang was the basic creation event. It occurred during a fraction of a second.	7. A series of creative acts occurred over a period of six days.
8. Hydrogen, helium, and some lithium formed millions of years before all the other 100+ chemical elements.	8. All chemical elements came into existence at the same time. (Gen 2:2, Ex 20:11)

Theistic Evolution

9. Since the big bang, the average temperature of the universe has continually decreased. Eventually, the Sun will exhaust its fuel and the earth will lose its heat and freeze solid.
 10. The Sun and most stars formed billions of years before earth. Stars are still forming.
 11. During the fourth creation period (not the fourth day), the Sun, Moon, and stars were "made to appear"⁸ on a previously cloud-covered earth.
 12. The earth initially had a hot, molten surface. Millions of years later, water—chemically locked in the earth's interior—oozed out.
 13. The earth slowly coalesced from meteoritic impacts that melted the earth's surface and vaporized all surface water.
 14. Land formed before oceans.
 15. Evolution took place over billions of years, not in six literal days. The word "day" in the Bible can, in rare cases, mean an indefinite period of time. The six creation "days" may have been six ages, so each creation age had millions of evenings and mornings. Another possibility is that God created in six literal days, but each day was separated by millions of years.
 16. In the Bible, a day can be a long time. For example, Psalm 90:4 and II Peter 3:8 say that "a day is like a thousand years."
 17. Since the earth began, natural disasters have occurred: earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, tornadoes, volcanic eruptions, lightning strikes, tsunamis, droughts, and impacts by meteorites, asteroids, and comets.
 18. The present is the key to the past; that is, presently observable natural processes explain all past events. (This principle, called *uniformitarianism*, underlies much of geology.)
 19. Once the atmosphere began to evolve, rains occurred on the earth.
 20. There have been no worldwide floods—only brief, local floods. "Noah's flood," if it happened, was only a local, or regional, flood. God's promise, in Genesis 9:11, not to again flood the earth cannot be taken literally.
 21. The first animals were microscopic, single-celled creatures.
 22. The first sea life was a small blob of complex chemicals. It took a billion years for other sea life to form.
 23. The original atmosphere consisted of hydrogen and helium.
9. The earth began in a relatively hot state. The heat will destroy the heavens.
 10. Earth was created three days before the sun and moon, dying, not being created.
 11. On the fourth creation day, the sun, moon, and stars were made (Gen 1:14–19). If the words "day" do the words "year" or "age."
 12. On the first day, the earth was relatively cool at the beginning.
 13. The earth formed quickly, before the sun and moon, above the liquid subterranean waters.
 14. A global ocean existed before the continents. The waters were gathered into the oceans.
 15. Creation took place in six days. The Hebrew word for day, *yom*, can mean a 24-hour day, modified by a plural number. (Gen 1:4,5) Each creation day was separated by millions of years. To survive, plants need the sun. The sun was created within three literal days. If the days were much longer, plants could not survive.
 16. Those verses do not refer to the sun. They say that God is outside of time. They are not a picture. Besides, no evolution occurred.
 17. These calamities were not the result of the flood. They destroyed that tranquility. They were the result of the flood. They destroy almost all men and animals. (Gen 6:5–7) Part II of this book explains the different consequence of the flood.
 18. The present is not always the key to the past, as He did during the creation. The present is a natural process on earth applicable to the whole extent. (II Peter 3:3–6) [See the book "The Flood"]
 19. Before the flood, man appeared. (Gen 9:11–17) The hydrological cycle probably did not rain before the flood.
 20. A catastrophic, worldwide flood occurred after 150 days. (Gen 7:19–24) The flood (Gen 7:11, 8:14) destroyed all life on earth. (Gen 6:13, 6:17, 7:4, 7:21–24)
 21. The first animals included gophers and complex creatures. (Gen 1:21)
 22. On the fifth day, sea life was created. Various kinds of sea creatures were created.

Theistic Evolution

26. The Sun evolved several billion years before plant life.
27. Various forms of plant life and animal life evolved during each of four sequential, geological eras: Precambrian, Paleozoic, Mesozoic, and Cenozoic. These eras were of unequal length.
28. Since the earth began, new forms of life have continued to arise within each of the major categories: plants, sea creatures, birds, and land animals.
29. There is continuity among all forms of life. All organisms have a common ancestor. Therefore, there were continuous transitions among all plants and among all animals. The millions of species are not fixed and not distinct.
30. Sea life preceded land life by hundreds of millions of years.
31. Adam could not have named all the animals in one day, because there were too many. Besides, most animals and plants became extinct before man evolved.
32. Insects evolved millions of years before birds and flowering plants.
33. Either reptiles or dinosaurs evolved into birds. More than 100 million years later, 60 million years after the dinosaurs became extinct, man evolved.
34. Fish evolved hundreds of millions of years before birds and fruit trees. The first fish and birds came from eggs.
35. It is uncertain which came first, the chicken or the egg.
36. The first animals were simple sea creatures. Much later, fish evolved, then amphibians, and finally mammals. The last mammals to evolve included whales.
37. For hundreds of millions of years before man evolved, many animals were carnivores (meat eaters).
38. Females evolved before males.
39. Macroevolution continues today, so creation is a long process.
40. Everything in nature, from protons to people, evolved by slow, continuous processes.
41. Evolution works, in part, through a process called "survival of the fittest." Violence, pain, and death were necessary for animals to become more complex. Suffering, cruelty, and death are natural results of the evolutionary process.

The Bible

26. The Sun was made one day after p
27. Life was created during only three days. On the 5th day: sea life and birds, and (Gen 1)
28. All plants were created first, then animals. Finally, man was created
29. There are permanent discontinuities in life. In fact, the Bible states *10 times* itself. (Gen 1) The kinds are fixed
30. Sea life did not precede land life.
31. The Bible does not say that Adam named "all the cattle," "the birds of the air," or "every creeping animal." (Gen 1:20-25) Adam did not name the insects, and the beasts of the field. (Gen 1:20-25) All animal kinds have lived contemporaneously.
32. All birds and plants were created on the 5th day.
33. Birds were created before dinosaurs. (Gen 1:20-25) Man saw and named the reptiles. (Job 40:15-41:34)
34. Fruit trees were created before the 5th day. Fish were created swimming. (Gen 1:11, 21-22)
35. Eggs were within the first chicken. The first chicken was created fully formed and functional.
36. The first animals created included great whales. The next day, man was created. (Gen 1:21, 1:24)
37. Early animals were herbivores. Some became carnivores. (Gen 1:20-25)
38. Males and females within a "kind" were created. (Gen 1:20-25) The first human was created. (Gen 2:22)
39. Creation was a distinct event. It took six days. (Gen 2:1-3; Ex 20:11, 31:17)
40. Everything in nature was created in six days. Genesis states that "God said, 'Let there be light.'" (Gen 1:14-15, 1:24) All the Bible's miracles are contained in the first six days. The biggest and first miracle—creation—occurred on the first day.
41. God is all-powerful and does not need to create. God did not author evil. The attributes of our Creator are not limited to the things of this world. Everything was "very good." (Gen 1:31) The world began when Adam sinned.

Theistic Evolution

43. Man is an animal that has evolved a little higher than the apes.
44. Man evolved from a lower animal.
45. Man has existed during only the past 1,000th of the earth's history—10,000,000,000 years after the universe began and 4,000,000,000 years after the earth formed.
46. There really was no one individual we can call "Adam"; the term refers to "mankind" or a race of primitive men. Adam and Eve may be mythical characters in a saga explaining how evil originated—or characters in a timeless myth representing the sinful choices we all make.
47. Almost all fossils formed before man appeared on earth.
48. Man's genealogy includes many apelike animals. It spans more than a hundred thousand generations. Adam had millions of years' worth of ancestors.
49. Although apes, man's closest relatives, have no difficulty or pain in giving birth, human childbirth is painful and can be dangerous for mother and child. Natural selection should have eliminated women with narrow birth canals.¹³
50. God breathed a spirit into an apelike creature. This became man.
51. The earliest people were meat eaters. The first animals that could be considered human were hunters. Hundreds of thousands of years later, man began farming.
52. Because man evolved from the animals, there is very little difference in the psychological makeup and behavior of animals and man. (This premise underlies much of modern psychology.)
53. The first man came from a woman. Woman, like man, evolved from animals. The story of Eve being formed by "divine surgery" from Adam's side is nonsense. Eve had a mother.
54. Marriage, a cultural convention, evolved from human experience. Marriage therefore changes as culture evolves.
55. Man slowly developed our basic units of time: a day, a week, a month, and a year.
56. No one established the seven-day week. It was culturally derived. Surprisingly, almost all known cultures throughout history have had a seven-day week.
57. The Garden of Eden is a myth.
58. People have rarely lived beyond 100 years, especially in the primitive past.
59. Lunar months may have been mistakenly called "months."
43. Man, who was given life by God, (Gen 1:26-27) "created" the "angels." (Ps 8:5)
44. Adam was formed
45. Man has existed since the beginning. (Jn 8:44; Rom 1:20)
46. Inspired writers of the Bible refer to a race of people. (Gen 1:26-27, 15:1-3, I Cor 15:21-22, 15:45, I Tim 2:13-14) Referred to as "angels" are all descended from Adam.
47. Man was created by God
48. Man's genealogy includes many generations. The Bible mentions Adam up to historical times. (Gen 1:26-27) mentioned any ancestor.
49. Humans are a special creation. (Gen 1:26-27) ancestor of apes. (Ps 8:5) (Gen 3:16)
50. God breathed the breath of life into man. (Gen 2:7)
51. The earliest people were gardeners. (Gen 2:15) man. (Gen 4:2) Less
52. Man was created directly by God. (Gen 1:26-27, 5:1) A emotionally compatible counterpart. (Gen 2:7)
53. The first woman came from Adam. (Gen 2:21-22) created—taken from Adam.
54. Marriage is a permanent union. (Gen 2:24)
55. Genesis 1, which describes the creation of the world, is a separate account from Genesis 2, which describes the creation of man.
56. God established the seven-day week. (Gen 1:5, 8, 13, 16, 22, 23, 29, 31, 2:2, 3) us of His activity and His rest.
57. Eden was a literal place. (Gen 2:8-10)
58. Before the flood, conditions were perfect. (Gen 1:31) chapter 5 of Genesis is a separate account from the rest of the Bible.

Theistic Evolution

62. Cain, Adam and Eve's first son, was banished to a distant land and would not have had a wife, unless he married a subhuman primate or another evolved human.
63. Language evolved slowly; it began with grunts and signs of emotion. (Most linguists admit they do not know how languages multiplied. Today, languages are rapidly becoming extinct.)
64. For a billion years, millions of species have slowly improved and become more complex. This is still happening. New forms of life are always evolving.
65. Death entered the world just after the simplest form of life evolved—a billion years before man evolved.
66. Death preceded the activities that some people call sin.¹⁵
67. The fall of Adam had only spiritual consequences.
68. Ever since plants evolved, some have been poisonous. This enhanced their survivability.
69. Thorns and thistles evolved along with plants.
70. Man's wickedness is a result of his animal nature.
71. God gave Adam a spirit, so Adam was the first primate who could be called human. He died physically as did his primate ancestors, but not as a penalty for disobedience. Adam's penalty for disobedience was only spiritual death—separation from God.
72. Struggle and death preceded man's arrival on earth. This struggle has continued ever since.
73. Man is continually improving—physically, mentally, socially, morally, and spiritually.
74. Because man culminates billions of years of upward progress, his well-being and continued improvement must be our greatest concern.¹⁷

The

62. Adam and Eve had many sons and daughters; some married a sister, or perhaps a brother.
63. Adam, who was created with a spirit, had conversations from the beginning on the day he was created. (Gen 2:15-17; Gen 3:9-10) He was expelled from Eden at Babel. (Gen 11:1-9) [See "The Tower of Babel"]
64. Right after the creation, God gave man dominion over the animals. (Gen 1:31) Since then, things have changed and diversified. We have never seen a man with dominion over the animals.
65. Death entered the world after the fall of Adam.
66. Sin preceded death. (Gen 2:17; Rom 5:12)
67. The fall of Adam had both physical and spiritual consequences. (Gen 3:14-24; Rom 8:18-22; I Cor 15:21-22)
68. Before the fall, every green thing was good for food. (Gen 1:29-30)
69. Adam's sin caused thorns and thistles. (Gen 3:18)
70. Since the fall, man's wickedness has increased. (Gen 6:5-7)
71. The first Adam brought physical life to the humans. The last Adam (Jesus) brought spiritual life. If Adam had not sinned, there would have been no need for a theological correspondence. Both "Adams" had miraculous powers. Both had a penalty for disobedience.
72. The completed creation, with man, was perfect. There was no struggle and no death. (Gen 1:31) From this universal paradise, man was expelled. Someday, this paradise will be restored. (Is 11:6-9, Rev 22:2-3)
73. Since early times, man has been struggling. This was largely inevitable. (Gen 3:17-19) Man's physical and spiritual nature are in conflict. (Gen 3, 5, 6)
74. Because God created man with a spirit, his well-being is our greatest concern. Man, who was created in the image of God, is different from all other creatures. (Gen 1:26-27) Since the beginning of the creation, especially for the last Adam, we have seen many creatures who have sinned.

Having examined the many contradictions between theistic evolution and theism, consider the following question:

If God is not limited in power and could have created the world as He pleased, why did He not create man a record of what He did, and if the scientific evidence is so strong, why does He not reveal it? What prevents you from believing that it actually

Over 150,000 in print!

"The single most useful resource I know of on origins, bar none."

— Dr. Kent Davey, Senior Research Scientist, University of Texas

"Brown's hydroplate theory directly challenges the current plate tectonics model and suggests a major revamping of geological events." — *Chalcedon Report*

"A landmark work!" — Dr. Stanley Mumma, Professor of Engineering, Penn State University

"The most complete reference book I have encountered on the scientific aspects of origins."

— Dr. Stuart Patterson, former Academic Dean and Professor of Chemistry, Furman University

"This book is a must!" — Terrence Mondy, year 2000 Outstanding Biology Teacher for Illinois

"Easy to read, carefully researched, meticulously documented. You owe it to yourself to get this

— *Science Review, Big Book of Home Learning*

In this expanded 8th edition, evidence that revolutionizes our understanding of origins is carefully explained. Part I discusses, in quick overview, 131 categories of evidence from biology, astronomy, earth science, and the physical sciences.

- Does the scientific evidence support evolution or creation?
- What insights do genetics and the fossil record provide?
- What dating techniques indicate a young earth?
- What discoveries in outer space relate to our beginnings?
- Does Noah's Ark exist?

Part II describes the hydroplate theory, developed during 35 years of study and research by Walt Brown. This theory explains a catastrophic event in earth's history and solves a host of recognized problems. For decades, evolutionists complained that creationists only criticized evolution and did not offer sound scientific theories of their own. The hydroplate theory ends that complaint.

- If there was a global flood, where did all the water come from? Where did it go?
- What were the powerful *fountains of the great deep*?

- How was the Grand Canyon carved in weeks after a pre-lake (Grand Lake) breached?
- What evidence shows that the material in comets, asteroids, and meteoroids came from Earth?
- What suddenly froze and buried the mammoths? How could they have survived the 6-month winter nights inside the Arctic?
- How did mountain ranges, volcanoes, submarine canyons, and oil deposits, and deep ocean trenches form?
- What process sorted fossils and produced layered strata?

Thirty-seven other frequently asked questions fill a final Part III, including:

- Is global warming occurring? If so, what causes it?
- Have scientific tools detected genetic traces of Adam within us?
- Is evolution compatible with the Bible?
- How accurate is radiocarbon dating?
- What about the dinosaurs?
- What hydroplate predictions have been confirmed?




Walt Brown received a Ph.D. in mechanical engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), where he was a National Science Foundation Fellow. He has taught college courses in physics, mathematics, and computer science. Brown is a retired Air Force full colonel, West Point graduate, and former Army Ranger and paratrooper. Assignments during his 21 years of military service included: Director of Benét Laboratories (a major research, development, and engineering facility); tenured associate professor at the U.S. Air Force Academy; and Chief of Science and Technology Studies at the Air War College. For much of his life Walt Brown was an evolutionist, but after years of study, he became convinced of the scientific validity of creation and a global flood. Since retiring from the military, Brown has been the Director of the Center for Scientific Creation and has worked full time in research, writing, and teaching on origins. His biography is in *Christian Men of Science: Eleven Men Who Changed the World* by Mulfinger and Orozco.

ISBN-13: 978-1-878026-09-5
ISBN-10: 1-878026-09-7



9 781878 026095

The Token of the Bow: Enoch, Noah, & Reclaiming the Rainbow: Learn the Incredible Reach of Covenants by R Lane Wright – Introductory Pictures from the Text (see if lds book or just general w lds author)



THE TOKEN OF THE BOW
Enoch, Noah and Reclaiming the Rainbow

Table of Contents

THE BOW IN THE CLOUD...OUR STORY
NOAH
BUILDING A BOAT
THE OPEN DOOR.....
THE FOUNTAINS OF THE GREAT DEEP.....
THE RAVEN AND THE DOVE.....
THE FOSSIL RECORD.....
HERE BE DRAGONS.....
RAIN OF HEAVEN.....
A FLOOD, A CITY, AND A GARDEN.....
THE AMAZING REACH OF COVENANTS.....
WHO SAYS THERE WAS A FLOOD ANYWAY?.....
SYMBOLS, SHADOWS AND STONE.....
LET THERE BE LIGHT.....

WHY ARE THERE SO MANY SONGS ABOUT RAINBOWS?	189
IS IT REALLY A RAINBOW AFTER ALL?	201
THIS SHALL BE A TOKEN.....	207
THE COVENANT	211
AFTER THE FLOOD	219
MEAT FOR YOU.....	235
BAPTISM OF THE EARTH.....	243
WHO AM I?	249
CONCLUSION.....	263
INDEX	267

Dire Dragons: Untold Secrets of Planet Earth

by Vance Nelson – Introductory Pictures


from the Text



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE
INTRODUCTION
CARBON DATING OF DINOSAUR
SOFT TISSUE IN A <i>T. rex</i> FEMUR
LIVING FOSSILS
CLAIMED SIGHTINGS OF "EXTINCT"
EARLY PALAEOLOGISTS CALL
THE DINOSAUR THAT LOOKS LIKE
BIBLICAL DRAGONS
EARLY GEOLOGISTS BELIEVED IN
DINOSAURS & ARTWORK
EARLY DINOSAUR RECONSTRUCTION
ANCIENT DINOSAUR DEPICTIONS
WHAT DOES IT ACTUALLY TAKE TO
ANCIENT EXCAVATION & RECONSTRUCTION
ANCIENT VS. MODERN ARTWORK
MODERN DINOSAUR & FOSSIL RECONSTRUCTION
MOAB MASTODON PETROGLYPH
KACHINA BRIDGE SAUROPOD PETROGLYPH
MAYAN HADROSAUR PETROGLYPH
MAYAN <i>Deinonychus</i> MURAL
WARI <i>Protoceratops</i> VESSEL
CHANCAY DINOSAUR FIGURINE
CHANCAY <i>Pteranodon</i> -like FIGURINE
CHIMU HADROSAUR TEXTILE
ST. ANDREW'S HALL JUVENILE THEROPOD
KING EDWARD IV'S THEROPOD
CARLISLE CATHEDRAL SAUROPOD
ST. DAVIDS CATHEDRAL SAUROPOD
BOOK OF HOURS THEROPOD
CHÂTEAU DE CHAMBORD "SALAIS"
FRANÇOIS' <i>Massospondylus</i>
FRANÇOIS' <i>Plateosaurus</i>
COLLAR OF ST. MICHAEL WITH DRAGON
TAPESTRY WITH JUVENILE <i>Maiaspondylus</i>
AZAY-LE-RIDEAU THEROPOD TAPES
ST. GEORGE & THE <i>Nothosaurus</i>
ST. MICHAEL & THE DRAGON
DOGON ORNITHOPOD DINOSAUR
ST. GEORGE & THE THEROPOD
HONGSHAN CULTURE DINOSAUR
CONCLUSION
FOOTNOTES
PHOTOGRAPH & ARTWORK CREDITS
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Château de Chambord - Salamanders




Château de Chambord (above) is one of the most magnificent castles in the Loire Valley of France. This castle was built by François I who was king of France from 1515 A.D. until 1547 A.D. Though François started this castle with the help of individuals like Leonardo da Vinci, he never completely finished it. It was finished
Like many other kings and queens of France, François had an emblem made and incorporated into many of his castles and buildings. King Louis XII, king of France from 1498 A.D. until 1515 A.D., had a porcupine as an emblem (Fig. 82). François' wife,

Claude de France, who was crowned Queen of France in 1514 A.D., had a snake emblem (Fig. 83). Anne of Brittany had an emblem (Fig. 84). The porcupine is a wild animal that was always deemed unacceptable. Claude de France's emblem was the more respected, Anne of Brittany's emblem which was always depicted proudly as would be another real animal which was always depicted proudly as would be in real life. François had the emblem of "the salamander" (Fig. 85). However, his emblem doesn't even remotely resemble a salamander that was common to his area, or any other salamander. (Fig. 86).



Guide to Dinosaurs by Institute for Creation Research (ICR) – Introductory Pictures from the Text



Creation Basics by Institute for Creation
Research (ICR) – Introductory Pictures from
the Text

The Physical Sciences

THE LAWS OF PHYSICS ARE FROM GOD 48

PROBLEMS WITH THE "BIG BANG" 50

RECENT CREATION OF OUR SOLAR SYSTEM 52

THE SUN AND STARS 54

RECENT CREATION OF THE UNIVERSE 56

OUT OF PLACE IN OUTER SPACE 58

STARLIGHT AND TIME 60

The Life Sciences

LIFE'S ALL-OR-NOTHING DESIGN 64

INTERDEPENDENCE IN LIVING THINGS 66

CELLS—WORLDS OF ACTIVITY TOO SMALL TO SEE 68

CREATURE CHANGES 70

VARIATION WITHIN A KIND 72

VARIATION WITHIN SWALLOWTAIL BUTTERFLIES 74

BIOMIMICRY—HUMANS COPYING GOD'S DESIGN 76

DINOSAURS IN SCRIPTURE 78

DINOSAURS AND DRAGON LEGENDS 80

Myths and Fallacies

CHARLES DARWIN 84

TRANSITIONAL FORMS DON'T EXIST 86

HUMANS VS. APES 88

BAD DESIGN? 90

NATURALISTIC ATTEMPTS FAIL TO EXPLAIN LIFE ORIGIN

COMPROMISES TO THE GENESIS TIMELINE 94

UFO MYTHS 96

Foundation to Creation

GENESIS 100

THE CURSE 102

ORIGIN OF PEOPLE GROUPS AND LANGUAGES 106

DESIGN IN CREATION 106

NUMBERS IN NATURE 108

HUMANS ARE UNIQUE 110

Flood Deposits Are Often Continent-Wide

Noah evidently had 120 years of warning that the Flood was coming (Genesis 6-3). In that amount of time, he, his family, and the animals could have walked around the world several times. There was no need for the Ark if the Flood was only local. And if the great Flood was local, it failed its main mission of destroying all humans and land animals, and God had to us when He said He would never send another flood like it (Genesis 9:15), for there have been many local floods. But God does not lie.

Geologic evidence for the Flood reveals its catastrophic origin and widespread nature. The Flood, while global, was not necessarily acting the same at all points on the globe at every moment.

SEDIMENTARY DEPOSITS

The majority of sedimentary deposits are extremely fine grained, like shale, originally deposited as mud. But the tiny grains of mud only collect today on the ocean bottom in still water. The grains filter down through the water at a very slow rate, sometimes taking months to reach the bottom. No long-term calm-water locations can be found in today's world because there are always currents and water disturbances that keep the grains suspended. Yet the world abounds with such "mud rocks" in a clump. This happens in laboratory experiments only when the water is treated with unusual chemicals. But as larger agglomerations, they fall only through the water and can flow down a gradual slope. Such a fortuitous combination of circumstances can hardly happen in nature today and could never occur on a wide scale. But during the Flood, they would happen.



Grand Canyon possesses strata laid during the early Flood while Zions were being laid down from the middle Flood, and Purple Cliffs shows strata that are typically laid out of catastrophic origin—the signature of the great Flood of Noah's day.

THE GREAT UNIFORMITY

Right below the horizontal layers is an erosion surface so extensive that it is called the Great Unconformity. It occurs throughout the world.





Introduction to Creation

WHY STUDY CREATION? 10

FINDING ANSWERS 12

IN THE BEGINNING 14



The Earth Sciences

THE FLOOD IS THE KEY 18

THE FLOOD ACCORDING TO THE BIBLE 20

FLOOD DEPOSITS ARE OFTEN CONTINENT-WIDE 22

THE FLOOD WAS CATASTROPHIC 24

GRAND CANYON 26



FOSSILS FROM THE FLOOD 28

THE FOSSIL RECORD 30

ORIGINAL TISSUE IN FOSSILS 32

PLATE TECTONICS 34




MOUNT ST. HELENS 36

THE ICE AGE 38


FLOOD LEGENDS FROM AROUND THE WORLD 40

CARBON DATING 42

RADIOMETRIC DATING 44



Guide to the Universe by Institute for Creation Research (ICR) – Introductory Pictures from the Text




From our radiant sun to the brilliance of distant galaxies, the vast universe reveals breathtaking beauty and majesty. Yet scientists tell conflicting accounts of its origins. Did God create it? Or did the universe just explode into existence? Find answers to these and other intriguing questions, such as...

- How does the moon support life on Earth?
- Are new stars really being born?
- What do astronauts do on the International Space Station?

Guide to the Universe explores evidence of our Creator's power and the truth of His Word—even to the remotest parts of the cosmos.

ORIGINS OF AN ORCHESTRATED UNIVERSE	8
WHAT DOES THE BIBLE SAY ABOUT SPACE?	10
ASTRONOMERS IN HISTORY	12
THE COPERNICAN REVOLUTION	14
ISAAC NEWTON, FATHER OF PHYSICS	16
THE ACHIEVEMENTS OF ALBERT EINSTEIN	18
HOW BIG IS OUR UNIVERSE?	20
ORIGINS OF THE BIG BANG	22
CREATION COSMOLOGIES	24
OUR PERFECTLY BALANCED SOLAR SYSTEM	26
THE SUN: SUPPORTING LIFE ON EARTH	28
MELTING ON MERCURY	30
VENUS, EARTH'S SISTER PLANET	32
OUR EXTRAORDINARY EARTH	36
THE MAKING OF THE MOON	38
MYSTERIOUS MARS	40
JUPITER, A GIANT JEWEL	42



MAN ON THE MOON	88
HOW TO BE AN ASTRONAUT	90
THE HUMAN BODY IN SPACE	92
SENDING OUT SATELLITES	94
SCIENCE FICTION VS. REALITY	96
BUILDING THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION	
LIFE ON THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION	1
PHOTOS FROM SPACE	102
CAUTION: ASTRONAUTS AT WORK	104

Additional Resources on Creation Science

See book The Long War Against God: The History and Impact of the

Creation/Evolution Conflict by Henry Morris. Suggested by Dr. Kent Hovind.

Worldwide List of Alternative Theories and Critics by Jean de Climont - Free on Google Books

<https://books.google.com/books?id=KnzBDjnGlgYC&lpg=PP1&hl=fr&pg=PP1#v=onepage&q&f=false>

[Episode 354 - Solutions: Open Science - The Corbett Report](#)