

Not Quite Evolved!

Jokes, Quotes & Facts on the Scientific
& Religious Problems with Evolution
Theory

Non-Denominational Edition



R. Nathan Richardson

Copyright Nate Richardson 2024. This book may be shared for non-profit purposes.

Visit RichardsonStudies.com for similar non-denominational creation science resources.



I take a bold stance on this issue, as I believe it is my prerogative and calling to do so, but I can still shake hands and serve with anyone. As for me, I view evolution as not only incorrect, but evil. I view it as something that undermines society and erodes faith. Therefore, I will continue to do all in my power to bring it down.

I don't support every show these memes are from; they're just popular symbols used to relate to what many are familiar with.

Color code of text in this book:

Red – Scriptures.

Blue – Latter-day Prophets

Green – Scientists.


In this book I will occasionally reference Universal Model: A New Millennial Science (often referred to as The UM). It is a non-denominational textbook series with tremendous evidence demonstrating the young Earth, worldwide flood of Noah, and the academic bias of modern science. The UM theories on how the flood occurred are compelling, but I recognize there are various theories for the flood mechanics and so on.

Contents

Chillin' in Eden with the Dinos & No Death Before the Fall.....	5
Come on Guys, God Used Evolution!.....	9
Faith Crisis! Evolution vs Scripture, & False Teachers	24
Biology's Tree, & Geology's Column	54
It's a Young Earth Afterall: Earth's Temporal Lifespan & Radiometric Dating	59
Bro, Was There Even a Flood?	64
Who's Your Daddy? The Parenthood of God & The First Man Adam	70
Show Me Those Similarities! Homology, Vestigial, & Embryos	82
Common Ancestor? Species Change?.....	89
Now Where Did I Put That Missing Link... Hominid Horrors	93
Genocide: That's Definitely Racist	111
What are the Odds! Evolution & DNA	123
Dogma of the Schools & Bias	130
Culture Warriors: We Don't Keep Calm.....	183

Chillin' in Eden with the Dinos & No Death Before the Fall

Special thanks to Jeremy Michel for these Eden dino pictures! Check out his Dinosaurs in Scripture presentations!




The Bible is not silent on this topic either.

Genesis 3:17-20 shows even plant life was impacted by the Fall of man, and that Eve was the mother of ALL living: “17 And unto Adam he said, Because thou hast hearkened unto the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thee, saying, Thou shalt not eat of it: cursed is the ground for thy sake; in sorrow shalt thou eat of it all the days of thy life; 18 Thorns also

and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field; 19 In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return. 20 And Adam called his wife's name Eve; because she was the mother of all living.”

Romans 8 :21-22 speaks of all of creation being cursed: “21 Because the creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. 22 For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now.”



1 Corinthians 15:21-22, 26, and 45 speak of Adam as the first man, and as death entering the world at his Fall: “21 For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead.


22 For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.


26 The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death.

45 And so it is written, The first man Adam was made a living soul; the last Adam was made a quickening spirit.”

Romans 5: 12-14 also teachings these doctrines of death originating from sin of man: “12 Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so

death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned: 13 (For until the law sin was in the world: but sin is not imputed when there is no law. 14 Nevertheless death reigned from Adam to Moses, even over them that had not sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression, who is the figure of him that was to come.”








Come on Guys, God Used
Evolution!



<u>God's Plan</u>	<u>Devil's Plan</u>
The creation by God.	The explosion of nothing.
The fall from God.	The climb from slime.
The atonement/returning to God.	Death. End of existence.

Stephen Meyer's research exposing evolution lead him to say, "Why attempt to reconcile traditional Christian theology with Darwin's theory as Collins tries to do if the theory itself has begun to collapse?" (Stephen Meyer, Darwin's Doubt)



Here are some religious reasons why God didn't use evolution:


- Scriptures disagree
- Luke gives a genealogy and says Adam's dad is God.
- Its undirected ("Natural" selection)
- Why look to a worldly idea for truth?
- Darwin was evil.
- Evolution was made to get rid of God.

- It requires Adam's dad to be a monkey-man.
- It denies that man was made in the image of God.
- It denies the fall, which also undermines the atonement.
- It spiritualizes the scriptures, not allowing them to be literal.
- It denies the flood of Noah.

Here are some scientific reasons why God didn't use evolution:



- It's wasteful.
- It takes forever.
- It's based a long chain of unplanned events so unlikely they may as well be considered impossible.
- Its cruel.
- There are more efficient ways to create, therefore its not charitable.
- Science often contradicts it.
- It requires a way too old earth.
- Atheist agenda holds up the fake science
- Tax dollars hold up the fake science.
- Opposition to it is systemically suppressed.


- It doesn't work with known laws of genetics.
- There is insufficient proof for it in the fossil record.
- It's statistically not possible for the amount of time given, to mutate enough genes to create complex life.






If the world said the moon were made of cheese, and Christians said no, God made the moon, and he wouldn't have made it out of cheese, have we reached a satisfactory compromise when we say the moon is made of cheese, but God made it that way? The entire premise is flawed, and putting God into it isn't getting us any closer to the truth. Surely the God of order is offended when we blame Him for evolutionary claims. Though the whole world believe the moon to be of cheese, the Christians will not!



































Faith Crisis! Evolution vs Scripture, & False Teachers

“Evolution is promulgated as an ideology, a secular religion – a full fledged alternative to Christianity, with meaning and morality...Evolution is a religion. This was true of evolution in the beginning, and it is true of evolution still today.” (Michael Ruse, Professor of



ACADEMIC FRAUD DENIAL:

In a world where academic fraud runs wild, how are the saints so dismissive of the entire problem?

Let's all take accountability for where we are and commit to a revival of faith in the fullness of the restored gospel. Let's fulfill prophecy of a generation who knows their religion better, not worse, than any past generation. This is our destiny. Will we rise to it, or will we demand a watered-down version of the truth? Will we take the worldly ways rather than Gods ways, like ancient Israel who demanded a king, despite the prophet's warnings? They got what they asked for, and so will we.



Scriptures of the Bible go against evolution. For starters:

-2 Peter 3:8 Each day of creation being 1000 years, not millions or billions of years.

-2 Peter 3:5-7; Gen. 1:1-10 Earth was created by water and was later covered by a worldwide flood higher than

the mountains which Noah and the animals couldn't just run away from.

-**Luke 3:38** Adam was literally a son of God (not a son of millions of years of monkeys and humanoids).

-**Psalms 19:1** Nature does prove God.

-**Romans 5:12, 14** By one man sin and death entered the world.

-**1 Cor. 15:21-22,26** by the man Adam came death.

-**Genesis 3:17-19; Romans 8:18-22**: Plants were also affected by the Fall.


-**Genesis 1, 6, 7, Moses 2**: Animals only reproduce after their kind (no common ancestor).

-**Genesis 3:17-20** shows even plant life was impacted by the Fall of man, and that Eve was the mother of ALL living.

-**Romans 8 :21-22** speaks of all of creation being cursed.

-**1 Corinthians 15:21-22, 26, and 45** speak of Adam as the first man, and of death entering the world at his Fall.

-**Romans 5: 12-14** also teaches these doctrines of death originating from the sin of man.



WHO WOULD WIN?

HEALS PEOPLE INSTANTLY
CREATED EARTH IN 7 DAYS
SELF-EXISTENT
OMNIPOTENT
TELLS A STORM TO STOP
ALLOWS AGENCY
RAISES THE DEAD
OMNIPRESENT
OMNISCIENT
SACRIFICES FOR ALL
FORESEES FUTURE
SENDS PROPHETS

WARM LITTLE POND
NATURAL SELECTION
SURVIVAL OF THE FITTEST
MAYBE HOMINIDS
TAX FUNDING
CRUSHES OPPOSITION
NO PURPOSE
GOD USED EVOLUTION
NO OFFICIAL POSITION
ISN'T IT WONDERFUL?
BILLIONS OF YEARS
IMPOSSIBLY SMALL ODDS
ON YOUR OWN

THE SUN WILL COME OUT

IN A MILLION YEARS

Evolution is the opposite of the bible!

BIBLE:	EVOLUTION:
Earth before sun & stars.	Sun & stars before Earth.
Oceans before land.	Land before ocean
Light before sun.	Sun before light.
Land plants before marine life.	Marine life before plants.
Fruit trees before fish.	Fish before fruit trees.
Fish before insects.	Insects before fish.
Plants before sun.	Sun before plants.
Birds before reptiles.	Reptiles before birds.
Man brought death into the world.	Death brought man into the world.
God made man.	Man made God.

(Genesis 1)

(Special thanks to Dr. Kent Hovind (DrDino.com) for outlining this.)





Stephen Meyer in “Darwin’s Doubt” talks about nature’s **witness of God as is evident in nature**, and how modern science has decided to refuse to look at that. Here are some important points he makes:

1. The book “The invisible Man” by GK Chesterton is about how someone was murdered while four honest guards did not detect the murder. It was the mailman who clearly walked up and into the house and back out - they just didn't suspect him.
2. This is like how **nature clearly shows** an intelligent designer - it's just that the scientists are **unwilling to acknowledge** the designer.
3. It's not just that nature does not look like it evolved, nature **specifically looks like it was designed**. But Neo-Darwinists respond to this natural phenomenon by calling it an illusion.
4. The commitment to materialism in science causes them to reject intelligent design. It's not that materialism is what the evidence shows, it's their only allowed framework, even when the evidence points elsewhere.


5. Scientists have decided by fiat to exclude anything involving intelligent design and this is greatly hindering scientific progress, limiting the types of theories that are tested, etc.
6. We shouldn't be committed to abstract criteria about whether something is scientific or not. **There are disagreements about what science is. Rather we should focus on whether or not something is true.**
7. **Evolution's monopoly on science** today stifles discussion.
8. Intelligent design **detects and identifies** creation, it doesn't just say there's a designer. The ability to detect design brings science and faith into real harmony.



Don't Trust False Teachers!

The personal life of Charles Darwin is of great concern. Charles Darwin was cruel to animals as a child and continued in his reclusive and inhumane habits throughout his life toward his wife and others. There was tremendous conflict in his married life as his wife was very religious. The further he became entrenched in his theory of evolution, the more he hated life, and could not find beauty in nature. He said in 1860, “the sight of a feather in a peacock’s tail, whenever I gaze at it, makes me sick!” He was tormented by nature’s continual witness of design. Evolution theory poisoned Darwin because it was from an impure source.

More concerning elements of Darwin's personal life include his occultic ties. Darwin frequently attended seances with George Elliott. Darwin was intrigued by



mysticism and was close friends with Max Muller who translated the Rig Vedas. Darwin was from a wealthy family and was funded by the Royal society to downplay monotheism and destroy Christianity (see The Genesis 6 Conspiracy p.516).

We must acknowledge that God's spirit of inspiration will hardly work with an immoral person. Good


scientists get inspiration from God, and bad scientists get inspiration from the Devil. Let's not be shy about the reality of the Devil and his power to influence us. Jesus preached more about hell and the Devil than any other biblical preacher. We must be awake to the horrifying possibilities of the Devil to overtake anyone who is not keeping God's law! He is a master deceiver and has even deceived the whole world (Rev. 12:9).


Isaac Newton was an inspired scientists who discovered truth by the influence of the spirit of God. Consider what he said: "All my discoveries have been made in answer to prayer." Also, "I believe the more I study science, the more I believe in God." And finally, "A man may imagine things that are false, but he can only understand things that are true." Newton's Christian piety was well known to all, and his contributions to scientific understanding are unparalleled.



(Image: Isaac Newton, Wiki Commons)







**ME WONDERING
IF EVOLUTION IS TRUE**


ME KNOWING IT'S NOT




"WE HAVE YOU NOW CREATIONISTS!"




NO YOU DON'T




















going around the Earth. Scriptures are clear that death before sin is heresy.







**BABE PLEASE,
JUST ACCEPT EVOLUTION**



SORRY, NO PROOF






imgflip.com



One profound example of being learned and yet holding

**I HAVE A VERY
SPECIAL SET OF SKILLS**



**I KNOW THE SCRIPTURES VERY
WELL, AND IF YOU TRY TO SAY GOD
USED EVOLUTION, I WILL PROVE YOU WRONG**

Saints Doctrinal knowledge
100 years ago



Evolution is
of the Devil.

imgflip.com

Saints Doctrinal
knowledge today




God used
evolution.

Biology's Tree, & Geology's Column

Biology's tree of life to a common ancestor?

The lack of common ancestors led evolutionist W. Ford Doolittle, evolutionary and molecular biologist professor at Dalhousie University, to say, “The rooting of the universal tree is hopelessly compromised.”




(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Darwin was honest when he pointed out that, according to his theory of the common origins of all living things, humans have "a pedigree of prodigious length, but **not**, it may be said, of **noble** quality." (Charles Darwin, *Descent of Man*, pp. 164-165, 1897 edition.) Darwin went so far as to mock the faithful, saying, "It is only...**arrogance** which made our forefathers declare that they were

descended from...gods.” (The Descent of Man, pp. 31-32)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)


How about geology's beloved column?

Evolution theory is what the geologic column is based on. No theory, no column. One textbook read, "...all rocks that had the early form, no matter how far apart those rocks were geographically, would have to be the same age ... fossil successions made it possible to say that the Cambrian rocks are older than the Ordovician rocks. In this way our geologic time table came into being....**Without the theory of evolution and the interdisciplinary science of paleontology, it could not exist.**" (Geology,

Darwin knew the challenges the fossil record presented to his theory, even in his day, and noted it when he stated: “There is another and allied difficulty, which is much more serious. I allude to the manner in which **many species in several of the main divisions of the animal kingdom suddenly appear in the lowest known fossiliferous rocks.** Most of the arguments which have convinced me that all the existing species of the same group are descended from a single progenitor, apply with nearly equal force to the earliest known species.” (Charles Darwin, The Origin of Species, Chapter 10, On The Imperfection Of The Geological Record)


Darwin also admitted that the fossil record isn't what evolution paints it to be: “...the geological record is far more imperfect than most geologists believe.” (Charles Darwin)

Soil Formation Evidence




(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Here's one Christians attempt at dealing with the geologic column:



From <https://www.mathetis.org/topic/does-the-fossil-record-support-creation-and-the-flood/>



It's a Young Earth Afterall: Earth's Temporal Lifespan & Radiometric Dating

To review issues with **radiometric dating**, I will refer to a few points from Henry Morris in "Scientific Creationism" chapter 6. He says:

1. You can't know the components in a system in ancient times. No system is closed. A closed system just a theoretical idea to simplify things. Since real nature is not a closed system it can be influenced by external variables fluctuating.
2. You cannot ascertain that the decay rate was constant. No process rate is unchangeable in nature. Many factors influence process rates and these factors can change. Rates are at best only statistical averages, not deterministic absolutes. (See the RATE study, for example.)
3. Modern science only accepts dating methods which yield long eons of time, and actively reject other methods.
4. Some of the daughter component may have been initially created at the same time as the parent component. There are many ways daughter products could be incorporated into the systems when first formed.
5. Variables such as lead vaporization and free neutrons etc. indicate that the lead ages, which are typically the oldest ages, could indicate nothing whatsoever about age.
6. Modern formations of lava rocks are dated to be millions of years old. When Rock melts it's supposed to reset the clock. Uranium aging on rocks of known ages are incorrect, so why should we trust uranium aging of rocks of unknown ages?
7. We accept the potassium dates which most closely resemble the uranium dates, but the uranium dates

themselves are unreliable.

8. The change in argon is from the environment, not the decaying process. Environmental fluid and gaseous argon at the time of lava flow being incorporated into the igneous rock can account for the argon levels rather than supposed to decay rates.

9. Continental drift rates are also based on potassium argon dating of rocks on the seafloor, and are therefore flawed.

10. Rubidium strontium dating is also measured by uranium dating, so bad uranium methods make these unreliable too.



11. Rubidium strontium can easily be leached out and there are other obvious flaws.

The geologic column was developed in the 1800s, long before any radiometric dating techniques were developed in the 1900s. Remember that when you hear claims about the geologic column being precise and absolute.

One professor admitted the selective use of favored radiometric dates in the scientific community when he said, “If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. **If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely ‘out-of-date,’ we just drop it.**” (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, “C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology,” *Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology*, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].)

Another researcher admitted just how many unapproved

radiometric dates they throw out when he said, “It may come as a shock to some, but **fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as ‘acceptable’** by investigators.” (*J. Ogden III, “The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon,” in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.)




(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)





Bro, Was There Even a Flood?

How about the flood? Was it just local as modern science claims, or was it an immersion baptism as the scriptures and prophets have taught?



1 Peter 3:20-21 says, “20 Which sometime were disobedient, when once the longsuffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing, wherein few, that is, eight souls were saved by water. 21 The like figure whereunto even baptism doth also now

save us (not the putting away of the filth of the flesh, but the answer of a good conscience toward God,) by the resurrection of Jesus Christ:”

Scientists 200 years ago



Proof that global flood
wiped out dinos 4500yrs ago

imgflip.com

Scientists today




Local flood,
millions of years

PS – if it was just a local flood...

- Why didn't God just tell Noah to move?
- Why bother taking all the animals?
- Wouldn't other local floods violate God's covenant to never flood the Earth again?
- Couldn't God establish His covenant with someone else if others beside Noah were still alive?
- You could say Earth was baptized by immersion.
- Why do 300+ world cultures have legends of a worldwide flood?

Scientists are catching up with revealed truth. More and more admit that dinosaurs died by flooding, as

documented in Universal Model: A New Millennial Science.



“No Survivors? Then where do all the stories come from, I wonder?”

Book of Job
Josephus
Alexander the Great
Marco Polo
The Epic of Gilgamesh


St. George Slaying the Dragon →



(Image: Wikipedia.)

“The burst of animal life 540 million years ago was so sudden that paleontologists came to call it the Cambrian explosion. In just a few million years, a hiccup in geological time, the oceans filled with representatives of

almost all modern phyla— the forebears of clams and crabs, starfish and snails, and even animals with the hint of backbone. Going from the lifeless Precambrian rocks to the fossil-rich layers of the Cambrian was like walking past an empty lot on Tuesday and finding a fully furnished house in the same place on Wednesday.” (Life Grows Up, Richard Monastersky, National Geographic, April, 1998, p111)



1st Ever Man-Made PW Fossil
(Under 3 Days)

The key is to know the recipe as revealed by scripture & real science!




(Hint: They're all made quickly)

The Flood of Noah Covered the Whole Earth:

- **Genesis 7:18-24:** "18 And the waters prevailed, and were increased greatly upon the earth; and the ark went upon the face of the waters. 19 And the waters prevailed exceedingly upon the earth; and all the high hills, that were under the whole heaven, were covered. 20 Fifteen cubits upward did the waters prevail; and the mountains were covered.
- 21 And all flesh died that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beast, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man: 22 All in whose nostrils was the breath of life, of all that was in the dry land, died.
- 23 And every living substance was destroyed which was upon the face of the ground, both man, and cattle, and the creeping things, and the fowl of the heaven; and they were destroyed from the earth: and Noah only remained alive, and they that were with him in the ark. 24 And the waters prevailed upon the earth an hundred and fifty days."

Universal Flood Mechanisms



(Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Who's Your Daddy? The Parenthood of God & The First Man Adam

Darwin was honest when he pointed out that, according to his theory of the common origins of all living things, humans have "a pedigree of prodigious length, but **not**, it may be said, of **noble** quality." (Charles Darwin, *Descent of Man*, pp. 164-165, 1897 edition.) Darwin went so far as to mock the faithful, saying, "It is only...**arrogance** which made our forefathers declare that they were **descended from...gods.**" (*The Descent of Man*, pp. 31-32)






(Image: Kent Hovind Creation Science Seminars)



Genesis 1:27 shows that we look like God, just another evidence that God is the real Father of the human race (not monkeys): “So God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.”


Acts 17:29 shows that we are OFFSPRING of God, and specifically makes the point that this is how we know God isn't a strange thing, but is an actual person like us: “Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God, we ought not to think that the Godhead is like unto gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device.”


Remember the plain and precious teaching of the bible in Luke 3:38, “Which was *the son* of Enos, which was *the son* of Seth, which was *the son* of **Adam**, which was *the son of God*.” So is a hominid the God of the Christian evolutionists? Because whoever sired Adam is God.

THE WORD OF THE LORD IS CLEAR










**YOU DON'T LIKE THE IDEA
OF MAN COMING FROM APES?**




KISS + MILLIONS OF YEARS = PRINCE




STILL A FAIRY TALE


LOOKING FOR APES IN MY FAMILY TREE




NOT SEEING IT










(Both are wrong!)



(Wacko!)



Show Me Those Similarities!
Homology, Vestigial, & Embryos

Genetics don't match up with homologous structures. In research summarized by Jonathan Wells and Paul Nelson, it has now been discovered that at times "non-homologous structures [are] produced by organisms with supposedly homologous genes, but organisms with different genes can also produce similar structures." (Homology: A Concept in Crisis



<http://www.arn.org/docs/odesign/od182/hobi182.htm>)

An article available in *Trends in Genetics* 2009 reported report that "10-20% of genes lack recognizable homologs in other species." (*More than just orphans: are taxonomically-restricted genes important in evolution?* <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19716618>) In other words 10 – 20% of genes in species don't have evidence of ancestry.

How about extra (vestigial) body parts?

At one time evolutionists listed 180 vestigial structures in the human body. (Darrow, Clarence and William J. Bryan. (1997). *The World's Most Famous Court Trial: The Tennessee Evolution Case* Pub. The Lawbook Exchange, Ltd. p. 268)

The human coccyx isn't useless like they thought; it supports weight, supports muscle, & helps balance.


The whale pelvis isn't useless like they thought; it is essential for reproduction.

In the past these structures were routinely surgically removed and discarded. Today it is recognized that every one of these structures in the human body serves a purpose.

(Vestigial Organs Not So Useless After All, Studies Find, National Geographic News, <https://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2009/07/090730-spleen-vestigial-organs.html>)


(See also Dr. Jerry Bergman, George Howe, *Vestigial Organs Are Fully Functional: A History and Evaluation of the Vestigial Organ Origins Concept Book*)


What about embryos?



(Image: Science vs Evolution p.698 by Vance Ferrel, EvolutionFacts.com)

When we view human embryos as animals, is it any wonder that we have no shame in terminating them? As one evolutionist put it, “. . . some opponents of abortion respond that the fetus, unlike the dog or chimpanzee, is made in the image of God, or has an immortal soul. . . . But there is no evidence for these religious claims, and in a society in which we keep the state and religion separate, we should not use them as a basis for the criminal law . . .” (Neo-Darwinist Peter Singer, Dept. of Bioethics, Princeton University, “Abortion, the dividing lines,” Herald Sun, August 25, 2007)







Maybe
Earth is old



Maybe it
randomly made life



Maybe species
learned how to crossbreed
past genetic limits



Humans must have
come from monkeys




imgflip.com



Change
ACROSS
species
(speculative)



Change
WITHIN
species
(demonstratable)




Common Ancestor? Species Change?

No species change allowed. Paul taught that **“All flesh is not the same flesh: but there is one kind of flesh of men, another flesh of beasts, another of fishes, and another of birds.”** (1 Cor. 15:38-39.)


Genesis 1 says, “And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, and the fruit tree yielding fruit **after his kind**, whose seed is in itself, upon the earth: and it was so. And the earth brought forth grass, and herb yielding seed **after his kind**, and the tree

yielding fruit, whose seed was in itself, **after his kind:**
and God saw that it was good...”



(Get your “No Monkeys in My Family Tree t-shirt!”
<https://a.co/d/dNh8FqA>

Alternatively, try “Apes Belong in Trees, Not
Geneologies”



Every evolution book seems to bring up the peppered moths at one point or other. Unfortunately, those are proven fakes.



(Image from Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)

Now Where Did I Put That Missing Link... Hominid Horrors

Piltdown Man was found to be the Jawbone of an orangutan with fragments of a modern human skull. It was praised as the missing link for 40 years before the hoax was discovered. 500 academic journal articles were written on it.

Nebraska man was also used as evidence for evolution for a long time. All they had of him was one tooth, which they eventually realized was the tooth of a pig.

Hilton Man's jaw was broken and the teeth filed down to fool people. It was in textbooks as proof for evolution for decades until proven a fraud in the 50s.

(Images: [Piltdown Man – Wikipedia](#) & [Nebraska Man - Wikipedia](#))



Enlarged brow ridges are common today.




(Images: [Brow ridge - Wikipedia](#))

“And then shall that Wicked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with **all power and signs and lying wonders**, And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they **receive not the love of the truth**, that they might be saved. And for this cause **God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believe not the truth,**

but had pleasure in unrighteousness.” (2 Thes. 2:8-12)”


“One of the major stumbling blocks is the **lack of evidence** concerning fossil forms and the **ignorance about the direction of evolutionary trends and rates** of evolution. This creates a serious problem, since **without data, weighting of characters in classification is largely subjective, and a truly evolutionary classification will never be a reality.**” Frank E. Poirier, Fossil Evidence, p12; Universal Model 2 p180



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)



(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)


**"Simulations
based on a
model of human
population history
and geography
find that an
individual that
is the genealogical
ancestor of all living
humans existed just
a few thousand
years ago."**


Jotun Hein, *Nature*,
30 September 2004, p518




Fig 14.6.4

(Image: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)





I LOVE OUR ABILITY TO CLASSIFY



imgflip.com




**WAITING FOR A NEW SPECIES
BY NATURAL SELECTION**



imgflip.com







**IF MONKEYS BECAME HUMANS,
WHY DO WE STILL HAVE MONKEYS?**



ARE THEY ALL THE RETARDED MONKEYS?

**WAITING FOR
THE MISSING LINK**




**STILL
HAVEN'T FOUND IT**



















**WAYS HUMANS ARE
SIMILAR TO MONKEYS**



**WAYS THEY
ARE DIFFERENT**

imgflip.com

Genocide: That's Definitely Racist

EVOLUTION
EXPLAINS
EVERYTHING!



WAIT SCIENTIFIC
RACISM IS
THE THEME OF...



imgflip.com

ORIGIN OF SPECIES

BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION, OR THE
PRESERVATION OF FAVORED RACES IN
THE STRUGGLE FOR LIFE

BY

CHARLES DARWIN, M.A., LL.D., F.R.S.

(Title page of Darwin's book republished in 1902)

Many mass shooters and arch tyrants like Hitler have based their killing on the theory of evolution, citing its core tenants of survival of the fittest and favoring more advanced races of humans. The Columbine shooter Eric Harris on his website wrote, "Getting rid of all the stupid and weak organisms." The day of his attack he wore a shirt that said "Natural Selection."

Richard Weikart, author of “From Darwin to Hitler: Evolutionary Ethics, Eugenics and Racism in Germany” and “Darwinian Racism: How Darwinism Influenced Hitler, Nazism, and White Nationalism” says, “Examining Hitler’s ideology, the official biology curriculum, the writings of Nazi anthropologists, and Nazi periodicals, we find that **Nazi racial theorists did indeed embrace human and racial evolution**. They not only taught that humans had evolved from primates, but they believed the Aryan or Nordic race had **evolved to a higher level than other races** because of the harsh **climatic** conditions that influenced **natural selection**. They also claimed that Darwinism underpinned specific elements of Nazi racial ideology, including **racial inequality, the necessity of the racial struggle for existence**, and collectivism.”

(Richard Weikart,

<https://www.csustan.edu/sites/default/files/History/Faculty/Weikart/Darwinism-in-Nazi-Racial-Thought.pdf>)



(Image: Wiki Commons)

Mao Zedong, the greatest killer of all time, used evolution to justify his work. He said, “The foundation of Chinese Socialism rests on Darwin and the theory of evolution.” (Communist dictator Mao Zedong. Source: Yabya, Communism in Ambush, 130.)



(Image: Wiki Commons)


Here's another bout of evolutionary racism for you. Let's learn about the caged man, Ota Benga. He was "...caged at the Bronx Zoo where he came to be 'exhibited' in the zoo's Monkey House as part of a display intended to promote the concepts of human evolution and scientific racism....represented as the lowest form of human development." (Wiki/Ota_Benga) Ota had a family. Eventually a **Baptist preacher protested this racism** and got Ota released. Shortly thereafter Ota killed himself from the psychological terror of his captivity and the demeaning messages of being sub-human. **These are the fruits of evolution teaching. Where are the social justice warriors against evolution?**




The gospel message is something very different – that every human regardless of color is a direct descendant of God The Father.

Eugenics, the killing of unfavorable humans, is another fruit of evolutionary theory. Between 1939 and 1941 over 100,000 physically and mentally disabled Germans were killed in secret, without the consent of their families. Founder of Planned Parenthood Margaret Sanger said, “The most serious charge that can be brought against modern ‘benevolence’ is that it encourages the perpetuation of defectives, delinquents and dependents. These are the most dangerous elements in the world community, the most devastating curse on human progress and expression.”


(see icr.org/article/evolution-american-abortion-mentality/)












**NATURE'S
EVIDENCE FOR A CREATOR**



PARADIGM OF EVOLUTION

THE GRAND ORGANIZING PRINCIPLE

imgflip.com





**HOW DO YOU EXPLAIN YOUR
HORRIFIC ACT OF VIOLENCE?**

**I IDENTIFY AS A
HOMINID ANIMAL WHOSE
MORALS HAVE NOT YET EMERGED**

imgflip.com









What are the Odds! Evolution & DNA

Stephen Meyer in “Darwin’s Doubt” summarizes limits of Neo-Darwinism’s genetic claims as follows:

- "1. Neo-Darwinism has no means of efficiently searching available combination space for functional genes and proteins and consequently
2. It requires unrealistic unrealistically long waiting times to generate even a single new Gene or protein, and the new mechanism cannot produce body plans because
3. Early acting mutations, the only kind capable of generating large-scale changes, are also invariably deleterious and

4. Genetic mutations cannot in any case generate the epigenetic information necessary to build a body plan."

Meyer also makes these stirring points against evolution's genetic claims in "Darwin's Doubt:"

1. Mendel showed that Darwin's idea of blended inheritance is not correct. The discoveries of Mendel posed many problems for Darwin's theory.

2. Richard Dawkins had a computer program recreate a phrase, but that this does not really mirror natural selection because **natural selection isn't given a phrase to look for.**

3. Evolutionists make claims about genes evolving which are as unsupported as alchemists lead turning into gold.

4. Evolutionists make claims about gene mutation very similar to taking a book, rearranging its paragraphs randomly, rechanging the spelling of words, reordering the page number, the page arrangement etc., and expecting a more advanced book to be made from this random process.

5. Given the current age of Earth there's not enough time for one single gene to evolve, much less an entire series of evolutions making animals and humans.

6. Evolutionists come up with wildly imaginative scenarios and on the rare occasion when they attempt to put them to the test, the tests fail.

7. The types of mutations that do occur are not the types of mutations required by macroevolution.

8. The types of mutations that do occur are not the types of mutations required by macroevolution.

9. There's no sufficient variation which means there can be no sufficient selection which means there can be no evolution of species.
10. Microevolution observed in nature only explains survival of the fittest, not arrival of the fittest.




Yep, We're Still Here!




If these things didn't change, why would humans evolve to a different species in just 2,000 generations?




(Images: Universal Model: A New Millennial Science)












Dogma of the Schools & Bias

“Evolutionary biology, in contrast with physics and chemistry, is a **historical science** – the evolutionist attempts to explain events and processes that have already taken place. **Laws and experiments are inappropriate** techniques for the explication of such events and processes.” (Author Ernst Mayr, delivered in a lecture after receiving the Crafoord Prize from the Royal Swedish Academy of Science.)



"All sciences begin with speculation, only Darwinism ends with it." (Biochemist Michael Behe, "Darwin's Black Box," Afterward.)

Truth-Denier:



Truth-Seeker:

Science is about **proving** things true or false, not just false!



"All truths are easy to understand once they are discovered—the point is to discover them."

Galileo Galilei

Stephen Meyer in chapter 11 of "Darwin's Doubt" talks about a guy who allowed an article that questioned evolution to be peer-reviewed and published in an academic journal - the guy was promptly fired.

Michael Behe in "Darwin's Black Box" talks about a man who performed many science experiments who was going to be hired but was asked in the interview if he believed in evolution. He said no, he believed in the biblical account of creation, and for this he was not hired.

Jonathan Wells in "The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism" reported several prime examples of **academic bias** favoring evolution.

1. Michael Behe and other scientists trying to publish intelligent design academic papers in science journals are denied. They say it's not scientific because it's not published in journals, and they won't publish it because it's not scientific (because it can't be found in academic

journals). (Note – this is circular reasoning.) Journals also refused to publish Behe's rebuttals to those who have published attacks against him in journals.

2. Wells gives repeated examples of how academic freedom only applies to politically correct ideas.

Intelligent design advocates are not allowed to participate in various science forums, conferences etc.

3. The Smithsonian was going to have a show where they talked about evolution and drew a philosophical opinion from it that the cosmos might be designed for a reason.

Evolutionists everywhere were outraged and got the Smithsonian to cancel the show. The Smithsonian said they decided to cancel the show because upon further analysis they concluded that such a show would not be in keeping with the mission of the Smithsonian. The Smithsonian is fine with mixing in philosophy with their science when it comes to philosophies that say there is nothing in the universe and we are all there is in the cosmos, but if ever you want to suggest the possibility of a philosophy that there might be something of design in the universe and purpose, they don't allow that.

4. There is dispute among evolutionary biologists about all forms of life coming from a common ancestor.

Nevertheless, Darwinists try to shut down intelligent design advocates from even presenting that side by saying there is 'no controversy' that 'everyone agrees' on Darwinism.

5. Occasionally a biology textbook will bring up intelligent design only to say that there's no evidence for it and that it's just based on the bible. But of course, they don't let students view any of the materials defending intelligent design scientifically.

6. In the early 2000s Kansas took macroevolution out of their biology curriculum. Evolutionists got together and

made it so those high school credits wouldn't count towards graduation. (Note – so much for localized education determined by parents. Everything is being federalized, globalized, and it's not you who gets to call the shots, it's someone smarter and more important than you. Someone who has moved beyond the primitive ways of religion and parental rights.)

7. A public high school teacher named Dehart mentioned the possibility of intelligent design in his school, and the school board approved of it. He didn't put forth his personal opinion, he just pointed out that there's another possibility, and the ACLU crushed him, ending his career as a public teacher.


To demonstrate that there is controversy in science today about evolution, consider groups such as Dissent from Darwin (<https://dissentfromdarwin.org>). Their site features a series of scientists who openly express their view that natural selection (the heart of Darwinian evolution) is wholly insufficient to explain natural processes. The site features a researcher who had written a textbook on evolution who said, “students at least should have the opportunity to learn about the flaws and limits of Darwin’s theory while they are learning about the theory’s strongest claims.”





This isn't just about saying 'let God be the one directing supernatural selection.' It is to say that many basic tenants of evolutionary theory don't work.

One Chinese scientist pointed out that in China, you can't question the government but you can question Darwin; whereas in America, you can question the government, but you can't question Darwin! (See "Darwin's Doubt" by Stephen Meyer)

It's like an article I recently read by a social scientist in the Church about the liberal bend of mainstream social sciences – "...there is virtually no chance that, say, a research article in favor of the family proclamation," Austin said, "is going to pass peer review."
(<https://www.sltrib.com/religion/2023/01/29/byu-faculty-urged-align-their/>)





Creationism is religion! So is evolution.




2

Science	VS	MILLIONS OF YEARS OF EVOLUTION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBSERVABLE		<input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVABLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TESTABLE		<input type="checkbox"/> TESTABLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REPEATABLE		<input type="checkbox"/> REPEATABLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FALSIFIABLE		<input type="checkbox"/> FALSIFIABLE
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RELIGION: AN INTEREST, A BELIEF, OR AN ACTIVITY THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO A PERSON OR GROUP.

Source: Merriam-Webster Dictionary

NOW OTHER SCIENTISTS ARE DISCOVERING THIS.



IT'S OK GUYS, WE FOUND THE MISSING LINK!



REAL SCIENCE



EVOLUTIONARY SCIENCE



**I HAVE A VERY SPECIAL SET OF SKILLS.
I KNOW ABOUT THE CONTROVERSY
BETWEEN EVOLUTION AND CREATION SCIENCE,**


**AND IF YOU TRY TO CLAIM ALL
SCIENTISTS AGREE ON EVOLUTION,
I WILL SYSTEMATICALLY PROVE YOU WRONG**


imgflip.com


Honey, evolution
is just popular
among professors.


But aren't they
the ones that matter?


imgflip.com













@Petirep
imgflip.com

← JAKE-CLARK.TUMBLR



imgflip.com

© 2015 LOS















(Apparently human anatomy isn't important enough to be a part of high school biology curriculum anymore, there's no room with all the chapters on evolution theory.)



























kids learn
evolution


evolution
all
anyone sees

adults
learn
evolution

researchers
publish evolution


imgflip.com







GOES TO PUBLIC SCHOOL, BELIEVES IN EVOLUTION



EVOLUTION IS A HISTORIC SCIENCE



WE DON'T USE EXPERIMENTATION




**LOOK I GRADUATED
FROM AN IVY LEAGUE SCHOOL**






**SO I'LL BE TEACHING
PURE EVOLUTION, OR NOTHING**



**WHEN YOU TRY TO TAKE
EVOLUTION OUT OF SCHOOLS**



















**TEACHER KEEPS REFERRING
TO THE "FACT" OF EVOLUTION**




**WHEN WE ALL KNOW
IT'S JUST A THEORY**

**INSTALLED EVOLUTION
AS DICTATOR OF ALL SCIENCE**



WHAT HAVE I DONE






**CREATIONIST
PUBLIC SCHOOL TEACHERS**



**"EVOLUTION IS ACCEPTED
BY MANY SCIENTISTS TODAY"**



EVOLUTION IS NOW ORTHODOX




imgflip.com



**YOUR NEW
ORTHODOXY IS DISTURBING**


**BEING TOLD YOU
CAME FROM MONKEYS**




**THEN ASKING
YOU TO BEHAVE MORALLY**

imgflip.com

CAUGHT TEACHING CREATION SCIENCE





**JUST IN CASE HE STILL
WANTS TO TEACH CREATION SCIENCE**






**TEACHER BRINGS UP
EVOLUTION IN HISTORY CLASS
AGAIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH BILL
GATES' "BIG HISTORY" PROJECT**






















YOUR ACADEMIC CAREER IS OVER!



JUST TRIED PUBLISHING MY FINDINGS



EVOLUTION

**UNBURDENED BY
A MONOPOLY ON SCIENCE**

Culture Warriors: We Don't Keep Calm




Proverbs 25:2 states, “*It is the glory of God to conceal a thing: but the honour of kings is to search out a matter.*”







God wants us to speak more, not less, about his word.














INSIDE YOU THERE
ARE TWO WOLVES





**AND THE ONE THAT
EATS THEM FOR BREAKFAST**

imgflip.com








**JUST SACRIFICED MY LIFE TO
KEEP COMMUNISTS OUT OF AMERICA**


MY KIDS PROMOTE COMMUNISM


imgflip.com
















imgflip.com
















NOT QUITE READY FOR EVOLUTION?

Too long have evolutionists claimed a monopoly on scientific knowledge. The time has come to poke the beast and see that it's all just hot air. This book is not for the timid. For the rest of us, it's time for some rolling laugh out loud from start to finish.

Based on extensive evolution research, Nate has summarized key evolutionist claims with these hilarious memes, interesting quotations, and concise summaries of key findings. So stick around and you might actually learn something. These messages reveal evolution's implications and shortcomings in a fun, easy-to-read format, organized by topics like natural selection, the claim that God used evolution, where's the missing link, genocide, etc.

This book demonstrates not only the falsehoods hidden in evolutionary science but also the still more dangerous falsehoods hidden in doctrinal interpretations of scriptures to create a Christian-evolution hybrid.

Don't be a grumpy cat; it's okay to have some fun. As they say, when you encounter great terrors, you can choose to laugh or to cry. So, let's have a good laugh! Brew up some hot cocoa and wait for the trendies to call you a pseudo-scientist.

Now let's keep calm and FIGHT the culture war!