


Not Quite Evolved!

Jokes, Quotes & Facts on the Scientific
& Religious Problems with Evolution
Theory

Latter-day Saint Edition



R. Nathan Richardson

Copyright Nate Richardson 2024. This book may be shared for non-profit purposes.

Nate is a member of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

Visit RichardsonStudies.com for similar resources.



I take a bold stance on this issue, as I believe it is my prerogative and calling to do so, but I can still shake hands and serve with anyone. As for me, I view evolution as not only incorrect, but evil. I view it as something that undermines society and erodes faith. Therefore, I will continue to do all in my power to bring it down.

I don't support every show these memes are from; they're just popular symbols used to relate to what many are familiar with.

Color code of text in this book:

Red – Scriptures.

Blue – Latter-day Prophets

Green – Scientists.

Check Out My Other Books with More Detailed Evidences

This book gives an overview of some key ideas, and focuses on the fun stuff.

Be sure to check out my more detailed evidence against evolution from science scripture and latter-day prophets in my other book, “Inspired Science & Religion: The Battle Against Evolution in the Restored Church” (two volumes).

That book covers the following subjects:

- Evolution Advocacy at BYU
- Responding to Evolutionary Science Claims
- Restored Church Still Against Evolution
- Doctrines of the Creation
- Evolution’s Impact on Testimony



Contents

| | |
|--|-----|
| BYU, What are You Doing? | 6 |
| Chillin' in Eden with the Dinos & No Death Before the Fall..... | 12 |
| Come on Guys, God Used Evolution! | 19 |
| Faith Crisis! Evolution vs Scripture, & False Teachers | 32 |
| The Church Said What?..... | 62 |
| Biology's Tree, & Geology's Column | 87 |
| It's a Young Earth Afterall: Earth's Temporal Lifespan & Radiometric Dating | 94 |
| Bro, Was There Even a Flood?..... | 100 |
| Who's Your Daddy? The Literal Parenthood of God & The First Man Adam | 105 |
| Show Me Those Similarities! Homology, Vestigial, & Embryos..... | 123 |
| Common Ancestor? Species Change? | 129 |
| Now Where Did I Put That Missing Link... Hominid Horrors..... | 136 |
| Genocide: That's Definitely Racist | 152 |
| What are the Odds! Evolution & DNA | 163 |


Contemplating TRUE Creation: Transportation, Not Evolution.....168

Dogma of the Schools & Bias.....176

Culture Warriors: We Don’t Keep Calm230



BYU, What are You Doing?



I don't think Brigham Young would approve of teaching evolution at his school. How do I know? He explicitly spoke against Darwin. Here he is:

“We have enough and to spare, at present in these mountains, of schools where young infidels are made because the teachers are so tender-footed that they dare not mention the principles of the gospel to their pupils, but have no hesitancy in introducing into the classroom the theories of Huxley, of Darwin, or of Miall . . . this course I am resolutely and **uncompromisingly opposed to**, and I hope to see the day when the doctrines of the gospel will be taught in all our schools, when the revelation of the Lord will be our texts, and our books will be written and manufactured by ourselves and in our own midst. As a beginning in this direction I have endowed the Brigham Young Academy at Provo.”
(Brigham Young, Letters of Brigham Young to His Sons, p. 200)

Brigham Young
University

Q Search...

[Home](#) / [Courses](#) / [Evolutionary Biology](#)

BIO 420

Evolutionary Biology

[Biology](#) • College of Life Sciences



Course Information

Course Description

Intensive examination of evolution as the conceptual cornerstone of biology.

Even their Bio 100 required class pushes evolution. I have recordings from my BYU Bio 100 professor telling us all that we descended from a common ancestor with plants and animals.






"Science dominated by the spirit of religion is the key to progress and the hope of the future."—David O. McKay

<https://lifesciences.byu.edu/magazine/50-years-of-teaching-evolution-at-byu>.

Secular church member teacher Ben Spackman said, "Well, **It's very clear that apostles, prophets and scriptures reject evolution** and, ah, you decide that science is a conspiracy, it's false, maybe it's satanic, and you start claiming that true church doctrine is a young earth and, ah, creationism and moreover those professors at BYU are leading the church astray. They're off base. Currently this is the heartlander movement. They are

literally doing this.” He also said, “The Problem in the Church is **not** that you can’t be an evolutionist and accept church doctrine. **The problem is the nature of prophets, scripture and revelation. If you think they must know what God knows and always teach what God knows as facts,** by that standard. Again I want to be clear—by that standard—**Our Prophets and scriptures are false.**”




(5/4/2020,

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0xPAI_GmgNA&t=249s)

PhD. Ugo A. Perego joined the chorus of scriptural-non-literalism and taught at a 2017 FairMormon conference, “look I think Genesis is a story it’s not science but it’s a story...” (min. 17:33


<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HKsaK0ZKbVk>)

Joseph Fielding Smith wasn’t on the bandwagon. He said, “many Christian ministers, so-called, have been caught in the web of **modernism and organic evolution and have rejected the fundamental doctrines of Christianity; and they, like the Christians in the days of Rome, have mingled their religious views with these modern (pagan) teachings.**” (Joseph Fielding Smith, *Man: His Origin and Destiny*)



Chillin' in Eden with the Dinos & No Death Before the Fall


Special thanks to Jeremy Michel for these Eden dino pictures! Check out his Dinosaurs in Scripture presentations!



President Benson taught, “the Book of Mormon exposes the enemies of Christ [and] confounds false doctrines” (Teachings of Presidents of the Church: Ezra Taft Benson [2014], 132).

Here is a key passage from the Book of Mormon against evolution, wherein the prophets Lehi teaches about the impossibility of death and birth before the fall of Adam:

“22 And now, behold, if Adam had not transgressed he would not have fallen, but he would have remained in the garden of Eden. And **all things which were created must have remained in the **same state** in which they were after they were created; and they must have remained forever, and had no end. 23 And they would have had no children; wherefore they would have remained in a state of innocence, having no joy, for they knew no misery; doing no good, for they knew no sin.”** (2 Ne. 2:22)



The Bible is not silent on this topic either.

Genesis 3:17-20 shows even plant life was impacted by the Fall of man, and that Eve was the mother of ALL living: “17 And unto Adam he said, Because thou hast hearkened unto the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thee, saying, Thou shalt not eat of it: cursed is the ground for thy sake; in sorrow shalt thou eat of it all the days of thy life; 18 Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field; 19 In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return. 20 And Adam called his wife's name Eve; because she was the mother of all living.”

Romans 8 :21-22 speaks of all of creation being cursed: “21 Because the creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. 22 For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now.”

1 Corinthians 15:21-22, 26, and 45 speak of Adam as the first man, and as death entering the world at his Fall: “21


For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead.

22 For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.

26 The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death.

45 And so it is written, The first man Adam was made a living soul; the last Adam was made a quickening spirit.”

Romans 5: 12-14 also teachings these doctrines of death originating from sin of man: “12 Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned: 13 (For until the law sin was in the world: but sin is not imputed when there is no law. 14 Nevertheless death reigned from Adam to Moses, even over them that had not sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression, who is the figure of him that was to come.”



The 1st Presidency published in 1972 in “Selections from Answers to Gospel Questions” that **"The animals were all created and placed on the earth preceding the coming of Adam and Eve. In fact the whole earth and**

the creatures on it were prepared for Adam and Eve before Adam's fall.... The earth and all upon it were *not subject to death* until Adam fell.... It was through the fall of Adam that death came into the world." (pp. 53-54, 111)




Brigham Young echoed this teaching, that all life, not just human life, was cursed at the time of the fall: "they transgressed a command of the Lord, and through that transgression sin came into the world. . . . **Then came the curse** upon the fruit, upon the vegetables, and upon our mother earth; and it came upon the creeping things, upon the grain in the field, the fish in the sea, and **upon all things pertaining to this earth**, through Man's transgression." (Brigham Young, Journal of Discourses, 10:312)

Harold B. Lee also taught that the fall of Adam impacted the entire Earth, including animal and plant life: **"Besides the Fall having had to do with Adam and Eve, causing a change to come over them, that change affected all human nature, all of the natural creations, all of the creation of animals, plants—all kinds of life were changed. The earth itself became subject to death. . . . How it took place no one can explain, and**


anyone who would attempt to make an explanation would be going far beyond anything the Lord has told us. But **a change was wrought over the whole face of the creation, which up to that time had not been subject to death.**" (Teachings of Presidents of the Church: Harold B. Lee, 2000, p. 20)

Bruce R. McConkie demonstrated that the creation before the fall was paradisaical, and not based in evolution. He said, "**There is no salvation in a system of religion that rejects the doctrine of the Fall or that assumes man is the end product of evolution and so was not subject to a fall.** True believers know that this earth and man and **all forms of life** were created in an Edenic, or **paradisiacal**, state in which there was no mortality, no procreation, **no death.**" (The Caravan Moves On by Elder Bruce R McConkie)
(<https://www.lds.org/general-conference/1984/10/the-caravan-moves-on?lang=eng&query=evolution#watch=video>)

For more of these quotes see my other books on the subject.



Come on Guys, God Used Evolution!





| <u>God's Plan</u> | <u>Devil's Plan</u> |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|
| The creation by God. | The explosion of nothing. |
| The fall from God. | The climb from slime. |
| The atonement/returning to God. | Death. End of existence. |

Stephen Meyer's research exposing evolution lead him to say, "Why attempt to reconcile traditional Christian theology with Darwin's theory as Collins tries to do if the theory itself has begun to collapse?" (Stephen Meyer, Darwin's Doubt)


Here are some religious reasons why God didn't use evolution:

- Scriptures disagree
- LDS Prophets disagree


- 1st Presidency statements say Adam was the "direct lineal offspring" of God.
- Luke gives a genealogy and says Adam's dad is God.
- Its undirected ("Natural" selection)
- Why look to a worldly idea for truth?
- Darwin was evil.
- Evolution was made to get rid of God.
- Intelligent element responds to God's authority.
- It requires Adam's dad to be a monkey-man.
- It denies that man was made in the image of God.
- It denies the fall, which also undermines the atonement.
- It spiritualizes the scriptures, not allowing them to be literal.
- It denies the flood of Noah.

Here are some scientific reasons why God didn't use evolution:


- It's wasteful.
- It takes forever.
- It's based a long chain of unplanned events so unlikely they may as well be considered impossible.
- Its cruel.
- There are more efficient ways to create, therefore its not charitable.
- Science often contradicts it.
- It requires a way too old earth.
- Atheist agenda holds up the fake science
- Tax dollars hold up the fake science.
- Opposition to it is systemically suppressed.
- It doesn't work with known laws of genetics.
- There is insufficient proof for it in the fossil record.
- It's statistically not possible for the amount of time given, to mutate enough genes to create complex life.




If the world said the moon were made of cheese, and Christians said no, God made the moon, and he wouldn't have made it out of cheese, have we reached a satisfactory compromise when we say the moon is made of cheese, but God made it that way? The entire premise is flawed, and putting God into it isn't getting us any closer to the truth. Surely the God of order is offended when we blame Him for evolutionary claims. Though the whole world believe the moon to be of cheese, the saints will not!




EVOLUTION



**SO YOU THINK THE CREATION
WAS BY NATURAL UNGUIDED
PROCESSES OVER BILLIONS OF YEARS**







**I HAVE A VERY
SPECIAL SET OF SKILLS**

**I KNOW THE SCRIPTURES VERY
WELL, AND IF YOU TRY TO SAY GOD
USED EVOLUTION, I WILL PROVE YOU WRONG**

imgflip.com

**EVOLUTION IS JUST
THE WAY GOD CREATED**

**YOU THINK HE REALLY
NEEDED ALL THAT TIME?**



**I MEAN,
ISN'T HE PATIENT?**


**I MEAN, ISN'T
HE POWERFUL?**

**I SUPPOSE HE DID PERFORM
SOME RATHER RAPID HEALINGS...**

imgflip.com

AMEN





**NATURAL
SELECTION, SURVIVAL
OF THE FITTEST**



**GOD USED
EVOLUTION**






GOD USED EVOLUTION



I'll allow it.







Faith Crisis! Evolution vs Scripture, & False Teachers

“Evolution is promulgated as an ideology, a secular religion – a full fledged alternative to Christianity, with meaning and morality...Evolution is a religion. This was true of evolution in the beginning, and it is true of evolution still today.” (Michael Ruse, Professor of

“It is **incumbent upon each informed and spiritually attuned person to help overcome such foolishness of those who would deny divine creation or think that mankind simply evolved.** by the spirit, we perceive the **truer and more believable** wisdom of god.” (Elder Russel M. Nelson, p10, The Power Within Us, or The Magnificence of Man, March 29 1987, BYU Devotional <https://speeches.byu.edu/talks/russell-m-nelson/magnificence-man/>)



Consider the Lord’s displeasure with the educational establishment of our times as expressed in these verses:

2 Ne. 28:9: “**9** Yea, and there shall be many which shall teach after this manner, false and vain and foolish doctrines, and shall be puffed up in their hearts, and shall seek deep to hide their counsels from the Lord; and their works shall be in the dark.”

2 Ne. 28:11-12: “**11** Yea, they have all gone out of the way; they have become corrupted. **12** Because of pride, and because of **false teachers**, and false doctrine, their

churches have become corrupted, and their churches are lifted up; because of pride they are puffed up.”

2 Ne. 28:14-15: “**14** They wear stiff necks and high heads; yea, and because of pride, and wickedness, and abominations, and whoredoms, they have all gone astray save it be a few, who are the humble followers of Christ; nevertheless, they are led, that in **many instances they do err because they are taught by the precepts of men.** **15** O the wise, and the learned, and the rich, that are puffed up in the pride of their hearts, and all those who **preach false doctrines**, and all those who commit whoredoms, and pervert the right way of the Lord, wo, wo, wo be unto them, saith the Lord God Almighty, for they shall be thrust down to hell!”

ACADEMIC FRAUD DENIAL:

In a world where academic fraud runs wild, how are the saints so dismissive of the entire problem? Have they not read the Book of Mormon which assures us that our times will be fraught with secret combinations? Here are just a few references every latter-day saint should be familiar with:

Ether 11:22: “And they did reject all the words of the prophets, because of their secret society and wicked abominations.”

2 Ne. 9:9: “...the father of lies...stirreth up the children of men unto secret combinations...”

2 Ne. 10:15: “...I must needs destroy the secret works of darkness...”

Alma 37:30: "...the judgments of God did come upon these workers of darkness and secret combinations."

Helaman 2:13 "And behold, in the end of this book ye shall see that this Gadianton did prove the overthrow, yea, almost the entire destruction of the people of Nephi."

Ether 8:22: "And whatsoever nation shall uphold such secret combinations, to get power and gain, until they shall spread over the nation, behold, they shall be destroyed."

3 Ne. 16:10 bears a similar message, warning that if we persist in rejecting the fullness of the gospel, it will be withdrawn: "And thus commandeth the Father that I should say unto you: At that day when the Gentiles shall sin against my gospel, and shall reject the fulness of my gospel, and shall be lifted up in the pride of their hearts above all nations, and above all the people of the whole earth, and shall be filled with all manner of lyings, and of deceits, and of mischiefs, and all manner of hypocrisy, and murders, and priestcrafts, and whoredoms, and of secret abominations; and if they shall do all those things, and shall reject the fulness of my gospel, behold, saith the Father, I will bring the fulness of my gospel from among them."

Are our teachers polluting the holy Church of God, as Mormon foresaw? He said, "O ye pollutions, ye hypocrites, **ye teachers**, who sell yourselves for that which will canker, why have ye **polluted the holy church of God**? Why are ye ashamed to take upon you the name of Christ? Why do ye not think that greater is

the value of an endless happiness than that misery which never dies—because of the **praise of the world?**”
(Mormon 8:38)

Note that the holy church of God surely refers directly to the restored Church. Also note that taking upon us the name of Christ means enduring the shame of the world for standing by what Christ has taught through his prophets. It’s more than merely identifying as a Christian, or as a member of Christ’s restored Church.

Let’s all take accountability for where we are and commit to a revival of faith in the fullness of the restored gospel. Let’s fulfill prophecy of a generation who knows their religion better, not worse, than any past generation. This is our destiny. Will we rise to it, or will we demand a watered-down version of the truth? Will we take the worldly ways rather than God’s ways, like ancient Israel who demanded a king, despite the prophet’s warnings? They got what they asked for, and so will we.



The Book of Mormon prophet Lehi our rejection of creation doctrine! He prophesied, “...the time cometh

that **they shall dwindle in unbelief**, after they have received so great blessings from the hand of the Lord—**having a knowledge of the creation** of the earth, and all men, **knowing** the great and marvelous works of the Lord from the creation of the world...” (2 Ne. 1:10)



Scriptures of the restoration go against evolution. For starters:

-2 Nephi 2:22 there was no birth or death before the fall of Adam.

-D&C 77:6-7, 12 Earth's temporal lifespan is 7,000 years (not billions).

-JST 2 Peter 3:8; Facs. 2 Fig. 1; Abr. 3:6-11 Each day of creation being 1000 years, not millions or billions of years.

-JST 2 Peter 3:5-7; Gen. 1:1-10 Earth was created by water and was later covered by a worldwide flood higher than the mountains which Noah and the animals couldn't just run away from.

-D&C 84:16 Adam was the first man.

-D&C 29:34 We shouldn't separate spiritual and temporal things.

And a few from the Bible:

-Luke 3:38 Adam was literally a son of God (not a son of millions of years of monkeys and humanoids).

-Psalms 19:1 Nature does prove God.

-Romans 5:12, 14 By one man sin and death entered the world.

-1 Cor. 15:21-22,26 by the man Adam came death.

-Genesis 3:17-19; Romans 8:18-22: Plants were also affected by the Fall.


-Genesis 1, 6, 7, Moses 2: Animals only reproduce after their kind (no common ancestor).

-Genesis 3:17-20 shows even plant life was impacted by the Fall of man, and that Eve was the mother of ALL living.

-Romans 8 :21-22 speaks of all of creation being cursed.

-1 Corinthians 15:21-22, 26, and 45 speak of Adam as the first man, and of death entering the world at his Fall.

-Romans 5: 12-14 also teaches these doctrines of death originating from the sin of man.




WHO WOULD WIN?

HEALS PEOPLE INSTANTLY
CREATED EARTH IN 7 DAYS
SELF-EXISTENT
OMNIPOTENT
TELLS A STORM TO STOP
ALLOWS AGENCY
RAISES THE DEAD
OMNIPRESENT
OMNISCIENT
SACRIFICES FOR ALL
FORESEES FUTURE
SENDS PROPHETS

imgflip.com

WARM LITTLE POND
NATURAL SELECTION
SURVIVAL OF THE FITTEST
MAYBE HOMINIDS
TAX FUNDING
CRUSHES OPPOSITION
NO PURPOSE
GOD USED EVOLUTION
NO OFFICIAL POSITION
ISN'T IT WONDERFUL?
BILLIONS OF YEARS
IMPOSSIBLY SMALL ODDS
ON YOUR OWN



Evolution is the opposite of the bible!

| BIBLE: | EVOLUTION: |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Earth before sun & stars. | Sun & stars before Earth. |
| Oceans before land. | Land before ocean |
| Light before sun. | Sun before light. |
| Land plants before marine life. | Marine life before plants. |
| Fruit trees before fish. | Fish before fruit trees. |
| Fish before insects. | Insects before fish. |
| Plants before sun. | Sun before plants. |
| Birds before reptiles. | Reptiles before birds. |
| Man brought death into the world. | Death brought man into the world. |
| God made man. | Man made God. |

(Genesis 1)



Stephen Meyer in “Darwin’s Doubt” talks about nature’s **witness of God as is evident in nature**, and how modern science has decided to refuse to look at that. Here are some important points he makes:

1. The book “The invisible Man” by GK Chesterton is about how someone was murdered while four honest guards did not detect the murder. It was the mailman who clearly walked up and into the house and back out - they just didn't suspect him.
2. This is like how **nature clearly shows** an intelligent designer - it's just that the scientists are **unwilling to acknowledge** the designer.
3. It's not just that nature does not look like it evolved, nature **specifically looks like it was designed**. But Neo-Darwinists respond to this natural phenomenon by calling it an illusion.
4. The commitment to materialism in science causes them to reject intelligent design. It's not that materialism is what the evidence shows, it's their only allowed framework, even when the evidence points elsewhere.
5. Scientists have decided by fiat to exclude anything involving intelligent design and this is greatly hindering scientific progress, limiting the types of theories that are tested, etc.
6. We shouldn't be committed to abstract criteria about whether something is scientific or not. **There are disagreements about what science is. Rather we should focus on whether or not something is true.**
7. **Evolution's monopoly on science** today stifles discussion.

8. Intelligent design **detects and identifies** creation, it doesn't just say there's a designer. The ability to detect design brings science and faith into real harmony.



Don't Trust False Teachers!

“And also trust no one to be your teacher nor your minister, except he be a man of God, walking in his ways and keeping his commandments.” (Mosiah 23:14).

The personal life of Charles Darwin is of great concern. Charles Darwin was cruel to animals as a child and continued in his reclusive and inhumane habits throughout his life toward his wife and others. There was tremendous conflict in his married life as his wife was very religious. The further he became entrenched in his theory of evolution, the more he hated life, and could not find beauty in nature. He said in 1860, “the sight of a

feather in a peacock's tail, whenever I gaze at it, makes me sick!" He was tormented by nature's continual witness of design. Evolution theory poisoned Darwin because it was from an impure source.

Nephi spoke of how sinful man will lose the ability to hear God. He said, "...ye have heard his voice from time to time; and he hath spoken unto you in a still small voice, but ye were past feeling, that ye could not feel his words;..." (1 Ne. 17:45)

This very thing happened to Darwin. Joseph Fielding Smith described, "It seems that Darwin himself underwent the same experience. He lost his religion when he lost confidence in Paley's evidences. He says: "The old argument from design in Nature, as given by Paley, which formerly seemed to me so conclusive, fails, now that the law of natural selection has been discovered. We can no longer argue that, for instance, the beautiful hinge of a bivalve shell must have been made by an intelligent being, like the hinge of a door by man." "At the present day," he continues, "the most usual argument for the existence of an intelligent God is drawn from the deep inward conviction and feeling which are experienced by most persons." Formerly he was led by feelings such as those just referred to, to the firm conviction of the existence of God and of the immortality of the soul. The grandeur of the Brazillian forest, he says, used to inspire him with religious awe. "But now the grandest scenes would not cause any such convictions and feelings to arise in my mind. It may be truly said that I am like a man who has become color-blind." In another passage he mentions the fact that his love for poetry has gradually disappeared—a proof of the withering effect

which continual scientific investigation may exert upon the soul!" (quoting from Introduction to Philosophy, by Dr. Friedrich Paulsen, pp. 159-160.) (Joseph Fielding Smith, Man: His Origin and Destiny, Ch. 4 The Doctrine of God)

More concerning elements of Darwin's personal life include his occultic ties. Darwin frequently attended seances with George Elliott. Darwin was intrigued by mysticism and was close friends with Max Muller who translated the Rig Vedas. Darwin was from a wealthy family and was funded by the Royal society to downplay monotheism and destroy Christianity (see The Genesis 6 Conspiracy p.516).

We must acknowledge that God's spirit of inspiration will hardly work with an immoral person. Good scientists get inspiration from God, and bad scientists get inspiration from the Devil. Let's not be shy about the reality of the Devil and his power to influence us. Jesus preached more about hell and the Devil than any other biblical preacher. We must be awake to the horrifying possibilities of the Devil to overtake anyone who is not keeping God's law! He is a master deceiver and has even deceived the whole world (Rev. 12:9).

Isaac Newton was an inspired scientists who discovered truth by the influence of the spirit of God. Consider what he said: "All my discoveries have been made in answer to prayer." Also, "I believe the more I study science, the more I believe in God." And finally, "A man may imagine things that are false, but he can only understand things that are true." Newton's Christian piety was well


known to all, and his contributions to scientific understanding are unparalleled.



(Image: Isaac Newton, Wiki Commons)








imgflip.com






**ME WONDERING
IF EVOLUTION IS TRUE**



ME KNOWING IT'S NOT





"WE HAVE YOU NOW CREATIONISTS!"




NO YOU DON'T



























Note – don't think I'm going into Catholic-Church-on-Galileo-type stuff. Scriptures against evolution are plain and abundant, very much unlike scriptures about the sun going around the Earth. Scriptures are clear that death before sin is heresy.








**BABE PLEASE,
JUST ACCEPT EVOLUTION**

SORRY, NO PROOF





President Nelson Against Evolution

Here Elder Nelson responded to the question of whether the church has an official position on Darwinian evolution. Look at the conversation:

“Different denominations deal differently with questions about life’s origins and development. Conservative denominations tend to have more trouble with **Darwinian evolution. Does the church have an official position on this topic?**

Nelson: We believe that God is our creator and that he has created other forms of life. It’s interesting to me, drawing on my 40 years experience as a medical doctor, how similar those species are. We developed open-heart surgery, for example, experimenting on lower animals simply because the same creator made the human being. We owe a lot to those lower species. **But** to think that man evolved from one species to another is, to me, incomprehensible.

Why is that?

Nelson: **Man has always been man. Dogs have always**

been dogs. Monkeys have always been monkeys. It's just the way genetics works." (May 16 2007, In Focus: Mormonism in Modern America, Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life interview with Russel M Nelson <https://bycommonconsent.com/2007/05/20/elder-nelson-doesnt-believe-in-evolution/>)



"Through the ages, **some without scriptural understanding** have tried to explain our existence by **pretentious words** such as **ex nihilo** (out of nothing). Others have deduced that, because of certain **similarities between different forms of life**, there has been a **natural selection** of the species, or organic evolution from one form to another. Still others have concluded that man came as a consequence of a "**big bang**" that resulted in the creation of our planet and life upon it. **To me, such theories are unbelievable!**" (Russell M Nelson, BYU, 1987, "The Magnificence of Man")

"The creation of a **PARADISIACAL PLANET** came from God. **MORTALITY AND DEATH CAME INTO THE WORLD** through the Fall of Adam. Immortality and the possibility of eternal life were provided by the Atonement of Jesus Christ." (Russell M Nelson, April 2000, General Conference, "The Creation")

<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/general-conference/2000/04/the-creation?lang=eng>)

Nelson's above teaching of the pre-fall paradise planet is particularly useful against the Christian evolutionist claim that before the fall, things were evolving 'outside of Eden' but not in Eden. The prophetic teaching is that the whole planet was a paradise planet before the fall! Does natural selection, survival of the fittest, animals killing each other for millions of years, sound like a paradise planet?

Remember 2 Ne. 2:22 emphatically states that "all" things must have remained in the state after which they were created were it not for the fall of Adam. It reads: **"...all things which were created must have remained in the same state in which they were after they were created; and they must have remained forever, and had no end. 23 And they would have had no children; ..."**

1st Presidency Official Declarations Against Evolution

Take a look at the official 1909 1st Presidency statements against evolution. While some try and dodge the obvious, would you agree that these teachings are directly against evolution?

"The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, basing its belief on divine revelation, ancient and modern, **proclaims man to be the direct and lineal offspring of Deity**. By his Almighty power God organized the earth, and all that it contains, from spirit and element, which exist co-eternally with himself."

“It is held by some that Adam was not the first man upon this earth, and that the original human being was a development from lower orders of the animal creation. These, however, are the theories of men. The word of the Lord declares that Adam was ‘the first man of all men’ (Moses 1:34), and we are therefore in duty bound to regard him as the primal parent of our race. It was shown to the brother of Jared that all men were created in the beginning after the image of God; and whether we take this to mean the spirit or the body, or both, it commits us to the same conclusion: **Man began life as a human being, in the likeness of our heavenly Father.” (The First Presidency, “The Origin of Man,” *Improvement Era*, Nov. 1909, 81; *Ensign*, Feb. 2002, 30.) (Joseph F. Smith, John R. Winder, Anthon H. Lund) (Reprinted in the Ensign 2002 at <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/ensign/2002/02/the-origin-of-man?lang=eng>)**

Again in 1925 another 1st Presidency statement echoed these teachings:

“...All men and women are in the similitude of the universal Father and Mother, and are **literally sons and daughters of Deity...**Man is the child of God, formed in the divine image and endowed with divine attributes**, and even as the infant son of an earthly father and mother is capable in due time of becoming a man, so that undeveloped offspring of celestial parentage is capable, by experience through ages and aeons, of evolving into a God.” (“**Mormon View of Evolution:**” **1925 First Presidency Message.** Heber J. Grant, Anthony W. Ivins, Charles W. Nibley)**

And no, the word evolve doesn't have to mean from slime, clearly here it refers to forward progress, not to backward origins from lower lifeforms! And yes they say aeons, but that traditionally has only referred to a few thousand years. After all, scriptures say Abraham is already an enthroned god! D&C 132:37 says

“[Abraham Isaac and Jacob] did none other things than that which they were commanded; and because they did none other things than that which they were commanded, they have entered into their exaltation, according to the promises, and sit upon thrones, and are not angels but are gods.”

Elder McConkie also called for the plain acceptance of the 1909 message. He said, “Should we accept **the famous document of the First Presidency** issued in the days of President **Joseph F. Smith** and entitled “**The Origin of Man**” as meaning exactly what it says?” (Elder **Bruce R. McConkie**, June 1, 1980, The Seven Deadly Heresies, <https://speeches.byu.edu/talks/bruce-r-mcconkie/seven-deadly-heresies/>)

I believe that the attempt to mesh evolution with established religious doctrine approaches the prophecy of Isaiah 5:20, “Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness; that put bitter for sweet, and sweet for bitter!”



I'm a child
of God, by processes
of evolution
from lower species.



I'm the
direct lineal
offspring of God.

Alleged Joseph F Smith 1910 Statement Allowing for Evolution? Nope, it was just a Random unnamed Article:

PS – the alleged 1910 Joseph F. Smith statement which suggests man may have evolved in natural processes isn't actually a Joseph F. Smith statement. It's taken from a manual published in his time, and his name is nowhere on it! And it certainly wouldn't match Joseph F.'s verified teachings, his 1st Presidency message from the year before, and his firing 3 BYU professors for teaching evolution! More on that in my other volumes dealing in more detail with the subject.

Here are some of Joseph F.'s bold teachings against evolution!

“Our father Adam—that is our earthly father—the progenitor of the human race of man, stands at the head being ‘Michael the Archangel, the Ancient of Days,’ and...was not fashioned from earth like an adobe but begotten by his Father in Heaven.” (President Joseph F.

Smith, President Anthon H. Lund, and President Charles W. Penrose. The First Presidency, Letter to Samuel O. Bennion, February 26, 1912)

“We did not spring from spawn. Our spirits existed from the beginning, have existed always, and will continue forever. We did not pass through the ordeals of embodiment in the lesser animals in order to reach the perfection to which we have attained in manhood and womanhood, in the image and likeness of God. God was and is our Father, and his children were begotten in the flesh of his own image and likeness, male and female.”
(Joseph F. Smith, Gospel Doctrine, p. 25)



The “No Official Position” New Era Article?

PS – What about October 2016 The New Era article which claims that the Church has no official position on evolution? It isn't a 1st Presidency authoritative statement, no name is on it, and it directly contradicts earlier 1st Presidency statements. Ironically (and hilariously) the article does link to the actual church position on evolution from 1909. There's also a plague at

the BYU Bean Science museum which quotes this 2016 article promoting the no official position idea. More on that in my other books which deal in more detail with the subject.

Elder Boyd K. Packer heard the claim about there not being an official Church position on evolution and responded: **“Twice the First Presidency has declared the position of the Church on organic evolution.** The first, a statement published in 1909 entitled The Origin of Man was signed by Presidents Joseph F. Smith, John R. Winder, and Anthon H. Lund. The other, entitled Mormon View of Evolution, signed by Presidents Heber J. Grant, Anthony W. Ivins, and Charles W. Nibley, was published in 1925. It follows very closely the first statement, indeed quotes directly from it.” (Boyd K. Packer, The Law and the Light, Book of Mormon Symposium, BYU, 30 October 1988)

Aware of these type of issues, President Ezra Taft Benson gave a similar warning that we would sometimes hear false doctrine from church pulpits, and said we are being tested before the Church is cleansed as the wheat grows up with the tares. He said, **“Sometimes, from behind the pulpit, in our classrooms, in our council meetings, and in our Church publications,** we hear, read, or witness things that **do not square with the truth.** . . . Now, do not let this serve as an excuse for your own wrongdoing. The Lord is **letting the wheat and the tares mature before He fully purges** the Church. He is also testing you to see if you will be misled. The devil is trying to **deceive the very elect.** Let me give you a crucial key to help you avoid being deceived. It is this-learn to keep your eye on the prophet.

He is the Lord's mouthpiece and the only man who can speak for the Lord today. Let his inspired counsel take precedence. Let his inspired words be a basis for evaluating the counsel of all lesser authorities. Then live close to the Spirit so you may know the truth of all things." (Teachings of Ezra Taft Benson, p. 134)

The Organic Evolution Church History Web Page?

PS – The "Church History: Organic Evolution" web page takes tons of things out of context, again, see my other books dealing with the subject in greater detail. For one, they quote Joseph F. Smith saying leave science to the scientists, what what was the context? Take a quick look at how the full statement shows clearly that he was against evolution:

"...These theories may have a fascination for our teachers and they may find interest in the study of them, but they are not properly within the scope of the purpose for which these schools were organized. Some of our teachers are anxious to explain how much of the theory of evolution, in their judgment, is true, and what is false, but that only leaves their students in an unsettled frame of mind. **They are not old enough and learned enough to discriminate, or put proper limitations upon a theory which we believe is more or less a fallacy.** In reaching the conclusion that evolution would be best left out of discussions **in our Church schools** we are deciding a question of **propriety** and are not undertaking to say how much of evolution is true, or how much is false. We think that while **it is a hypothesis, on both sides of which the most eminent scientific men of the world are arrayed,** that it is folly

to take up its discussion in our institutions of learning; and **we can not see wherein such discussions are likely to promote the faith** of our young people. **On the other hand we have abundant evidence that many of those who have adopted in its fullness the theory of evolution have discarded the Bible, or at least refused to accept it as the inspired word of God...**” (Joseph F. Smith, *The Juvenile Instructor* 46:4 (April 1911) :208-209.)

Notice how the substance of this message isn’t to say ‘we don’t know if evolution is true,’ rather the substance of the message is to say ‘we don’t like evolution, and bringing it up in church schools usually causes problems.’

You’ll find more of that quote and other related easily debunked claims in my other books.

Elder Dallin H. Oaks warned of the consequences of leaving things to scholars. He said, “**I have seen some persons attempt to understand or undertake to criticize the gospel or the Church by the method of reason alone, unaccompanied by the use or recognition of revelation.** When reason is adopted as the only—or even the principal—method of judging the gospel, the outcome is predetermined. One cannot find God or understand His doctrines and ordinances by closing the door on the means He has prescribed for receiving the truths of his gospel. **That is why gospel truths have been corrupted and gospel ordinances have been lost when left to the interpretation and sponsorship of scholars who lack the authority and reject the revelations of God.**” (Elder Dallin H. Oaks *Alternate Voices*, April 1989)

Ezra Taft Benson dealt with revisionist historians in his own day. He said, “Historians and educational writers ... classified as “revisionists.” Their purpose has been and is to create a “new history.” **By their own admission, they are more influenced by their own training and other humanistic and scientific disciplines than any religious conviction.** This detachment provides them, they say, with an objectivity that the older historians did not have. Many of the older historians, I should point out, were defenders of the [Joseph] patriots and [his] their noble efforts. Feeling no obligation to perpetuate the ideals of the founding fathers, some of the so-called “new historians” have recast a new body of beliefs for their secular faith. Their efforts, in some cases, have resulted in a **new interpretation** of our nation’s [church’s] history. ... I know the philosophy behind this practice—“to tell it as it is.” All too often those who subscribe to this philosophy are not hampered by too many facts. When will we awaken to the fact that the defamation of our dead heroes only serves to undermine faith in the principles for which they stood, and the institutions which they established? Some have termed this practice as “historical realism” or moderately call it “debunking.” **I call it slander and defamation. I repeat, those who are guilty of it in their writing or teaching will answer to a higher tribunal.** ... This humanistic emphasis on history is not confined only to secular history; **there have been and continue to be attempts made to bring this philosophy into our own Church history...**” (Elder Ezra Taft Benson, March 28, 1977, God’s Hand in the Nation’s History, BYU Speeches,

<https://speeches.byu.edu/talks/ezra-taft-benson/gods-hand-nations-history/>


Elsewhere, Benson taught that the greatest injuries to the Church come from within. He said, "The Church," says President McKay, "is little, if at all, injured by persecution and calumnies from ignorant, misinformed, or malicious enemies." (The Instructor, February 1956, p. 33.)

It is from within the Church that the greatest hindrance comes." (Ezra Taft Benson, Be Not Deceived, Oct. 1963)

Jacob warns against rejecting plain truth for sophisticated godless theories:

"But behold, the

Jews were a stiffnecked people; and they despised the words of plainness, and killed the prophets, and sought for things that they could not understand. Wherefore, because of their blindness, which blindness came by looking beyond the mark, they must needs fall; for God hath taken away his plainness from them, and delivered unto them many things which they cannot understand, because they desired it. And because they desired it God hath done it, that they may stumble." (Jacob 4:14)



In today's world, killing the prophets (as referred to in Jacob 4:14) can be doing things which undermine the teachings of the prophets, causing people to discount and disbelieve them. There are more effective ways to silence people than bloodshed.

President Packer, referring to progressive attacks on church doctrine, warned that not all the persecution against the saints comes from outside of the church. He said, "Atheists and agnostics make nonbelief their religion and today organize in unprecedented ways to attack faith and belief. They are now organized, and they pursue political power. You will be hearing much about them and from them. Much of their attack is indirect in mocking the faithful, in mocking religion. The types of Sherem, Nehor, and Korihor live among us today (see Jacob 7:1–21; Alma 1:1–15; Alma 30:6–60). Their arguments are not so different from those in the Book of Mormon. You who are young will see many things that will try your courage and test your faith. **All of the mocking does not come from outside of the Church.** Let me say that again: All of the mocking does not come from outside of the Church. Be careful that you do not fall into the category of mocking." (President Boyd K. Packer, Jan. 16 2007 [Lehi's Dream and You - Boyd K. Packer - BYU Speeches](#)))

Elder Benson taught that the Church is not divided, there's just people who aren't in harmony with it, and yes they write in our Church publications, etc. He said, Sometimes we hear someone refer to a division in the Church. In reality, **the Church is not divided.** It simply means that there are some who, for the time being at

least, are members of the Church but not in harmony with it. These people have a **temporary** membership and influence in the Church; but unless they repent, they will be missing when the final membership records are recorded. It is well that our people understand this principle, so they will not be misled by those apostates **within the Church** who have not yet repented or been cut off. But there is a cleansing coming. The Lord says that his vengeance shall be poured out "upon the inhabitants of the earth . . . And upon my house shall it begin, and from my house shall it go forth, saith the Lord; First among those among you, saith the Lord, who have professed to know my name and have not known me" (D&C 112:24-26). I look forward to that cleansing; its need within the Church is becoming increasingly apparent. Not only are there apostates within our midst, but there are also apostate doctrines that are sometimes taught in our classes and from our pulpits and that appear in our publications. And these apostate precepts of men cause our people to stumble. As the Book of Mormon, speaking of our day, states: ". . . they have all gone astray save it a few, who are the humble followers of Christ; nevertheless, they are led, that in many instances they do err because they are taught by the precepts of men" (2 Ne. 28: 14). The world worships the learning of man. They trust in the arm of flesh. To them, men's reasoning is greater than God's revelations. The precepts of man have gone so far in subverting our educational system that in many cases a higher degree today, in the so-called social sciences, can be tantamount to a major investment in error. Very few men build firmly enough on the rock of revelation to go through this

kind of an indoctrination and come out untainted. Unfortunately, of those who succumb, some use their higher degree to get teaching positions even in our Church educational system, where they spread the falsehoods they have been taught. President Joseph F. Smith was right when he said that false educational ideas would be one of the three threats to the Church within (*Gospel Doctrine*, pp. 312-13).” (Ezra Taft Benson, *To The Humble Followers of Christ*, April 1969, <http://www.gapages.com/divided.htm>)

Church founder and dispensation head Joseph Smith was not shy of correcting the learned. He said, “I wish to correct an error among men that profess to be learned, liberal and wise; and I do it the more cheerfully because I hope **sober-thinking** and **sound-reasoning** people will sooner listen to the voice of truth than be led astray by the vain pretensions of the self-wise.” (<https://www.josephsmithpapers.org/paper-summary/history-of-joseph-smith/67>)



One profound example of being learned and yet holding fast to the teachings of the prophets against evolution is seen in Elder Milton R. Hunter, a member of the First Council of Seventy. He said, "Then I have had my students ask, **"Well, how can you tell which is true?"** My reply has been, **"When any teaching is contrary to the teachings of the Book of Mormon, then just decide that teaching is not true. When the facts presented are contrary to the teachings of Christ or those of the Prophet Joseph Smith, or of the Doctrine and Covenants, or of the Pearl of Great Price, be assured that those teachings are not true.** If you hold to that premise, you will keep your faith and your scholarship won't hurt you." (*Elder Milton R. Hunter, Member of the First Council of Seventy, Archaeology and the Book of Mormon, Address given to the BYU summer student body, July 19, 1966)*)

Hunter goes on to specifically refute evolutionists, and affirms his stance with the prophet Joseph Smith. You'll find more of his story and related accounts in my other books.




Talmage & Leaders Supporting Evolution?

James Talmage, contrary to popular believe, actually isn't an evolutionist. While he may have gotten things wrong about Earth's age and so on, look at some of his rock solid teachings against evolution:

“I do not regard Adam as related to certainly not as descended from the Neanderthal, the Cro-Magnon, the Peking or the Piltdown man. Adam came as divinely created, created and empowered, and stands as the patriarchal head of his posterity, who, if true to the laws of God are heirs to the Priesthood and to the glories of eternal lives. Were it true that man is a product of evolution from lower forms, it is but reasonable to believe that he will yet develop into something higher. While it is a fact that eternal progression is a characteristic of man's Divine birthright, as yet we have learned nothing to indicate that man shall develop physically into any other form than that in which he now appears. The difficulty lies in the fact already stated, that man differs from the animal creation not only in degree but in kind; he is the only being who has any conception of a preexistent state or an existence beyond the grave; the only being whose thoughts turn toward God and who feels in his soul the inspiring impulses of kinship to Deity. **Believe not those who would make man but little above the brutes, when in truth he is but little below the angels,** and if faithful shall pass by the angels and take his place among the exalted sons of God. The spirit of man is the offspring of the Eternal Father, and his body, if unmarred, is in the very form and fashion of that spirit.” (James E. Talmage, Conference Report, October 1916, pp. 7376)


“Man’s Relationship to God—’Mormonism’ claims an **actual and literal relationship of parent and child between the Creator and man—not in the figurative sense** in which the engine may be called the child of its builder; not the relationship of a thing mechanically made to the maker thereof; but the **connection between father and offspring.**”
(James E. Talmage, Articles of Faith, p. 474)



Joseph Smith Foundation researchers compiled a list of which Church presidents supported evolution. Their conclusion was that none of them supported evolution. In fact, they all, except McKay and Grant, directly refuted the theory. The recent President Nelson isn’t on their list, but he has clearly and repeatedly refuted evolution.

Expressed Views of Presidents of the Church

(on Organic Evolution as it relates to the Creation of Man)



JOSEPH SMITH FOUNDATION®

[\(https://josephsmithfoundation.org/faqs/science/08-conflicting-presidents-are-there-many-conflicting-opinions-with-diversity-of-viewpoint-among-the-previous-presidents-of-the-church-on-the-theory-of-organic-evolution-have-some-spoken-for-some-a/\)](https://josephsmithfoundation.org/faqs/science/08-conflicting-presidents-are-there-many-conflicting-opinions-with-diversity-of-viewpoint-among-the-previous-presidents-of-the-church-on-the-theory-of-organic-evolution-have-some-spoken-for-some-a/)

Elder McConkie's blunt teachings on the subject are refreshing: "Obviously, the whole doctrine of the fall, and all that pertains to it, is diametrically opposed to the evolutionary assumptions relative to the origin of species." (Bruce R. McConkie, *A New Witness for the Articles of Faith* [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1985], xv)

Saints Doctrinal knowledge
100 years ago



Evolution is
of the Devil.

Saints Doctrinal
knowledge today



God used
evolution.





**SAINTS
VIEW ON
EVOLUTION IN 1909**




**SAINTS
VIEW ON
EVOLUTION IN 2024**

Did these guys repent in the spirit world?




Nah!



Biology's Tree, & Geology's Column

Biology's tree of life to a common ancestor?

The lack of common ancestors led evolutionist W. Ford Doolittle, evolutionary and molecular biologist professor at Dalhousie University, to say, "The rooting of the universal tree is hopelessly compromised."




(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Darwin was honest when he pointed out that, according to his theory of the common origins of all living things, humans have "a pedigree of prodigious length, but **not**, it may be said, of **noble** quality." (Charles Darwin, *Descent of Man*, pp. 164-165, 1897 edition.) Darwin went so far as to mock the faithful, saying, "It is only...**arrogance** which made our forefathers declare that they were

descended from...gods.” (The Descent of Man, pp. 31-32)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Joseph Fielding Smith was shocked by the absurd logic involved in common origin claims. He said, "Let us suppose for the sake of argument, that the first speck of life was an amoeba. We can suppose for that is in keeping with the entire doctrine of organic evolution, for its **entire structure is based on supposition**, and cannot be based on anything else—so, we will suppose, that back several millions or billions of years—no one was there to watch the process by which this speck of life came spontaneously into existence—the amoeba suddenly appeared and multiplied, as the amoeba will do, and after millions of years, it, or one of its descendants began to develop fins, then a head and then a tail and after several more millions of years it became a fish, or a

tadpole, or a brachiopod, or a trilobite, or a snail, even a worm—it **makes no difference** which, **one guess is as good as another**—and becoming tired of the water it came out upon the land, leaving its companions to develop into aquatic animals, while it dug itself in the soil and became a plant, a fern, a rose bush or a tree. Then another, discovering that the land was pleasant, also came forth from the water and became a frog, a toad, a lizard or a snake and in course of time its descendant became a tiger, a lion, a bear, an elephant, dinosaur or a little timid lamb; perhaps it took to the air as a dove, a robin, a hawk or an eagle. Why go any farther? **Does it not all sound extremely ridiculous?** Well, so it is! Yet it is **this kind of rubbish that is put forth apparently in all seriousness**. Books are written about it; lectures are given in class rooms, from pulpits and platforms, and thousands of well meaning people say they believe it! (Joseph Fielding Smith, *Man: His Origin & Destiny*, Ch. 7 The Hypothesis of Organic Evolution)


How about geology's beloved column?

Evolution theory is what the geologic column is based on. No theory, no column. One textbook read, "...all rocks that had the early form, no matter how far apart those rocks were geographically, would have to be the same age ... fossil successions made it possible to say that the Cambrian rocks are older than the Ordovician rocks. In this way our geologic time table came into being....**Without the theory of evolution and the interdisciplinary science of paleontology, it could not exist.**" (Geology, Putman & Bassett, p.544.)

Darwin knew the challenges the fossil record presented to his theory, even in his day, and noted it when he stated: “There is another and allied difficulty, which is much more serious. I allude to the manner in which **many species in several of the main divisions of the animal kingdom suddenly appear in the lowest known fossiliferous rocks**. Most of the arguments which have convinced me that all the existing species of the same group are descended from a single progenitor, apply with nearly equal force to the earliest known species.” (Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, Chapter 10, On The Imperfection Of The Geological Record)


Darwin also admitted that the fossil record isn't what evolution paints it to be: “...the geological record is far more imperfect than most geologists believe.” (Charles Darwin)

Soil Formation Evidence



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Here's one Christians attempt at dealing with the geologic column:



From <https://www.mathetis.org/topic/does-the-fossil-record-support-creation-and-the-flood/>

Brigham Young pointed out limitations of the geologists, and emphasized the instantaneous creative power of God. He said, “Geologists will tell us the earth has stood so many **millions** of years. Why? Because the Valley of the Mississippi could not have washed out under about so many years, or so long a time. The Valley of Western Colorado, here, could not have washed out without taking such a length of time. **What do they know about it? Nothing in comparison...** he can combine the elements and make a tree into rock in **one night or one day, if he chooses...**” (Brigham Young, The Fullness of the Gospel—Its Power to Unite—Its Comprehensiveness—Definition of Its Priesthood—

Condition of Apostates; Discourse by President Brigham Young, delivered in the New Tabernacle, Salt Lake City, Sunday Afternoon, August 11, 1872.)



It's a Young Earth Afterall: Earth's Temporal Lifespan & Radiometric Dating

Abraham 4:23 actually makes an interesting case for a single calendar day being what is meant by days of creation, describing each creation day as morning until evening: “And it came to pass that it was from evening until morning that they called night; and it came to pass that it was from morning until evening that they called day; and it was the fifth time.” Note that these days could have been based on our time, or God's time, whose day is 1000 years to us.

To review issues with **radiometric dating**, I will refer to a few points from Henry Morris in "Scientific Creationism" chapter 6. He says:

1. You can't know the components in a system in ancient times. No system is closed. A closed system just a theoretical idea to simplify things. Since real nature is not a closed system it can be influenced by external variables fluctuating.
2. You cannot ascertain that the decay rate was constant. No process rate is unchangeable in nature. Many factors influence process rates and these factors can change. Rates are at best only statistical averages, not

deterministic absolutes. (See the RATE study, for example.)

3. Modern science only accepts dating methods which yield long eons of time, and actively reject other methods.

4. Some of the daughter component may have been initially created at the same time as the parent component. There are many ways daughter products could be incorporated into the systems when first formed.

5. Variables such as lead vaporization and free neutrons etc. indicate that the lead ages, which are typically the oldest ages, could indicate nothing whatsoever about age.

6. Modern formations of lava rocks are dated to be millions of years old. When Rock melts it's supposed to reset the clock. Uranium aging on rocks of known ages are incorrect, so why should we trust uranium aging of rocks of unknown ages?

7. We accept the potassium dates which most closely resemble the uranium dates, but the uranium dates themselves are unreliable.

8. The change in argon is from the environment, not the decaying process. Environmental fluid and gaseous argon at the time of lava flow being incorporated into the igneous rock can account for the argon levels rather than supposed to decay rates.

9. Continental drift rates are also based on potassium argon dating of rocks on the seafloor, and are therefore flawed.

10. Rubidium strontium dating is also measured by uranium dating, so bad uranium methods make these unreliable too.

11. Rubidium strontium can easily be leached out and there are other obvious flaws.

The geologic column was developed in the 1800s, long before any radiometric dating techniques were developed in the 1900s. Remember that when you hear claims about the geologic column being precise and absolute.


One professor admitted the selective use of favored radiometric dates in the scientific community when he said, “If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. **If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely ‘out-of-date,’ we just drop it.**” (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, “C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology,” *Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology*, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].)


Another researcher admitted just how many unapproved radiometric dates they throw out when he said, “It may come as a shock to some, but **fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as ‘acceptable’** by investigators.” (*J. Ogden III, “The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon,” in *Annals of the New York Academy of Science*, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)







Bro, Was There Even a Flood?

How about the flood? Was it just local as modern science claims, or was it an immersion baptism as the scriptures and prophets have taught?

Prophets taught that Earth was completely baptized by immersion in the worldwide flood of Noah. In summary, see:

-Peter, New Testament, 1 Peter 3:20-21

-Joseph Smith, T.P.J.S. p.12

-Brigham Young, JD, 1:274; JD 8:83

-Lorenzo Snow, The Only Way to Be Saved (London: D. Chalmers, 1841), 3-4.

-Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation, 2:320; Man His Origin and Destiny, 433-36

-John A. Widtsoe, Evidences and Reconciliations, 127

-And Others: Elders Orson Pratt, Orson F. Whitney, Bruce R. McConkie

Scientists 200 years ago



Proof that global flood
wiped out dinos 4500yrs ago

Scientists today



Local flood,
millions of years

imgflip.com

1 Peter 3:20-21 says, “20 Which sometime were disobedient, when once the longsuffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing, wherein few, that is, eight souls were saved by water. 21 The like figure whereunto even baptism doth also now save us (not the putting away of the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience toward God,) by the resurrection of Jesus Christ:”

PS – if it was just a local flood...

- Why didn't God just tell Noah to move?
- Why bother taking all the animals?
- Wouldn't other local floods violate God's covenant to never flood the Earth again?
- Couldn't God establish His covenant with someone else if others beside Noah were still alive?
- Why teach the Earth was baptized if not the way of the restoration, by immersion?
- Why do 300+ world cultures have legends of a worldwide flood?

Scientists are catching up with revealed truth. More and more admit that dinosaurs died by flooding, as documented in Universal Model: A New Millennial Science.

The Dinosaurs Died:



"No Survivors? Then where do all the stories come from, I wonder?"

Book of Job
Josephus
Alexander the Great
Marco Polo
The Epic of Gilgamesh


St. George Slaying the Dragon →



(Image: Wikipedia.)

“The burst of animal life 540 million years ago was so sudden that paleontologists came to call it the Cambrian explosion. In just a few million years, a hiccup in geological time, the oceans filled with representatives of almost all modern phyla—the forebears of clams and crabs, starfish and snails, and even animals with the hint of backbone. Going from the lifeless Precambrian rocks to the fossil-rich layers of the Cambrian was like walking past an empty lot on Tuesday and finding a

fully furnished house in the same place on Wednesday.”
(Life Grows Up, Richard Monastersky, National Geographic, April, 1998, p111)



1st Ever Man-Made PW Fossil
(Under 3 Days)

The key is to know the recipe as revealed by scripture & real science!




(Hint: They're all made quickly)

The Flood of Noah Covered the Whole Earth:

- **Genesis 7:18-24:** "18 And the waters prevailed, and were increased greatly upon the earth; and the ark went upon the face of the waters. 19 And the waters prevailed exceedingly upon the earth; and all the high hills, that were under the whole heaven, were covered. 20 Fifteen cubits upward did the waters prevail; and the mountains were covered.
- 21 And all flesh died that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beast, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man: 22 All in whose nostrils was the breath of life, of all that was in the dry land, died.
- 23 And every living substance was destroyed which was upon the face of the ground, both man, and cattle, and the creeping things, and the fowl of the heaven; and they were destroyed from the earth: and Noah only remained alive, and they that were with him in the ark. 24 And the waters prevailed upon the earth an hundred and fifty days."

Universal Flood Mechanisms



(Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Who's Your Daddy? The Literal Parenthood of God & The First Man Adam

Darwin was honest when he pointed out that, according

to his theory of the common origins of all living things, humans have "a pedigree of prodigious length, but **not**, it may be said, of **noble** quality." (Charles Darwin, Descent of Man, pp. 164-165, 1897 edition.) Darwin went so far as to mock the faithful, saying, "It is only...**arrogance** which made our forefathers declare that they were **descended from...gods.**" (The Descent of Man, pp. 31-32)



(Image: Kent Hovind Creation Science Seminars)

Moses 1:34 is clear that Adam was the first man:

"And the first man **of all men** have I called Adam, which is many."

D&C 84:16 also shows that Adam was the first man:

"And from Enoch to Abel, who was slain by the conspiracy of his brother, who received the priesthood by the commandments of God, by the hand of his father Adam, who was **the first man**—"

Genesis 1:27 shows that we look like God, just another evidence that God is the real Father of the human race (not monkeys): "So God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them."

Acts 17:29 shows that we are OFFSPRING of God, and specifically makes the point that this is how we know God isn't a strange thing, but is an actual person like us: "Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God, we ought not to think that the Godhead is like unto gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device."

Remember the plain and precious teaching of the bible in Luke 3:38, "Which was *the son* of Enos, which was *the son* of Seth, which was *the son* of **Adam, which was the son of God.**" So is a hominid the God of the Christian evolutionists? Because whoever sired Adam is God.

Consider these prophetic teachings on Adam's biological dad being God:

Brigham Young: “Mankind are here because they are offspring of parents (Adam and Eve) who were first brought here from another planet, and power was given them to propagate their species, and they (were) commanded to multiply and replenish the earth...(God) **created man as we create our children; for there is no other process of creation in heaven, on the earth,** in the earth, or under the earth, or in all the eternities, that is, that (was), or that ever will be... **We are flesh of (God’s) flesh,** (and) bone of his bone” (Journal of Discourses 11:122; 9:283, October 1859).



Next here is Joseph F. Smith preaching that Adam was born of woman into this world: “...Man was born of woman; Christ the Savior was born of woman; and God the Father was born of woman. Adam, our earthly parent, was also born of woman into this world, the same as Jesus and you and I...” (Pres. Joseph F. Smith, Deseret News, Section 3, p. 7, 27 December 1913).

Faithful Joseph Smith scholar Hyrum Andrus taught that “Joseph Smith is reported...to have taught that **God was the great head of human procreation** – was really and truly the **Father of both our spirits and our (physical) bodies**” (Hyrum Andrus, ‘God, Man, and the Universe,’ pp. 351-354).

As it says in the line of the First Presidency statement which the authors didn’t include in their quotation in the book, man is “**the direct and lineal offspring of Deity.**” Lineal? Ponder the meaning of that word. That is genealogical language.

Many are surprised to learn that God is a family man, that earth is patterned after heaven. This is a core message of the restoration. The great mystery is unraveled. God is an exalted man (**Moses 6:57: “Man of Holiness is his name”** and D&C 130:1: “**he is a man like ourselves. 2 And that same sociality which exists among us here will exist among us there**” and D&C 130:3: “**the idea that the Father and the Son dwell in a man’s heart is an old sectarian notion, and is false.**” And D&C 130:22: “**The Father has a body of flesh and bones as tangible as man’s**” and Joseph Smith: “**God Himself was once as we are now, and is an exalted man, and sits enthroned in yonder heavens! That is the great secret. If the veil were rent today, and ... if you were to see Him today, you would see Him like a man in form—like yourselves in all the person, image, and very form as a man.**” Teachings of Presidents of the Church: Joseph Smith (2007), 40).

This exalted man lives on a planet somewhere in time and space.

He still experiences time, it's just different time (Abraham 3:4: "**This is the reckoning of the Lord's time, according to the reckoning of Kolob.**") He still lives in space. (Abe. 3:9: "one planet above another, until thou come nigh unto Kolob, which Kolob is after the reckoning of the **Lord's time**; which Kolob is set **nigh unto the throne of God,**") Also D&C 130:7: "they reside in the **presence of God, on a globe**")


He has a body. (D&C 130:22: "The Father has a body of flesh and bones as tangible as man's; the Son also;")

He has a wife. (D&C 131:1-2: "**1 In the celestial glory there are three heavens or degrees; 2 And in order to obtain the highest, a man must enter into this order of the priesthood [meaning the new and everlasting covenant of marriage];**")


He has children. (this point should be obvious, but here is one reference: And D&C 130:2: "**that same sociality which exists among us here will exist among us there.**" See also 1 Cor. 11:11, & Gen. 1:28 on the righteousness of procreation.) Guess what God having children means? It means God having children.

Amen! No wonder the restored Church of Jesus Christ is so focused on family life! Our opportunity to build families in this life is a key part of the test of life, to demonstrate whether we will be worthy of continuing to


do so, like God Himself, in the world to come. It has been taught that the only people God rules over are his children. (1 Nephi 17:36: “Behold, the Lord hath created the earth that it should be inhabited; and he hath created his children that they should possess it.”)






Joseph Fielding Smith emphasized the scriptural doctrine of life being transplanted to this Earth from elsewhere. He said, “Why not the shorter route and **transplant them from another earth as we are taught in the scriptures?** Surely to any reasonable mind, the Lord would not have to start with an amoeba, pass through the stage of lower fish to higher fish to reptiles to apes and to man!” (Joseph Fielding Smith, *Man: His Origin & Destiny*, Ch. 12 Man the Offspring of God)



imgflip.com










**YOU DON'T LIKE THE IDEA
OF MAN COMING FROM APES?**






I'm a child
of God, by processes
of evolution
from lower species.


I'm the
direct lineal
offspring of God.











VS





Show Me Those Similarities! Homology, Vestigial, & Embryos

Elder Russel M. Nelson taught against homology and related evolutionary claims. He said, “Through the ages, **some persons without scriptural understanding** have tried to explain our existence by pretentious words such as ex nihilo (out of nothing) [note: and homology].

Others have deduced that, **because of certain similarities between different forms of life**, there has been a **natural selection** of the species, or **organic evolution** from one form to another. Still others have concluded that man came as a consequence of a “big bang,” which resulted in the creation of our planet and life upon it. To me, such theories are unbelievable!” (Elder Russel M. Nelson p. 9, *The Power Within Us or The Magnificence of Man*, March 29 1987, BYU Devotional.)

Genetics don’t match up with homologous structures. In research summarized by Jonathan Wells and Paul Nelson, it has now been discovered that at times “non-homologous structures [are] produced by organisms with supposedly homologous genes, but organisms with different genes can also produce similar structures.” (Homology: A Concept in Crisis
<http://www.arn.org/docs/odesign/od182/hobi182.htm>)

An article available in *Trends in Genetics* 2009 reported report that “10-20% of genes lack recognizable

homologs in other species.” (*More than just orphans: are taxonomically-restricted genes important in evolution?* <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19716618>) In other words 10 – 20% of genes in species don't have evidence of ancestry.

How about extra (vestigial) body parts?

At one time evolutionists listed 180 vestigial structures in the human body. (Darrow, Clarence and William J. Bryan. (1997). *The World's Most Famous Court Trial: The Tennessee Evolution Case* Pub. The Lawbook Exchange, Ltd. p. 268)

The human coccyx isn't useless like they thought; it supports weight, supports muscle, & helps balance.


The whale pelvis isn't useless like they thought; it is essential for reproduction.

In the past these structures were routinely surgically removed and discarded. Today it is recognized that every one of these structures in the human body serves a purpose.

(Vestigial Organs Not So Useless After All, Studies Find, National Geographic News, <https://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2009/07/090730-spleen-vestigial-organs.html>)


(See also Dr. Jerry Bergman, George Howe, *Vestigial Organs Are Fully Functional: A History and Evaluation of the Vestigial Organ Origins Concept Book*)

What about embryos?



(Image: Science vs Evolution p.698 by Vance Ferrel, EvolutionFacts.com)

When we view human embryos as animals, is it any wonder that we have no shame in terminating them? As one evolutionist put it, “. . . some opponents of abortion respond that the fetus, unlike the dog or chimpanzee, is made in the image of God, or has an immortal soul. . . . But there is no evidence for these religious claims, and in a society in which we keep the state and religion separate, we should not use them as a basis for the criminal law . . .” (Neo-Darwinist Peter Singer, Dept. of Bioethics, Princeton University, “Abortion, the dividing lines,” Herald Sun, August 25, 2007)





Maybe
Earth is old



Maybe it
randomly made life



Maybe species
learned how to crossbreed
past genetic limits



Humans must have
come from monkeys




imgflip.com



Change
ACROSS
species
(speculative)



Change
WITHIN
species
(demonstratable)



Common Ancestor? Species Change?

No species change allowed. Paul taught that **“All flesh is not the same flesh: but there is one kind of flesh of men, another flesh of beasts, another of fishes, and another of birds.”** (1 Cor. 15:38-39.)

“And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, and the fruit tree yielding fruit after his kind, whose seed is in itself, upon the earth: and it was


so. And the earth brought forth grass, and herb yielding seed **after his kind**, and the tree yielding fruit, whose seed was in itself, **after his kind**: and God saw that it was good. . . .

This “after his kind” business continues on through the books of Genesis, Moses, and Abraham.




(Get your “No Monkeys in My Family Tree t-shirt!”)
<https://a.co/d/dNh8FqA>

Alternatively, try “Apes Belong in Trees, Not Genealogies”




After all our breeding, dogs are still dogs.



"No one has actually witnessed the birth of a species in the wild..."

Science, 25 June 1999, p2106



President Packer's teachings against evolution were consistent with restored truth. He boldly taught against descent from a common ancestor, saying, "No lesson is more manifest in nature than that all living things do as the Lord commanded in the Creation. They reproduce "after their own kind." (See Moses 2:12, 24.) They follow the pattern of their parentage. Everyone knows that; every four-year-old knows that! A bird will not become an animal nor a fish. A mammal will not beget reptiles, nor "do men gather ... figs of thistles." (Matt. 7:16.) **In the countless billions of opportunities in the reproduction of living things, one kind does not beget another. If a species ever does cross, the offspring cannot reproduce. The pattern for all life is the pattern of the parentage. ... Surely no one with reverence for God could believe that His children**

evolved from slime or from reptiles. ... The theory of evolution, and it is a theory, will have an entirely different dimension when the workings of God in creation are fully revealed." (Boyd K. Packer, "The Pattern of Our Parentage" Oct. 1984 general conference).

Saints Doctrinal knowledge
100 years ago



Evolution is
of the Devil.

Saints Doctrinal
knowledge today



God used
evolution.

imgflip.com

In 2 Nephi 9:28 we learn that the learned who reject God's word are fools: **"O that cunning plan of the evil one! O the vainness, and the frailties, and the foolishness of men! When they are learned they think they are wise, and they hearken not unto the counsel of God, for they set it aside, supposing they know of themselves, wherefore, their wisdom is foolishness and it profiteth them not. And they shall perish."**

D&C 59:21 shows that not giving God credit for all of creation is very bad: “**And in nothing doth man offend God, or against none is his wrath kindled, save those who confess not his hand in all things, and obey not his commandments.**” Notice




how creation denial is linked to commandment breaking. Someone who doesn't see the hand of God in all creation from the beginning surely cannot be in keeping with the commandment to preach the miraculous gospel to all the world.

In D&C 29:34 we see that God doesn't want us to separate spiritual and temporal things. “**Wherefore, verily I say unto you that all things unto me are spiritual, and not at any time have I given unto you a law which was temporal; neither any man, nor the children of men; neither Adam, your father, whom I created.**” Notice how the verse also talks about God making Adam, whereas evolutionists believe that Adam was made from a monkey who evolved.

We learn in 2 Peter 3:5-7 that people are willingly ignorant, particularly about the dynamic events of the creation and the flood: “**For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: whereby the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished: but the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store,**


reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men.”



SPECIES MUST CHANGE



Every evolution book seems to bring up the peppered moths at one point or other. Unfortunately, those are proven fakes.



(Image from Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Now Where Did I Put That Missing Link... Hominid Horrors

Pitldown Man was found to be the Jawbone of an orangutan with fragments of a modern human skull. It was praised as the missing link for 40 years before the hoax was discovered. 500 academic journal articles were written on it.

Nebraska man was also used as evidence for evolution for a long time. All they had of him was one tooth, which they eventually realized was the tooth of a pig.

Hilton Man's jaw was broken and the teeth filed down to fool people. It was in textbooks as proof for evolution for decades until proven a fraud in the 50s.

(Images: [Pitldown Man – Wikipedia](#) & [Nebraska Man - Wikipedia](#))




Enlarged brow ridges are common today.



(Images: [Brow ridge - Wikipedia](#))

“And then shall that Wicked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with **all power and signs and lying wonders**, And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they **receive not the love of the truth**, that they might be saved. And for this cause **God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believe not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness.**” (2 Thes. 2:8-12)"


“One of the major stumbling blocks is the **lack of evidence** concerning fossil forms and the **ignorance about the direction of evolutionary trends and rates of evolution**. This creates a serious problem, since **without data, weighting of characters in classification is largely subjective, and a truly evolutionary classification will never be a reality.**” Frank E. Poirier, Fossil Evidence, p12; Universal Model 2 p180



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)


**"Simulations
based on a
model of human
population history
and geography
find that an
individual that
is the genealogical
ancestor of all living
humans existed just
a few thousand
years ago."**

Jotun Hein, *Nature*,
30 September 2004, p518






Fig 14.6.4

(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)




Called to Evolve!





I LOVE OUR ABILITY TO CLASSIFY




**IT MAKES US SO MUCH
BETTER THAN THE LOWER RACES**





**WAITING FOR A NEW SPECIES
BY NATURAL SELECTION**





I am running a few minutes late; my previous meeting is running over.

























Genocide: That's Definitely Racist

EVOLUTION
EXPLAINS
EVERYTHING!



WAIT SCIENTIFIC
RACISM IS
THE THEME OF...



imgflip.com

ORIGIN OF SPECIES

BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION, OR THE
PRESERVATION OF FAVORED RACES IN
THE STRUGGLE FOR LIFE

BY

CHARLES DARWIN, M.A., LL.D., F.R.S.

(Title page of Darwin's book republished in 1902,
refers to "favored races.")

Many mass shooters and arch tyrants like Hitler have based their killing on the theory of evolution, citing its core tenants of survival of the fittest and favoring more advanced races of humans. The Columbine shooter Eric Harris on his website wrote, "Getting rid of all the stupid and weak organisms." The day of his attack he wore a shirt that said "Natural Selection."

Richard Weikart, author of “From Darwin to Hitler: Evolutionary Ethics, Eugenics and Racism in Germany” and “Darwinian Racism: How Darwinism Influenced Hitler, Nazism, and White Nationalism” says, “Examining Hitler’s ideology, the official biology curriculum, the writings of Nazi anthropologists, and Nazi periodicals, we find that **Nazi racial theorists did indeed embrace human and racial evolution.** They not only taught that humans had evolved from primates, but they believed the Aryan or Nordic race had **evolved to a higher level than other races** because of the harsh **climatic** conditions that influenced **natural selection.** They also claimed that Darwinism underpinned specific elements of Nazi racial ideology, including **racial inequality, the necessity of the racial struggle for existence,** and collectivism.”

(Richard Weikart,

<https://www.csustan.edu/sites/default/files/History/Faculty/Weikart/Darwinism-in-Nazi-Racial-Thought.pdf>)




(Image: Wiki Commons)

Mao Zedong, the greatest killer of all time, used evolution to justify his work. He said, “The foundation of Chinese Socialism rests on Darwin and the theory of evolution.” (Communist dictator Mao Zedong. Source: Yabya, Communism in Ambush, 130.)



(Image: Wiki Commons)


Here's another bout of evolutionary racism for you. Let's learn about the caged man, Ota Benga. He was "...caged at the Bronx Zoo where he came to be 'exhibited' in the zoo's Monkey House as part of a display intended to promote the concepts of human evolution and scientific racism....represented as the lowest form of human development.” (Wiki/Ota_Benga) Ota had a family. Eventually a **Baptist preacher protested this racism** and got Ota released. Shortly thereafter Ota killed himself from the psychological terror of his captivity and the demeaning messages of being sub-human. **These are the fruits of evolution teaching. Where are the social justice warriors against evolution?**




The gospel message is something very different – that every human regardless of color is a direct descendant of God The Father.



Eugenics, the killing of unfavorable humans, is another fruit of evolutionary theory. Between 1939 and 1941 over 100,000 physically and mentally disabled Germans were killed in secret, without the consent of their families. Founder of Planned Parenthood Margaret Sanger said, “The most serious charge that can be brought against modern ‘benevolence’ is that it encourages the perpetuation of defectives, delinquents and dependents. These are the most dangerous elements in the world community, the most devastating curse on human progress and expression.”

(see icr.org/article/evolution-american-abortion-mentality/)













**AND HOW DO
YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT**



**YOU AND ME BABY
'AINT NOTHIN' BUT MAMMALS**

Celebrating Darwin's Birthday:

I HATE ALL HUMANS



**BUT PROCEED
WITH THE CELEBRATION**



What are the Odds! Evolution & DNA

Stephen Meyer in “Darwin’s Doubt” summarizes limits of Neo-Darwinism’s genetic claims as follows:

- "1. Neo-Darwinism has no means of efficiently searching available combination space for functional genes and proteins and consequently
2. It requires unrealistic unrealistically long waiting times to generate even a single new Gene or protein, and the new mechanism cannot produce body plans because
3. Early acting mutations, the only kind capable of generating large-scale changes, are also invariably deleterious and

4. Genetic mutations cannot in any case generate the epigenetic information necessary to build a body plan."

Meyer also makes these stirring points against evolution's genetic claims in "Darwin's Doubt:"

1. Mendel showed that Darwin's idea of blended inheritance is not correct. The discoveries of Mendel posed many problems for Darwin's theory.

2. Richard Dawkins had a computer program recreate a phrase, but that this does not really mirror natural selection because **natural selection isn't given a phrase to look for.**

3. Evolutionists make claims about genes evolving which are as unsupported as alchemists lead turning into gold.

4. Evolutionists make claims about gene mutation very similar to taking a book, rearranging its paragraphs randomly, rechanging the spelling of words, reordering the page number, the page arrangement etc., and expecting a more advanced book to be made from this random process.

5. Given the current age of Earth there's not enough time for one single gene to evolve, much less an entire series of evolutions making animals and humans.

6. Evolutionists come up with wildly imaginative scenarios and on the rare occasion when they attempt to put them to the test, the tests fail.

7. The types of mutations that do occur are not the types of mutations required by macroevolution.

8. The types of mutations that do occur are not the types of mutations required by macroevolution.


9. There's no sufficient variation which means there can

be no sufficient selection which means there can be no evolution of species.

10. Microevolution observed in nature only explains survival of the fittest, not arrival of the fittest.





Yep, We're Still Here!





If these things didn't change, why would humans evolve to a different species in just 2,000 generations?



(Images: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



[illegible]



Contemplating TRUE Creation: Transportation, Not Evolution

9 For behold, by the power of his word man came upon the face of the earth, which earth was created by the power of his word. Wherefore, if God being able to speak and the world was, and to speak and man was created, O then, why not able to command the earth, or the workmanship of his hands upon the face of it, according to his will and pleasure? (Jacob 4:8-10)

Here are some good quotes and let me give a thought on the artwork. Consider God traveling to new worlds to bring life to them. Consider Adam and Eve sailing about. Consider pre-mortal spirits ready to embark to the new world. Consider exalted Gods going about, populating the cosmos, bringing life from one end of heaven to the next! Surely life was not from evolution, it was transported from existing spheres by the exalted!



Joseph Smith taught, “When we understand the character of God, and know how to come to Him, he begins to unfold the heavens to us, and to tell us all about it. When we are ready to come to him, he is ready to come to us.” (History of the Church, 6:308).)

Joseph Smith assured the saints that the knowledge he had was available to all: “God hath not revealed anything to Joseph, but what He will make known unto the Twelve, and even the least Saint may know all things as fast as he is able to bear them.” (History of the Church, 3:380.)



Joseph Fielding Smith summarized his reasoning on why God didn't use the drawn out process of evolution when he could have simply transported life to this planet. He said:


“Now let us reason together on what is here presented:

1. Worlds without number have been created.
2. They have been created as habitations for the children of God.
3. The great work and glory of our Father is to bring to pass the immortality and eternal life of man.
4. Inhabitants of other worlds are begotten sons and daughters of God.
5. When one earth passes away to its exaltation another comes.
6. The making of earths is a glorious work which has been carried on eternally.

This being true, then does it not appear to you that it is a foolish and ridiculous notion that when God created this

earth he had to begin with a speck of protoplasm, and take millions of years, if not billions, to bring conditions to pass by which his sons and daughters might obtain bodies made in his image? Why not the shorter route and **transplant them from another earth as we are taught in the scriptures?** Surely to any reasonable mind, the Lord would not have to start with an amoeba, pass through the stage of lower fish to higher fish to reptiles to apes and to man! When we stop to consider how perfect are the workings of God; how thorough he is and orderly, surely these theories flatten out and are without substance.” (Joseph Fielding Smith, *Man: His Origin & Destiny*, Ch. 12 Man the Offspring of God)

















Dogma of the Schools & Bias

“Evolutionary biology, in contrast with physics and chemistry, is a **historical science** – the evolutionist attempts to explain events and processes that have already taken place. **Laws and experiments are inappropriate** techniques for the explication of such events and processes.” (Author Ernst Mayr, delivered in a lecture after receiving the Crafoord Prize from the Royal Swedish Academy of Science.)


This is a far cry off for demonstratable science called for in the restoration. The First Presidency of the Church taught, "Our religion is not hostile to real science. That which is **demonstrated**, we accept with joy; but **vain philosophy, human theory and mere speculations of men, we do not accept nor do we adopt anything contrary to divine revelation or to good common sense**. But everything that tends to right conduct, that harmonizes with sound morality and increases faith in Deity, finds favor with us no matter where it may be found." (from "WORDS IN SEASON FROM THE FIRST PRESIDENCY": Deseret Evening News December 17, 1910, part 1 p.3) (excerpt from the BYU packet on evolution <http://biology.byu.edu/DepartmentInfo/EvolutionandtheOriginofMan.aspx>.)





"All sciences begin with speculation, only Darwinism ends with it." (Biochemist Michael Behe, "Darwin's Black Box," Afterward.)

Truth-Denier:



Truth-Seeker:

Science is about **proving** things true or false, not just false!



"All truths are easy to understand once they are discovered—the point is to discover them."

Galileo Galilei

Joseph Smith warned against the influence of false spirits when he taught: "nothing is a greater injury to the children of men than to be under the influence of a false spirit, when **they think they have the spirit of God.** Thousands have felt the influence of its terrible power, and baneful effects; long pilgrimages have been undertaken, penances endured, and pain, misery, and ruin

have followed in their train; nations have been convulsed, kingdoms overthrown, provinces laid waste, and blood, carnage, and desolation are the habilaments in which it has been clothed.” (Times and Seasons April 1, 1842)

Stephen Meyer in chapter 11 of “Darwin’s Doubt” talks about a guy who allowed an article that questioned evolution to be peer-reviewed and published in an academic journal - the guy was promptly fired.

Michael Behe in “Darwin’s Black Box” talks about a man who performed many science experiments who was going to be hired but was asked in the interview if he believed in evolution. He said no, he believed in the biblical account of creation, and for this he was not hired.

Jonathan Wells in “The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism” reported several prime examples of **academic bias** favoring evolution.

1. Michael Behe and other scientists trying to publish intelligent design academic papers in science journals are denied. They say it's not scientific because it's not published in journals, and they won't publish it because it's not scientific (because it can't be found in academic journals). (Note – this is circular reasoning.) Journals also refused to publish Behe's rebuttals to those who have published attacks against him in journals.
2. Wells gives repeated examples of how academic freedom only applies to politically correct ideas. Intelligent design advocates are not allowed to participate in various science forums, conferences etc.

3. The Smithsonian was going to have a show where they talked about evolution and drew a philosophical opinion from it that the cosmos might be designed for a reason. Evolutionists everywhere were outraged and got the Smithsonian to cancel the show. The Smithsonian said they decided to cancel the show because upon further analysis they concluded that such a show would not be in keeping with the mission of the Smithsonian. The Smithsonian is fine with mixing in philosophy with their science when it comes to philosophies that say there is nothing in the universe and we are all there is in the cosmos, but if ever you want to suggest the possibility of a philosophy that there might be something of design in the universe and purpose, they don't allow that.
4. There is dispute among evolutionary biologists about all forms of life coming from a common ancestor. Nevertheless, Darwinists try to shut down intelligent design advocates from even presenting that side by saying there is 'no controversy' that 'everyone agrees' on Darwinism.
5. Occasionally a biology textbook will bring up intelligent design only to say that there's no evidence for it and that it's just based on the bible. But of course, they don't let students view any of the materials defending intelligent design scientifically.
6. In the early 2000s Kansas took macroevolution out of their biology curriculum. Evolutionists got together and made it so those high school credits wouldn't count towards graduation. (Note – so much for localized education determined by parents. Everything is being federalized, globalized, and it's not you who gets to call the shots, it's someone smarter and more important than you. Someone who has moved beyond the primitive ways of religion and parental rights.)

7. A public high school teacher named Dehart mentioned the possibility of intelligent design in his school, and the school board approved of it. He didn't put forth his personal opinion, he just pointed out that there's another possibility, and the ACLU crushed him, ending his career as a public teacher.

To demonstrate that there is controversy in science today about evolution, consider groups such as Dissent from Darwin (<https://dissentfromdarwin.org>). Their site features a series of scientists who openly express their view that natural selection (the heart of Darwinian evolution) is wholly insufficient to explain natural processes. The site features a researcher who had written a textbook on evolution who said, "students at least should have the opportunity to learn about the flaws and limits of Darwin's theory while they are learning about the theory's strongest claims."

This isn't just about saying 'let God be the one directing supernatural selection.' It is to say that many basic tenants of evolutionary theory don't work.



One Chinese scientist pointed out that in China, you can't question the government but you can question






Darwin; whereas in America, you can question the

government, but you can't question Darwin! (See "Darwin's Doubt" by Stephen Meyer)

It's like an article I recently read by a social scientist in the Church about the liberal bend of mainstream social sciences – "...there is virtually no chance that, say, a research article in favor of the family proclamation," Austin said, "is going to pass peer review."
(<https://www.sltrib.com/religion/2023/01/29/byu-faculty-urged-align-their/>)






Creationism is religion! So is evolution.



| Science | VS | MILLIONS OF YEARS OF EVOLUTION |
|---|----|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBSERVABLE | | <input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVABLE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TESTABLE | | <input type="checkbox"/> TESTABLE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REPEATABLE | | <input type="checkbox"/> REPEATABLE |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FALSIFIABLE | | <input type="checkbox"/> FALSIFIABLE |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RELIGION: AN INTEREST, A BELIEF, OR AN ACTIVITY THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO A PERSON OR GROUP. |

Source: Merriam-Webster Dictionary

NOW OTHER SCIENTISTS ARE DISCOVERING THIS.



10:39

5G 94%

catalog.byu.edu/courses/10660-

BYU Undergraduate Catalog

Brigham Young
University

Search...

[Home](#) / [Courses](#) / [Evolutionary Biology](#)

BIO 420

Evolutionary Biology

[Biology](#) • College of Life Sciences

Course Information


Course Description



Intensive examination of evolution as the conceptual cornerstone of biology.


When Taught


Fall and Winter














YOU CAN'T GET PUBLISHED IN OUR JOURNALS?




**MAYBE YOU SHOULD HAVE THOUGHT
OF THAT BEFORE YOU BECAME PEASANTS**

**WHEN I STUDY HUMAN ANATOMY,
I FEEL WONDER FOR GOD'S CREATION**





**THAT'S IT, NO MORE HUMAN ANATOMY
IN HIGH SCHOOL BIOLOGY CURRICULUM**



@petirep

+ JAKE-CLARK.TUMBLR











(Apparently human anatomy isn't important enough to be a part of high school biology curriculum anymore, there's no room with all the chapters on evolution theory.)


















**HOW DO YOU SOLVE A
PROBLEM LIKE CREATION RESEARCH?**











kids learn
evolution


evolution
all
anyone sees



adults
learn
evolution


researchers
publish evolution

imgflip.com














GOES TO PUBLIC SCHOOL, BELIEVES IN EVOLUTION











**EVOLUTION IS
SUPPORTED BY
1000'S OF SCIENTISTS!**





**GEOCENTRISM
WAS SUPPORTED BY
1000'S OF SCIENTISTS.**














**LET'S TEACH
EVOLUTION AT BYU...**

JOSEPH FIELDING SMITH:

Cast it into the fire. Destroy it!

**...EVIL
SHOULD
HAVE ENDED
THAT DAY...**

No.

imgflip.com


EVOLUTION ISN'T JUST A THEORY


IT'S AN "ESTABLISHED" THEORY

imgflip.com





**CREATIONIST
PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHERS**





EVOLUTION IS NOW ORTHODOX







**JOURNALS REFUSING TO PUBLISH
YOUR ARTICLE WHICH QUESTIONS EVOLUTION**

NOT SO FAST!

YOUR HERESIES ARE DISTURBING

**DO YOU PERSIST IN DENOUNCING
THE ORTHODOXY OF EVOLUTION?**






imgflip.com

JAKE-CLARK.TUMBLR






**EVOLUTION THEORY...
WE CAN FIT IN WITH EVERYONE ELSE**







HIS WORDS QUESTION EVOLUTION



**THE BOARD FINDS
YOUR STUDY UNACCEPTABLE**









Culture Warriors: We Don't Keep Calm



"We do not sit quietly by but actively defend him."
(Elder Ronald A. Rasband, October 2024 General
Conference)


Proverbs 25:2 states, "*It is the glory of God to conceal a thing: but the honour of kings is to search out a matter.*"




D&C 123: 11-15: “11 And also it is an **imperative duty that we owe** to all the rising generation, and to all the pure in heart— 12 For there are many yet on the earth among all sects, parties, and denominations, who are **blinded by the subtle craftiness** of men, whereby they lie in wait to deceive, and who are only **kept from the truth** because they know not where to find it— 13 Therefore, that we should waste and **wear out our lives in bringing to light** all the hidden things of darkness, wherein we know them; and they are truly manifest from heaven— 14 These should then be attended to with great earnestness. 15 **Let no man count them as small** things; for there is much which lieth in futurity, pertaining to the saints, which depends upon these things.”



God wants us to speak more, not less, about his word. What he told to Joseph Smith He tells all His servants: “And at all times, and in all places, he shall open his mouth and declare my gospel as with the voice of a trump, both day and night.” (D&C 24:12)








Smith lamented the prevalence of worldly philosophies even in his time, and cheered on the few who have remained faithful. He said, “**The more I see of educated men, I mean those who are trained in the doctrines and philosophies of men now taught in the world, the less regard I have for them. Modern theories which are so popular today just do not harmonize with the Gospel**


as revealed to the Prophets and it would be amusing if it were not a tragedy to see how **some of our educated brethren attempt to harmonize the theories of men with the revealed word of the Lord.** Thank the Lord there is still some faith left, and some members who still cherish the word of the Lord and accept the **Prophets.**” (Joseph Fielding Smith, Small Journals, Dec. 28, 1938. Typescript of this quotation in Eugene Thompson Collection, BYU Archives.
https://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/sbi/articles/Dialogue_V15N01_81.pdf)



2 Ne. 10:15: “...I must needs destroy the secret works of darkness...”





INSIDE YOU THERE
ARE TWO WOLVES




**AND THE ONE THAT
EATS THEM FOR BREAKFAST**

imgflip.com












Note: When we advocate intelligent design, there is no need to rely on evolutionists' timelines, invented to give them time for NATURAL (unguided) selection.










imgflip.com




imgflip.com

















Bonus: Fun Comments from
Video Responding to
Evolutionist Book “Let’s Talk
about Science and Religion”
2023



Here is the 3hr video I did responding to the “Let’s Talk About Science and Religion” book, which book promotes evolution to the saints.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1yB5lY9WaK4&t=2865s>

The following are small quotations therefrom to give you an idea of the concepts covered in this rebuttal, and to have a bit of fun.

[Video description:] “This is probably the most important video I've ever made, and the research behind it has been many months in the making. It is a detailed rebuttal to a popular book circulating in the Church promoting evolution, called "Let's Talk About Science and Religion." Sadly this book represents a dangerous combination of bad science and false doctrine. Though the authors attempt to help people retain their faith by claiming that Evolution and Christianity can coexist, this is both misleading and unsustainable. When we are acquainted with correct science and true doctrine we do not need to rely on the theories of men to be intellectually and spiritually nourished. You may wonder why this book published in 2023 has become so popular in the Church, but rest assured it is not an official Church publication, and be aware that members are allowed to promote views like this which they think are helpful even when they are ultimately not correct. Please learn about this issue by watching this video and help me spread this very important information. Let's do our part to strengthen our fellow saints and press forward to our Zion destination which can only be achieved by embracing the truth and rejecting dangerous counterfeits. Thank you, Nate Richardson”

"When are we going to say the emperor has no clothes guys? Evolution doesn't work no matter how old the Earth is!"

Concerning the age of the earth, "they've always got to give themselves that safety that fall out to say oh it's actually even older than that because when we show them the statistics..."

"People are like, why do you care about this stuff? Because it matters man, it matters!"

"We can't be so quick to accept the worldly ways that contradict our scriptures, that's the whole point"

"We need to take the scriptures as our primary thing. When something doesn't match the scriptures we've got to throw up the red flag and say hey I'm not okay with this."

"Please, in our efforts to save testimonies, let us embrace truth."

"The whole theme of the book is that we need to adopt the evolution worldview and adjust our religious thinking to accommodate evolution."

"I'm confident in the growing evidence is that supports the scriptures, truth will prevail."

"We just want to set the record straight. We want to be done with the nonsense and we want to go on to Zion and to truth and to not live beneath our privileges anymore."

"There was a BYU professor who said there will never be any scientific evidence for the flood. Why would any Christian say that? Why would any latter Day Saints say that? It's terrifying its terrifying."

"If it doesn't fit their preordained theory, they sweep it under the rug"

"Somehow learning evolution is going to give us bulletproof testimonies?"

"Why aren't we being more skeptical of the science that doesn't match the religion?"

"How are you going to throw out a century of consistent teachings from the brethren against evolution?"

"Claiming that you have all these variables cranked down for your radiometric dating theory to work doesn't work."

To be clear, in our correspondence with President Oaks recently, he indicated that they are aware of evolution being taught at BYU merely to make students aware of the theories of men. (But in reality, they are advocating the theory as truth.)

"What do you mean it has not been revealed, have we not read our scriptures lately?"

"We aren't saying throw out the scientific method, by all means, we are saying we want more honest and

legitimate science. Let's try to do something more than just an academic journal that has a vertical wall against anything that doesn't promote evolution. That's the kind of stuff that we want to get away from."

"First presidency statements, oh boy this part, I gotta do it, I gotta do it."

"We can't have the grossly oversimplified Gospel of just go along with everything, okay we can't do it, you can't shift all of your responsibility on to other people, you've got to have some moral conscience, you've got to look into these things, and you've got to get in on the fight man, Saints are fighters, they are lovers, and lovers are fighters, as in they don't just let it slide, they don't just let their children get eaten by wolves, we've got to stand up and fight for these things. That's love right? Charity is that you actually do something. We're going to have this discussion, we're going to say we're not okay with this, and it's okay, it's okay to disagree."



"They decided oh yeah we'll just throw some human feet on this and human hands and white eyes."

"The spiritual informs the temporal. You cannot separate these two things."

"Why are they never willing to get into those truths that we have in the scriptures, why are they never willing to get into the spiritual creation? That's what boggles my mind. In this book they throw out a few sporadic references to God as the Creator... They don't get into the spiritual things about creation at all." They claim we have much to learn about science and religion which is true but they ignore so much of what has been revealed.

"We're not just going to symbolize it away...If you want to Christianize evolution you have to take the creation fall and atonement, those three pillars of our religion, k, you have to take those three pillars of our faith and wash them away. You have to take those rocks and trade them out for sand."

"Do you think the power of God needs billions of years?"

"Joseph Smith came out and said that people and animals didn't originate on Earth, they came from elsewhere"

"Okay if you don't have a PhD and you're not looking at this with the desire to see evolution, what is the plain and precious message of this verse?"

"Here's what they left out in those three little dots....,"

"They talk about how there have been shifting views of church leaders over time (about evolution). That statement alone is misleading..."

"Only the superficial vague version of Christianity can allow for evolution, not the full restoration."



"Somehow God pulled it off, that's called faith."

"All the people know now is evolution so that's the way they think it has to be."

"Why do we have multiple creation accounts that have this supposedly wrong order?"

"Please understand that the devil has deceived the whole world!" (Rev.12:9)

"At some point guys we can't just claim to be Christians to be Saints and to believe the Bible and espouse to philosophies that are totally against it."

"Evolution has taken away the idea of the first man."

"Don't think that just because it's taught at BYU or that it's on the shelf at Deseret Book that it's the pure Gospel of Our Lord."

"Direct lineal offspring, those are genealogical terms... Please reverently pray about what that means."

"Boy, I don't know what's going on, but the Church is true, and there's going to be some house cleaning"

"We've been misled. The Devil has deceived the whole world. We gotta wake up to that. It's okay, we've all been deceived. Let's admit that we were wrong let's start over let's go back to the scriptures. Let's have a revival. Let's not be falling with Babylon."

"Sadly evolution is the poison, not the cure"

"Show me the bat-man!"

"Man is the child of God, k, this doesn't just mean God made us in a bowl of soup and poured us into a monkey man when he was ready to be an Adam man."



"They had to stop saying this because it was utter nonsense."

"So who's your daddy, the sponge or The God?"

Remember 2 Nephi [2:22](#) says "ALL" things would have remained in the same state after which they were created. So you can't say death was happening outside of Eden before the fall!

"The Book of Mormon is coming around and saying, look guys I guess y'all forgot about the Bible I guess y'all forgot about plain and precious truths, let's try again. Okay? let me just try and put it to you real straight. And if you want to wrest it this time after having this plain and precious book then we're done."

"We are all praying for you BYU, that you will be cleansed from the many wickednesses that are going on on your face" many great things go on at BYU and it has a great future mission but it must be cleansed.

"We have President Nelson repeatedly saying he doesn't believe in evolution. Why weren't those quotes in their book? It was not fair! It was all pro evolution, that's the problem, it didn't present both sides"

"It's kind of top secret that there is any kind of research on creation science, I never heard a lick of it at BYU, and I just so happen to find out, oh, there are epic amounts of research that support the Bible that support our doctrine, why has nobody told me this and of all places why not at BYU? why don't they offer a creation science class at BYU? Why don't we get both sides? Why don't we talk about evidences for the scriptures?"

"President Benson specifically names Charles Darwin as an antichrist. So if this is the backbone of biology (as BYU claims in their course listing), in other words if this is scientific truth right, if this is God himself what he uses, okay why is Charles Darwin the guy who came up with it and antichrist? Oh thank God for the antichrist that gave me evolution! God forbid!"

"People wanna say 'oh the Bible isn't a scientific textbook.' okay but it also isn't a completely misleading totally utterly false thing, because you have to throw out so much of the Bible reconcile with modern science, with evolution theory. You just gotta start ripping out pages."

"President Packer gave us a warning that not all of the persecution is going to come from outside the church. I am so sorry but this is true."

We are not promoting an alternative to science. We are not saying get rid of the scientific method. We are promoting an alternative to evolution. The authors repeatedly equate science with evolution.

"if we reject the doctrines of Christ we are rejecting Christ. That's how it works... No matter how many times you say the name Jesus, you have to actually believe what he says in order to be a Christian."

"There's a strangely growing list of things we have no official position on, alright okay let me just say the Church is true, please do not reject the revelations, because Alma says it, Nephi said it, everyone says it, that when we reject the truth we get less and less information."

Evolution is "an apocalyptic theory of doom!"

"the names of species are on this outer layer (of the evolutionary phylogenetic tree of life). These middle layers notice how they do not have names, why, because they do not exist. They have never been discovered. They are not named, they are theoretical. Evolution theory is very theoretical folks."

"that's the top secret about evolution by the way, it's on its way out they are terrified, as in the scientific community, they are grasping for straws, they are using increasing government censorship, they are terrified, because a big part of the foundation of the great and


spacious building is in fact evolution theory. Read 'em and weep, it's true, this is a huge part of Babylon."

"I meet evolutionists time after time and they're really weak on the Adam doctrine. Okay they don't believe Adam was real they say it doesn't matter if Adam was real or not. Okay it does matter to me if Adam was real or not because the scriptures say he was. Over and over and over. And if the scriptures aren't true then I'm wasting my time with religion."

"Evolution isn't natural, it doesn't make sense, it takes a lot of brainwashing to swallow"

To be clear, the "Let's Talk Science and Religion" book is NOT a publication of the Church. No Church insignia in it etc.

"When you find these bones they don't have any skin color they don't have any hair so this is all just science fiction, it's just them doing what fits evolution, we wish they would be a little more clear, you know maybe put a disclaimer buy this display and say we don't actually know what these people looked like okay I mean let's have a little more honesty"



"Stop saying the scriptures can't be used in a scientific way"

Remember Abraham says God created the animals to bring forth after their KIND. There are strict genetic limits!

"She has a couple different theories but they all have to involve evolution, that's the common theme of all her theories. And so here's the question, if people were just evolving and then when humans were ready to show up God send the spirit of Adam and put it in one of those things, why, right, um, there's just really no need for it. It's just redundant. If God is the creator then why does he have to do this? The God who uses evolution is wasteful, is flawed, and cruel. Evolution is the religion of death because by death was man brought into the world."

NOT QUITE READY FOR EVOLUTION?

Too long have evolutionists claimed a monopoly on scientific knowledge. The time has come to poke the beast and see that it's all just hot air. This book is not for the timid. For the rest of us, it's time for some rolling laugh out loud from start to finish.

Based on extensive evolution research, Nate has summarized key evolutionist claims with these hilarious memes, interesting quotations, and concise summaries of key findings. So stick around and you might actually learn something. These messages reveal evolution's implications and shortcomings in a fun easy to read format, organized by topics like natural selection, God used evolution, what's the Church's official position, where's the missing link, how about that genocide, etc.

This book demonstrates not only the falsehoods hidden in evolutionary science, but the still more dangerous falsehoods hidden in doctrinal interpretations of scriptures of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and other Christian denominations to create a Christian-evolution hybrid.

Don't be a grumpy cat, it's ok to have some fun. As they say, when you encounter great terrors, you can choose to laugh or to cry. So, let's have a good laugh! Brew up some hot co-co and wait for the trendies to call you a pseudo-scientist.

Now let's keep calm and FIGHT the culture war!