

Evolution Challengers

Highlights & Commentary on Great Creation Science Teachers

Morris, Behe, Meyer, Wells, Hovind, & More



R. Nathan Richardson

Updated 2.24.26

RichardsonStudies.com

RichardsonStudies@protonmail.com


This book may be shared for non-profit purposes with attribution (CCBY).

In this book, I highlight the works of a cloud of witnesses in the creation science realm.

These notes highlight a few key ideas in my own words. Be sure to refer to these masterworks to delve much deeper into these topics.

When inserting my own ideas while presenting highlights of a book, I indicate them by “**Note:** ...” .

This book focuses on science, and inasmuch as religious topics arise, commentary on such is non-denominational.



Contents

The Genesis Impact by Genesis Apologetics: A Docudrama Exposing Hominids & Other Evolution Claims – Highlights	6
Darwin's Doubt by Stephen Meyer – Book Highlights & Commentary	12
The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism by Jonathan Wells PhD – Book Highlights & Commentary	26
Is Genesis History? Documentary Highlights	37
Genesis History: Geology	37
Genesis History: Biology	42
Genesis History: Astronomy	46
Genesis History: History	47
Scientific Creationism by Henry Morris – Book Highlights & Commentary	48
Introductory Chapters (1-3).....	48
Ch. 4 Accident or Plan?.....	49
Ch. 5 Uniformism or Catastrophism?.....	54
Ch. 6 Old or Young: How to Date a Rock	56
Ch. 7 Apes or Man	61
Ch. 8 Creation According to Scripture	65
Darwin's Black Box by Biochemist Michael Behe – Book Highlights & Commentary	71
Ch.'s 1-2 The Box is Opened.....	72
Part 2 – Examining the Contents of the Box (Ch. 3-7)	78
Ch. 3 Flagella	78
Ch. 4 Blood.....	79

Ch. 5-7 From Here to There; A Dangerous World; Roadkill.....	80
Part 3 What Does the Box Tell Us (Ch. 8-11)	81
Ch. 8-10 Publish or Perish; Intelligent Design; Questions about Design.....	81
Ch. 11 Science Philosophy and Religion.....	83
Afterward	85
Darwin on Trial by Phillip E. Johnson - Book Highlights & Commentary	88
Ch. 1- 4.....	88
Ch. 5 The ‘Fact’ of Evolution.....	90
Ch. 7 The Molecular Evidence	94
Ch. 8 Pre-biological Evolution	97
Ch. 9 The Rules of Science.....	100
Ch. 10 Darwinist Religion	106
Ch. 11 Darwinist Education	107
Ch. 12 Science & Pseudoscience	109
‘Dr. Dino’ Kent Hovind’s Creation Seminars: Highlights & Commentary	111
Introductory Information.....	112
Introduction to Dr. Kent Hovind.....	117
Hovind Seminar 1: Age of the Earth - Highlights.....	125
Hovind Seminar 2: The Garden of Eden (& Pre-Flood Conditions).....	138
Hovind Seminar 3: Dinosaurs & The Bible.....	158
Hovind Seminar 4: Lies in the Textbooks.....	174
Hovind Seminar 5: The Dangers of Evolution	188

Hovind Seminar 6: The Hovind Flood Theory	202
Hovind Seminar 7: Questions & Answers	222
Kent Hovind Smashes Atheist “Professor Dave Explains” in Debate on Creation Science – Highlights	252
Links to Kent Hovind’s Seminars Online etc.	254
Additional Evolution Challengers	259
Ark in the Darkness Documentary Highlights	259
Dragons or Dinosaurs? Creation or Evolution? By Darek Isaacs – Documentary Highlights & Commentary	266
Review of Universal Model: A New Millennial Science Textbooks Vols. 1 & 2 by Dean Sessions	272
By Design: Behe, Lennox, and Meyer on the Evidence for a Creator on Hoover Institution – Lecture Highlights 2022	281
Judgement Day: Intelligent Design on Trial by NOVA - Highlights & Analysis	283
Additional Resources	287

The Genesis Impact by Genesis Apologetics: A Docudrama Exposing Hominids & Other Evolution Claims – Highlights



Full video here:

https://youtu.be/H2sWzApuuvc?si=Zkw_egj8YU4DshbK

Here are some introductory highlights of points made in the presentation, you'll find many more ideas evidences images and so on in the full presentation.

How many pages of the Bible do we have to turn before we can find reliable truth?

The 98% similarity to chimp DNA claim:

- Chimps' genomes are 4.3% bigger. This doesn't match the claim of 98% similar DNA.
- They intentionally left out a lot of information. The **real similarity is between 66 and 86%**, which doesn't allow for the hundreds of millions of changes in the time allotted for evolution.
- We also have similar genetic similarities to cows and dogs.

The general lack of 'hominid' fossils:

- Lucy is about 3 million years old. The claimed hominid fossils from 2 to 3 million years ago fit in a shoe box. This doesn't give much evidence of transitions.
- Darwin admitted the lack of transitional fossils to be a strong point against his theory.
- **All of the hominid fossils could fit in the back of a pickup truck. This is all the evidence they have for the entire supposed human line.**
- The leading paleontologist on hominids says there are more scientists studying this than there are findings of it.
- He says the skulls you see on National Geographic are incredibly rare, there are only a few dozen of those; most of what they work with are tiny fragments of incomplete findings.

On the **Ardi hominid** claim:

- From 100 bones over a 30 ft radius.
- The picture they showcase is an enhanced computer animation; the actual bones found were in terrible shape.
- It took 3 years to dig up and 10 years to put together, and they said the bones were in terrible condition. None of the bones were found connected together.
- It has the same brain size as a chimp.
- They ran 11 different models of configurations, and chose the one that fit their theory.
- Based on what they selected from these 11 models, they say it walked up right because the vertebrae fit into the skull. However, the bones are missing the neck vertebrae, and missing the complete base of the skull where it would fit in.

- They say it must have walked up right based on the curve of the spine, but they are missing the spine, which they just estimated based on the pelvis.
- The pelvis wasn't intact either. They reconstructed what they thought the pelvis would look like, and based on that, they made what they thought the spine would look like.
- It was digitally reconstructed 14 times before they chose the one they liked.

Many scientists disagree with the claims that this creature walked upright, citing a **lack of evidence** and **excessive speculation**.

- Her hands and feet look ape-like.
- The specimen clearly has the head etc. of a chimp, and they base their speculation that it was an upright walker on a because of a bump on the pelvis.

On the **Lucy hominid** claim:

- Lucy is considered the best hominid evidence and is paraded in textbooks as such.
- It is claimed that they found 400 of these but what they mean is not 400 specimens, but 400 bone pieces.
- 30% of those pieces are teeth.
- They say they found 400 specimens like Lucy, but really, all they have is enough bones to fill a little bucket.
- Lucy was found spread over three meters on a hillside in hundreds of pieces.
- 20 tons of sediment were sifted to find 20% of her bones.
- Artists have rendered Lucy as having human like hands human-like feet and white eyes, yet none of this was evident in the fossils.
- Female chimps are the same height and weight as Lucy.

- They didn't find Lucy's skull, only skull fragments. Lucy discovers said that Lucy's skull was almost entirely missing.
- There's a bone at the bottom of Lucy's skull, and they say it's not supposed to be there; that might be from some other animal because it's a typical monkey bone.
- The spine of the ape enters the skull at an angle, so they have to walk hunched down, and this is the type of vertebrae we have for Lucy.
- Lucy's hands were very ape-like.
- They didn't find any feet of Lucy, yet they put feet on the displays of Lucy, notably human feet.
- They found a human-like footprint and human bones a thousand miles away from the Lucy find and claimed that the print was of Lucy's species.
- A recent study suggests that Lucy died falling from high up in a tree. What was she doing up there if she could walk?
- See Dr. Oxman Order of Man.

On the **Homo Habilis** hominid claim:

- Habilis is claimed to come after Lucy in the evolutionary line.
- They've not found the complete creature, they have fewer than 100 pieces of what they think belongs to him. The creature is shown in museums around the world in complete form.
- The creature is shown with white (human-like) eyes.
- Human tools have been found by the creature, but it's unknown if they were **used by the creature or on the creature**.
- Near the site there were many types of tools and many animals in the same dig as a formation known to have been made by nomadic people.
- There were many animals and tools outside the hut and many mounds; clearly, **people** were living there.

- The lead researcher **said the area was a lot like what we see today.**
- The Stone tools were complex.

On the Neanderthal hominid claim:

- Next is Neanderthals. These were humans.
- DNA shows they lived and worked with humans.
- They were accepted in the same clan and community as humans.
- They have jewelry artwork weapons etc.
- They dived for shells, made musical instruments, and yet the museums and show them as foolish apes.

(End of Genesis Impact highlights on hominids.)

Additional Points:

The (phylogenetic) tree of life connecting the species is guesswork and theoretical. Their own chart admits that there's no direct fossil evidence connecting various hominid types.

Darwin's finches are considered the top evidence for evolution. Different-sized beaks on different islands customized to different food sources available are merely adaptations. From there, they believe that adding millions of years will result in species change. It takes a lot of faith to believe that. We have thousands of years of recorded history, and no one has seen a new species emerge. There are various breeds of animals, but they can't be crossbred with other species. DNA can be expressed differently without changing the DNA; this phenomenon, called epigenetics, explains the variation within a species, even within a couple of species.

The theory of evolution keeps changing. News articles continue to say that the missing link is still missing, and the tree of life connections continue to be redrawn.

We have many all-or-nothing systems. We have a conscience. For the system to work, you need the heart, blood, and veins at the same time; they couldn't have evolved one at a time. Blood coagulation requires five complex steps and five complex systems. Without all that in place from the beginning, we would all bleed to death.

The age of the Earth is based on radiometric dating. Consider these three assumptions required for radiometric dating to work:

1. The rate of decay is an assumption. We don't know the past decay rate or the possibility of different Earth environments.
2. Another assumption is the initial amount of the parent element.
3. A third assumption is the initial amount of the daughter element.

Rocks formed from a volcanic eruption witnessed by man, in theory, would give a radiometric age the same as the known age. However, when these studies are done, radiometric dating misses the known age by millions of years. A 10-year-old rock from the Mount St Helens eruption was radiometrically dated to about 2 million years.

The Bible is clear: Generations are given back to Adam, and Adam is made by God, not evolved from an ape-like creature.

They say Pangaea split into the current configuration **over millions of years** based on how fast the plates are moving today. But consider the Morrison formation, 13 states in the middle of the US have many Marine creatures. Modern Science says these dinosaurs were from a comet hitting around Mexico, thousands of miles away from the target site. This great distance is troubling, as is the fact that the bones are buried under multiple layers of watery sediment. Widespread vulcanism contributing to the extinction event is another indicator that the extinction was **rapid and catastrophic**.

Over the last few decades, scientists have been discovering **soft tissue in dinosaur bones**. Over 50 peer-reviewed secular science journals

have reported on 14 bioorganic materials found in dinosaur bones. They find blood cells, blood vessels, connective tissue, and collagen, which has a maximum shelf life of just tens of thousands of years. Yet these bones are supposedly 65 million years old. Many dinosaur fossils still contain original bones that haven't turned into rock. And we find dinosaur bones that are bones, not fossilized rocks.

When so-called living fossils are found, they are explained away, saying evolution paused with this animal. In 1938, a live Coelacanth was found in Madagascar. This animal was considered a transitional creature between fish and amphibians. So, was the law of thermodynamics breaking down the system idle for millions of years? Not even a living large dinosaur would dissuade evolutionists from their dogmatic insistence on ancient dinosaurs and an old Earth, as we see by already having discovered 'living fossils.'

The Bible says in the beginning God made them male and female. This gets rid of evolution from the start, they weren't made as primates.

Note: The existence of an evolutionist who allows a creationist to talk and sometimes sympathizes with their viewpoints (as seen in this docu-drama) is probably as fictitious as the hominids! End note.



Darwin's Doubt by Stephen Meyer – Book Highlights & Commentary

This was written after his landmark book, "Signature in the Cell." He responds to some criticism of his work there.

This is an excellent and detailed book that thoroughly examines specific evolutionist claims. My notes here only reflect a few general principles.

Here is a summary of the book that he gives toward the end. 4 specific scientific critiques of the inadequacy of Neo-Darwinism in this book are

- "1. Neo-Darwinism has no means of efficiently searching available combination space for functional genes and proteins and consequently
2. It requires unrealistic unrealistically long waiting times to generate even a single new Gene or protein, and the new mechanism cannot produce body plans because
3. Early acting mutations, the only kind capable of generating large-scale changes, are also invariably deleterious and
4. Genetic mutations cannot in any case generate the epigenetic information necessary to build a body plan."

Darwin identified the lack of transitional fossils as a significant problem in his theory. He hoped later researchers would find them, but no one has. Dogmatic Darwinists are more confident about the theory than Darwin himself was. Darwin was at least able to acknowledge the weakness of his theory in the absence of transitional fossils.

One Chinese scientist pointed out that in China, you can't question the government, but you can question Darwin; in America, you can question the government, but you can't question Darwin!

Scientific literature in every field is raising serious problems with Neo-Darwinism.

Darwin was all about a universal common ancestor, and natural selection being how we have variety today.

Evolutionists say the soft and hard parts of animals had to evolve simultaneously, as the animal couldn't survive with just the soft part.

There are many fossils of soft parts of animals which go against Darwin's long-standing theory.

Many fossils are even more complex than the animals of today, which goes against Darwin's simple-to-complex theory.

There are Precambrian fossils of tiny, soft animals, but not of transitional fossils. If even the tiny, soft animals were preserved, then the other transitional animals would have been too. Lots of data indicate that transitional animals never existed, and this is true even though many pre-Cambrian environments were ideal for fossilization.

With how much we know about the fossil record now, we can't claim that these transitional fossils might be out there somewhere. It's like reaching into a bag of marbles and pulling out blue, red, and yellow. **At first, you think the whole rainbow might be in there, but as you keep pulling out marbles and only get the same three colors, you can't keep saying that it's likely the whole rainbow is in there, much less the whole spectrum of colors between each color!**




Scientists now see that the Cambrian explosion occurred over a much shorter period of time than previously thought.

They say the Cambrian explosion is like one minute of a 24-hour day when compared to the age of the Earth. Evolutionists play word games to try and make it seem like they came in an explosion which

took many millions of years, claiming a series of explosions, etc. Evolutionists are continually seeking ways to downplay the apparent explosiveness of the Cambrian explosion.

Meyer engages in numerous debates and discusses some of these in the text. (*Note – he is one of the greatest debaters.*)



Many fossils that aren't even animals are claimed to be intermediate animal fossils.

There are many leaps in complexity that occur within a relatively short period of geological time, which natural selection cannot account for. They have been called 'quantum leaps.'

Neo-Darwinism is like classical Darwinism, requiring significant amounts of time, and Neo-Darwinism focuses on mutations. They claim that significant mutations occurred over 40 million years in the Cambrian and Ediacaran periods, which is not nearly enough time for natural selection to make those changes. That's why they call these 'explosions.'

The first principle is not to fool yourself; you are the easiest person to fool. If you fool yourself, you'll fool others.

They **come up with names for intermediate** branches on their

phylogenetic tree when no discoveries of those animals have been made, it's just a name, a **placeholder!**

Scientists will admit amongst themselves the weak points of their theories, but in public, they deny or undermine those points.

Homologous structures were once considered signs of a common designer until evolutionary theorists imposed their dogmatic view on everyone, insisting that these actually meant a common ancestor.

Evolutionists downplay the Cambrian explosion, claiming that millions of years of evolution caused that explosion, but that this evolution was all hidden!

Scientists admit that there is overwhelming evidence in the fossil record that animals evolved much earlier than the theory of evolution suggests.

Note: This does not refer to deep time; it refers to the order in which fossils are found. End note.

Scientists admit that whenever you see a time in geologic literature, you should demand uncertainty.

Scientists claim that we already know that life evolved from a common ancestor, so they automatically reject findings that don't agree with that conclusion.

Scientists admit there is no tree of phylogenetic life pointing to a common ancestor. Genes do not give information about evolutionary relationships.

Molecular and anatomical data frequently disagree, leaving scientists arguing about how to classify them.

We are aware of numerous instances where similarity does not necessarily indicate common ancestry. Evolutionists repeatedly invoke convergent evolution to uphold their theory from collapse, while convergent evolution goes against all of their homology arguments. The whole phylogenetic tree is based on similarity being a reliable indicator of ancestry, and as we see, they don't have this anymore.


There's no consistent, coherent way to organize all animals into a family tree.

Imagine that you're invited to a reunion of distant family. You get there and you're supposed to organize yourselves into first cousins, second cousins, etc., based on appearance and common ancestry stories. But the more you talk to the people at the event, the more you realize you **don't have the same story, and not many people there look like you at all**. This is what we have with the animal classification and the phylogenetic tree of life. (The analogy breaks down when you consider that all humans were from a common human ancestor, but all living things were not.)

Punctuated equilibrium theory is a way to try to confront the stasis in the fossil record, in other words the lack of transitional fossils which Darwin's gradualistic theory requires. Punctuated equilibrium is about long periods of nothing happening, and then lots of things happening, and then back to long periods of nothing. (The only reason they have long periods of nothing is to account for traditional evolution time.) Gould was very popular for advocating this.

Meyer debunks the concepts of allopatric speciation and punctuated equilibrium. These theories require unusual speed and flexibility.

Mendel demonstrates that Darwin's concept of blended inheritance is incorrect. The discoveries of Mendel posed significant challenges to Darwin's theory.



Mutation is an editor, not a composer.

The probability of producing a new gene or protein is astronomically small. With the amount of time they are giving us, it's not even close to enough time to make this a possibility. Even with billions of years, if you took a single phrase and mixed up that phrase and added random letters onto it, you couldn't get a complete library.

Richard Dawkins had a computer program recreate a phrase, but this does not really mirror natural selection because **natural selection isn't given a phrase to look for.**

Before any beneficial protein gene folding occurs through random natural selection, functional benefits would be lost.

Chapter 11 discusses a case where a scientist allowed an article questioning evolution to be peer-reviewed and published in an academic journal, only to be promptly fired for doing so.

Evolutionists make claims about genes evolving, which are **as unsupported as alchemists'** claims of turning lead into gold.

Evolutionists make claims about gene mutation very similar to taking a book, rearranging its paragraphs randomly, changing the spelling of words, reordering the page number, the page arrangement, etc., and expecting a more advanced book to be made from this random process.

Note: Remember: evolution is all about natural selection, which means that, left to themselves, things will naturally do this stuff. However, the obvious reality is the opposite – that nature disassembles, destroying everything (it's that pesky little 2nd law of thermodynamics). Only the supernatural God creates. End note.

Note: Here's a million-dollar question for 'Christian evolutionists, why do we embrace the timeline of natural selection? Surely supernatural selection could do it faster? Guided vs unguided processes? But time is the sacred cow of evolution – get rid of old Earth, and it soon becomes apparent that everything about the theory of evolution is bogus. If the evolutionists leave the party, no one will want to come anymore (or, better said, be forced to come anymore), and all this common ancestor nonsense will fall away. End note.

Evolutionary biologists use the term 'de novo' to refer to unexplainable sudden changes. (New terms don't solve problems.)

Evolutionists rarely discuss the mathematical probabilities associated with the theories they propose. Evolutionary scientists have tried to find ways around the mathematical statistical problem, but are now beginning to face the facts.

The types of mutations that do occur are not the types of mutations required by macroevolution.

There's no sufficient variation, which means there can be no sufficient selection, which means there can be no evolution of species.


Neo-Darwinism does not account for the genetic or epigenetic origins of life. Meyer goes into detail on these subjects.

The Cambrian explosion remains a profound problem for evolution. Microevolution observed in nature only explains **survival of the fittest, not the arrival of the fittest.**

Neo-Darwinism depends on three claims.

1. That there are variations
 2. That natural selection selects among those variations and
 3. Those favored variations were passed on to future generations.
- They are variation, natural selection, and heritability. This is the triad of evolution.

Evolutionists proposed wild-eyed theories without giving any chemical or biological explanation of how those could be feasible.



(Joking)

Any self-organizing components in chemistry are extremely basic, far simpler than the complexity of DNA. Scientists admit that **self-**

organization is really more a slogan than a theory.

Note: The Jurassic Park film line, "life finds a way," is just another pro-evolution slogan attempting to suggest that major things can happen naturally without supernatural direction or creation. End note.

Genes do not and cannot generate new epigenetic information.

Darwinists are in trouble when you point out that natural selection wouldn't allow for much variety, so how are you going to get all the variety? Darwinists have attempted to discuss various non-functional gene duplication theories, but remain stuck with this problem. It makes their time for random mutations much longer, once again excluding evolution as a possibility within the time frame given by modern scientists.

Scientists admit that evolution is speculative.

The whole point of natural selection theory is to explain design without a designer.

Note: Why do people who believe God used evolution accept evolutionary timetables? Those are timetables that would supposedly be required if no designer were involved. End note.

It's not just that nature doesn't look like it evolved; nature **specifically appears to have been designed.**

Computer simulators of evolution have a target sequence, but natural evolution should not have a target sequence. Natural selection lacks foresight. Generic mutation simulators require a forward-looking direction, and this is precisely what nature and natural selection lack.

Interdependent logical interactions show design (not natural selection, which is the heart of the theory of evolution).

See The Anarchist Manifesto.



The Cambrian explosion does not support the Darwinian idea of a bottom-up evolution.

Agassi, a contemporary of Darwin, pointed out that in the fossil record, we see various prototypes that indicate intelligent design. All these years later, that still appears to be the case.

The book "The Invisible Man" by GK Chesterton is about how someone was murdered while four honest guards did not detect the murder. It was the mailman who clearly walked up to the house, entered, and then walked back out - they just didn't suspect him. This is similar to how nature clearly suggests an intelligent designer - it's just that scientists are **unwilling to acknowledge** the designer.

The commitment to materialism in science causes them to reject intelligent design. It's not that materialism is what the evidence shows, it's **their only allowed framework**, even when the evidence points elsewhere (great full quote here if you can find it).

Scientists have **decided by fiat** to exclude anything involving

intelligent design, and this is greatly hindering scientific progress, **limiting the types of theories that are tested**, etc.

We shouldn't be committed to abstract criteria about whether something is scientific or not. **There are disagreements about what science is. Rather, we should focus on whether something is true.**

There are unobservable phenomena, such as magnetic fields and the gravitational force, yet these are clearly scientific concepts. So, why is intelligent design, attributed to an unseen designer, not considered scientific?

Note: And yes, we can detect the impact of God, just as we can detect the impact of gravity, magnetism, and so on. End note.

Similar logic and reasoning are employed in intelligent design and Neo-Darwinism, yet they arrive at two different conclusions.

Experience shows us that things are made by cause-and-effect design, so why wouldn't nature be the same?

We have sufficient evidence to suggest that causal design made nature, although we don't have all the details of how, and this is logical.

They used to think there was junk DNA, that much of the genome was not necessary because it was supposedly mere leftovers from the trial and error of evolution's natural selection; now they are finding that **there is no junk DNA**. See the Encode Project.

Evolution's monopoly on science today stifles discussion.



Scientific materialism followed (Note: we might say 'is the fruit of') Darwinism, claiming that there is no purpose in life, and no purpose for Earth.

Neo-Darwinism specifically denies that natural selection is guided in any way. They say the appearance of design is an **illusion**.

You can't insist that science and religion are two separate fields and simultaneously call for the harmonization of science and religion.

Note: Great point. Either they work together to make one connective truth, or one of them is wrong. End note.

"Why attempt to reconcile traditional Christian theology with Darwin's theory as Collins tries to do if the theory itself has begun to collapse?"

The new atheism is built upon (or 'is the fruit of') Darwin's theory.


Intelligent design doesn't insist that there wasn't something before Earth.

Intelligent design suggests that life may have a purpose, implying

the possibility of a god.

Intelligent design **detects and identifies** creation, it doesn't just say there's a designer. The ability to detect design brings science and faith into **real harmony**. This prevents feelings of anxiety and promotes feelings of wholeness and hope. We need landmarks and steady points of reference. We need a father to call out to for help when we are troubled.

Intelligent design has faith affirming implications.



The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism by Jonathan Wells PhD – Book Highlights & Commentary

My notes here only scratch the surface to many awesome concepts from this book. Be sure to learn more from this book and other volumes in the stellar “Politically Incorrect Guide” series.

Darwinism is accepted now based on popular opinion rather than evidence. It's the ‘scientific consensus.’


People claim that Darwinism is Central to all the life sciences, but it's not been involved in genetics etc. Mendel did not like Darwinism. The

contributions in the fields of agriculture, genetics etc. have not had anything to do with Darwinism. We can have a new verb “to Darwin.” When something gets stolen it's been “Darwined.” Identity theft? You've been Darwined. Is someone else taking credit for work you did? You've been Darwined.

Note: I remember hearing about a doctor who said you don't need to study evolution to be a good doctor. As I recall, he got fired. End note.

Darwinists shut down people who point out that Darwinism isn't a fact. One school put in a textbook that evolution is a theory, not a fact, and should be carefully considered before accepting it. Darwinists pulled some strings and got a court to demand they remove such instructions.

Note – Darwinists love to make the word ‘theory’ sound like the greatest thing ever. The problem is that theories are supposed to describe how laws work, and we can't identify which laws Darwinism is trying to defend. And we all must confess that evolution is not a law. They also like to refer to evolution as an ‘established’ theory. End note.



Darwin said the strongest evidence for his theory was embryos, yet the embryos he had drawn for his book were forgeries.

Darwinists often admit that the embryos were fudged to fit the theory, but claim that they still represent the truth. Academic dishonesty like this in any other field wouldn't stand a chance. In reality, human and animal embryos in the beginning stages look very different, and the beginning stages are the most important, even according to Darwinists.

The World isn't old enough to get all the gene strands needed to make an organism by chance. If possible, it would take trillions and trillions and trillions and trillions of years.

Note: Of course, this is why they're always making the Earth and universe older. The more we show their theories are impossible, the older they make Earth to forestall their doom. End note.

Michael Behe and others who are trying to publish intelligent design academic papers in science journals have been denied. They say it's not scientific because it's not published in journals, and they won't publish it because it's not scientific (because it can't be found in academic journals). Journals also refused to publish Behe's rebuttals to those who have published attacks against him in journals. *(This is circular reasoning.)*

Our Earth is suitable for life, and they claim our universe is just lucky enough among many universes, but there's absolutely no proof or evidence that other universes exist.

Wells repeatedly demonstrates that academic freedom only applies to politically correct ideas. Intelligent design advocates are not allowed to participate in various science forums, conferences, etc.

The Smithsonian was going to have a show where they discussed evolution and drew a philosophical opinion from it that the cosmos might be designed for a reason. Evolutionists everywhere were outraged and got the Smithsonian to cancel the show. The Smithsonian said they decided to cancel the show because, upon further analysis, they concluded that such a show would not be in keeping with the mission of the Smithsonian. The Smithsonian is fine with mixing in philosophy with their science when it comes to philosophies that say there is nothing in the universe, and that we are all there in the cosmos, but if you ever want to promote a philosophy or even suggest the possibility of a philosophy that there might be something of design in the universe and purpose, they don't allow that. On a funny note, when the Smithsonian considered airing this show, one evolutionist

tried bribing the Smithsonian \$20,000 to not play the film. A critic of evolution heard about this, called the guy, and threatened to show the movie in Europe unless he paid him \$20,000, also.

Microscopic living organisms have essential individual components that, if removed, the whole system would fail. This is called irreducible complexity. What Darwin thought was a little black spot of an eye has turned out to be extremely complex. (Michael Behe talks about this in his book "Darwin's Black Box.")

Scientists blame religious people for holding on to their religion dogmatically, but Darwinists hold on to Darwinism dogmatically. The government considers it blasphemy to question evolution.

Many have recognized that Darwinian evolution has been the **most significant contribution to atheism** the world has ever seen.



Evolution says that any gods worth having don't exist.

Intelligent design advocates don't just give rebuttals to Darwinism, they demonstrate that many things found in nature show obvious design. That many things don't work without design.

Darwinists say, 'Intelligent design isn't science because it isn't testable, and besides, it's been tested and found false.' (*More circular reasoning.*)

Teaching students for and against creationism is different from teaching intelligent design.

Evolutionists freak out whenever someone who believes in intelligent design is hired as a science professor, even when those science professors aren't teaching intelligent design to their students but are pursuing and teaching it in their private lives and at home.

Darwinists don't want critical analysis; they ban creationists from trying to do so.

Should teachers be permitted, encouraged, or required to point out problems in Darwinian evolution? Should teachers be permitted, encouraged, or required to teach intelligent design as an alternative?

There is a dispute among evolutionary biologists about all forms of life coming from a common ancestor. Nevertheless, Darwinists try to shut down intelligent design advocates from presenting that side by saying there is 'no controversy' that 'everyone agrees' on Darwinism.

Occasionally, a biology textbook will mention intelligent design only to say that there's no evidence for it and that it's just based on the Bible. But of course, they don't let students view any of the materials defending intelligent design scientifically.

In the early 2000s, Kansas removed macroevolution from its biology curriculum. Evolutionists then joined together to make it so that those school credits wouldn't count towards graduation.

Note: So much for localized education determined by parents. Everything is being federalized and globalized, and it's not you who gets to call the shots; it's someone smarter and more important than you, someone who has moved beyond the primitive ways of religion and parental rights. End note.

Kansas and Ohio were debating whether to allow intelligent design to be taught as an alternative in schools in the early 2000s. Intelligent design advocates like Stephen Meyer and Jonathan Wells, the author of this book, advocated allowing teachers to teach both the pros and cons of the theory of evolution and not banning alternative theories.

A public high school teacher named Dehart mentioned the possibility of intelligent design in his school, and the school board approved of it. He didn't put forth his opinion; he just pointed out that there was another possibility, and the ACLU crushed him, ending his career as a public teacher.

One lady said God told her to get creation science out of the school, and nobody had a problem with that. But if she had said God told her to put creation science in the school or that God told her to get Darwinism out of the school, a lawsuit surely would have followed.

Give Darwin only praise, or you face the wrath of the judiciary. Teachers must teach Darwinism, the whole Darwinism and nothing but Darwinism. What happened to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

Note: Remember how Meyer, in 'Darwin's Doubt,' pointed out one Chinese scientist who said that in China, you can't question the government, but you can question Darwin; in America, you can question the government, but you can't question Darwin! End note.

Darwinism has been used to justify social evils such as eugenics and racism. Darwinists put a pygmy man, Ota Benga, in a zoo as a display of monkeys becoming humans. He remained on display until a **Baptist preacher protested at this racism**, and he was set free. Shortly thereafter, he killed himself.

President Bush said both sides, Darwinism and intelligent design, should be taught.

Most successful businesses rely on the Bible, not the origin of species. To be creative is to take leaps of faith. All creative thought is based on belief, and is religious.

Hitler excused mass extermination based on Darwinian ideas.

Before Darwin, science and religion got along well. Darwin declared war on traditional Christianity.

A key tenet of Darwinism is that man is an accident.

Famous Darwinist Richard Dawkins said **Christianity is a disease**.

Several States endorse religious Darwinist views and none other.

Critical analysis of Darwin is now **illegal** in public schools.

The Soviets **persecuted** scientists who taught Mendelian genetics instead of Darwinism.


Wells points out many cases of professors who dared suggest intelligent design as a possibility, who got sacked.

Everyone who's been paying attention knows that there is a debate between Darwinism and intelligent design. A tactic employed by Darwinists is to claim there is no debate and that it's concluded. Anyone who knows American history knows that telling people they are not allowed to talk about something is the least likely tactic to work.

Note: We have lost much of that spirit of freedom, but I believe some of it remains with a remnant of us. End note.

Darwinists are on the defense, and their behavior shows it.

The journal Nature said that **even though all evidence points towards design, we exclude that possibility** because it is not naturalistic.



Note: It is causes the modern science world to go looking for answers to questions which nature has already answered in strange places, leaving them to come up with strange scenarios to explain what should have been obvious. They become fake, looking for non-design explanations, rather than just admitting that design occurred. End note.

Orson Scott Card points out how Darwinist methods are unscientific and based on their supposed authority, that they resort to credentialism and expertism. But real science doesn't reject legitimate questions just because the person who asked the question doesn't have

certain credentials. **Resorting to credentials shows that you don't have an answer**, and you just want the questioner to go away. Expertism is to say, 'trust us you poor fools.' Darwinists tell the general public we are too dumb to understand.

Evolutionists continue to embarrass themselves by being emotional and out of control in their response to critiques and questions of intelligent design. They're not acting scientifically, they're acting dogmatically.

The arrogance being exhibited by Darwinists is the classic attitude of a loser. **The only question is whether they will go down gracefully, or go out kicking and screaming, censoring and denouncing to the bitter end.**

Darwinism is funded by compulsory taxation with many **billions of dollars a year**. The very small intelligent **design movement is funded very modestly, all by donations.**

Most intelligent design research must be done in secret not because it is unethical, but because if Darwinists find out about it, they will shut it down. Many people involved in intelligent design research kept it a secret because they would lose their jobs if people knew.



Intelligent design is not based in the Bible, and it is not based in America. Its popularity is growing worldwide.

Science can never be decided by judicial fiat. Darwinists may control what we are able to say, but they can't control what we think. **A major**

scientific revolution is at hand, all the signs are here - forcing the opposition into silence etc.

Here are a few more notes from Wells' P.I.G. to Darwinism, these are specifically from Chapter 1 on Wars and Rumors:

Darwinism claims that design is just an illusion.

Intelligent design is not a Bible-based theory but a scientific theory based on nature and logic.

Often, Darwinists claim to be just peddling 'change over time,' but they're getting at much more.

Evolutionists claim that the attack against evolution is a war on everything, and that intelligent design would ruin everything.



Note: Evolutionists certainly have their tentacles in almost everything these days, but this mindset of 'evolution is everything' is an overreaction. As evidenced by "Big History" and related projects, evolution-based thinking is a cancer that won't stop growing, infecting all of academia. End note.

The 2005 Time Magazine had an edition on the evolution controversy and pictured God pointing to an ape.

Change over time is simply history. It is obvious. Darwinian evolution is much different from simply changing over time. Darwinism suggests change across species, but what has been **observed is only**

change within species. Changing gene frequencies and descent modification are obvious, but they don't happen across species.

Genesis says God created certain kinds, and these firm distinctions are evident in nature and science.

Darwinism claims that

1. All living things are descendants of a common ancestor.
2. That undirected natural selection is the principal agent causing speciation.
3. That unguided processes are sufficient to explain all living things, and whatever appears to be designed is an illusion.

Darwin said he wanted all beings to be descendants of a few beings from the distant past. He said natural selection is the most important means of modification.

Note: Evolutionists work to erode the reality of Adam and Eve, the first parents. They claim these beings lived tens of thousands of years ago, and that their parents were hominids, extremely similar to themselves. This, of course, is when they allow for any Adam and Eve at all. Most evolutionists resort to passing off our first parents as mere allegory. Scripture, of course, does not tolerate such a claim. Prominent evolutionists have admitted that evolution has eliminated the idea of a first man. Scripture says Adam was the son of God (Luke 3)! Our claim to being children of God is stirring indeed. End note.

Darwin speculated that life started in a warm little pond. Darwinism does not explain the origin of life. **Everything before bacteria is conjecture.**

Darwin said he could see no evidence of design of any kind. He saw everything as a matter of chance. Darwinists teach that man is an accident.

Evolutionists call biology the study of living things that appear to have been designed.

Note: Maybe the recent removal of human anatomy/physiology from the high school biology curriculum is due to the hand of God being so clearly evident in the human body. Russel M. Nelson, a pioneer heart surgeon, said that anyone who has studied the human body has seen God moving in His majesty and power. End note.



Intelligent design relies on evidence, so it is not religious.

Even Darwin suggested it was a possibility that God created the first or the first few living things. Today, Darwinists do not allow even that.

Note: When it comes to censoring God, the Devil just needed his foot in the door, and he took it from there. End note.

It was a Christian clergyman who pioneered the study of modern geology.

There has been disagreement among creationists about whether Earth is old or young, whether God created everything at once or set up programs and let them go, and the length of a day of creation.

Note: My view is that each day of creation was a thousand years, and it is based on evidence and scripture. But I do see some possibility in the 24-hour creation day as well. I believe God's creations are ongoing – His works never cease. I believe His miraculous intervention in the lives of His children is a daily supernatural out-of-the-ordinary occurrence. End note.

The new war is not about evolution and creation; it is about Darwinism and intelligent design.

Intelligent design says that some natural world features are best explained by an intelligent cause rather than accidental happenstance.

Design inferences are based on evidence, not just on ignorance of how something works.



Is Genesis History? Documentary Highlights

These are my notes on the presentation, and they do not exactly capture the ideas presented. As they are extensive, permission has been obtained from the author to share them. As is typical, I don't agree with all the ideas put forth in this documentary, but share many fascinating elements of it.

First, they cover geology, then biology, then astronomy, then history.

Genesis History: Geology

Mt. Saint Helens created geological structures that we usually attribute to being extremely old. Powerful mudslides can cut deep into bedrock in just a few days, and catastrophic processes can make big things happen fast.

Note: Another fascinating study is that of the Universal Model Science textbooks (UniversalModel.com), suggesting that the Grand Canyon was formed by flood deposits followed by a major earthquake that split open the formation, revealing the layers. End note.

See Steve Austin, PhD Geologist.

Genesis speaks of fountains of water coming up at the time of Noah's flood.

Note – evolutionists bash on creationism, saying there's not enough water in the atmosphere for a worldwide flood, but we never said there was! Water from the flood came mostly from beneath!

Since the flood, mountains have risen, so we can't examine them to determine how deep the flood was.

Note: We don't have maps of vertical plate movement, just horizontal. Vertical plate movement today is not occurring. The point here is that a flood covering the Earth at one point could have been more shallow than what would be required to cover it today. Notwithstanding, there is compelling evidence for the flood to have been around 5 miles deep, which would cover the tallest known mountains. There are other theories as well. End note.

Note: Several passages (such as 1 Peter 3:20-21) allude to the fact that the earth was baptized by immersion, completely, by the flood. Great evidence exists for this fact in science and religious doctrine. End note.

The standard idea is that the Colorado river wore the Grand Canyon down over tens of thousands of years, but erosion would have collapsed it over that time. The Grand Canyon could have been eroded in just a few weeks. The Grand Canyon would have been from a large powerful flood, not just a local flood. The Grand Canyon more logically would have been made with a lot of water in a little time rather than a little water over a long time.

Science isn't just about evidence, it's about paradigms, how you interpret the evidence.

Note: Good point. Stephen Meyer in "Darwin's Doubt" points out how modern science has arbitrarily decided to refuse to consider any evidence pointing to intelligent design. End note.

Steven Boyd PhD, a Hebraist, says the world's greatest Hebraists agree that Genesis is narrative, not poetic. This means that the text should be understood as it is written. The biblical text does not conform with the contemporary narrative. God creates mankind. Marriage was invented (by God) at the beginning of mankind. A global flood occurs. The Tower of Babel text shows how different languages evolved.

Jesus descended from Adam, as the Bible's genealogy shows. Mankind was created on the sixth day of creation, which shows that the days of creation could not have been extremely long ago.

Mt. St. Helens was small compared to other historic volcanic eruptions. We can't use present-day rates of processes to determine how long the geological record accumulated (because there are catastrophes that aren't constantly occurring).

The millions of years of decay rate of atoms at the present doesn't mean the rate was consistent in the past. Universities ignore evidence of historic rates being different because they are set in the idea of millions of years of geological evolution. They insist that we have rocks millions of years old to support this narrative.

Samples from the same rock can test to be vastly different ages.

Where there is no evidence of erosion between layers, those layers were quickly laid down upon each other; this is seen in areas of the Grand Canyon.

The Grand Canyon was formed by underwater deposition (see presentation for details).

Note: I've heard a few different ideas on this, all of which were superior to the mainstream science claim of underground layer building from subduction, based on slow plate movement, which then slowly emerged. End note.

See Kurt Wise, Paleontologist.

The Book of Peter prophesies that in the last days, people will say that the Lord isn't going to come because things are always going to be as they always have been. They deny the idea that the past was any different from the present.

Note: James Hutton's "Uniformitarian" theory, central to the old Earth claims, is a huge fulfillment of this scripture concerning false doctrines to be taught in the last days. Evolution is only 200 years old; it is an apocalyptic theory of doom. End note.

The Bible describes different epochs of time when very different things happened; God started and ended certain projects. At the time of Adam and Eve, it says they would have lived forever if they had not sinned; there were different conditions. Now, the sun won't burn forever, etc.

In the antediluvian (pre-flood) epoch, there were very different animals and plants on Earth. In Peter, it says that the world was destroyed. (The scriptures speak of a new heaven and a new earth several times.)

The Earth is still recovering from the flood; this can describe glacial history, etc.

Based on our current observations, the modern epoch can only describe the Earth back to a few hundred years after Noah's flood.

The Bible records historical events, but it (**usually*) doesn't tell how they happened; we can study nature to find out how these events happened.

Note: That's a good point. The Bible is true, so we can find natural evidence of it, which will build faith in God. This is one of the big reasons God gave us the Bible! End note.

A great flood could have taken ocean animals and thrown them onto the land continents. The Cambrian explosion (an appearance of lots of marine animals which shows up almost out of nowhere) makes sense as the flood was about destroying ecosystems; we see a complex whole explosion of life (in the fossil record, indicating mass death); whenever you move up in the geological record, you see different ecosystems. The flood waters got higher and higher and destroyed more and more until they reached the top. In other words, all that life was already there, and we are just looking at the graveyard of all that life.

The placement of the next layer on the fossil record must have been quick; entire ecosystems and species were wiped out in the event of the worldwide flood.

At the time of the flood, the earth was filled with violence; it was not so at the time of creation. When we go to natural history museums, we see the animals of the time of violence on earth. (In the beginning there weren't carnivores.)



*Note: Right, there was no death before the fall of Adam, and it applies to ALL things (not just in Eden). Then we have a millennium where things will return to paradise, when there will **again** be no more death. (We look forward to a restoration of peace, not the first peace Earth has ever known.) Some references from the Bible demonstrating no death before the Fall include Gen. 3:17-20; Rom. 5:12-14; Rom. 8:21-22; & 1 Cor. 15:21-22, 26, 45. The Book of Mormon also teaches this doctrine in 2 Nephi 2:22. End note.*

Fossilization requires very special circumstances; if a coyote dies in the desert today, its body soon disappears. Fossilization is rare, yet we find dinosaur fossils all over the Earth.

Note: Rapid fossilization has been observed and occurs easily when conditions are met, including high pressure, water, mineralization, and high heat. Carbon-based fossils form easily, but quartz-based fossils (which most fossils are) require special conditions present at the flood. The flood is the great event that made the world's fossils! End note.

The rule is that there are no transitional forms; those forms remain the same in the next stages of the fossil record. When there are transitional forms, that's the exception rather than the rule.

Genesis History: Biology

Devin Anderson, PhD, microbiologist, speaks of what's inside dinosaur bones. Tissue with cells has been found in dinosaur fossils that are supposedly 80 million years old, but those should have broken down faster. Such tissue has been found in triceratops, etc.

Note: Learn more about Mary Schweitzer's findings on dinosaur tissue at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0-K7_H27Wq4 End note.

See the Creation Research Society.



Soak a fossil in EDTA; the tissue remains stretchable and pliable. An even closer electron scanner shows extreme details of the cells. You would not expect such elaborate detail still intact if the sample were as old as many claim. The scientific community responded to this, saying it was just bacteria or other things it could be, so those who originally published this tissue finding did more research and even found proteins. The controversy has been how to explain such. Some claim it means nothing because our other methods of dating say it's older. But this tissue is a method of dating. This challenges the entire dating process.



Time is the critical component for evolution; they claim to account for the massive changes of organisms over time.

Darwin first read about a million-year-old earth and made his theory fit that paradigm; he didn't come up with the million-year idea.

Note: Similarly, people first hear about the theory of evolution and then go around looking for the missing links. They didn't find evidence before making the theory; it was backward.

Evolution is a belief that enough change over time and enough time can account for every species coming from one thing, but there are major missing links in every species. A shark is a shark, and there are variations of a shark, but even back in the fossil record, you have sharks.

No one would agree that random mutations would result in a higher lifeform. The number of changes required to move from one species to another requires many changes at once.

Things do change over time, but they don't jump to different species. Several animals can be very similar within their group. Animals can have similar sets of genes, but the genes controlling the development of the embryo are very different in different species.

Look at computer programs; everything doesn't just come from a single symbol.

The 4th dimension is time; the genome changes shape over time; all 3 dimensions change in the 4th dimension. You can't build something like that one step at a time; there must be foresight, it can't be one letter at a time with natural selection. Animals were **created with the ability to change** and adapt to their environments, and we have mistaken that for evolution.



An ecosystem collapses without all factors present; remove just a few factors, and it collapses. If you have 'missing links,' you can't have a complete genome.

Each kind of animal descended from a master form on the ark of Noah. God didn't just build a cat; he built an animal from which a variety of cats could come. Today's diversity is built into the kind. (But not every kind came from a single common ancestor.)

Natural selection can't generate all the diversity we see; it does fine-tune, but it doesn't account for all the variety. Selection takes a variation and turns it into a local adaptation. In the beginning, an exquisite design is built into an animal's system, including the ability to adapt to different climates to some extent. Each kind has its own tree of variation. Therefore, the Genesis paradigm embraces both similarity and difference.

Note: Evolution's engine of natural selection is inherently atheistic. It is creation by natural, not supernatural, means. The whole point of evolution is to do away with God and purpose. What we are learning in cutting-edge science is that it simply can't be done without supernatural means. Design is inherent and plainly evident. End note.

Neanderthals are a variety of humans, just as there are a large variety of dogs. But there are discontinuities between humans and non-humans. Apes, for example, are very different from humans.

Genesis History: Astronomy

See Danny Faulkner, PhD, astronomer.

Eclipses are spectacular and rare; these are part of a design for signs, as the scriptures say.


Scripture said, "Let the earth bring forth plants;" the "bring forth" suggests that it could have been rapid creation. It may have appeared like a time lapse taking place in regular time. This could be why we see light from distant galaxies. (The idea that light has traveled billions of light-years from distant galaxies to reach us is a fabrication to hold up their theory of deep time.)

If spiral galaxies were so old, why would they still appear spiral? They would have come together.

The Big Bang Theory is far from universal acceptance by scientists. Some claim Big Bang can be compatible with the Bible, but those are people who attempt to wed Genesis with our current paradigm. We should interpret the world in terms of Genesis, not the other way around.

Note: In my experience, I've heard the evolutionary biologists terrifyingly claim that they seek to reconcile religious FAITH with scientific FACT! This, of course, is typical of all Christian evolutionists and thinking in general these days - religion gets the back seat on the bus. End note.


Genesis History: History



Douglas Petrovich, PhD, an archaeologist, shows biblical events unfolding in the East at Mesopotamia. He speaks of language popping up out of nowhere, and great diversity in grammar forms from language to language, even in ancient languages.

Note: I recall in my Egyptology class, the professor spoke of the oldest language records going back only to about 4000 BC. End note.

Our bodies are set up for the timing of a day, as evident by our sleep cycles, our work cycles, etc. The timing of the day was set up in Genesis.



If you remove a literal Adam and Eve, you greatly alter human history, and it becomes open to lots of interpretation about relationships, the character of gender, sexuality, marriage, etc.

We understand the life of Christ as recorded in the Bible to be historical events; why do we think that the Old Testament would not be historical events? We are constantly bombarded with the message that we must adjust our views. The entire Bible refers to all the characters of Genesis. The entire Bible is refuted if you throw out the original characters and major events of Genesis. Throw out the first few chapters of the Bible, and you must throw out the whole thing. **History anchors all the other disciplines. It tells us what happened, and then science attempts to answer how those events of history happened and the mechanics of those events. If you reverse that and have science say what happened, you get a constantly shifting world view, and moral relativism** is the necessary outcome. God has given us the bedrock to build on by giving us the Bible. Nothing in the world makes sense except in the light of Genesis!

Scientific Creationism by Henry Morris – Book Highlights & Commentary

This is a flagship creation science volume, and my notes here only scratch the surface of a few principles from the text. I don't agree with all his claims, but I will point out here many fascinating findings.

Introductory Chapters (1-3)

Modern science asks the wrong questions.

The fact that we have energy from the Sun is one thing, but they can't answer how that energy would have made evolution happen.

Recombination does not result in new; it only changes around what's already there.

If someone did develop a wing or an eye, it wouldn't be helpful, it would even be dangerous, and natural selection would not favor its continuance.

Darwin said the thought of how natural selection could make the eye made him ill, as he didn't think it was possible. But he needed it to be.

There are many predictions that the creation model makes that actually work, and many times, things in the evolution model cannot be predicted.

Mutations are rare, not common. And good mutations are extremely rare. Accidental occurrences are expected to be harmful.

Today's species are dying out, not being created, so if the present is the key to the past, how do you have evolution?

Ch. 4 Accident or Plan?

A simple probability study shows the absolute impossibility of Earth and life being formed by chance.

Natural selection supposedly turns impossibilities into possibilities.

The creation model predicts that different species would be designed with similar features for similar functions, and different features for different functions. However, the evolution model has a problem,

namely, why are cats and dogs so different if they both evolved from the same thing? If evolution were true, there would be many different kinds of part cat, part dog creatures, and you wouldn't be able to tell where the cat ended and where the dog began with all these species.




Seemingly similar structures in different kinds of animals and humans, which are used as supposed evidence for evolution, are actually better evidence for creation. For starters, we arbitrarily make the distinctions between these bone groups.

Morphology, similar hand structures, etc., only show our ability to classify. It favors the creation model because not only are there similarities, but there are gaps and distinct differences between species. In the evolution model, you would have extremely similar species; you wouldn't be able to tell where one started and the other ended.

Embryology proves a common design. It's normal that features look similar in the beginning, as various life forms have similar features like heads and limbs, and are in a similar environment. But then they specialize into their distinct species. The differences show up fairly early, and these differences attest to creation, not evolution.

There are some similarities in DNA between different living things, but the important thing is that they are different.



DNA is a plain witness to creation because it only allows one thing to turn into that specific thing. DNA also puts definite limits on how much a species can adapt.


Some living things have similar behaviors, but the **important point is that there are significant differences** in behaviors.

Some animals greatly confuse evolutionists because they look like two very different kinds of animals. For example, the whale is a mammal shaped like a fish.

It is bizarre to think that bats, birds, and insects independently developed wings, coming from a common ancestor.

'Vestigial' organs that evolutionists said we were evolving away from and are no longer useful, are now being found to have uses. The appendix and all other organs are useful (for immunity and so on). Just because the scientists weren't aware of their function doesn't mean they didn't have one.

Human embryos never at any time develop gills or gill slit openings (for fluid exchange). Human embryos also have no tail or fins and never are a fish. The human embryo develops pouches, which become various glands; the pouches are guides for developing blood vessels and are not useless. The recapitulation theory that humans are first fish embryos and then turn into humans used to be popular, but evolutionists now have to admit that it doesn't work.



(Image: *Science vs Evolution* pg. 698 by Vance Ferrel, see EvolutionFacts.com)

The fossil record contains the **same gaps as that for present-day** plants and animals. It shows clear-cut categories, **not a horizontal continuum** of transitional species.

The “species” level of classification is the level that we can genuinely differentiate; there are clear and obvious gaps between species. **Higher levels of supposed organization**, like “family,” “class,” etc., are **arbitrary**, you can’t prove them. We **don't find transitional fossils that would fit into the “class” or “order”** category. The gaps between species are permanent; you're never going to find them.

Note: In Darwin's day, we knew less about the appearance of fossils in the ground. Today, having mapped this out to much greater detail, it's even more ridiculous to claim that transitional fossils tie everything to a common ancestor. Evidence is mounting against, not for, Darwinism. End note.


Note: Steven Meyer in 'Darwin's Doubt' gives a good analogy of the concept of an increasingly impossible case for transitional fossils. Suppose you have a bag of marbles of various colors, and you postulate that all existing colors are in the bag, even colors transitioning between the other colors. But as you pull out more and more marbles, never finding the transitional colors, soon you must admit that your hypothesis is wrong. End note.



There is no transitional fossil between a vertebrate and its supposed invertebrate ancestor. These two types of animals were created separately.

He speaks of a fish they thought was extinct but they found it in the Caribbean, it was embarrassing, it was a fish that supposedly had some amphibian features but here it is today and it has not become an amphibian, it's still a fish and it hasn't changed over the supposedly 100 million years from the fossil of it.

The catfish, lungfish, and walking fish were all thought to possibly be transitional, but even evolutionists now agree that they do not qualify as transitional for various reasons.



The fact that a fossil may be hard to tell whether it was a reptile or a mammal is not evidence of it being a transitional fossil; these animals merely have similar features on the bone level.

He quotes a scientist who says there is a universal absence of transitional fossils.

Archeopteryx is not part reptile at all, it is 100% bird. It is a feathered,

warm-blooded animal. Whether it's birds, mammals, fish, or reptiles, some have teeth and some don't. The fact that Archaeopteryx has teeth does not indicate that it is part reptile, part mammal.

Ancient fossils are often a bigger version of but the same structure as the modern animal.

There are no transitional fossils for birds, no transitional fossils for insects, and the list goes on for every type of animal.

There is no evidence for punctuated equilibrium (sudden changes followed by long periods of no change).

Ch. 5 Uniformism or Catastrophism?

The book covers many rock formations continent-wide that aren't forming today, and must have been from catastrophic volcanism and continent-wide flooding to spread the material.

If the present is key to the past (as Hutton's uniformitarianism claims), it should be obvious that **all of the fossil life lived at the same time; today**, we have birds, mammals, reptiles, humans, and single-celled organisms, all at the same time, and **so it was for the past** animals.


There is no worldwide unconformity, you can't determine where one age begins and the other ends; they use "para-conformities" which means no visible difference in the geologic layers but only a difference in fossils; but further analysis has shown that there is no way to tell by fossils of one age beginning and another ending. The record is continuous!

Invertebrates are at the bottom of the fossil layers because that's where they live, at the lower altitudes.

Humans have always lived separately from starfish and other types of animals, which is why their fossils aren't found together. (*Note: It wouldn't be fun to live by raptors or T-rex's either.*)

More spherical animals would settle lower because they have less drag in the flood water.

Evolutionists tried to explain away dinosaur fossil prints next to human fossil prints by saying there was some kind of dinosaur with human-shaped feet, which there is, of course, no evidence for.



Geologists are beginning to admit that geologic formations can best be explained by sudden catastrophic events. They say there is a long time between these events (punctuated equilibrium), but the only reason for claiming the long time between events is the theory of evolution!

Note: This is a great example of events being dreamed up to make someone's theory work. Like phlogiston and caloric, soon we will accept the fact that nature doesn't need the process of punctuated equilibrium, and that it doesn't exist!

Ch. 6 Old or Young: How to Date a Rock



The geologic time scale was made before radiometric dating and radiometric dating is so unreliable that it gives dramatically different dates; they throw out dates which don't match the pre-determined ages.

*Note: One evolution professor admitted the selective use of favored radiometric dates in the scientific community when he said, "If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is **completely 'out-of-date,' we just drop it.**" (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, "C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology," Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].) End note.*

*Note: Another evolution researcher admitted just how many unapproved radiometric dates they throw out when he said, "It may come as a shock to some, but **fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates** from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as '**acceptable**' by investigators." (*J. Ogden III, "The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon," in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.) End note.*

God's chief purpose is to create and help man, so God wouldn't waste untold eons of time caring for evolutionary developments without man.

Note: Of course, evolution suggests that God wasn't involved at all. One wonders what God was doing. Naturally, that's the point of evolution – explain away the need for God so that they can explain away God's existence, and of course, eliminate moral codes of conduct He established. End note.

You can't know the components of a system from ancient times. No system is closed. A closed system is just a theoretical idea to simplify things. Since real nature is not a closed system, it can be influenced by fluctuating external variables.

You cannot ascertain that the decay rate was constant.

All these flawed assumptions in today's dating methods prove them unreliable. Furthermore, they only accept dating methods that yield long eons of time and actively reject other methods.

Some of the daughter components may have been initially created at the same time as the parent component. There are many ways daughter products could be incorporated into the systems when first formed.

No process rate is unchangeable in nature. Many factors influence process rates, and these factors can change. Rates are at best only statistical averages, not deterministic absolutes.

Morris discusses the unreliability of uranium, potassium, etc., in radiometric dating. Lead vaporization, free neutrons, etc., indicate that the lead ages, which are typically the oldest, could indicate nothing whatsoever about age.

Modern formations of lava rocks are dated to be millions of years old. When Rock melts, it's supposed to reset the clock. Uranium

aging on rocks of known ages is incorrect, so why should we trust uranium aging of rocks of unknown ages?

We accept the potassium dates that most closely resemble the uranium dates, but the uranium dates themselves are unreliable.

The change in argon is from the environment, not the decaying process. Environmental fluid and gaseous argon at the time of lava flow being incorporated into the igneous rock can account for the argon levels rather than the supposed decay rates.

Continental drift rates are also based on potassium argon dating of rocks on the seafloor, which is flawed.

Rubidium strontium dating is also measured by uranium dating, so bad uranium methods make these unreliable too.

Rubidium strontium can easily be leached out, and there are other obvious flaws.

The oldest writings are only 4,000 to 6,000 years old.

Note: My university Egyptology professor, John Gee, told us that the oldest written records date back to around 4,000 BC! This, of course, perfectly fits the Bible's timeframe. End note.



There is no substantial evidence that helium-4 can or does escape

through the atmosphere in substantial amounts. Therefore, we are left with the current amount by which we can determine that the Earth is quite young.

Helium-4 is probably entering our atmosphere from the sun's Corona. Given a starting point of zero helium in the atmosphere, this maximizes the age of our atmosphere at 1.75×10^5 years.

He discusses the amount of nickel on Earth, which limits the Earth's age to a few thousand years, like 9,000.

Small amounts of ocean metal precipitation limit the Earth's age to several thousand years.

Dating based on the magnetic sphere limits Earth's age to around 6,000-10,000 years.

The processes most likely to be uniform would have occurred over a short period and on a global scale; this makes dating via the magnetic sphere much more reliable than argon or potassium.

Processes at a constant uniformitarian rate date the Earth as very young, and you can only eliminate those if you eliminate the other uniformitarian processes they use to assert that the Earth is old.

There are many more processes that indicate young ages for the Earth than those that suggest old ages. Even the processes that suggest old ages can be better interpreted by the young ages.

Living mollusks have a carbon date of 23,000 years, **indicating that some kind of carbon exchange occurred before death**. This directly contradicts carbon dating assumptions, making the radiocarbon date much too old.

It has been demonstrated that carbon-14 decay rates could have varied in the past.



(Image: Universal Model Science)


The amount of natural carbon could have been different in the past, which would have altered the decay ratio. If there had been a significant **difference in the amount of vegetation or volcanic carbon emissions** in the past, the carbon **dates would have dramatically changed**. **Vast coal** deposits around the world attest to the point that there **used to be much more vegetation!**

Population statistics support the idea that humans have been on Earth for only a few thousand years, not upwards of a million, as Evolution says. Even allowing for wars, etc., the number of people that would likely be on Earth if people had been here for upwards of a million years is absurdly high. To make Evolution work, you must make major modifications to basic population statistics, but the creation model fits the data correctly without such major modifications. Additionally, if many people had lived on the Earth for so long, there would theoretically be many more fossils.

Gravitational energy from the sun's inward collapsing process could be much more likely to cause solar energy (rather than nuclear fusion). In 1979, it was confirmed that the sun was shrinking, and it was calculated that it must be quite young. What we know about the sun's size and change of shape indicates that it would have been

twice its current size not long ago, which would have annihilated Earth.

Polonium halos in rocks indicate their near-instant creation!



Ch. 7 Apes or Man

When they find skeletons with slightly different-sized skulls or teeth, they are quick to claim them as hominids. Different teeth just mean different diets or habitats. Further, rickets, arthritis, poor diets, and other medical conditions can make skeletons look different. There is significant variation in people and monkeys; some are big, some small, etc., and this in no way is evidence of an intermediate species between animals and humans.



They're finding full human skeletons in locations dated before the supposed hominids.

If all people came from a common ancestor they would have had the same language, so why would they split up so much as to cause different races? The language barrier is the main thing that keeps different races from intermarrying.

Language is an unbridgeable gulf between man and animals, our ability to communicate abstract thought.

The oldest language we know is already modern, sophisticated, and complete.

Some animals have instinctive language, but it's not language that involves learning new things and passing them on to the next generation. When animals learn how to do new things, it is not transmitted to their progeny; only man has this ability, as growing civilizations attest.

Yes there are people who have lived in caves and yes, they have used stone tools, but this is not a sign of evolutionary development. There are still people doing that today, there always have been.



When the oldest cultures of an area seem to be the stone age type, this is because when people first migrated there, they were using the tools they already had, and it wasn't until they found ore bodies that they could begin mining, smelting, and resuming all their industry. Particularly after the flood you have people migrating to new areas.

Morris goes over many accurate predictions of the creation model which are supported by archeology geology biology etc. but that are not supported by evolution.

The expected dates of the earliest civilization should be around 4000 BC, the only claim for older civilizations are based on radiocarbon dates.

Dendrochronology (tree ring dating) is unreliable because frequently two or more growth periods occur in the same year.

Note: Even the oldest trees in dendrochronology aren't very old, around 10,000 years, which particularly works with the 1000-year day model, a possibility suggested by several scriptures. End note.

Recently a human skull bone was found in Africa in a soil layer that was supposed to be over 2 billion years old. (Not even evolutionists allow humans to be that old.)

It is an objective fact that humans are moral religious beings and animals are not.

Evolution has its own system of ethics values and ultimate meanings which makes it a religion, which makes teaching of it in public schools indoctrination. The American Humanist Association officially recognizes Evolution as a religion.

Note: If religions are going to be taught in schools, and they could be, they should be recognized, not hidden. Let's teach evolution as one of many religious belief systems, and while we're at it, lets teach other religions too. And let's point out not just the possibilities of evolution, but the many holes in that theory too! Of course, our society is past all that, having adopted evolution as its official state religion, never to be questioned. By making this official accepted scientific position, they have destroyed what science was always meant to be: a simple report of the facts nature presents us with. End note.


All of the supposed evidence for human evolution can fit inside a single coffin. He goes over the various hominid claims which were proven false.

Note: since the time of his book they've come up with more claims, but even recent estimates are that all the supposed hominid bones found could fit in the back of a truck. When they say they have found numerous specimens of hominids, what they mean is they have found numerous bone fragments. End note.

Note: They'll always come up with something or other to uphold their theory, which is another indication we aren't dealing with objective observation, but with dogmatic faith-based support of a beloved doctrine. End note.

Ch. 8 Creation According to Scripture


It is now known that early man was a highly specialized technologist in many fields. There's no reason why not to believe that man could read and write from the beginning of his creation. People used to argue against the Bible claiming that people couldn't read and write back then, but now we know that's clearly false.



Jesus accepted the historicity and accuracy of Genesis. To reject Genesis is to reject Christ.

It is probable concerning the first five books attributed to Moses that the Book of Genesis was edited by Moses and that the other four were directly written by him. The Book of Genesis is never accredited to Moses in scripture, it is likely that the Book of Genesis was written

by the patriarchs of that time such as Adam Noah etc. The creation account would have either been directly written by God as were the ten commandments, or a direct Revelation from God. Either way, creation accounts in scripture give us information we could have had no other way since no mortal was there to witness it.



Genesis 1:7 shows that the primordial world had waters above the firmament. The firmament overhead could have blocked radiation, allowing longer life.

Note: The firmament also could have modified the atmosphere giving a more favorable amount of oxygen, etc. End note.

Note: Evolutionists have no answer for why Adam and other ancients had significantly longer lifespans than we do today. End note.

There would not have been fossils in the creation, that's a sign of death, which is a sign of evil. Death came into the world only with sin. God isn't responsible for death and suffering.

Note: great point. No death until the fall, so no fossils before the fall. I've also seen convincing evidence that most fossils were made in the catastrophic event of Noah's flood. End note.

God's love is voluntary, and so must ours be. Involuntary love is a contradiction of terms.

Why energy is conserved, why entropy increases, these are explained in scripture. See his references on these.

All we see in this fallen world should remind us of our separation from God.

"After his kind" occurs 10 times in Genesis.

Scripture says, "All flesh is not the same flesh."

Claiming you can have biblical evolution is like claiming you can have Christian atheism.

God has all power; he can create without eons.

God's goal is man, why wait so long to create him?

Note: Especially when we know he can procreate as much as anyone else. To say He can't is like saying the axe hefted itself, boasting that it didn't need the man (see Isaiah). Surely the creation doesn't have more power and ability than the Creator. End note.



The Hebrew "Yom" usually means day, not time.

"Olam" is the Hebrew word to indicate a long period of time.

Evening and morning also are always used to mean a literal day.

Note: This is right, and limits us to our 24-hour days, or the 1000-year days God experiences, as indicated in multiple scriptures. Time is based on which planet you're on. Either model would be an excellent explanation for how this Earth was made. End note.

Plants are made before the sun in the creation account of Genesis. For plant life to live without the sun is easy with days of creation just normal day lengths.

Note: But another light source could work, too. The Book of Revelation informs us that the future light source for Earth will not be the sun (Rev. 21-23). Either way, evolutionists are wrong in claiming that the sun HAD to be first. End note.

Our six-day workweek is identical to God's workweek. We are told to rest one day in seven, as He does.

Morris discusses the many opposites of evolution and the Bible. (For example, evolution reverses the order of creation events.)

They say evolution must be true, so the Earth must be old. They use this circular reasoning to reject ages that don't match the theory.

In Exodus 20, we read of how God created in 6 days and rested on the 7th. He wouldn't need to rest if he had merely said a few sentences. There's more to it than that.

Establishing flood geology is where creationists are most attacked, and if we establish this, evolution falls apart.

Note: Many books have done a great job demonstrating flood geology. One of the most detailed is the textbook Universal Model Millennial Science Volume 1, with hundreds of pages, experimental evidence, and references on the subject. End note.

The flood couldn't be local. To cover Mount Ararat, you'd need an egg-shaped dome of water there if it were only local.



Note: some claim there were no mountains before the flood, but some creation accounts do refer to mountains being formed in the beginning. It is true however that we don't know the size of these mountains, and mountain height could have dramatically changed during the flood. I believe there were tall mountains before the flood, and that flood waters were five miles high, as indicated by various experiments of water pressures required to make quartz-based fossils. This was a monumental event beyond anything we have seen. End note.

Scripture says there was no rain before the flood (*as implied by the first rainbow occurring at the flood; plants could be watered by mists from the ground*).

God's promise never to send a flood again would be repeatedly broken if it were only a local flood.

If the Bible is true at all, you must reject the geological ages.

Note: The geological ages were made in a direct attempt to overthrow the Bible. When we understand this, it becomes increasingly silly to try and mesh the two narratives. With a Supernatural Creator, we aren't waiting around for natural selection and random mutations. Therefore the calculations of evolutionists are complete garbage! It's nonsensical to suggest that God used evolution, including natural selection and its proposed timescale. End note.

God created darkness; that's how it starts.

Writing off Genesis 1-11 as 'not history' and 'not scientific' destroys the whole Bible.

Note: As Genesis Apologetics puts it, how many pages must we turn before we find truth we can trust? End note.

**YOU DONT LIKE THE IDEA
OF MAN COMING FROM APES?**



Darwin's Black Box by Biochemist Michael Behe – Book Highlights & Commentary



Introductory Note: This was an excellent book demonstrating the complexity of biological systems, and how absurd it would be to believe that they evolved by chance natural selection. Biological organisms were clearly created. They are far more complex than cars, and no one would claim that the car evolved by natural selection. My notes and commentary on this work represent a very small portion of the ideas from the book, and are put forth in my own words.

Also check out Behe's video course on Intelligent Design & Evolution: [Course | Michael J. Behe \(michaelbehe.com\)](https://www.michaelbehe.com)

Note: The author starts the book by saying that he's ready to accept a very old Earth. This, of course, is a critical flaw in his analysis. Abundant evidence indicates a young Earth and, therefore, the impossibility of evolution theory.

Ch.'s 1-2 The Box is Opened

Darwin could not see microbiology. He knew that the eye was for seeing, but he did not know how it saw. He did not have answers to these questions. **The cell is Darwin's Black box.** He had no clue how it worked.

Here is a brilliant analogy of what evolutionists claim with their millions of years of evolution from a common ancestor, of which there is no evidence. If your friend says he jumped over a couple of feet, you believe him. If he says he jumped across 10 or 15 feet, you are skeptical and surprised. If he says he jumped across the Grand Canyon, you don't believe him. Then he claims that it took him years to do it, and that there were buttes which he stood on in the canyon, which took a long time to appear, and which went away quickly after he had jumped. It's absurd. **Someone who claims that they made many small jumps to get across a large chasm in the past, but that the things that jumped on are no longer there, is very hard to believe.** (*Note: Truly, evolution is a system of belief, aka faith. End note.*) Evolution makes **huge leaps** for which there is **no evidence**. There are **unbridgeable chasms even at the smallest levels of life.**

Darwin had to convince people that complex organisms could be made slowly.

The eye and vision were another black box for Darwin. He and his contemporaries had no clue how it worked. What he thought was simple is extremely complex, involving many proteins, enzymes, etc.,

multiple systems going at once. These aren't just leap to leap, these are huge distances.

Darwinism explains micro-evolution well (like the change in a bird's beak length over generations), but it is **a farce to use it to explain the origins of life and species** (like humans coming from sponges), the main point that Darwin was getting at.

Little kids think a **box can be an airplane** (think Calvin & Hobbes) **because they don't know** how the airplane works. There are scientists now taking a similar approach about evolution and the origin of life!



Scientists used to think that cultures growing in a liquid could spontaneously generate because the flies appeared to appear on meat spontaneously. The key problem was to think that the flies and the cultures were extremely simple. A similar problem exists with the evolution of complex organs like the human eye. Darwin made it seem very simple, but it is not.

Neo-Darwinism was created by various sciences deciding what to do with the theory of evolution. This all came out before biochemistry. **Now that we have biochemistry, Neo-Darwinism must be revisited, as biochemistry debunks it.**

Darwinism is **becoming less popular** within and outside of the scientific community due to the many questions the theory cannot

answer. Scientists admit that the theoretical framework and evidence for neo-Darwinism are weak.

Note: I heard a recent case of an evolutionist, calculating how to promote evolution acceptance, who came up against the problem that the more people know about evolution, the less likely they are to accept the theory.

There appears to have been a biological 'Big Bang,' with many species coming on scene at once.

Note: the "Cambrian Explosion" in the fossil record of advanced lifeforms appearing is from the flood of Noah, wiping out many animals and fossilizing them in a unique environment able to convert bone into rock, something that isn't happening today.

Mathematicians insist that even with current dates of how old the Earth might be, that's not nearly enough time for claimed evolutionary changes in species.

Evolutionists are upset that:

1. There are **no transitional forms** and
2. That **species have different but very definite limits** as to how much they can change and
3. That **systems appear suddenly** and
4. That **natural selection cannot account for the diversity** of life.

There have always been well-informed, respected scientists who find Darwinism to be inadequate.

Most scientists will say they believe Darwinism, but they believe it based on authority, based on what others have said.


Scientists are afraid to debate natural selection, which is ironically unscientific. True science doesn't fear scrutiny, challenge, and debate.

When Richard Dawkins (arch-evolutionist) tries to support the evolutionist view of the bombardier beetle evolving, he fails to explain how all those chambers, muscles, etc. would have evolved gradually. Many of the parts aren't necessary for the system and wouldn't have just shown up gradually. **Even if you come up with a story** of what might be beneficial here and there and how it might evolve, it **still fails to explain the details of the extremely complex processes** that would need to take place for such a story to come about. **All they can say is that it might happen.** That's not very scientific.

Richard Dawkins describes the eye as a series of complex systems coming together. However, he never explains how those complex systems came to be. It's like saying a stereo is made from putting together an amplifier and a CD reader, etc., without explaining how those parts first came to be and how they were assembled. Evolutionists use **dramatic oversimplification** to make it seem more plausible that something happened by chance.

These explanations given by Dawkins are extremely simple and do not justly describe how these things came to be. They are illogical assumptions that everything would be just right by chance. *(Note: it's all conjecture and supposition. End note.)*

There are "irreducibly complex" systems that are useless until everything is in place. Natural selection can only choose systems that are already working.



You can make the case that **multiple complex systems evolved at the same time just in time** for a complex organism who needs all those multiple systems to live, but this is an empty argument; you **might as well argue that the Earth popped into existence yesterday by chance.**

Evolutionists submit that evolution isn't always gradual, but they say it has to be gradual when explaining complex, apparently designed objects like eyes because without gradualism, all you have is miracles. You can't have it both ways!



A mutation can change one step of instruction, such as 'place the legs on the head rather than on the abdomen,' but **it can't change the entire instruction**, such as 'instead of building a fax machine, build a radio.'

Tens of thousands of different molecules are involved with things like the eye and the bombardier beetle; **you can't say that you know those all just evolved** and came together. That is speculation; it is a belief. Debating whether such evolution could randomly occur is like 19th-century scientists debating whether butterflies could spontaneously generate out of meat. Again, we simplify too much. As we see the increasing complexity of these systems, the idea of random evolution to create them becomes less and less likely.

A mousetrap is an example of an irreducibly complex system; without all the parts present, it doesn't catch any mice. Not only do you need all the parts present at once, but all the parts need to be fine-tuned with just the right amount of spring, just the right positions, etc. An irreducibly complex system is assembled all at once.

Just because a bike is a precursor to a motorcycle doesn't mean the bike turned into the motorcycle. Biological evolution is limited to slight modifications, and there's nothing about a bike that you can slightly modify into an engine or fuel tank. Natural selection in a bicycle manufacturing plant cannot produce a motorcycle. There is no example in history of major biological changes.

Note: There is the supposed Cambrian explosion, but that is merely the fact that many fossils appeared seemingly out of nowhere; it's certainly not step-by-step proof of evolution. The reality of the Cambrian explosion (or other mass extinction claims) was the flood of Noah, which brought about special conditions to fossilize many animals that would have simply decayed in other conditions. End note.

In order to understand the barriers to evolution, you must understand the complexity of biological systems.

Part 2 – Examining the Contents of the Box (Ch. 3-7)

(Note: This is where the complicated stuff is, and I won't attempt to give many notes here.)

Ch. 3 Flagella

Cells are run by molecular machines.

We make machines that efficiently do tasks, but in biology, if there is a microscopic machine doing a simple task efficiently, if that had to evolve, it would have had to learn that task too.

What something is made of and how it works are two different things, which are both extremely complex.


Evolutionists have very creative minds. They can come up with stories to explain the evolution of anything, but they're just stories!

While modification goes on, systems are non-working.

The evolutionary literature explaining how these complex things would come to be is severely lacking. Further, the papers disagree with each other on the roads that would be taken, etc. They don't consider mechanical details; they just make big generalizations.

Nobody knows how the flagella evolved, and no research accounts for it. Some 40 different proteins are involved. The same is true for the cilia, wherein some 200 different proteins are involved.

Cartoons show extremely complex systems going through a series of events to set off a single trap. This is humorous because everything must work exactly right to get the trap to go off—if one part of the whole sequence didn't work, the trap would fail. This is like evolution, and it's laughable. In biology, there really are very complex systems with a very specific end function, and evolution cannot account for it.



Note: it's like the old Paley's pocket watch in the desert analogy. If you find a pocket watch in the middle of the desert, do you conclude that it was put there by someone who owned, purchased, or created it, or do you conclude that it evolved randomly? End note.

Ch. 4 Blood

Blood clotting is a very complex system of many interconnected parts. It must form only when and where it is required, or the whole system will clot and die. No one on earth has any idea how the coagulation current came to be.

Ch. 5-7 From Here to There; A Dangerous World; Roadkill

Each tiny little step in evolution has such small odds that it's utterly ridiculous to consider it. It's not just a small chance that one thing would evolve into another thing, it's a small chance that a very small part of the evolution would happen. And when we talk small, we mean infinitely small odds, making this more of a fairy tale storybook than science.

Natural selection only works if there's something useful already there to select from. Necessary proteins wouldn't just appear with nothing to do until other stuff arrived.

If one thing goes wrong during a delivery, the package will not reach its destination and may as well never have been sent.

Extremely complex processes take place billions of times a day in the cells of our bodies. Science is stranger than fiction. It cannot be accounted for by random evolution, no matter how much time you give.

Note: Whenever science proves Darwin's macroevolution theory wrong, being statistically bizarre and so forth, they just expand the age of the Earth and the universe. However, they can only play this game for so long; Darwinism is truly on its way out. End note.

We see many irreducibly complex systems working together in even bigger irreducibly complex systems, and the mathematicians have said repeatedly that the current age allowed for the Earth and universe is not nearly enough for these things to happen randomly; they would need to be at least billions and billions and billions and billions and billions and billions times billions and billions and billions and billions and billions of years older to give the remote

chance. But as we can see, this is nonsense, you can't just sit around and take seriously a theory that requires such **small odds**. The smacking obvious answer is that the Earth and the universe were designed by a designer! You just can't get around that.

Irreducibly complex systems are all or nothing. You can't just add one part now and later add another part, or the system will not work.

You can't say that some parts of the cell were used for other functions before they were used in their current functions. This would leave a very lousy cell that would not sustain life. A single flaw in the cell's process pathway, and you die. If cells evolved as incomplete structures, our ancestors would have died, too.

There's no literature on the evolution of vesicles and many other topics in the evolution of microbiology.

Part 3 What Does the Box Tell Us (Ch. 8-11)

Ch. 8-10 Publish or Perish; Intelligent Design; Questions about Design

The chemical soup life experiments failed miserably. Much guidance was given, and no complete life was made, etc.

There isn't a single book or article in scientific literature explaining microbiological evolution. There are books and papers that mention sequences, but none of them explain how those sequences came to be.

With a combination lock, if you keep trying different combinations, perhaps you eventually get half the letters right; this is not progress, you still can't open the lock, life can't reproduce to the next

generation, the "lock" fails. If the code is "Mary had a little lamb," the random choices with lots of time would just as soon spell out "Let's go to the park" or some other random sentence; the direction of the evolution wouldn't be aimed at or kept. No one is there to say which letters should be held to produce the correct sentence.

Some say that if there is a Designer, why isn't nature more perfectly designed? This is not the point of science. The point of science is to see whether design is obvious. We cannot guess the psychology of the designer as to why certain systems would be imperfect.

Note: Of course, sin results in damaged systems—ever since the fall of Adam, our bodies have become fallen and broken, tending toward decay and death. When this life is viewed as a probation/test to see how we respond to weakness, it is better understood why systems are intentionally imperfect. End note.

Vestigial organs with no apparent use are claimed to be by-products of trial-and-error evolution from past species. However, these supposedly useless organs turn out to be extremely important in immunity, etc. Just because we don't know why something is there doesn't mean it's useless.

Once scientists take design seriously, academic literature will be much more rigorous, require much more hard data, and **tolerate much less storytelling**.

Note: All the pointing fingers about being unscientific when the truth of the Designer is known. Then we will all see plainly who has been anti-science, and who has been closer to reality and honesty in reporting what nature tells us. It was the Judeo-Christian Bible that gave us a single God and an ordered, predictable universe with consistent laws, inspiring the basic hope for and trust that an understanding of nature can be obtained. Now, once again, Western civilization will provide the world with the moral and philosophical framework absolutely required to reach a full understanding of

the truth of nature. End note.

The author says there's a possibility of an old Earth with intelligent design.

Note: This is true, but I don't see a need for it. Old Earth was specifically theorized to eliminate a creator and add time for random/natural mutation. Evidence is piling up against the old Earth theory. End note.

Ch. 11 Science Philosophy and Religion

The discovery that life was made by intelligent design is one of the single greatest discoveries of all science.


Note: What a beautiful and game-changing discovery this is! Anyone who denies this discovery is truly anti-science!

About 90% of Americans believe in God, and about half attend religious services regularly. The army employs chaplains. Businesses and sports teams gather for prayers. As a country, we honor people like Martin Luther King, whose actions were deeply rooted in a belief in God.

The 1925 John Scopes trial involved Scopes, a teacher who volunteered to be arrested for a law that prohibited teaching about the Creator in science. There's a movie about it called "Inherit the Wind" (1960).

Note: Inherit the Wind makes the preachers and creationists look like idiots, and the evolutionists seem to be the only ones with level heads and sense. The creationists don't even try to use any scientific evidence, so the evolutionists simply take the side of "science" and claim that the religious are in the way of all science. They think all evolutionists must do to refute creationism is poke at supposed errors in the Bible. That Darwinism is scientifically impossible was completely avoided. End note.

One man who performed many science experiments was going to be hired but was asked in the interview if he believed in evolution. He said no, he believed in the biblical account of creation, and for this he was not hired.



These days, science is less of a pursuit for truth and more of a game played by the rule that the supernatural can never be invoked. Professional scientists in universities accept this rule even when they privately believe in God, as most of the population does.

A Designer can't be put in a test tube, but neither can extinct (supposed and missing) common ancestors. We can see the lingering effects of a designer (just like how they claim to see lingering effects of the missing common ancestor).

Note: It seems the missing common ancestor is their god. All hail the invisible sponge king! Just don't expect him to answer your prayers. End note.

Note: Religion does have a tangible God to offer. Several prophets of the Bible claimed to speak with Him face to face. He has revealed that the most correct title for us to refer to him is Father. He has revealed that we are His offspring and are made in His image. That's something to think about! The mysteries of God are unfolding; they will not remain mysterious forever! Religion has much more to offer than vague abstract mystery! End note.

Scientists try to fit the origin of all life in the universe into a tiny box, but it is impossible.

Evolutionists want to force parents to teach children evolution.

Note: One way they are accomplishing this is by putting more and more restrictions on homeschooling. Use this freedom while you still have it. Fear God, not man. End note.

The fear that science with supernatural conclusions would ruin science is unfounded.

It is not a strange conclusion that an intelligent agent designed life; rather, that is the obvious and natural conclusion.

Note: Which is harder to accept: That nothing exploded and turned into life as we know it, or that an advanced being created this Earth and put life upon it? As to where that being came from, that's beside the point. What we are trying to solve is the riddle just in front of us: where did we come from, and from whence is this Earth our home? When we can solve the riddle of our nearest origins, then the whole will unfold. End note.

Afterward

Over the past decade since this book was originally published, we have learned much more about microbiology, how things are even more complex, and this strengthens the case of intelligent design.

Some say that these irreducibly complex systems could be used for other things as they break into simpler machines, but this is devolution, not evolution.

The author never said that parts of the irreducibly complex system couldn't be used for something else. He said that removing one part causes the whole system to stop functioning; it doesn't necessarily cause the individual parts to stop functioning.

Mouse traps weren't made by a handful of toothpicks getting together and deciding to be a mousetrap. You might as well assume that half of your car's transmission will jump out of your airbag. Essential components don't happily come out of accessories.

Richard Dawkins said biology is the study of living things that appear to have been designed. **Thus, even to the diehard Darwinists, design is evident. It's not merely a conclusion we draw when we can't think of anything else.** It's what we conclude when we get in touch with our inner ingenuity. Any engineer can pick out something that's been designed for a purpose, and he can usually pick out the purpose by looking at the structure of the object. The difficult thing would be to make the claim that random evolution is responsible for these things. **The burden of proof is on the one who denies what he can plainly see with his eyes.** In the absence of an explanation, we are rationally justified in assuming that complex things like Mount Rushmore were designed, not just evolved.



"All sciences begin with speculation, only Darwinism ends with it." Authors promoting evolution acknowledge this, that their work is speculation.

Assertions that microscopic machines evolved are based in speculation, not calculations and experiments.

There are no detailed Darwinian accounts for the evolution of anything.



Darwin on Trial by Phillip E. Johnson - Book

Highlights & Commentary

Ch. 1- 4

The 1960 movie 'Inherit the Wind' made fun of creation-science advocates, mocking people who didn't want evolution taught because of its atheistic themes. But what wasn't pointed out is that the person advocating evolution also advocated several bogus Neanderthal finds like 'Nebraska Man', who was like the tooth of a pig, which was said to be the tooth of a hominid monkey-man. The evolutionist argued using many falsehoods.

Just because we don't have the whole answer to replace evolution doesn't mean we can't point out how wrong evolution is.

Survival of the fittest is just a tautology, saying that those who leave the most offspring leave the most offspring. It doesn't tell us anything.

Different types of eyes in the animal kingdom are not just examples of increasing complexity. There are over 40 different types of eyes. And 5% of an eye is not the same as 5% vision; only the complete eye gives any vision at all, and only with the proper receptor.

A program designed to scramble a book would not transform it into a different language or topic.

The Opponents of Darwin were leading geologists and paleontologists; it wasn't just a religious objection.

Opponents of Darwin, such as George Cuvier, were fossil experts who saw no gradual change but rather signs of various Extinctions and the creation of new species.

Note: I do not see a necessity for the theory of multiple mass extinctions and multiple creations; it can all be easily accounted for in the catastrophic flood. Either way, the data doesn't support evolution. End note.

Darwin said nature must have hidden the transitional forms!

Since Darwin, much more study of the fossil record has been done. Darwin relied on the claim that we hadn't looked enough for transitional fossils, but today, we know that new kinds of animals don't appear gradually but suddenly.

Note: And by 'new', it could just mean different, as it is placed down at a different level instead of a second creation. Fossils represent death. Again, either way, the key is that we don't see gradual forms, as evolution requires. Furthermore, even the phrase 'fossil record' can be misleading, implying that the order of fossils tells a story that it just doesn't tell. End note.

No intermediates are found in the fossil record. Evolutionists try to explain away the sudden changes in the fossil record without transitional fossils by saying that the new fossil must have evolved over a fast geological period of time, as in hundreds of thousands of years. They say, 'because Earth is so old, we have all this time to work with.'

The Cambrian explosion is a major problem for evolutionists - nearly all the animals appear there without predecessors.

Note: Some say the flood is a different extinction, such as the Permian/Triassic, with the Cambrian being the fall of Adam, but most of the evidence I've seen points to the Cambrian as the flood. I have low confidence in claims of multiple mass extinctions, though there certainly have been multiple catastrophic events in human history. End note.

Based on modern fossilization theory, we should not have any soft tissues that fossilized, yet we do have them.

Note: The flood created the perfect setting for fossilization, making the fossil record a big testament to divine power and intervention. Evolution calls for species to die out slowly and gradually. But this is not what we see; we see

mass extinction. The record does not show gradual development; scientists are aware of this. End note.

Stasis, a lack of change, is the norm in the fossil record. Evolutionists came up with the punctuated equilibrium theory to try to explain the lack of transitional fossils by claiming there were semi-fast changes (within hundreds of thousands) that have not left behind fossil evidence. So here we have **invisible evidence** of evolution—awesome!

Scientists know that fossils don't work well for evolution. They are embarrassed by this, and they're under tremendous pressure when publishing about fossils to somehow make them fit with the theory of evolution.

Note: I remember that, in one debate, an evolutionist kept trying to get away from fossils. He said, 'We don't even need fossils anymore!' as he attempted to change the conversation to genetics, which, of course, has its own plethora of obvious problems for evolution. End note.

Note: I'm also reminded of the famous evolutionary plant biologist who, when asked what the best evidence for evolution was, said, 'the whale pelvis!' Apparently, nothing in his own field of study was compelling, and he had to turn to vague optimistic claims from another field. Of course, the whale's pelvis is needed for reproduction and isn't vestigial at all. End note.

Ch. 5 The 'Fact' of Evolution

Evolutionists use descent with modification to explain difficulties in classification.

Evolutionists insist that no matter how much evidence you give against evolution, nothing makes sense except for evolution.

Fossils do not show links between different species in the phylogenetic tree.

Labs are unable to show the process of change from one species to another.

Recasting the theory as fact serves no purpose other than to protect it from falsification.

Darwinists point to microevolution and claim that it is evidence for major change between species, though we have no mechanism for macroevolution (species change). It's never been shown, and no fossil evidence exists for it.

Note: You can't say, 'Well, we haven't waited millions of years, so you don't know that macroevolution doesn't happen.' For one, this is shifting the burden of proof, and for two, a vague claim that something might happen in millions of years is inherently untestable and therefore inherently unscientific. Evolution should be classed with philosophy or religion, not science. Evolution wouldn't last long anywhere without tax funding and monopolistic control over other disciplines. End note.

Google says there are three reasons why evolution is a fact. 1. **Microevolution.**

Note: Here they apply one process to something it has nothing to do with, like saying because I can jump on a pogo stick, that I should also be able to jump to the moon. End note.

Google's second reason for evolution is 2. **Nature is imperfect** so it must not have been done by intelligence.

Note: here they assume the motives of the Creator. How do they know He isn't building in weakness into the system for a reason? Further, pointing out imperfections doesn't account for all the mind-boggling order in nature, allowing for life. End note.

Google's third reason for evolution is 3. **Hominids** and mammals which are like reptiles.

Note: These claims are based on conjecture and minor differences in a tiny sampling of skeletons, which are easily accounted for in the variation of known species. End note.

Note: It's actually funny how weak the arguments for evolution are. Or, it would be if they weren't working from the added funding and monopoly they get in our schools and academic journals. False ideas die hard, especially when careers worldview and tax kingdoms are on the line. End note.


Ch. 6 Invertebrate Sequence

Evolution says we've got to have animalistic ancestors, so we'll pick these ones because they're the best candidates. Evolutionists look for ways to support their theory rather than questioning it (and comparing it to all the evidence nature provides).

The theory of evolution says ancestors must be there, so they insist that something they find is in fact those ancestors.

There are claims about transitional fossils between amphibians and fish, but these are wild speculations. No explanation exists about how an amphibian could have developed reptilian reproduction based on Darwinian descent. The difference between a fossil mammal and a fossil reptile is very slim, based on just a few jawbones, and often it can go either way.

Note: Only basing classification on bones is a fallacy often adopted by evolutionists. They would tell you that my arm and my dog's arm are nearly indistinguishable! End note.



If all mammals descended from a common animal, the fossil record would show the transition, but it does not. So, some evolutionists have put forth a theory that mammals descended from multiple different preliminary creatures instead of one, as Darwin said.

Note: Arguments like this get shut down quickly. Darwinism falls apart when you start allowing multiple ancestors. In truth, God created many types of animals for this world. End note.

The Archeopteryx fossil is a bird with teeth and claws, which they claim is a transitional fossil between reptiles and birds. This is not necessarily evidence of a reptile becoming a bird; it may be like the modern platypus, which has some features of one animal type and other features of another. Evolutionists do not know what necessary processes would have occurred to change from a reptile's scales into birds' feathers and birds' lungs, etc.

Note: Other birds also have teeth and claws. Additionally, more typical birds have been found in 'lower' geologic layers than Archeopteryx, leaving scientists to admit that they must look for the transitional fossil elsewhere. End note.


Google originally published about 12 hominid species, establishing the link between humans and monkeys, but it later had to reduce it to five.

Note: They like to claim all sorts of finds, but it's the same story of hoaxes and imaginative supposition based on scanty evidence. End note.

First, the theory of evolution was accepted, and later, they came up with their supporting evidence of transitional humans. With their theory in hand, evolutionists went hunting everywhere for evidence to support it. The theory did not come from a bunch of transitional skeletons we didn't know what to do with; these transitional skeletons were **invented to support the pre-existing idea** that we needed them!

Public pressure to find the missing link between humans and monkeys was so great that there were lots of frauds. Piltdown man was one of these frauds that lasted for 40 years before it was detected because

they kept it heavily guarded. We see what we expect to see unless we are extremely rigorous in checking our prejudice. Nebraska man was another known fraud.



Note: There are two types of hominids. Known frauds, and undetected frauds. Many scientists recognize that Lucy is just a monkey, that Neanderthal is just a man, and so on. End note.

Genetic evidence of mitochondrial Eve (*namely, the genetic evidence that the first humans lived about 6,000 years ago*) shuts down many hominid claims, limiting them to a couple of hundred thousand years.

Whales are very complex, with lots of features that couldn't have evolved over time, such as their ability to swim deep, use sonar, and allow the young to suckle without taking in water. Even the vestigial legs are a problem of great complexity for which evolution has no answers, such as when and how they would have come about.

Darwin conceded that fossil evidence weighs heavily against his theory, and the same holds true today. This is why they avoid talking about fossils and try to focus on molecular evidence.

Ch. 7 The Molecular Evidence

If molecular change occurred, it must have been at clock-like intervals, not depending on environmental changes as evolution suggests.


Just because two molecular forms are different does not imply natural selection.



There's no evidence that natural selection has creative power.

Note: Nature selects, it doesn't create new material to select from. It can show what has been described as survival of the fittest, but not arrival of the fittest. Further, beneficial mutations are extremely rare and short-lived. End note.

Many scientists advocate that the molecular clock says humans evolved from a common ancestor in Africa less than 200,000 years ago. Many evolutionists don't like this because it rules out a lot of the hominid transitional species from an older time and other location.



We can't just look at molecular evolution because the molecules had to be housed in organisms which would have had to evolve along with the molecules.

The real mystery is how a simple thing could have turned into a complex thing.

Molecular information adds to the complexity, showing that these are complex machines requiring the cooperation of multiple parts to carry out their function.

Note: Every field of science brings more complexity to the table and makes evolution much more ridiculous. End note.

The hemoglobin is so complex that it's called the molecular lung.

The more complex molecular biology, the less likely it is that mechanisms and time existed to transform one kind into another.

Note: This is why evolutionists are in the predictably humorous business of downplaying complexity and lengthening timeframes. End note.

Testing Darwinism with molecular evidence has never even been attempted.

Ch. 8 Pre-biological Evolution

Pre-biological evolution refers to chemicals and how they evolved.

When the Supreme Court **struck down** Louisiana's law that you must teach creation science in addition to evolution science, Chief Justice Scalia dissented because he knew that the people of Louisiana deserve to teach evidence that doesn't support evolution.

Note: Scalia wanted more academic freedom and less of a monopoly on science. He wanted science to point out the pros and cons of multiple theories. Too bad Scalia was the minority voice! The tyranny of the majority shot down freedom. End note.

When scientists use the word evolution, they're trying to say an explanation of everything from the Big Bang to the present without allowing any role for a creator (intelligent designer).

Note: Evolution is multi-disciplinary, a spreading malicious cancer killing all truth. I remember a nature documentary about sharks started out saying something to the effect of, 'it seems evolution has made one of the greatest killers.' Indeed, evolution is a destroyer! And often, mass murderers use evolution as the excuse for their evil crimes against humanity. End note.

The Miller Yuri experiment was about taking several amino acids and attempting to spark them into a protein, but this is flawed for multiple reasons, one of which is they already started out with the amino acids.

An organism forming from prebiotic soup is about as unlikely as a tornado going through a junkyard making an airplane. These microorganisms are more complex than a spaceship, yet they say they were assembled by chance. No matter how much time you give, this is bizarre.



The prokaryotic bacterial cell is much more complex than a spaceship.

'Chance assembly' is another way of saying miracle.

Materialists (who dominate modern science) insist that there cannot be any supernatural element in the creation of life.

Note: No purpose is allowed, either. Jonathan Wells talks about the Smithsonian refusing to air a program on evolution that also suggested there may be some purpose in life. The evolutionists wildly protested the presentation and got it canceled. Nothing but complete atheistic secular humanism satisfies them. They must dominate all scientific discussion and ban anyone who violates their arbitrary definitions of what is and isn't 'science.' End note.

If life were so easy to make, it would have happened many times in many places.

A popular theory is that the first RNA synthesized itself from prebiotic soup without proteins. Though this is conceivable, it is not probable or experimentally verifiable. There are many creative theories about how the first life may have come into being, but none of them are experimentally verifiable.

Note: As Isaac Newton said, "A man may imagine things that are false, but he can only understand things that are true." The best scientists are those who demand experimental evidence and dismiss the rest as conjecture, as the pioneer scientists of the Enlightenment did. End note.

All theories are acceptable so long as none of them are creationism, in other words, an intelligent agent creating something; they don't allow God to be involved in creation at any level or in any way.

Note: What if God is actually how the creation happened? What if all the evidence points to God? How unscientific we become as we insist on these arbitrary parameters and exclude the Truth (God) that nature points to. End note.

Crick (one of the discoverers of DNA) and others recognize the extreme difficulty of creating life on Earth, especially within the parameters of time allotted, even though the time allotted is very long. These skeptical scientists speculate that life arrived here from some

other place in space, microscopic life on an asteroid, or something. That would mean this life would have to travel through space safely and remain alive.

Crick says there may have been an extraterrestrial civilization that sent bacteria into space to start life on another planet.

Note: as I recall, even Richard Dawkins accepts the possibility that alien life forms could have placed early life here. These ideas are much closer to the truth than cosmic and chemical evolution. End note.

Critics of the extraterrestrial implant theory have issues with the invisibility of these extraterrestrials, but we are also working with invisible transitional hominid species.

When you must invoke invisible spacemen, it's time to admit that your theory of evolution doesn't work.

Ch. 9 The Rules of Science



Evolution has become **orthodox**, and no one dares stray from it. The fight in Louisiana to allow creation science to be taught in school, or rather to require it to be taught if evolution is taught, was struck down by people trying to uphold the orthodoxy of evolution and liberal

religion, afraid of religious fanatics. (This concept of orthodoxy was from earlier in the book, but fits well here.)

Note: Ironically, their censorship of non-evolution-friendly ideas has made them the new fanatics. End note.

They define science by whatever is accepted by the scientific community, meaning the official scientific community. (Note: As if group consensus could define truth. This goes against reason. With the power of reason, individuals can find truth, and groups can be mistaken.)

Science is supposed to be guided by natural law and testable with tentative conclusions that are falsifiable. Creation science doesn't fit the criteria because it's not falsifiable or testable, as it points to supernatural creation. But scientists study gravity, and they can't explain gravity by natural law.

Note: Just as gravity is a law which we observe yet don't fully understand, why not roll out the law of design? The law of creation? Sure, we don't understand it yet, but let's put a name to what we are all seeing rather than trying to pin it on something we aren't seeing. End note.



Mainstream science says the young Earth and the flood are false, but how can they say that if this science is unfalsifiable?

Creationists argue that Earth and life had to be designed, regardless of how long it took or how it was done. Then, evolution has to answer

why it's against the possibility that nature was designed. Evolutionists advocate naturalistic developments without purpose, no conscious purpose or direction.

Note: It's a tall order defending evolution's proposition! End note.

The scientific community is clear in its advocacy that God was in no way involved in evolution.

Note: Evolution is all about a theory of nature making itself. That's the whole point. Why Christians turn to this vomit for substantive truth is beyond me. Why Christian evolutionists use the time tables of natural selection when they believe in a Supernatural (beyond known nature) Creator also confounds me. End note.



Naturalist scientists only believe in God when God is an abstract concept, uninvolved in nature.

Note: A perfect fit for the Devil's kingdom. Incomprehensible & useless. Those acquainted with the teachings of the restored gospel should be the first to object. End note.

Evolution requires naturalism, saying whatever can't be seen (detected by common methods) isn't real. Evolution uses (empirical) naturalism as the only way of finding truth.

Naturalism says all of nature is a closed system of cause and effect, not influenced by anything outside.

Note: The Christian would argue that there are levels of nature undiscovered to us, which we call spiritual. When the fullness of truth is revealed, I believe we will find God and other religious points to be quite natural after all. End note.

Naturalism denies that a supernatural being could influence natural events such as evolution or communicate with natural creatures such as ourselves.

Note: Evolution doesn't work even with the 'God used evolution' claim, and for many reasons. Evolution is a wasteful, cruel process of trial and error. Evolution doesn't have God's signature in any way. There are so many better ways that God could have created. We must remember that removing God from the picture is the only reason evolutionary theory was designed in the first place. End note.

The absence of a Creator is the essential starting point for Darwinism.

Empiricists are willing to dismiss any doctrine that doesn't match with their limited scientific evidence.

Darwinism is not empirical! You **can't observe creation by natural selection** any more than you can observe creation by God. Natural selection exists, but it's going really far out to say it has such creative power. The fossil record does not match the gradual changes that Darwinism implies. When it comes to explaining the origins of life and species, Darwinism is pure philosophy. If empiricism were the top goal, Darwinism would have been **limited to observable microevolution** with no important philosophical or theological implications.

They've written a bunch of rules about what science is that keep anyone from doing anything that isn't naturalistic, and they've declared that everything that is science is truth and everything that is not science is false.

In making these arbitrary rules, scientists dismiss entire arguments from the outset **and simply claim that advocates of these dissenting ideas don't understand** how science works.

Note: Modern science has become a good old boys club rather than an evidence-based institution. End note.

In one moment, evolutionists say they don't deal with religion. In the next moment, they make sweeping statements about the purpose of the cosmos.

Note: As in, the absence of purpose. How do they know? It's purely a theoretical conjecture. You might say it's a religious supposition or doctrine. They get to teach their religion, why don't we get to teach ours? End note.

When a **paradigm** is established, it serves as a **grand organizing principle**. The paradigm of evolution has become the **lens through which we view everything** and the way we study everything.

The problem of stasis (lack of change) in the fossil record was not described for a very long time because **Darwinists did not want to publish it**. This is an example of how a paradigm can limit our understanding of nature, and delay honest research.




Naturalistic evolutionists don't bother with whether something is true or not, they only say it's the best way of describing things and may change in the future.

Note: In other words, they deny our ability to discover laws of nature or that such even exist. They are no longer engaged in the pursuit of truth. End note.

Since science (particularly evolutionary science) has the **monopoly on knowledge**, it now has to explain philosophical and theological questions.

They insist that this is not just their way of seeing things, it's the only way, and they're trying to convert everyone to it.

Note: Long have the creationists made the modest request that both sides be taught. Evolutionists can't stand this idea, because admitting an alternative exists dramatically limits their monopoly-based power. As soon as tyrants begin to share power, their power disappears, the game is up, and the people know what they want. The only hope of falsehood is to control, not to allow choice. End note.



Ch. 10 Darwinist Religion

Modern science claims that anything that can't be proven (particularly in their way) is a mere superstition, a feeling.

Note: An outdated crutch or drug people are growing out of. End note.

It is said that those who accept religion and science have to leave their brains at the church door.


Note: Must we check our faith at the school door? Neither option is acceptable. Truth is drawn to truth. The great Newton was a praying man, and so have all of the greatest thinkers, inspired from on high. Of course, there are those who have rejected the higher influences and been influenced by the lower and have, as a result, invented all manner of false theories. End note.

Modern science is at war with creationism and **demands absolute surrender.**

An organization called ASA of Christian scientists wanted to claim that you can have it both ways with evolution and the Bible, and the science establishment came down hard on them for allowing any sort of God to be involved in any way, demanding that such involvement is unscientific.

The message of secular humanism advocated by John Dewey, etc., is that **salvation is by science.** They see science as the answer to everything.

Secular philosophers praise evolution's ability to control the destiny of mankind.



Evolution isn't just a theory; it's a theory to which all other theories must bow. It is the light that illuminates all, it is the god we must worship, and it is taking us to heaven.

Note: The church of the devil has dominion over all the Earth, and this does seem to fit the bill, particularly in light of its takeover of all other sciences, its self-declared tyranny over all methods of learning, and its forceful attempts to be the only voice allowed to answer questions of philosophy and theology, whose conclusions are atheism and death. End note.


Evolution is indoctrination, not education.

Ch. 11 Darwinist Education

Darwinism is deduced by logic, not experimental evidence.

Scientific theories are often related to social theories.

One exhibit said that Darwinism is one of several theories about the origin of Life, etc. The evolutionists promptly removed this and replaced it with a sign that said the evidence supports Darwinism.



Policies avoid referring to evolution itself; rather, they refer to 'science,' not wanting to admit that evolution is a special case of controversy.

Teachers and students are not allowed to discuss disbelief in Darwinism any more than they're allowed to discuss disbelief in $2 + 2 = 4$.

Note: Education is supposed to be non-dogmatic and evidence-based to promote understanding. Evolution dogmatically taught in school is about gaining converts to an orthodox theory. End note.

They say evolution belongs to the category of knowledge, not belief, yet we must **believe** in these **transitional fossils we can't see, in life sparking into existence on its own, and in one species transforming into another**, none of which has ever been observed.

The language that evolution is couched in is calculated more to conceal knowledge than to portray it.

Ch. 12 Science & Pseudoscience



Marx made predictions, and when those predictions failed to come to pass, his followers modified them so that they looked like they still came to pass.

Note: Surely, Marx is the anti-prophet of the apocalypse. Something similar happens with Darwinism and Neo-Darwinism, always running to the next idea to prop up a failed theory. Darwinism is beyond all reason and should not be used as the basis for any coherent theory. End note.

People base their entire careers on theories like evolution, and they're afraid to see them go down.

Freud was a pseudoscientist.

Note: Freud the fraud is known for rejecting rationality, claiming that we are at the core unpredictable and irrational. His apocalyptic anti-humanity theories continue to plague society. End note.

The word evolution means lots of different things. The trick is to use it to prove something very simple and then apply that to everything else. Demonstrate a minor change and use that to claim that major changes happen.

Amongst themselves Darwinists blame everything on natural selection. When criticized about just how that works, they change the subject to molecular evolution and claim that we don't even really need natural selection because there are other methods.

When molecular science came around, it was just what the evolutionists had predicted... after they changed their theory to accommodate the new information.

Note: Of course, molecular science completely refutes Darwinism, showing that nature is far to complicated and diverse to have originated from a common ancestor, no matter how much time is given. End note.

Evolutionists call anyone who believes in a creator who is involved in our day-to-day lives a 'religious fundamentalist.'

Scientists are devoted to **protecting** evolution, not defending it.

Scientific naturalism is philosophical, not scientific.



'Dr. Dino' Kent Hovind's Creation Seminars: Highlights & Commentary

Powerful Religious & Scientific Teachings to Demonstrate that God
Didn't Use Evolution

Dr. Dino Battles Evolution Theory

Highlights & Commentary on the Legendary Creation Science
Seminars of Dr. Kent Hovind, Latter-day Saint Edition



R. Nathan Richardson

Updated 2.20.26

Introductory Information

Contact & Learn with Dr. Hovind:

Dr. Hovind's website streaming at [KentHovind.TV](#) and [DrDino.com](#)

See <https://www.drdino.com/media> which lists the several channels of Dr. Hovind with extensive free videos.

Rumble: <https://rumble.com/c/kenthovindofficial>

Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/kenthovindofficial>

He is also on X, Instagram, etc.

Visit or write to Dr. Hovind at his Dinosaur Adventure Land at:

[488 Pearl Lane](#)

[Repton, Alabama 36475](#)

Freely sharing this work:

In keeping with Dr. Hovind's free sharing spirit, making his research available to all for free, this book is available in paperback at minimum printing cost, and a free PDF document of this book is available at [RichardsonStudies.com](#).



(Images from DrDino.com at the Hovind's Dinosaur Adventure Land)

Visit Dinosaur Adventure Land in Alabama Free!

Here is a brief description of the Dinosaur Adventure Land from DrDino.com:

“Walk through the six days of creation and explore fossils, taxidermy, aquariums, and the reptile room, all while learning scientific facts

through hands-on experiments with a Tesla coil, mirrors, magnets, air, and more. You can also learn about (and pet) God's amazing animals, including a chicken, pig, zebu, sheep, emu, donkey, rabbit, duck, and many others. For added fun, challenge yourself to break records by racing through the maze, assembling puzzles in record time, or climbing the rock wall as quickly as possible—there are plenty of ways to earn a spot on the record board. *And the best part is, it's all free!*"

Call our Reservation Line at
855-BIG-DINO, extension 5.



30 CABINS



17 LAKES



140 ACRES



AND MUCH MORE!

About Hovind

"Dr. Kent Hovind brings a wealth of knowledge and experience, with 15 years of teaching science and math in high school and over 50 years of ministry as a Baptist pastor and evangelist. He is committed to using the latest scientific evidence to strengthen faith in God's Word, guiding individuals closer to Christ. His hope is to inspire and enlighten all who seek the truth about the Divine origin of life on Earth."

Call Dr. Hovind at
855-BIG-DINO, extension 3.

(From DrDino.com)



Image: Me meeting Dr. Hovind at his dinosaur Adventure Park in 2025.

I was very pleased to meet Dr. Hovind, shake hands, and rejoice together in the word of the Lord. We have much more in common than different, particularly on the topic of fighting the evils of evolution theory!

Despite the minor differences that come up from time to time, I highly value the teachings of Dr. Hovind, and trust that my work highlighting the same will be of value to people of faith everywhere!



Distinguishing My Commentary from Dr. Hovind's Message:

In this book I clearly distinguish Hovind's ideas from my added commentary by adding 'Note:...' in purple and typically in a separate paragraph.

One more thing to be aware of: I am a member of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (Mormon). Dr. Hovind is a Baptist. Occasionally I'll add Latter-day Saint related commentary, but I'll clearly specify where I'm doing so, so those uninterested in that material can skip ahead. The marker for these comments will be, 'Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: ...', and will appear in a separate paragraph.

I'm a fan of the Universal Model science textbooks, and will refer to them from time to time in my commentary supporting Dr. Hovind's work.

About Kent Hovind Seminars & This Book

This book highlights the teachings of Kent Hovind's legendary creation science seminars, including some fun tangents he goes into. These notes don't cover all of Hovind's material, and his seminar presentations include many amazing pictures, facts, and charts not included here. My notes here may not accurately represent the intended message of Dr. Hovind. Hovind's message goes into much greater detail than my preview message here.

A Few Introductory Bible Passages Against Evolution:

- Luke 3:38** Adam was literally a son of God (not a son of millions of years of monkeys and humanoids).
- Psalms 19:1** Nature does prove God.
- Romans 5:12, 14** By one man sin and death entered the world.
- 1 Cor. 15:21-22,26** by the man Adam came death.
- Genesis 3:17-19; Romans 8:18-22:** Plants were also affected by the Fall.

- Genesis 1, 6, 7: Animals only reproduce after their kind (no common ancestor).
- Genesis 3:17-20 shows even plant life was impacted by the Fall of man, and that Eve was the mother of ALL living.
- Romans 8 :21-22 speaks of all of creation being cursed.
- 1 Corinthians 15:21-22, 26, and 45 speak of Adam as the first man, and of death entering the world at his Fall.
- Romans 5: 12-14 also teaches these doctrines of death originating from the sin of man.

And a few from Latter-day Saint scriptures to solidify the doctrine:

- 2 Nephi 2:22 there was no birth or death before the fall of Adam.
- D&C 77:6-7, 12 Earth's temporal lifespan is 7,000 years (not billions).
- JST 2 Peter 3:8; Facs. 2 Fig. 1; Abr. 3:6-11 Each day of creation being 1000 years, not millions or billions of years.
- JST 2 Peter 3:5-7; Gen. 1:1-10 Earth was created by water and was later covered by a worldwide flood higher than the mountains which Noah and the animals couldn't just run away from.
- D&C 84:16 Adam was the first man.
- D&C 29:34 We shouldn't separate spiritual and temporal things.



Introduction to Dr. Kent Hovind

Kent Hovind is a legend, a man very knowledgeable in science, and a fearless defender of the faith. I don't say this about many people. Few have done so much to promote creation truth as he has and with such boldness in the face of evil. From his seminars he has given

around the world, to the scores of debates he has done against scientists, to the regular messages he still shares and the non-monetary approach he takes to his preaching, Kent Hovind is on fire!

Kent Hovind is a brilliant creation apologist who has done immeasurable good for the Lord. He refers to his work as "Creation Science Evangelism." The name of his congregation in Alabama is the Genesis Baptist Church. To this day, he holds regular meetings available online.

View his research at DrDino.com. He has also shared many of his lectures, which are available online for free on YouTube. Purchase his slides from the series at his website at a small fee. Creationism.org also has many free resources from Dr. Hovind, which he encourages to be shared freely.

Here are a few of his key sayings:

"Evolution is a carefully protected state religion."

"Evolution is a fairy tale for grownups."

"Who's your daddy?" (Spongebob, or God?) [Brings out Spongebob doll and whacks it with a hammer]

"That's stupid!"

"If you believe you came from monkeys, you are a fool!" (Referring to the Bible passage about those who call themselves wise ('sapiens') becoming fools.)

"Better to wear out than to rust out (in the service of God)."

"What on Earth are you doing for heaven's sake?"

“Get involved in the war for God. If you’re not going to fight, carry bullets. Do something!”

“The fact that God created the heaven and the Earth in six days is part of the ten commandments written on Stone!”

Some have an issue with Hovind making fun of evolutionists. In seminar part 7, he talks about this. He points out how Proverbs 19:25 says, “Smite a scorner and the simple will beware.” The philosophy of these professors is destroying people, and we must stop them! We must show that their science is wrong. When a scorner is punished, he is made wise, says Proverbs. Proverbs 22:10 says, “Cast out the scorner and contention shall go out, yea, strife and reproach shall cease.” In 1 Kings 18:26, Elijah made fun of the prophets of Baal. Jesus called the scribes and Pharisees hypocrites and whited sepulchers (Matt. 23:27). In Matthew 3:7 and Matthew 12:34, Jesus calls them evil, snakes, and vipers. In Luke 13:31, Jesus calls Herod a Fox. In Acts 13:10, the apostle says, “that child of the devil without enemy of all righteousness who perverts the ways of the Lord.” Throughout the Bible, God calls people fools, brutish, simple, perverse, scorners, wicked, etc. Yes, we are allowed to use sarcasm with scoffers; we are just trying to be like our Heavenly Father. (Sometimes, you need to call it what it is.)

In seminar 7, he talks about debates with evolutionists. He fields live question-answer sessions. He has a standing invitation to debate anyone anytime on evolution, even ten to one, as long as he gets half the time to talk, and they talk about one subject at a time. He offers \$100 to debate him and a quarter million for any evidence supporting evolution. Many refuse to debate him. He speaks about 800 times yearly and answers thousands of calls, letters, and emails. He doesn't have time to answer every single claim on every anti-Hovind website, and thousands of those websites exist. Many do want to hear, so we don't waste all our time on those who don't want to hear.

Most of the questions the scoffers ask have already been answered in his seminar, and they're just trying to tie up his time. Matthew 7:6 says, "Give not that which is holy unto dogs neither cast ye your pearls before swine." So we don't have time to answer every skeptic. If you have land to plant a garden for your family and half of it is good soil, and the other half is rocky, you start with good soil, and if you have extra time, you can work on the rocky. Invest your time and energy where it might produce fruit. If you're talking to an atheist who doesn't want to hear, you're wasting your time. He doesn't have time for email debates.

Hovind taught science for many years and has given lectures worldwide for decades, including over 100 debates. In seminar 7, Hovind shared his educational background. Hovind has a PhD & he worked hard for 9 years to get it. No, it was not from an accredited University. Still, he worked very hard for it—only people who are dumb and desperate attack Hovind for his degree rather than attacking the subject. Getting a degree from an accredited university does not guarantee that it is good, it does not guarantee that the person didn't cheat their way through it, it does not guarantee that the school is teaching the right stuff, or that it has high standards. Most people who made evolution theory did not have degrees in science. Darwin only had a degree in theology; he should have been called Reverend Darwin.

Legal witch hunt: I'm aware of a witch hunt that has gone on against this pastor, and some misapplications of law that got him in trouble on hyped up tax charges. A video series defending him is available. While I don't have all the details on this, I'm familiar with many instances in which he has been targeted for his beliefs and given excessive legal trouble for that reason, and having met Dr. Hovind myself I can attest to his generous and honest nature. I'm a big fan of his work even if he did something imperfectly, and I have a very positive opinion about Hovind's work and character.

Meeting Dr. Hovind: On a less important but fun note, I had the privilege of appearing on Dr. Hovind's show to report on my Africa crypto-zoology trip in 2024, looking for the Mokele Mbembe living dinosaur. Hovind has interviewed over 100 people who claim to have seen a living dinosaur. I've enjoyed several conversations with Dr. Hovind on combatting the false theory of evolution. A particular treat was meeting Hovind at his Dinosaur Adventure Land in Alabama in 2025.

Hovind on freely sharing his work:

Hovind, particularly in lecture 3, invites and encourages everyone to share his work freely. He says if there's something in there you don't like, edit it out. Eating the meat and spitting out the bones is a good lesson.

This free sharing method of Hovind is the spirit of Zion; Many noble ideas have gone unnoticed in history because the author hoped to profit from them. Particularly in evangelism, we should not seek profits and exclusivity, let the masses hear the truth, set the light on a hill for all to see! Most of what I've learned has been from free resources; I would have never learned much if everything was for a price other than my **time**, which time is the mite we have to give, and the only reasonable cost for precious things. You paid your time to find something, and you had breadcrumbs showing you where to look; now, share that with others so they, too, can progress as you have. The savior said it best, "freely you have received, freely give."

A Few Topics Where I Disagree with Hovind

I will point out how, in the work of establishing creation science, we must avoid the tendency to distance ourselves from those who see things a little differently than ourselves. Hovind recognizes this and

teaches people to take an approach to his work of “eat the meat, spit out the bones.”

So here are some doctrinal and scientific points we don't see eye to eye on.

Hovind isn't a fan of Mormons (Latter-Day Saints) and their belief in becoming Gods. Hovind is a Baptist, and this isn't part of their doctrine. (If you're also a Baptist, the following analysis from my Mormon/Latter-day Saint perspective likely won't interest you; feel free to toss it.) Here's my comment on that point:

Hovind recognizes that early Christians also taught 'theosis' (becoming gods). The main issue here is that Baptists (and others) see the latter-day saints as wanting to overthrow God, not so. We are just becoming like God; when we move up, so does God. In other words, we are constantly building the kingdom of God our Father in Heaven. His kingdom always grows and needs more people in management positions accordingly. That's what the saints are called to do, and yes, it involves great power and authority.

Creation is ongoing rather than a 1-time event. This is the message of the restoration.

The New Testament says there are lords many and gods many, but to us there is one lord and one god (1 Cor. 8:5-6).

The Latter-Day Saints take the declaration that man is the child of god literally, meaning physically, not just spiritually. After all, Adam was the son of God (Luke 3). This doctrine inspires great hope for the future of mankind.

Hovind is right that it is Satanic to think that evolution is going to turn us into Gods. Latter-day saints believe that to be like God (our

Father), we have to do what he says: believe in Jesus as our savior and continue "growing up" so we can become like our parents! It's more like joining the ever-growing righteous family business than becoming tyrants of the cosmos. Lucifer's fall is typical of those who would seek to overthrow God's kingdom.

A general issue with creation scientists is they don't see God as a tangible being working to continuously create more children and more worlds like the latter-day saints see things. It's okay, they don't have the added light of the restored gospel. We can still work together to promote God as the creator!

Hovind doesn't believe in Pangea; I do, based on Biblical references of Peleg about when the land was divided and the D&C, which indicates that the continents will come back together at some point. I look at this as an analogy to the scattering and gathering of Israel and find the number of 7 continents to be significant, like the seven wounds of the atonement of Christ, etc. We envision the future state of Earth's conditions, returning to the paradise of Eden and likely lost parts of Earth returning. It's hard to say what Earth will look like in its celestial crystal state prophesied of in the Book of Revelation.

Hovind promotes a water firmament overhead which contributed significantly to flood waters. This could be true, there is scripture to support it, I don't know if that was used for flood water, or if it just means the atmosphere. I believe that most flood water was contributed from under the crust (fountains of the deep, also in scripture). Firmament speculations are common among creation scientists, but we can't really make scientific measurements of this today, so I don't bother with it very much.

Hovind promotes coal, etc., being from an ancient earth with forests

where the current oceans are. I don't think so. I think there were always oceans. I think the continents split at Peleg's time after the flood. Cracks would have formed in the flood, and then spreading would occur over the next few hundred years). The Atlantic Ocean would be relatively young. I see the continents as essentially floating on water, and at the flood, the continents are dunked (baptized by immersion) under that water, then re-emerging after the flood.

Finally, let me say that despite the differences between the Baptists and Latter-day Saints, they can join together against the common enemy of evolution. Many latter-day saint prophets have plainly rebuked the evils of evolution theory, and the latter-day saints' cannon, in addition to the King James Bible it includes, has the many additional references against evolution. _____

Hovind Seminar 1: Age of the Earth - Highlights

Full lecture: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LaHcHwPj4sw>

Satan uses evolution as a false path to exaltation.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Hovind does not believe in theosis (exaltation, becoming like God) as a doctrine. Latter-day Saints do, but most, including myself, would agree that evolution is Satan's counterfeit to truth. Becoming like God is, in fact, a genuine possibility. The only way to become like Heavenly Father is to follow Jesus, not cheap immoral evolution as Satan claims. End note.

There are different kinds of evolution, and the evolution of one species or 'kind' turning into another has never been observed. They only use examples of small changes within the same species when defending evolution.

The 1st law of thermodynamics says matter cannot be created or destroyed, so you can't have a Big Bang creation.

The material that exploded in the Big Bang kept getting smaller and smaller. About how much stuff exploded until now, they say it was nothing at all that exploded.

We don't know where God came from, but they don't know where the Big Bang came from. These are two different religions of belief.

It's not science versus religion, its two religions and you choose which one to believe. The difference is that the religion of evolution is tax supported, so it has many followers.

Where did the laws of the universe come from, and why aren't they evolving? They can't answer.

Eight moons and two or three planets spin backward; this violates the law of conservation of angular momentum if they all come from an explosion that was spinning quickly.

Some planets have moons going in both directions.

There's an entire galaxy that spins in the wrong direction.

The Bible says the heavens shall pass away with a great noise; in other words the Big Bang is yet future!

Jesus dying on the cross is meaningless according to evolution. God said he was going to restore the Earth to the way it was, but according to evolution, the way it was has always been death. You cannot have theistic evolution!

See the book *The Evolution Cruncher* (get it free from creationism.org).

The second law of thermodynamics states that everything tends towards chaos - this violates evolution. Receiving energy from the sun doesn't overcome this; solar energy destroys things, and only plants use it.

Note: You can't say natural selection overcomes the law of thermodynamics either. End note.

One little plant cell is more complex than a space shuttle.

Whenever a textbook says millions of years ago, that's your warning that a fairy tale is coming next.

The Bible says those who hate God love death. According to evolution, death is the god that gave them life.

Note: Indeed, evolution is all about praising death and vicious competition. It is an apocalyptic theory of doom. It calls for killing the less advanced, undermines God as creator, and its claims are fictional. End note.

Learning evolution, that you are an animal, impacts the way you behave, and this is very much associated with today's crazy death music and behavior.

Nobody knows everything, and for those who claim that there is no God, God could exist in the area of knowledge that they do not have.

Atheists have no basis for deciding what is right and wrong. They just decide for themselves.

Note: This is why so many babies are being killed today by abortion. Secular abortionists say it's just religious to think that it's not ok to kill babies. It is true that human rights are a religious concept; science alone cannot protect you and reveal the most important truths. End note.

The Bible says not to make any cuttings on your skin.
The Bible says do not make any marks on your skin (tattoos).

As far as laws go in the United States, the law is that you cannot require creation science to be taught, but a teacher can teach it if they want to. There's no law prohibiting the teaching of creation science in school. ACLU promotes a falsehood that it is illegal to teach creation in public schools.

Evolution obviously destroys children's faith in the Bible, and Jesus said people who destroy the faith of children are in big trouble.

In the early 1900s school textbooks had hardly any evolution. Then the Russians were beating us in the space race, and people blamed that on Russians teaching more evolution in their schools, so we put lots of

evolution into our textbooks at that point. But evolution has nothing to do with placing a satellite in space.

In 1959, on the 100th anniversary of Darwin's book, Eisenhower asked Congress for an additional billion dollars to push evolution in schools, and he got it.

When they put in all the evolution and they took out prayer from schools, that's when every age bracket of immoral sexual activity skyrocketed. 10 to 14 year olds got way more STDs, etc.

Timothy was born of a marriage of a Jew and a Greek, and the Jews were not supposed to marry anyone but Jews. However, Timothy decided to live a good life, and that's how you should react if your parents had you out of wedlock (which, at the time of the making of this lecture, was 1/3 of people; now it's one-half). You don't complain about your life situation; you get up and make something of your life.

Women should dress modestly to help men not commit the sin of lusting after women in their hearts, which sin, of course, leads to bigger sins. Hovind says, "If you're not in business, don't advertise."

Note: I would say don't ever 'advertise' in public; you aren't selling your body. It's a funny joke, but public isn't the place for displaying the body, as I'm sure Hovind agrees. Hovind has a sign at his Dinosaur Adventure Land which includes a park rule that people must dress modestly. Bravo! End note.

Rosie O'Donnell blames the Columbine shooting on not enough gun control despite how they broke over a dozen gun laws. One man made a car tire cover that said, 'Blaming guns for Columbine is like flaming spoons for making Rosie O'Donnell fat.' Bulls have horns so they can tell the Lions to back off and let them eat grass. Same with carrying a gun - you're not out to hurt anyone, you're just sending the message that you shouldn't be messed with. (This was a note from seminar 4).

When Kent was young, none of the cars were locked, and many of them had rifles hanging in the back window of the car. Back then, no one got shot in school.

Columbine shooters were strong believers in evolution, and they intentionally did the shooting on Hitler's birthday.

Note: Hitler was a leader on evolution and eugenics. End note.

The Columbine shooters broke 18 gun laws yet people responded by saying we need more gun laws. Blaming guns for Columbine is like blaming a spoon for being fat.

Over and over they make the SAT tests dumber so that scores don't look like they're dropping so bad.

National Geographic should be called national pornographic.

Note: It is because the false theories they push are destroying people's spirits minds morals and ultimately bodies. An analogy could be made that pornography poisons people against real love and real connection, similar to how false science poisonous people against the real creator and the real truth, so I agree with Hovind that much blame is to be placed upon this company who shamelessly and militantly promotes evolution. End note.

The **majority of people don't believe in evolution**, even though most scientists do.

The Bible says the life of the flesh is in the blood (Leviticus 17:11). If they had read this, they would have known that bloodletting was bad, and George Washington would not have died as early as he did.

Fossils don't talk, and they don't have a date stamped on them.

There are many ways to date the age of the Earth, and several of those

ways give a very young age to the Earth; this is a limiting factor that demonstrates that the Earth is young. This is similar to how if you find money on a sunken ship with the date of 1780, you know the ship came no earlier than 1780 (or else the 1780 coin wouldn't have been made yet). The youngest coin is the limiting factor, like the youngest dates are the limiting factor.

The Bible is clear that 'by man came death,' and that Adam was the 'first man,' that it was the sin of man that brought death into the world, and that Eve was the mother of **all** living. Evolution teaches death before sin, and that is a heresy.

The Bible says that sin came into the world at the time of Adam, and that is when death came into the world. Adam lived around 4000BC based on simple calculations from the bible which all agree on.

BC means before Christ, but textbooks now are saying BCE (before the common era); you see, Christ is gone from the schools.

People wonder who Adam's children married, but an even bigger problem is how evolution made life and how that life found something to marry. In the beginning, men married their sisters; it wasn't until the law of Moses that the rule came not to do that. This was a viable system because there were no genetic defects in the early times.

They think it's hard that all the dogs came from a common dog that was on Noah's Ark, but what's even harder is to believe the **evolutionary theory that all dogs came from a rock!**

Just about every book in the Bible references Genesis and the average person reading the Bible will not find millions of years. The Bible was not made to be so complex that some guru needs to tell us what it means. Genesis is legitimate, Jesus sited it 25 times.

The world is not overcrowded. Six billion people could fit in Jackson, Florida, twice. If evolution were true you would have major overcrowding with that many years of people. Growth population curves take us back to about 4400 years ago, the time of the flood. Scientists recognize a dramatic reduction in population a few thousand years ago; the reality is that at the flood, the population was eight.

God told Adam and Eve to have a bunch of kids, and Satan wants the exact opposite - he wants everyone dead. He's trying to avoid the prophecy that someday, someone will come around and crush his head. It's like when Herod killed babies at the time of Christ. Many globalists promote the idea of reducing the world's population dramatically. They see human life as a cancer.

The Georgia Guide Stones are a random monument calling for the population to be maintained at under 1 billion. In 12 languages, these 10 commandments of the New World Order are written in stone.

See the book *Seeds of Deception*, which discusses how GMO crops cause various health problems.

There's a 75,000% increase in autism in Illinois from vaccines. See Marytoco.com on vaccines.

In Australia, when they made vaccines not mandatory, 50% of the people dropped out, and they had a 50% reduction in SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome).

If the universe were old, there would be way more supernova remnants.

No one has ever seen a star form.

Planets and stars are cooling off very rapidly; it does not take billions

of years, as they claim.

Stars in the middle of spiral galaxies spin faster than the ones on the outside, so the spiral should not be there.

A star blows up about every 30 years, and we have only found about 300 supernova remnants. This is evidence of a young creation, only a few thousand years' worth rather than billions of supernova remnants.

Ancient astronomers said Sirius was a red star. Today, it's a white dwarf, so we see it went from a red supergiant to a white dwarf in thousands of years, not billions!

Saturn's rings are expanding quickly and cannot be billions of years old based on their present location.

The **Moon is getting further** from the Earth, meaning it used to be closer - this limits the age of the Earth to about 1.2 billion, which is when the moon would be right next to the Earth, and of course, tides would have been much bigger. (1.2 billion is obviously not the true age of the Earth, but it demonstrates that the Earth cannot be as old as they claim, 4.5 billion.

Note: Now, many scientists are calling for doubling the age to around 28 billion! End note.

Comets are losing material; if they are old, as they claim, it should be gone by now.

Most astronomers say a comet cannot last more than **10,000 years**.

They use the Oort Cloud theory, which states that there is a theoretically large number of comets deep in space that we have never observed that replace comets as they die out. The **Oort theory is made to get around this limiting factor of a 10,000-year-old creation!** The

Oort cloud is all based on a mathematical mistake.

Evolution is the opposite of the bible!

Evolution theory says the Sun and stars were made before the Earth, but the Bible says the Earth was made before the Sun and stars.

The Bible says oceans before land; evolution says it backward.

The Bible says light before the Sun; evolution says sun before light.

The Bible says land plants first; evolution says marine life first.

The Bible says fruit trees before fish; evolution says fish before fruit trees.

The Bible says fish before insects; evolution claims the opposite.

The Bible says plants before the Sun; evolution claims the opposite.

The Bible says marine mammals before land mammals; evolution says land mammals before marine mammals.

The Bible says birds before reptiles; evolution says the opposite.

The Bible says the atmosphere is between two layers of water; evolution says the atmosphere is above water.

The Bible says man brought death into the world; evolution says the opposite.

The Bible says God created man; evolution says Man created God.

People ask if God could have used evolution to create, and he could have, but that is not the god of the Bible. The **god that would use evolution is cruel, wasteful, and retarded.**

People who consider what God has done are not impressed by what man can do.

The Earth's **magnetic field is weakening** and cannot be more than 25,000 years old. Scientists tried to explain this weakening by **claiming that there are reversals**, but this is not the case.

Note: We repeatedly see how bazar theories without evidence are hatched to prop up evolution theory. End note.

Different parts of the same animal carbon date to be radically different ages.

He does not like Pangea because oceanic crust connects all the continents under the water. He also points out that most Pangea maps dramatically shrink Africa and delete Mexico.

Note: Pangea theory has strong evidences. I don't think we even have the same amount of material on the Earth today as existed anciently, so issues with exactly fitting continents together don't automatically disprove Pangea. Further, oceanic crust is very different from continental crust. End note.

The Earth is slowing down, and they add a leap second every year or two. That means it used to be going faster, and if the Earth were billions of years old, it would have been spinning ridiculously fast. Coriolis effect winds would have been 5000 miles an hour. If the dinosaurs lived this long ago, they would have flown off the Earth by centrifugal force.

Desertification shows that the **Sahara desert is only about 4,000** years old, which puts us at flood time. You can't have a desert underwater in the flood. This also begs why we don't have a bigger desert if the Earth is so old.

Note: You could also have the sand deposited by fountains of the deep during the flood, which created the Sahara Desert. The flood's deep waters were hot from the friction of sliding crust, and pressurized from the great water depth (Universal Model Science calls this condition a hypretherm) likely created much of the tiny quartz crystals (sand). End note.

Oil comes up from beneath the surface at 20,000 PSI, and the rock caps above this pressure can only handle that amount of pressure for around 10,000 years or less. The pressure in the well after 10,000 years becomes greater than the pressure of overburdened rocks on the

surface.

We now have the technology to make oil in a very short time, about 30 minutes. Oil was created by the burial and pressure of the flood of Noah.

Note: We aren't making oil regularly because drilling is cheaper, but yes, the technology is in place. End note.

Ice core sample rings are assumed to be annual, but they could be shorter. In World War II, some pilots ran out of gas and landed in Greenland; they were called The Lost Squadron. The planes were recovered 48 years later and were 263 ft below the surface in ice. That's **five and a half feet of ice accumulation per year**. The deepest ice we have drilled is around 10,000 feet, and based on a five-and-a-half-foot deposition per year rate, it would only take a little more than a thousand years to get 10,000 feet. Yet they claim the ice rings from that 10,000 ft drill had enough rings to demonstrate some 150,000 years. In the 48 years that those planes were buried, there were **hundreds of ice rings, not 48 rings**. The rings represent periods of warm and cold, not just summer and winter. You can get multiple periods of warm and cold in one week. One man reported having 15 distinct layers of snow on his car from an 8-hour storm in an Arctic area.

The geologic column does not exist!

Petrified trees are found standing up connecting these rock layers, so they cannot have been deposited millions of years apart from each other. They must have been rapidly deposited in a single event.

It does not take millions of years to petrify; there are many examples of rapid petrification.

A massive Delta New Mexico is reported to have taken 30,000 years for all of the deposit, but this doesn't make much sense when you

consider a very old Earth - the whole of Mexico would be covered in deposit. Flood waters could have washed out this deposit in a matter of minutes.

Note: we don't need to use the deposit rate we see currently when we have catastrophic past events. We have never seen events big enough to create some of the alluvial fans that exist; they were formed in the flood. End note.

Tree ring dating is not an exact science; a tree can produce two or three rings a year. Also, there are issues with overlapping tree ring dating charts. The oldest tree is 4,300 years old and if the Earth were much older we should have much older trees.

The Great Barrier Reef in Australia must be less than 4200 years old. They announced this after watching it for 20 years, wondering how fast it would regrow after WWII damage.

Niagara Falls erosion rates show 9900 years old. But if the Earth is as old as they say it is, it should be eroded back much further. The flood could have washed it out in a matter of minutes.

The oceans continue to get saltier. The current salt amount shows around a 5000 year old ocean, and if the Earth was ancient it would be much more salty.

The flood was probably mostly freshwater, and over time, it has gotten saltier. It has been shown that fish can adapt from fresh to salt water over a short time, and when you put those same fish right back in freshwater they die. This is minor adaptation and this is very different from evolutionist claims of rocks turning into animals over time.

Caves:

-50-inch stalactites formed under the Lincoln Memorial, which took only 40 years to form.

- There's a bat covered in flowstone before it could rot.
- There are cave rock formations growing off of a refrigeration shed, which grew 2 inches in one year.
- One building has massive cave formations from a water leak made in just 40 years.
- A mine in Australia was closed for 55 years; when they reopened it, there were massive cave rock formations.
- They claim 2 inches in a **thousand years** as a maximum creation rate for cave rock formations, but it's more like a max of 2 inches in **one year**.

Erosion and fossils: At the current rate of erosion, the continents will erode flat in 14 million years. Why do they tell us there are fossils **300 times older than that still above sea level**? They should have washed out to sea 300 times.

Language: National Geographic says the oldest writing systems started around 3,000 BC. They say the **oldest languages** are modern **sophisticated** and complete.

Note: My Egyptology class professor said around 4000BC are our oldest records. Remarkable! This matches Biblical chronology. End note.

Calendars: On the Chinese calendar they said the year 2000 was 4700; they may have started their calendar with the flood or the birth of Shem.

The Hebrew calendar said the year 2000 was 5760, but a rabbi took out 164 years to try and make it not line up with Jesus to make the Daniel 9:25 prophecy not point to Jesus.

Genealogies: Saxons in England have a genealogy going back to Adam, and the Danes and Norwegians have lists going back to Noah.

Note: I know several people with genealogies that go back to Adam. One is

presented in Universal Model Science volume 2. End note.

Don't trust the Egyptian king list; it is greatly exaggerated. The oldest historical records which are reliable are less than 6000 years old.

75% of kids raised in Christian homes who attend public schools reject the Christian faith by their first year of college.

Hovind Seminar 2: The Garden of Eden (& Pre-Flood Conditions)

<https://youtu.be/1q2oAQ7Rts8>

2 Peter 3:5 says people are dumb on purpose when it comes to God speaking the creation.

The King James version says "the first day," other versions have changed it to "one first day" or "a first day" to downplay the creation and to suggest the Gap Theory of billions of years between Genesis 1:1 and 1:2. Several Bibles now talk about the creation days as periods of time rather than days.

Hovind speculates about Lucifer's fall from heaven.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Latter-day Saints teach about a pre-earth life where we all existed as spirits before coming to Earth to get bodies, and that Lucifer fell in the spirit world before Adam came here. Several Bible passages allude to a pre-existent state. Consider:

***Jeremiah 1** says, "Before I formed you in the womb I knew you, before you were born I set you apart." **Isaiah 49** says, "The Lord called me before my birth; from within the womb he called me by name."*

Psalm 139 says, "every day of my life was recorded in your book" even before they existed.

See also *Galatians 1 and Romans 8* of people being chosen by God before coming to Earth. End note.

Plants are not alive in the Biblical sense. Here, Hovind speculates about generations of plant regeneration before the fall of Adam when death was introduced to the world, and this makes sense because Adam ate the fruit of the garden before falling.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: I believe plant kind didn't reproduce or die before the fall. Adam & Eve's eating of the fruit brought on the fall to all of creation. In The Book of Mormon, 2 Ne. 2:22 emphatically states that "all" things must have remained in the state after which they were created were it not for the fall of Adam. It reads: "...all things which were created must have remained in the same state in which they were after they were created, and they must have remained forever, and had no end. 23 And they would have had no children; ..." End note.

God told Adam to replenish the Earth but the 1611 Bible King James version using a 1611 dictionary the word **replenish just means fill it up, it doesn't mean fill it again**, only the modern meaning means that, so there weren't people before Adam.

Evolution requires death before sin, which is a heresy.

If Noah's flood was small and local, why would God have told Noah to build a large ark to stay in for a year and put all the animals in it? **If it was local, he could've just told Noah to move!**

Note: Here are some more points against a local flood:

-If the flood was local, other local floods would violate God's covenant to not flood the Earth again.

-God wouldn't have to establish the covenant with Noah if Noah wasn't the

only person left.

-A local flood wouldn't have wiped out all wickedness from Earth.

-The Bible says all life on Earth died. Also, why would Noah have to bring all the animals if it were a local flood?

-Why would hundreds of world legends talk about a flood covering the whole Earth? End note.

Hovind says the two scriptures in the Bible, about 1,000 years to man and a day to God, are just general references to how God doesn't use time in heaven and that these verses do not refer to creation. Hebrew scriptures clearly teach a creation of 6 24-hour days.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: There are other references in latter-day saint restoration scriptures that could indicate a 7000-year creation, which is my preferred theory. During those 7000 years of creation, there may have been more being created than just our earth, perhaps the entire galaxy. Protestants typically view the creation of all of space in six days, but latter-day saints view the creation as ongoing. Restoration scriptures (particularly The Pearl of Great Price and Doctrine & Covenants) give additional insight, which makes a very real possibility that each day of creation is 1,000 years. (See (JST 2 Peter 3:8; Facs. 2 Fig. 1; Abr. 3:6-11). Of course, it's not millions of years, and Hovind points this out, too. Neither scriptural interpretation allows for millions of years. We also replicate this with a 6-day work week followed by one day of rest. *End note.*

The sun wasn't until Creation Day 4, and if the days of creation are literal 24-hour periods, it's easy to have the plants before the sun as it's just a day later, but if there are millions of years in the days, those plants will die without the sunlight.

Note: There is a possibility for the 1000-year days, even in this instance, of the plants needing sunlight if God provided the light another way. This could be similar to how it is written in the Book of Revelation that in the celestial

Earth, the sun won't be needed anymore for light on it because God will be there, and the light will come from Him. We also have teachings of Earth being made in God's presence in the cosmos and falling through space at the fall to its present location. End note.

Some say that the fall of Adam impacted only human death, not the entire creation, but the Bible says otherwise - that because of the fall, the plants grew thorns, thistles, etc. Scriptures say that all creation suffered because of the fall.

Note: Here are Bible verses indicating no death before the fall, and all things being impacted by the fall, including animals and plants:

Genesis 3:17-20 shows even plant life was impacted by the Fall of man, and that Eve was the mother of ALL living: "17 And unto Adam he said, Because thou hast hearkened unto the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thee, saying, Thou shalt not eat of it: cursed is the ground for thy sake; in sorrow shalt thou eat of it all the days of thy life; 18 Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field; 19 In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return. 20 And Adam called his wife's name Eve; because she was the mother of all living."

Romans 8 :21-22 speaks of all of creation being cursed: "21 Because the creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. 22 For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now."

1 Corinthians 15:21-22, 26, and 45 speak of Adam as the first man, and as death entering the world at his Fall: "21 For since by man came death, by man came also the resurrection of the dead.


22 For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.

26 The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death.

45 And so it is written, The first man Adam was made a living soul; the last

Adam was made a quickening spirit."

Romans 5: 12-14 also teachings these doctrines of death originating from sin of man: "12 Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned: 13 (For until the law sin was in the world: but sin is not imputed when there is no law. 14 Nevertheless death reigned from Adam to Moses, even over them that had not sinned after the similitude of Adam's transgression, who is the figure of him that was to come." End note.



*Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Again, refer to The Book of Mormon's explicit teaching on no death before the fall: 2 Ne. 2:22 emphatically states that "**all**" things must have remained in the state after which they were created were it not for the fall of Adam. It reads: "...**all things which were created must have remained in the same state** in which they were after they were created, and they must have remained forever, and had no end. 23 And they would have had **no children**; ..." End note.*

Evolutionists frequently claim that the average person is not smart enough to understand evolution, and some Christian evolutionists try to argue that God simply taught the early people in a simple way when he said six days of creation, but in reality, the ancients were probably much smarter than us.

Note: I agree; to start a good university you take really smart people and hope that continues. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: It's the same way with Adam - he was extremely smart (and righteous). Despite the fall, Latter-Day Saints hold Adam in high esteem. End note.

Genesis 1:6-7 speaks of a firmament of water over the Earth. The firmament could be water in space because it's above heaven or above the place where the birds fly. The firmament of water could have fallen at the flood and contributed to the flood waters.

Note: I don't see a need for firmament waters with all the water available under the crust, but an ancient firmament surrounding Earth remains a possibility. Scriptures about the firmament are to me rather mysterious. Unless you consider the current atmosphere as the firmament, we can't measure the firmament today, particularly if it was something of a water canopy, as Hovind and others suggest, which is now gone. For more on scientific data suggesting Earth as a hydroplanet filled with much more water than mainstream science understands, see Universal Model Science's Hydroplanet chapter and their Magma Pseudotheory chapter. Evolution Cruncher also has a chapter against magma. Genesis refers to a water-based creation, not a ball of magma, and science is beginning to figure that out. I'll comment further on the possibility of an ice canopy as Hovind suggests in the dinosaur seminar 3 notes, including providing a reference to a man who saw it in vision. End note.

Hovind has a theory that the 1st heaven is the sky where birds fly, the 2nd is where the lights like sun and stars are, and the 3rd is where God is.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: This is a useful and likely accurate analogy. The Latter-day Saints also understand heaven as being divided into three different sections or heavens to accommodate the differing levels of righteousness of God's children. Read D&C 76 for more on that revelation.

End note.

The Bible speaks about the round earth being created, so Christians have always known that the Earth is round not flat.

A canopy of water in the sky could have blocked out harmful radiation from the Sun, which could explain the long lifespans of pre-flood people.

Note: Other theories for the long lifespans of pre-flood people include a higher oxygen content or an otherwise manipulation of atmospheric content based on plates pulling apart, perhaps releasing various gases, etc. End note.

Cracks in the plates are likely places where water came out from fountains of the deep during the flood, as spoken of in Genesis.

One dinosaur that's 80 ft long had the same nostril size as a horse, and in order to oxygenate this animal, sucking air through that small nostril would have lit a fire, so it is likely that oxygen content at the time of the dinosaurs was higher!

Air bubbles trapped in Amber Rock (which is petrified tree sap) often have 50% more oxygen than our current atmosphere of 32% rather than 21% oxygen!

A water canopy firmament could have doubled air pressure before the flood, and the more air pressure, the easier it is to breathe (as noted by difficulty breathing in high altitudes where the pressure is low). More oxygen percentage and more air pressure would saturate our plasma with oxygen, which would make us much more physically strong.

Hyperbaric oxygen Chambers have been shown to heal many wounds quickly that could not be otherwise healed. Stroke, leprosy, cerebral palsy, multiple sclerosis many diseases are being treated with success using hyperbaric chambers. More oxygen during surgery results in much less infection and much faster recovery. A football team uses a

hyperbaric chamber for their athletes, who recover much faster in it. Hovind went in a sealed chamber where the atmosphere pressure was tripled and it saturated his blood with oxygen and he felt great energy from this exposure.

A tomato plant was raised on increased oxygen and it grew to a massive tree-like size and produced a massive number of tomatoes, and the cherry tomatoes got to the size of baseballs.

The birds chirp an hour before sunrise, and the frequency of the chirping triggers the stoma in the plants to open. There are similar positive effects in classical music, as this bird frequency is often found there; a man experimented with playing classical music with his corn, and it grew to a massive size of 15 feet tall. Sonic bloom is a term used to describe growing plants to a very large size with special frequency and minerals.

The national Center for Science education was started by Carnegie to keep evolution in schools – it's a tiny building.

Sometimes, human artifacts are found in coal - a bell, the sole of a shoe, an iron pot, etc. Coal formed in the flood. Genesis 1:29 says there were green herbs over all the face of the Earth.

Hovind says flood waters were deposited, making the oceans, and that there didn't used to be oceans before the flood.

Note: I believe Earth was made from water, and it has always been mostly water. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: It's possible that some of the waters were gathered in the north (as the D&C indicates), whatever that means, perhaps inside the Earth through an ancient opening that opened during the flood, perhaps closed by miles of ice now. End note.

There are leaf deposits in Antarctica. This helps us understand how there was a lot more biomass that was turned into coal; during the flood there may have been a lot more vegetation than we currently see, which leads to lots of coal.

Note: Again, Hovind says all of Earth could have had vegetation without any oceans pre-flood. I think land precipitated out of the waters in the beginning. But to his credit, Hovind does promote a water-based rather than magma-based creation of earth, as the scripture says. As I mentioned earlier, learn about the hydroplanet from Universal Model Science vol. 1 and Evolution Cruncher (which Cruncher Hovind highly endorses). End note.

Some tried to say that the ancients before the flood did not live up to 900 years; they say those were counted in months rather than years, but this cannot be, otherwise the known birth ages of some would put their father at 5 years old which is nonsense.

Note: Old ages of the ancients recorded in the Bible remain a looming problem for evolutionist, who insist we are getting better, not worse. End note.

We have found various super tall skeletons; the Bible says there were giants in those days before the flood; as people get older and older, they grow.

Note: Particularly in the better environment, the likely higher oxygen content, and fewer genetic defects from that early time, etc. See the book Giants Who Ruled America: The Great Smithsonian Coverup.

Only one bone in the human body grows back if you take it out, and that is the lower rib. This is compelling evidence for Adam's rib removal to make Eve.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: I view the rib account as one of the fundamentally symbolic passages of scripture. Several Latter-day Prophets

(including Kimball) have taught such a thing, but the rib theory remains a possibility. My view, as has been taught by Latter-day Saint prophets, is that mankind is the literal offspring of God the Father and God the Mother; that the society of heaven is like the society of Earth (families), but with glory. The family is the key to the mystery of God and the mystery of human potential. End note.

Nevada, Illinois, and all over America, they find 9, 10, 11, and 12 ft. tall human skeletons.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: This builds the case that there were people in America before the flood. The Latter-day Saints teach that the Garden of Eden was in Missouri and that Noah's ark launched from North America (landing in Turkey). End note.

They found a 39 lb. copper ax head; it must have been swung by a giant.

The Smithsonian museum actively hides skeletons of giants as it goes against evolution theory that we started out small and are getting bigger.

It is true over the last 100 or so years we've increased on average 6 inches in industrialized countries due to increased sanitation, nutrition, etc.

Turks claim to have found Noah's skeleton, which is a 12 ft. tall skeleton.

Note: It likely wasn't Noah himself, he wasn't buried in the flood, he wouldn't have petrified. I suppose there's a chance his remains were preserved. But really Noah would have been resurrected at the time of Christ's resurrection, when all the righteous up to that time were risen. This is still a cool finding, Noah or not. End note.

If Noah was quite tall his cubit would have been larger. This allows for

possibly quite a larger ark.

A 47-in femur was found, which would indicate about a 13 ft. tall person.

2 Peter 3 points out that in the last days, people will scoff at God's word and say that all things continue as they have from the beginning of the creation. It says they are willingly ignorant of the creation and the flood.

The average age before the flood was over 900 years.

The Bible says you are made in God's image, so it should offend you when people claim you come from an ape.

Those who teach evolution and destroy children's faith are in serious trouble- scripture says if you offend one of these little ones that believe, it's better that a millstone is hung about your neck and you drown in the sea.

The Nebraska Man was supposedly between apes and humans, yet all they had for him was one tooth. They built the entire man and his wife from that one tooth, and it was later discovered that the tooth came from a pig.

The 1912 Piltdown Man was in textbooks for years and was found to be a deliberate fraud. It was in the books for 40 years, destroying faith. It was a human skull and an ape jawbone filed down and fit together.

The Neanderthal Man still in the books was proven wrong 50 years ago to be just an old man with arthritis. The back was curved. Man is slowly going down not slowly coming up. All of the approximately 300 Neanderthal skeletons they have found are all perfectly normal human skeletons with the same average height of people today etc., yet they claim it's a missing link.

One expert dentist who made teeth for various ages, etc., pointed out

that Neanderthal teeth are just normal teeth of people with diseases.

Neanderthal was named after a place in Germany called Neander and that place was named after a man who wrote the song "Praise the Lord the Almighty" which speaks about God's creation.

Evolutionists tell artists who create designs for textbooks and museums to dress these supposed human link creatures up all fancy to depict devolution with skin color and hair etc., though you can tell none of that from the bones.

The eyebrow ridge bone continues to grow as you age so a very old person could have a very large eyebrow ridge bone, people lived a lot longer before the flood.

The back of the head would elongate as well due to the muscles always pulling the head back.

Neanderthals are humans living several hundred years, not missing link hominids.

The bones of the Clydesdale are slightly bigger than a normal horse, but that doesn't prove the horse is becoming a truck.

The Chromagdin Man & Australopithecus africanus were hominid monkey-man claims in the textbooks but were proven wrong.

Lucy was found by a man who went to Africa on grant money to find the missing link to evolution, and two weeks before this grant money ran out, he found Lucy's skeleton, claiming it as the missing link. He was highly motivated to be able to renew his grant money and not an unbiased observer.

The Lucy skeleton was probably a monkey skeleton, and the skull bones were very crushed so you could not tell anything about it from the skull bones; but in the textbook they show a half human skull for

Lucy, and this is part of the propaganda. The knee joint was found a mile and a half away from the rest of the skeleton and 50 ft. deeper in the strata!

Note: Lucy may have been a pygmy human. Lucy was found near pygmy villages whose skeletons look just like Luch. Read about the Hobbit (skull) Evidence in Universal Model volume 2. End note.

The St. Louis Zoo put human feet on their Lucy display; no, not a single foot bone was found, and all similar skeletons had the feet of monkeys. The zookeeper was informed about this **deception** and **refused to change the display**, saying that they just wanted to focus on the general impression of the display rather than updating the display with new evidence; this zoo boss was **deliberately promoting evolution against real evidence** to the contrary; he was **lying to the children** to promote the narrative.

In Africa, in some ash, they found normal human footprints. They dated the ash as 3.7 million years old, and they claimed normal humans did not exist that long ago, so they claimed that the prints were some kind of non-human footprint, and they said if they didn't know better, **they looked just like normal human footprints**. A human footprint expert verified that these footprints were exactly like normal human footprints, yet they persisted in saying they were not normal human prints due to the carbon dating of the ash at 3.7 million years old. Evolution theory is a hindrance to common sense research! They still made exhibit depictions of people making these footprints as half monkey half man! No bones were found and the foot looks exactly like a human footprint, so these were propaganda pieces.

Peking Man was found in a cave where they had human tools and some monkey skeletons, but also a lot of human skeletons. They didn't tell anyone about the human skeletons; they claimed it was monkeys in there learning how to use tools, but it was obviously humans eating

monkeys, as some cultures do to this day.

One man took a bunch of convicts and had them go anywhere and bring him back the bones they found. He would haphazardly label them as half monkeys, half human bones. He took human teeth and an ape skull cap, put them together, and said he found the missing link. He hid the fact that he found two normal human skeletons in the same area. He **hid them** in his room under the floorboards!

See the book *Bones of Contention*, which analyzes the various caveman hominid claims.

Most states have laws requiring the textbooks in schools to be accurate. Many evolutionary claims about human-monkey creatures have been proven wrong, yet they persist in the textbooks.

Originally they called humans 'homo sapiens,' meaning wise, but now they call us 'homo sapiens sapiens,' meaning very wise. There is a verse in the Bible that rebukes the people who call themselves wise, which says they are truly foolish. The Bible says, "professing themselves to be wise, they became fools." (Romans 1:22) If you believe your ancestors were monkeys, you are a fool!

If you find a fossil in the dirt, all you know is that it died; you can't prove it had different kinds of offspring, or whether it had any offspring at all.

Today, animals cannot produce anything other than their kind, and bones cannot say their ancestors did!

Evolution only happens in the imagination, never in reality.

The Stone Age was likely that people, after the flood, quickly made tools of mediocre quality so they didn't have to stop hunting for a long time to make complex tools when following a pack of animals. There are people still making stone tools today.

He suggests a several hundred year ice age after the flood, and that when you catch up to a herd you make tools on the spot to hunt and leave them behind instead of carrying them.

Note: This quick tool making on site and leaving them behind works whether or not there was an ice age (yes, the ice age is a contested point). End note.

The word prehistoric was added to the dictionary about a hundred years ago - there's no such thing as prehistoric!

Hovind suggests a pre-flood canopy of water would have increased the air pressure and resulted in much larger animals.

Note: Remember, we discussed the firmament earlier in this book. Whether this canopy is the means of the larger animals or some other means, we know from the fossil record that much larger versions of today's animals did exist in ancient times. End note.

Insects in oxygen-rich water grow a thousand times bigger than their counterparts. There's a dragonfly fossil with a 50-inch wingspan. There are fossil cockroaches 18 in. long. There's a fossil centipede in Germany 8 1/2 feet long. There are fossil grasshoppers over two ft. long. There's a fossil tarantula with a three-foot leg span. There are fossil cattails 60 ft. tall. There's a fossil donkey nine ft. to the shoulder in Texas. There's a 12 ft. buffalo horn span fossil, a giant elk fossil with a 12 ft. antler, a 10 ft. kangaroo fossil, and a fossil goose the size of an elephant. There's an eight-foot beaver fossil; there were probably bigger trees back then, too, for them to chew.

An increased air pressure environment would diffuse more oxygen into the water and allow for larger fish. Some shark tooth fossils indicate a 80 ft. sharks. Some people are growing fish four times the normal size in pressurized environments.

There are massive turtle fossils.

Oyster shells in mountain tops of Peru 2 miles above sea level are two

and a half feet wide.

Petrified clams in closed positions are found around the world, so they must have died quickly, as they usually open when dead. They have been found on top of Mount Everest.

After the flood, the mountains rose taller.

Note: We don't have uplift evidence in modern times (there's no map of uplift because it isn't happening; we only have a map of horizontal plate movement, not vertical, so no slow movement), but it's a plausible theory that uplift occurred in the flood, making a new heaven and a new Earth; the hills low and the valleys exalted, as we may well see again. I do believe, however, in the necessity of very deep flood waters, some 5 miles deep or 30,000 feet, which would have covered today's mountains and created an immense pressurized environment to make the abundant quartz-based fossils we find around the world (which require more pressure than mere calcification). Trees and animals simply aren't turning into fossils these days (not even at sites like Mt. Saint Helens), so something unique took place during the flood to make the world's fossil record. There are no in-progress fossils today, with the possible exception of small unique formations of calcium-based fossils, of a different nature than the quartz-based fossils we predominantly see in the fossil record. End note.

Reptiles never stop growing, so if they lived a long time, they would get very big. The word dinosaur means terrible lizard. Today, apparently, the jeans for largeness in reptiles are gone. (Note- or they just aren't living long enough.)

Human fossils and human fossilized prints have been found alongside dinosaur fossils and prints around the world. Science magazine pointed out that dinosaur and mammal fossils were found together. The Ica stone carvings in Peru show humans and dinosaurs together. There have been human footprints found next to dinosaur tracks.

Note: Museums refuse to show displays of ancient and modern animals together to push the narrative that they didn't live together when, in fact, we have found these animals together. End note.

Hundreds of dinosaur track sites have been found around the world, and museums take great care not to display where there are human prints alongside dinosaur prints as they contradict evolution theory.

It is logical that people would walk where the dinosaur tracks already were; those made a path to walk more easily.

See the book Texas Tracks and Artifacts.

We have a size 24 fossil footprint of humans.

There was a dig where dinosaur and human prints were together. Nova and an evolutionist came. Nova didn't film much of the tracks of the dig, but they did interview the evolutionist, and the evolutionist claimed that he had not seen anything there to disprove evolution; but what he didn't tell the cameraman was that he **refused to even turn around and look** at the tracks they had been working on so he could claim he had not seen anything there to disprove evolution! **Nova knew that he would not turn** his back to look at the tracks, and they **would not let the person doing the dig respond to the evolutionist's** claims.

Evolution is not real science because it is closed-minded to any evidence that goes against it!

Note: Today, they're even less honest; they look at anything and say it supports evolution whether it does or not. They are so set on evolution being true that they'll bend any and all evidence toward it in the most astounding lapses of coherent intelligence. End note.

See the book Footprints and the Stones of Time.

There's a fossilized hammer. Some think there was no iron before the flood, but the Bible says there was. Tubal Cain was an artificer in brass and iron (Genesis 4:22).

Coal was formed in the flood, and many human artifacts are found inside coal deposits which would not be there if the coal was formed long before man. Some things that have been found in coal include a brass bell, a gold chain, an iron pot, etc.

A toy doll was found in rock 300 ft. down in supposed 12-million-year-old rock. Human tools have been found in rock layers that are supposedly 55 million years old.

Egyptians knew about electricity, as evidenced in part by a hieroglyphic of them using electricity.

One person said perhaps if the finder of these artifacts understood evolution like we do today, he would have hesitated to announce his findings. In other words, if this person knew how important our theory was, he wouldn't have told anyone what he found.

Advanced stone tools were found in glacial deposits supposed to be 60,000 to 125,000 years old. The director of the museum was fired for refusing to fire the discoverer.

God told Adam and Eve to eat fruits vegetables nuts and seeds, and when you eat seeds you get vitamins that you wouldn't otherwise get.

There's a nut inside of peach seeds. Cyanide found in the seed is harmless. Take this nut, and it will selectively destroy cancer cells. A tribe that traditionally lived very long was well known for eating apricot seeds. Apricot seed oil on the face prevents wrinkling.

The Bible says bread strengthens your heart! Healthy oils, omega acids, and vitamins in natural bread will make the bread go bad, so to

make more money, people took those out of the bread for a longer shelf life, and now the bread isn't so healthy. This is why the Bible talks about **daily** bread - it's not supposed to last long. This manipulation of bread, which destroys naturally healthy grains, is part of why money is the root of all evil. When we went to white flour without these oils from whole grain, we began to develop strokes, heart attacks, etc. The whiter the bread, the sooner you're dead. White bread fills you up and keeps you from getting all the vitamins you need.

Drug therapy is evolution-based, claiming, 'You're nothing but a bunch of chemicals, so we will treat your sickness with a bunch of chemicals.' Medicine for pain does not treat the problem, only the symptom; you need to go in and fix the problem; your body gives you pain as a warning signal like a check engine light in a car- you don't just cover the light. The Bible says the love of money is the root of all evil, and drug companies don't make money when you're doing well.

See Bill Sardi on nutrition.

Fluoride is extremely toxic to the immune system.

Many doctors and dentists are recommending that fluoride be not put in water or toothpaste.

The Bible says to wash your hands when you've dealt with blood or a dead body, and early doctors weren't doing that. When a doctor suggested you have to wash your hands between patients, he got fired from three different hospitals in a row and was put in a mental institution for making this suggestion, where a patient murdered him.

See Hovind's video on the Bible and health.

The teeth of many herbivores have some sharpness, so we can't just say any sharp tooth means meat eater like the fruit bat, the panda, or

the monkey. The Bible says everything was vegetarian before the flood. Genesis 9 says the fear of man came upon the animals after the flood. After the flood, God said they could eat all the creatures.

Note: Perhaps the animal sacrifices before the flood were not eaten? Perhaps this is based on the assumption that animal sacrifice didn't begin until Moses. But Adam and Eve made coats of skins, Cain and Abel made sacrifices, and Noah made a sacrifice after the flood, etc. Also note that the law of Moses outlawed the consumption of swine and other animals, though these prohibitions were apparently lifted in New Testament times. Many have raised nutritional concerns about eating pork. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: The record called the Pearl of Great Price record of lost Bible records teaches that Adam was commanded to offer animal sacrifices. End note.

You can eat meat, but it does cause suffering.

Note: Not only does meat consumption cause suffering to the animals (though Biblical methods of slaying beasts were the least painful for them) - but studies in nutritional science show that those who eat less meat and dairy are healthier. The Seventh Day Adventists are vegetarian and have been watched closely by nutrition scientists, who have found their health is superior. These nutritional science findings are strong evidence supporting multiple scriptures warning against the unnecessary and overuse of meat (as in D&C 89). The witness of many world religions unite in the message to reduce meat consumption. Indeed, science always has to catch up to what God has kindly revealed to those who trust Him. But the Bible and other passages are clear – meat is ordained for man's use as needed; beware of radical agendas that would place animal rights on par with human rights. End note.

When you see a smashed car you don't wonder why the manufacturer built a smashed car, you know that it got smashed, it didn't start that way. It's the same with the way things are in the world today; this is not the way that God made it originally. Suffering we see in the world

today is not the way God created it. We live in a junkyard. Man has wrecked God's world but God will fix it.

Note- God allows us to choose good or evil, and that gets messy.

The Bible says the lion will eat straw.

Get involved in the war for God. If you're not going to fight, then carry bullets. Do something.

Hovind Seminar 3: Dinosaurs & The Bible

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q2zkJGI_MbM

Cryptozoology is the study of hidden animals.

National geographic claims that no human being has ever seen a live dinosaur. They have not talked to everyone who has ever lived so they can't know that? They can only believe it.

The fact that God created the heaven and the Earth in six days is part of the ten commandments written on Stone!

Psalm 24 speaks of a water creation. Psalm 136 says God stretched out the Earth above the waters.

Most of the water on the surface of the Earth now used to be beneath the crust.

Note: I would say the flood was more of the continents sinking down into surrounding waters and then going back up after the flood, like an immersion baptism. Hovind's view is that the oceans weren't in existence on Earth's surface until after the flood, that the single land mass (Pangea) was simply all

of Earth pre-ocean, and that there was no splitting of continents, that all are connected by crust under the oceans. This is an interesting view, but I prefer the theory of Earth's land beginning as sort of precipitating out of the waters, forming something of a single island super-continent, which indeed split at the flood and will, in fact, return back together. The Egyptians have a legend about the first land coming up from out of the waters. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Here is a passage from the D&C about continents returning to their original joined position: "He shall command the great deep, and it shall be driven back into the north countries, and the islands shall become one land; and the land of Jerusalem and the land of Zion shall be turned back into their own place, and the earth shall be like as it was in the days before it was divided" (D&C 133:23–24). End note.

Only 3% of the world today is habitable for men; God designed the world to be inhabited, and it used to be more habitable.

Billy Graham claimed that dinosaurs were dead before man arrived on Earth, and this is wrong as it places death before sin.

Noah was smart enough to know that he could have brought baby dinosaurs on the ark which wouldn't take up so much room. The biggest dinosaur egg ever found is smaller than a football.

Genesis 7 is clear: Noah only had to bring the various kinds of animals; he didn't have to bring every specific variety.

Noah only had to bring those in who's nostrils was the breath of life, and only those who were on dry land. Bugs would survive a flood easily, whenever there is flood waters receding you see there are many bugs.

Many experts say there are just about 8,000 basic kinds of animals in the world – these could easily fit on the ark. Furthermore, if you just

talked 60 words per minute you could name all 8,000 animals in just over 2 hours; thus we see Adam well could have named all the animals in one day.

Atheists claim that Noah could not have put all the animals on the ark but they don't know how big the ark was, and they don't know which animals were brought! Ask them these questions, they say, "I don't know, I just know he couldn't do it!"

One textbook claims that the percentage of oxygen was zero near Earth's beginning, but the rocks absorbed it. Absorbed what, the non-existent oxygen? Make up your mind!

Then it says it rained for millions of years making oceans, and there were complex chemicals in the waters. The book says progress from complex chemicals to the first living organism is very slow. That's right; it's so slow that it doesn't even happen! They claim that the first life must have emerged from organic soup. And apparently, that first life form found someone to marry and something to eat and slowly evolved into what we are today. Therefore, they claim your great-grandpa was soup!



Most atheists do not know what they believe. You have to tell them - 20 billion years ago, there was a big bang, and 4.6 billion years ago, Earth cooled down, and it rains on rocks for millions of years, making soup, then the soup came alive 3 billion years ago.

One atheist professor said, "How can you believe that all the dogs in

the world today came from a single set of prototypical parent dogs on Noah's ark?" Hovind responded to the atheist Professor, "But you are teaching that all the dogs in the world today came from a rock!"

Atheists will claim we did not come from a rock, that we came from a macromolecule, but where did that come from... From the oceans of prebiotic soup... And where did that come from? It came from rain on rocks for millions of years... So yes, they do indeed claim we came from rock!

Jeremiah foresaw the false evolutionary doctrines among us. He said, "Saying to a stock thou art my father and **to a stone thou has brought me forth**" Jeremiah 2:27



There are 270 flood legends around the world.

Noah would have lived long enough to tell of the flood to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob.

There's a Hawaiian legend of Nuhu, who had a giant house on a canoe, and everyone who wasn't on it was killed by a flood.

The Chinese story of Fuhi is that he, his wife, three children, and their wives were all on a boat and survived a flood when everyone else perished.

There's an Indian legend of everyone dying in a flood that covered the

highest mountains, with only one family who survived.
Maybe the Atlantis legend is another flood legend.

In Turkey, there are signs showing the way to Noah's boat.

Noah was in the boat for a year but everything being covered completely by water may have only been a few weeks. Perhaps it was too muddy, perhaps no trees survived and he had to wait in the boat a while.

The Bible says the ark landed on the mountains (plural) of Ararat, so it may not be on the specific mountain of Ararat, which some claim. The ark may have landed in a valley because it would seem unlikely to land on a mountain when the waters are receding.

The Ron Wyatt ark finding has a teardrop shape with the pointed end uphill, so it's not just lava flow around an object. There are rivets it was held together by. The government of Turkey affirms that this is Noah's Ark, and they have built a visitor center. The standard Egyptian Cubit is 20.6 inches, and this ark exactly matches the biblical description based on that length. It is about 2/3 the size of the Titanic, about two football fields long. Drogue stones are present to stabilize the boat in a storm. The ark did not have any masts; it was designed to float, not sail.

Note: If God wanted the ark to go somewhere, he could blow it there by the winds and currents. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: This is what God did with the Jaredite vessels. They had no steering mechanisms, God brought them to where he wanted them to be. I do believe the ark started in America and went to Turkey, as I believe the main antediluvian patriarchs lived in America. There are records of the Clovis people of ancient America existing before the flood. This mystery is not too important for the purposes of this book. End note.

Many longboats built out of wood have survived; the Chinese had some very big ones some time ago.

The moon pool on the floor of the boat helps it not to snap in half, it's a big hole with tall walls on the sides. It relieves the stress as you go over the waves. It also acts like a giant piston to pump air into the boat every time you have a wave, and it would be a good place to dump garbage.

The question is not **how** did the dinosaurs go extinct but did they go extinct at all? They are good at getting us to argue about the wrong question.

An example of getting us to argue about the wrong question is the question of whether creation science should be taught in public schools. This question must be preceded by the more important question of whether we should have public schools at all! There are many good public School teachers, but the curriculum they work from is corrupt; it is unfixable. Get your kids out of public school if you can. The 10th Amendment says our government has no business in being involved in education. Public schools are part of progress toward a New World Order, and Karl Marx is clear about this in The Communist Manifesto item number 10, which is free public education.

After the flood, people only lived 400 then 200, then 100 years. It's possible that dinosaurs could not live long enough to reproduce after the flood in the new environment.

Sir Richard Owen invented the word dinosaur in 1841. Before that, they were known as dragons.

Dragons are mentioned in the bible 35 times. The dragon population went down because no one wanted to live by a dragon. Many ancient

recipes call for dragon bones as a part of medicine.

Gilgamesh slew a dragon. The Babylonian God Marduk is shown on top of a dragon. The Chinese had to kill dragons, there are all kinds of legends.

Sure, there were fire-breathing dragons, the Bible talks about fiery flying serpents. The Bible very plainly says fire goes out of the mouth of a beast.

Note- this gives more support to the idea that some of the serpents mentioned in various scriptures are more like dragons than little snakes.

In the apocryphal account, Daniel 14 (?????), slays a legendary dragon that people worship. Daniel knew science, so he used sticky pitch, hair (which cannot be digested), and fat (which is very salty tasting, and most animals like salty-tasting things). It made the dragon burst asunder.

Saddam Hussein thought he was Nebuchadnezzar reincarnated. He rebuilt the ancient city of Babylon. The original city was destroyed around 600 BC, and much of it was buried in the sand, which was preserved quite well. They found carvings of lions and dragons. Nebuchadnezzar had a **dragon** in a cage.

There's a Roman mosaic with two long-neck dinosaurs.

In 275 AD, Saint George slays the dragon. This is very famous - there are carvings of it all over.

Beowulf slays a dragon by pulling his arm off.

There's a depiction of dinosaurs holding a sheep between their mouths.

Gargoyles on cathedrals are from a legend of a gargoyle coming up out of the water in France.

From stamps to coins to legends, **dragons are everywhere throughout world history.**

An Irish writer said they killed a dragon that had nails on its tail. The stegosaurus has large spikes on its tail.

Viking ships have dragons on them. An 11th-century Viking depiction shows a man being swallowed by a dragon.

See the book *After The Flood* by Bill Cooper about Noah's sons and genealogy, etc. He demonstrates that many of the ancient people fought dragons.

A Norwegian hero slew a dragon.

Marco Polo said the emperor in China was raising dragons to pull chariots in his parades.

In 1611 old Chinese law books tell about the post of royal dragon feeder.

A city in France was renamed Merluk to honor the man who slew the dragon there.

There's an Indian picture of a dinosaur on the Grand Canyon walls. A scientist in 1925 reported on the dinosaur drawings on the Grand Canyon walls and said these drawings completely upset all of their theories.

In true science when the facts don't match your theory, you throw out your theory. But they don't have any theory to replace evolution other than God, so they keep evolution.

Note: Evolution is so far gone that no amount of tweaking is appropriate. Honest scientists should limit evolution to small changes within a same species to adapt to an environment. All of cosmic and macro evolution from one species into another is unobservable, and has been proven wrong by many findings. Evolution theory is on its way out. It collapses under the evidence, which is why government money (for example, public school agenda and bias in academic establishments funded by government grant money) must be used to prop it up. End note.

They used to say dinosaurs went extinct 12 million years ago, now they are saying dinosaurs went extinct 65 million years ago.

In 1770 some textbooks said the Earth is 70,000 years old. 1905 they say 2 billion. 1969 it's 3.5 billion. Today it's 4.6 billion. The Earth is getting older at the rate of 21 million years per year, 40 years per minute.

Note: Recently they've been calling for yet another doubling of the age of the universe to around 28 billion years old. End note.

Many walls have ancient carvings of dinosaurs. Blanding Utah has cliff wall carvings of dinosaurs. Australia paintings show an Aboriginal running away from a long necked dinosaur. In Canada there are dinosaur cliff drawings and Indian legends of the great animal that lived in the lake. Despite all this evidence, we have scientists claiming no one has ever seen a dinosaur.

The Ica Stones: in the Nazca desert there are giant images of a spider with one leg slightly longer, they thought these were incompetent drawings, but it turns out that's how the spider appears during a short period of time for reproduction. This was a tiny, rare spider and you would have needed a magnifying glass to even know about the leg lengthening. 2000-year-old Nazca burial stones show dinosaurs, brain surgery, putting on artificial limbs, steam engines, etc. About 500 of these stones show dinosaurs with people.

There's an ancient depiction of a dinosaur with circularized skin patterns and we have now also found dinosaur skin with the same pattern.

There are 56,000 ceramic figurines of dinosaurs in Mexico in the basement of one house.

There's a Roman sword with a man and dinosaur on it. Scientists said it must be fake because they know man and dinosaur did not live at the same time.

There are hundreds of sea monster sightings in logbooks of navigations at sea. A 60 ft Sea monster was seen swimming under someone's boat.

There are stories of a giant octopus pulling large ships underwater. There's been several finds of massive octopus. Squid and octopus never stop growing.

The Behemoth of the Bible cannot be an elephant or hippopotamus as some Bible reference books claim. The Behemoth has a tail like a cedar tree, and elephants have tiny tails. Behemoth is probably the Brachiosaurus.

Boys, don't touch girls until you're married to them.

Proverbs 6:26 says the adulterous will hunt for the precious life (and not find it).

For the last 200 years children's books have began by saying that dinosaurs lived "millions of years ago."

We need hundreds of creation science museums, go start one.

Dinosaurs that may still be alive:

Communists go places and kill tons of people and claim they have liberated them.

The canopy of water in ancient times over Earth may have created an environment for long life of humans and animals and this could result in large size.

Note: Several assumptions here, but it's a neat idea. We know antediluvian man and beast had longer lifespans, but we don't know how, or in what manner the water over Earth operated as it is not observable today, assuming it is different from today's atmosphere. End note.

*Note: There's also a fascinating **vision** a man reported having in a near death experience where **he saw an ice canopy** around the pre-flood earth! The man is Ralph Verlin Jensen, and he posted the video on his channel Taught by Christ. Here is the link:*

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MQ7P5EAlbbk&t=37s> Minute 4:30 through 8 is particularly where he talks about this, talking about unfiltered light never entering the atmosphere before the flood, hence no rainbows were seen before the event, and a more tropical Earth pre-flood. He calls it "a big bubble of ice." End note.

There's a large unexplored swamp in Africa the size of Illinois. In the early 1900s, there were reports of living dinosaurs in that swamp of Africa.

There are massive swarms of mosquitoes like swarms of dust in this swamp. In 1980 there was a report of a dinosaur in this swamp as well. Natives who live near the unexplored African swamp report several large dinosaur findings, such as the Mokele Mbembe.

Note: I went looking for the Mokele in Africa in 2024 and heard some fascinating testimonials of sightings from villagers in remote places. End

note.

Animals are good at avoiding detection.

See Hovind's book *Claws, Jaws and Dinosaurs* - a middle school-aged text about living dinosaurs.

A meticulous recorder of facts wrote of seeing a dinosaur in the Amazon jungle.

The Scientific American paper is now very careful to not include anything that would support creation science.

Recently, a giant snake swallowed a human.

Colonel Faucet said he shot and killed a 62 ft. anaconda and the natives reported that there are many much bigger. A 98 ft. snake was recently killed with machine gun, it was two feet in diameter. There's a snake with a 5 ft. long head. A 130 ft long snake with a 15 ft. diameter was found in Peru 1997. There was a 150 ft long snake carcass floating on a river.

The Amazon River is often over 9 miles wide.

The Scotland **Loch Ness** (lake) is up to 900 ft. deep in some places; it is several miles wide and so big that everyone in the world could drown in it at the same time. The lake is not only large but includes a network of caves in which the monster could hide. The lake was hidden behind a mountain, and they eventually put a road to it. Many sightings of the Loch Ness monster were recorded once the road was built. The first year they put the road in, there were 52 separate sightings, and now, there are around 11,000. Alexander Campbell, the longtime game warden of Loch Ness, has seen the monster more than 10 times. A Loch Ness sighting was recorded in the Reader's Digest magazine, but they intentionally excluded the head from the picture. The main

popular Loch Ness picture is one that they declared a fake after the man who took it died, so he couldn't defend the claim.

Scientists repeatedly throw out all of the evidence of recent dinosaur sightings due to evolution theory.

In China Russia etc. there are many reports of large dinosaur-like sea creatures washing up on the shore in the last century.

We have Swedish and Norwegian artwork depicting dinosaurs.

People frequently say that these sea monster sightings are a basking shark, but the descriptions do not match. Some say that these sea creatures are whales, but whales do not have long necks. Many have reported plesiosaurus sightings.

See the book Shipwrecks and Sea Monsters, as well as the book Mysterious Sea Monsters of California Central Coast.

In 1973, a city passed a law making it illegal to harm the White River monster; it was so well known.

There are various instances of coincidental misses of attaining stirring evidence of living dinosaurs.

Note: It seems the Lord and His angels are testing people's faith, keeping these animals hidden. And no one believes the reports when they are seen. The devil has overcome the saints on the topic of science and the true history of Earth. Many witnesses have been given of the truth of these things, but it remains true that the Lord will try our faith. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: 3 Nephi 26:11 says the Lord intentionally tests our faith. Remember, life is a test.

The Lake Champlain monster has been seen many times. It's about 30 feet long, weighing 3,000 to 5,000 lbs.

Isaiah 27 speaks of "dragons in the sea."

Five teenagers scuba diving in the Massachusetts sunken ship were caught in a storm and dragged out to sea. Only one survived an attack from a long-necked sea creature. The neck appeared to be a long 10-ft Pole straight out of the water. A bulb-like structure was on top of the head. It had green eyes, oval pupils, a 12 ft. long neck, and a round green color head like an elongated turtle. The next morning, the surviving teen was found by a rescue unit. A stepmother of one of the men who died approached Dr. Hovind and affirmed the account after he told it.

A bus full of Christian students on a trip was driving, and everyone saw a dinosaur; they thought people would think they were crazy if they told.

Note: it would make sense for God to bless Christian students with a rare opportunity like this; signs follow belief. See Mark 16:17-18. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: See also Ether 12:6 on signs following faith. End note.

Dr. Hovind interviewed over 100 people who said they had seen a living dinosaur. Just about every week, Dr. Hovind gets calls from people who claim to have seen these living dinosaurs. There have been 20,000 reported sightings of living dinosaurs in the last century.

There have been various living pterodactyl sightings. A man from Kenya reported pterodactyls in their village with about a 4-foot wingspan and a bump on the back of the head; he asked Hovind, "why do your schools in America say they lived millions of years

ago?" Hovind replied, "because we are dumb over here."

These living pterodactyls have been described as lizards with wings like a bat. Pterodactyls have skin, not feathers, and this is what the reports describe.

In Venezuela people talked about a giant bat that would capsize canoes. Shown a picture of a pterodactyl the natives explained that this was the bat and even today they will not fish or drink from the river in fear of the creature.

A doctor from Indonesia verified that living dinosaurs exist in their village, and again was puzzled at why in America we teach these animals lived millions of years ago.

A 14-foot wingspan bird was seen off the coast of Alaska recently.

Natives told settlers to be careful of the giant Thunderbird. A Sioux Indian saw one get struck by lightning they found it and it had a 20 ft. wingspan with a bony bump on the back of its head. The Thunderbird was a pterodactyl!

An Indian prayer stick shows the head of a pterodactyl.

An Indian tribe had a painting of a pterodactyl on a cliff wall about how there used to be a giant bird who would come and carry off people.

Then their Chief prayed to the great spirit about how to take care of this bird and the great spirit showed him away to kill this bird.

Satan is using dinosaurs to turn our kids away from God, and we need to set the record straight.

1st Timothy says women should dress modestly.

1 Corinthians 11 says men should not have long hair. The Bible says to

let no corrupt communication leave your mouth, so you should not swear or engage in other idle speech; the Bible says you'll have to give an account for every idle word.

Psalm 101:3 says I will set no wicked thing before mine eyes: this should warn us about television choices. Eph 5:19 says use spiritual songs and hymns.

Paul used creation as his means of evangelism when he taught the people on Mars Hill about the unknown God who created the world.

Note: Not everyone knows about scripture or religion, but everyone knows about nature, so this is a terrific way to connect. End note.

Hovind says feel free to copy and spread around his videos. He says feel free to use his material however you want. He says if there's something in there you don't like, edit it out. He says to eat the meat and spit out the bones, which is a very good lesson. He says to copy everything he does.

Note: bravo, Hovind, this is the spirit of Zion! End note.

Dr. Hovind spoke some 800 times in one year; he says it's better to burn out than to rust out, and a lot of Christians are rusting out (not doing much).

There's not tons of pictures of dinosaur sightings because it happens quickly; it's like a car wreck, you'll often see the aftermath but not the actual event.

Note: and far less likely to be caught than even a car wreck, these animals live deep in nature where we do not frequent. End note.

The video includes an interview Hovind did with a lady who saw the champ lake monster who also has a stirring photograph.

Many who have seen these things do not tell about them at the risk of others thinking they are insane.

Note: It's the same for scientists with evidence against evolution; they often keep quiet to avoid ridicule and their careers being shot down. End note.

Hovind Seminar 4: Lies in the Textbooks

<https://youtu.be/GqLkTduzRPs>

Take the lies out of the textbooks, and there will be nothing left to support evolution theory.

There are state laws requiring school textbooks to be accurate, but none of the states enforce that law.

Some school textbooks say evolution is a fact, not a theory.

A textbook claimed that only a marginal number of Christian fundamentalists don't believe in evolution; the truth is that the majority of Americans don't believe in evolution!

Evolutionists claim creationists are trying to prevent students from learning what science has to offer, that is not what we are doing. We love science, we just don't like lies. We don't want our science to be full of un-demonstrable theorizing.

Evolutionists claim that without evolution teachings, people will not become smart and will not be able to compete in the market, but the market has nothing to do with evolution.

One popular textbook has 100 pages on evolution theory and no mentions of creation.

Note: This is typical now, in part thanks to the government funding to push evolution in the Cold War so we could be like the Soviets and an endless campaign since then to push the truth out of the schools in favor of an atheistic agenda. End note.

There are charts of states and how well they think each state does at teaching evolution.

There is outdated, false information in books to get people to believe the evolution theory.

Tear out the pages of lies from the book!

Creation says the Grand Canyon was formed in a little time with a lot of water, and evolution says it was formed with a little water in a lot of time.

Note: The Devil laughs at how he gets people to believe in the opposite of truth. It is the same with the order of creation – mainstream science accepted views are directly the opposite of what the days of creation tell in Genesis (and in the restoration scriptures of Moses and Abraham). Someone is dead wrong. End note.

Textbooks state that certain things are facts, which certainly are not, such as how the Grand Canyon formed. The top of the canyon is higher on one side by 4,000 ft.; the river, unless it flowed uphill, could not have carved the canyon. There is no delta of washed-out mud, etc., from the Grand Canyon carving, so there is no way a river made it. The truth is that the Grand Canyon is a large washed-out spillway and was formed over a short period of time.

2 Peter 3:5 says people are willingly ignorant of how God created the heaven and the Earth and the flood. It reads, **“For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water:”**

Peter also prophesied that in the last days, people would teach that everything has been going on the same way from the beginning, and this was directly fulfilled with James Hutton and his theory of uniformitarianism (that the present is key to the past, that all formations we see are from very slow continuous processes taking place now, no catastrophism, no creation, no flood).

Charles Lyle was a lawyer who hated the Bible. His book Principles of Geology speaks of hatred for the Bible on almost every page. He says people are outdated if they believe in the Bible and goes on and on about religious prejudice. Charles Lyle said his goal was to free the science from Moses.

In 1830 they made the geologic column, names for each layer, and index fossils for each layer. This was all before any type of radiometric dating. In the 1830s, when the geologic column began to be taught, people began to discard belief in the Bible.

Note: 1830 is also the year the Church of the Restoration was formed. Can we not say this geology was the setup of Babylon's Antichrist church based on false science? To reject the truths of creation is to reject the Creator. End note.

It's a fact that there are many layers of rock, but the geologic column dating is all fake.

Get a jar of dirt shake it up, it will settle out into layers in a few minutes, it's called hydrologic settling.

Note: Another plausible method of the Grand Canyon / Colorado Plateau sediment deposition is via a series of eruptions from the fountains of the deep ejecting rock sand, mud, etc., and the deep flood environment provided the fluid and pressure to petrify and otherwise cement and fossilize sediment in this area. Universal Model Science's Universal Flood geology chapter has hundreds of stirring evidence for the worldwide flood. End note.

Those who believe in evolution always try to make you think that their theories are facts. The only place on Earth where you will ever find the geologic column is in textbooks. They say there are 26 places on Earth where the geologic column is found, but this is not true; what is the case is that there are 26 places on Earth where the fossils are found in the order they want to see them.

If there was a geologic column in one place, it would be 100 miles thick.

Note: Fossils are usually found near the surface, with the exception of some multiple eruption sites where materials fall back deep into Earth. End note.


Evolutionists don't know where all the dirt came from for all these layers. There are no erosion marks between the layers, they are all stuck tightly together indicating there was no time between the layers.

Note: Many organic and fossil layers are missing in the Grand Canyon and Colorado Plateau. The Grand Canyon layers are missing organic material between the layers, and diverse fossil life between the layers. These would be evident if the layers were deposited over large periods of time. 600 million years of deposition should reveal billions of plant and animal fossils, but they're not there! End note.

Grand Canyon - Missing Fossils



Grand Canyon - Missing Layers



(Images: Universal Model Science Textbooks)

The geologic time scale in the museum is behind glass, lit up, and very holy. Don't touch it.

80-85% of the world doesn't even have three of the layers they want in the order they want them. The geologic column is one of the most significant lies ever to be perpetuated.

They say you can tell how old a layer is by what index fossils are in it. Then you go and look at the index fossils, and they say you can tell how old the index fossil is based on what layer it's in—this is circular reasoning!

Note: I was in a college geology class, and the teacher had a specific writing assignment on why this is not circular reasoning (it's a touchy subject for them!). None of us could come up with anything; we just rambled on with more circular reasoning. The professors know this is an issue, but they can't get around it, no matter how many writing assignments they assign on it! End note.



Public school teachers who don't support evolution theory lose their jobs. **Evolution is a carefully protected state religion**, all based on circular reasoning.

There's a fossil of a human shoeprint stepping on a trilobite. One response is to blame it on aliens who visited the planet 5 million years ago, and strangely, this is not an uncommon response. Another person tried to say it was a trilobite shaped like a shoe! (Anything but the obvious!)

2 Peter 3:5: They are willingly ignorant—in other words, you need help to be that dumb; you can't do it on your own.

Trilobites were supposedly among the first creatures to explode into life in the Cambrian explosion, but a trilobite is very complex—it has

an eyeball, etc.

Mutation is a loss of information, not a gain!

Graptolites are fish that were supposed to live millions of years ago, yet they are still alive so that they could be buried in any layer. When evolutionists do have to admit that a dinosaur or other ancient creature thought to be extinct is still alive, they say, "Isn't it surprising that this has survived millions of years!" It doesn't cross their mind that their geologic column could be wrong. (Again, it's always anything but the obvious with evolutionists!)



Dinosaur blood was found in a T-Rex bone. That would not last 70 million years.

*Note: Many of these samples have been found. Consider: Many interesting discoveries have been made limiting the history of life on Earth to a very limited timeframe. One intriguing cutting-edge science discovery is that we have found **fresh blood vessels in dinosaur bones**. In 2005, Mary Schweitzer, a paleontologist at North Carolina State University, accidentally found soft tissue in dinosaur bones. She told her assistant to "do it again" 16 times, and they got the same result. She waited an entire year to reveal her findings, worried that she would be ridiculed. Thirteen years later, in 2018, she reported that other scientists were still "thrashing her in the press." Why wouldn't scientists be excited about this discovery? Because it contradicts evolution in proving that dinosaurs couldn't have lived more than 30,000 years ago, which is about how long these tissues last. An outlier study shows 900,000 years, but these figures are both radically shorter than the 65-105 million years ago, when science claims dinosaurs lived. You can watch the 60 Minutes interview of her at this link: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0-K7_H27Wq4. Microbiologist Devin Anderson PhD also talks about the*

discovery of dinosaur tissues. In the "Is Genesis History" documentary, he points out how they've even found proteins, etc., in this dinosaur tissue, that it isn't just bacteria as some evolutionists have claimed. End note.

Fossilized human hands have been found in the same strata as fossilized dinosaurs.

The layers of strata are not different ages.

All over the world, petrified trees are found standing up, connecting different rock layers; therefore, the layers are not different ages. A dead tree can't stand there that long before falling down. Hundreds of these polystrate trees have been found. Note: Universal Model Science treats these as well.

Some trees are petrified upside down through the layers of strata. You can either believe the obvious solution, which is that the tree was just one of the deposits of all those different layers being deposited at the same time, or you can come up with something ridiculous (to support evolution) like that tree went mining through the rocks to look for something.

Note: Hovind claims the Mount St Helens trees are beginning to petrify, but to my knowledge, that isn't occurring, at least not in the sense of becoming quartz-based fossils. Calcification type preservation, perhaps. I don't think the recipe for fossilization is occurring there; they are just buried. It is more likely they will just decay, and they are already decaying. There are two possibilities: rapid fossilization (which is against evolution theory as it happens so fast) or simple decay (also against evolution theory, getting rid of their mechanism for fossilization). What's not a possibility is bones & trees sitting around for a very long time and getting fossilized very slowly (which evolution theory calls for). End note.

Petrification can occur quickly. There's a petrified dog in a tree, a petrified cowboy foot in a boot made in the 1950s, a petrified hat found in New Zealand, a petrified pickle in a jar made between 1930

and 1960, petrified flour, etc.

Note: Organisms can fossilize in hot springs, etc., today, but they are only calcium carbonate-based, which involves little to no pressure. In the Rock Cycle chapter of Universal Model Science, a fossil creek in AZ is shown where modern wood and fencing were preserved. Still, these are never quartz-based, which requires hyperthermia (a combination of water, pressure, and heat), which was uniquely present at Earth's creation and the flood. The worldwide flood is a geological necessity to explain the vast quartz-based fossil record worldwide, which simply won't form under normal circumstances. The fossil record is perhaps the greatest mystery of all science, and Noah's flood is the key to the great mystery! End note.

The only degree Darwin ever got was a theology degree. He should be called Reverend Darwin.

Charles Darwin brought with him on his 5-year voyage the book Principles of Geology by Charles Lyle and the Bible.

The Charles Lyell book changed his life forever. He said the influence of that book crept over him slowly.

Charles Darwin's wife (a Christian who was upset about his theory) made up a rumor that he repented on his deathbed, but that is most likely false.

Note: Even if he did, he still left behind a legacy of doom and is the leading figure of this evil movement many carry on today. End note.

Charles Darwin said that because the finch breeds were related to a common ancestor (a finch), they must also be related to a banana! (see page 170 of Darwin's book, where he says all plants and animals are related.)

There is no proof that any animal is related to any other type of animal. However, a common designer explains a few basic similarities.

Note: Evolutionists focus on similarities between, for example, human dog and bat arms. The apparent reality is that they are incredibly different!

Evolution doesn't account for those differences. If evolution were true, there would be many more similar species existing today, such as 300 species between a cat and dog, making it difficult to tell which was which. Evolutionists are quick to point out any similarity between any animals and claim that as evidence for evolution. Along those lines of logic, my cell phone charger cord and I evolved from a common ancestor because we both have a long rope-like structure (my intestines). Or perhaps square rocks and cell phones are close cousins. Many evolutionists have made claims like this, arguing about whether humans are closer related to the octopus, the rat, etc., more directly than monkeys due to some similarities those animals have to humans. The basic facts of all living things in nature indicate a common Designer, but in no way do they indicate a common ancestor. End note.

Micro-evolution is a confusing term, but it is a true thing - it is where there are minor changes and adaptations. Macro-evolution is falsehood, saying that animals can change into completely different kinds of animals. A good description was given by Walt Brown in his book *In the Beginning* (available as a free PDF at creationism.org), which says microevolution is horizontal and macroevolution is vertical, changing to a different kind. For example, dogs, wolves, and coyotes have a common ancestor, but they're all the same kind of animal (very similar). The Bible says animals bring forth after their own kind, not their own species. People can believe in macro-evolution, but they shouldn't call it science because it's not demonstratable.

There are six types of evolution:

1. Cosmic Evolution: the origin of time, space, and matter, the Big Bang.
2. Chemical Evolution: the origin of higher elements from hydrogen.
3. Stellar Evolution: the stars have to evolve, and no one has ever seen a star form.
4. Organic Evolution: the origin of life from non-living material.
5. Macro Evolution: changing from one kind of species to another. No one has ever seen a dog produce a non-dog.
6. Micro Evolution: minor variations within a kind. **This is the only**

one of the six that actually happens; all the other five are religious beliefs.

The definition of the word evolution is confused on purpose. Teachers give one definition to get students to start buying into it; then, they slowly feed them all the others. They sell all six types as a package deal.

There are limits to what changes a kind of animal can experience! They've been trying to breed bigger pigs for a long time, and they see obviously there's a limit.

Note: Elsewhere Hovind points out the possibly of larger animals we are familiar with in ancient times (as evidenced by giant fossils etc.), and that genes for these larger animals are no longer present. But even size change is just a micro, not macro, change. Macro change entails a change from one species to another and is not supported by empirical evidence, only postulation, and conjecture. End note.

Cockroaches get resistant to various types of pesticides, but they'll never develop a resistance to a sledgehammer! There is obviously a limit.

When varieties of dogs are produced, the gene pool becomes more limited. People took a long time breeding the Chihuahua, and it is swimming in the shallow end of the gene pool.

Genetic information is lost not added when you get variety. If evolution were true it would be an increase in genetic complexity, not just **shifting existing genes**. There are many types of corn, but crossbreeding corn always results in corn.

If two animals **can bring forth a child, they are the same kind**. If they cannot, they are not the same kind. In most cases, the kinds are very recognizable to anyone with average intelligence. Evolutionists do not

want to admit that there are any limits to the change an animal can experience.

75% of Christian children who go to **public schools will lose their faith after 1 year in college.**

There is no fossil counts as evidence for evolution. You don't know those bones had any kids that lived. Why would a bone in the dirt be able to do something that animals cannot do today, which is produce something other than their kind?

All of the supposed evidence for evolution has been proven wrong years ago. We aren't against scientific evidence; we are against lying to children.

Evolution is based on two faulty assumptions:

1. they say mutations make something new. That has never been observed.
2. they say natural selection means it survived, and they take over the population. That would suggest that everyone who doesn't have good genes has to die, or the mediocre would be blended back into the best. Thus, we see that evolution is a religion of death, not life. (Note- It's destruction, not creation, and can't explain the obviously created reality.)

The Bible says man brought death into the world; evolution says death brought man into the world.

Biologists know that mutations don't form anything new.

A bull with five legs is a mutation without any new information, just another set of what it already had. Mutation is a scrambling of existing information.

You can scramble the letters in a word and get a word from the scrambled letters, but you can't get a word which has letters other than

those which already existed in the original word.

Scrambling a gene code will not give new information.

Most mutations are harmful, yet they claim that beneficial mutations are how evolution happens.

A textbook gives an example of a bad mutation (which is harmful to the animal) and then turns around and says good mutations are what we base evolution on, so why didn't they give an example of a good mutation? Because there aren't any! Beneficial mutations are pure imagination.

Whenever trying to come up with a beneficial mutation they always use sickle cell anemia because it's all they've got even though it's not really beneficial.

Those with sickle cell anemia are less likely to get malaria, but you can't say this is a beneficial mutation because people with this disease are far more likely to die from blood issues; that's like saying if you cut off your legs, you can't get athlete's foot!

Natural selection does not make evolution, it just selects. For example, if you want a race of everyone who's 12 ft tall and you kill everyone who's not 12 ft tall, you're never going to get that 12 ft tall race.

We could create a race of the tallest people that currently exist, but not a race of people who are taller than those who currently exist. Natural selection selects, it does not create.

It is pure propaganda to say that finches with the stronger beak were able to survive and that this was natural selection doing evolution in a year, because it was just a short-term change and as soon as the dry spell was over it reverted back; these birds **already had the gene** for tougher beaks; natural **selection wasn't creating** anything, it was selecting something that was already there. This wasn't (macro) evolution (of species) because it was still a bird.

If you are in a quality control job at a car manufacturer and you found and eliminated all problems with the car, how long would it take for that car to turn into an airplane? Natural selection is like quality control - it doesn't create new things.

The famous peppered moth evolution story was a fake; they glued dead moths on the tree to make it look like the moths were evolving.

Note: I recently saw a popular new biology book that used this as evidence for evolution. These popular stories and hoaxes die hard. End note.

Survival of the fittest does not explain the arrival of the fittest.

Why did it survive? Because it is the fittest. Why is it the fittest? Because it survived. Once again, we see evolution's circular reasoning at work. It's a sentence that means nothing, a tautology.

If a whale goes through a school of fish and eats 80% of them, it's not survival of the fittest; it's survival of the luckiest. That's what really happens.

On Abortion:

They say the baby isn't viable, that it can't live on its own, but you're not viable either, naked on the North Pole.

The Angel Gabriel announced to marry that she'll be child, not with fetus.

They complain that being pregnant is a financial burden, but all children are.

His advice to women who have had an abortion is to say sorry to God,

quit complaining, move on with your life, and go serve God

He says in the case of rape or incest, kill the rapist, not the baby. Rape and murder are horrible things that affect people's lives, but we're not going to kill babies. If a woman were raped and had a baby, and when the kid is 5 years old, she remembers the rapist and decides to kill the child, it is murder. Same if she has this situation 5 minutes after the child is born. It's the same with 5 minutes before it's born.

When a woman goes to have an abortion, there should be a lottery drawing marbles out of a jar to decide who dies that day: one for the baby, one for Mom, one for Dad, one for the doctor, one for the governor, etc.

If the baby is not a human being, what kind of being is it?

Everyone that ever voted for abortion has already been born. Easy for them to say pro-choice.

In 1936, the German Supreme Court made a law saying that Jews are not persons. Then the Holocaust happened.

(End of comments on abortion.)

Hovind Seminar 5: The Dangers of Evolution

<https://youtu.be/4dPjFBVNeNM>

Evolution theory is based on nothing but lies, and Satan is the father of lies.

Evolution theory removes all morality.

Evolution is the root of communism, Marxism, socialism, Naziism, etc.

Everything that has ever been used as evidence for the theory of evolution has been proven wrong.

Atheists rate the different states on how well they think they are teaching evolution.

Hawksley said they used the evolution of species to get rid of God so God would not interfere with their sexual tendencies.

Evolution was used to justify the poor treatment of prisoners of War and their being killed by Hitler and Stalin, aboriginals being treated like animals, and one-third of all Cambodians being killed. Every single Tasmanian aborigine was killed. All of this is for evolution.

During Dr. Hovind's lifespan, violent crimes in school had increased by 1,000%.

He remembers when you did not have to lock your house, and you left your keys in the vehicle so you don't lose them. And when shotguns were kept in these unlocked trucks.

Columbine shooters confessed before their attack, basing much of it on evolution. One shooter's t-shirt said "natural selection."

Evolution theory belittles us to the philosophy of might equals right.

Satan's plan is to reduce the population to zero; God's plan is that He made this Earth to fill it up with His children. They have opposite plans. The devil uses various means to decrease population, such as birth control, abortion, and vaccines to kill children, as in SIDS, low fertility rates, chemtrails, GMOs, etc.

There are peaceful Muslims, but the Muslim religion is not peaceful. The Quaran says to kill those who aren't Muslim.

Note: Islam doesn't have a peaceful history either. Its values and history are in stark contrast to Christian history. See Dennis Prager's Still the Best Hope book. End note.

Satan knows of the prophecy that the seed of the woman would crush his head, so he tries to kill everyone, just like Herod when Jesus was born. Many prominent leaders suggest dramatically reducing the human population by 95% etc. They say ironically that for animal rights to succeed, many humans must die. One leader said the world has a cancer, and the cancer is people. Another said he wanted to be reincarnated as a killer virus to reduce the human population.

70% of your food is GMO. GMOs have been banned in Europe.

Before you choose to vaccinate a child, look into the books The Vaccine Deception and The Emerging Viruses. See also Marytoco.com.

Note: Recently Robert Kennedy Jr. has done a terrific job of exposing Big Pharma and vaccines with the facts in his masterwork The Real Anthony Fauci and his work with Children's Health Defense. He knows his facts and can't be beaten in a debate. End note.

When 50 percent of Australia opted out of mandatory baby vaccines, SIDS dropped by 50%.

In Elberton Georgia there are Guide Stones written in stone, the ten commandments of the New World Order in 12 languages, and item number one is maintaining the human population under one half billion.

Note: It's a religion where death is the top priority! It is clearly the opposite of Judeo-Christian western values which have always defended the sanctity of human life. I saw these dreaded stones on my church mission in Georgia. End

note.

The Bible says to be simple concerning evil, so don't teach your kids about all the details of evil, drugs, etc.

Don't put them in sex ed classes in 7th grade, it's a plumbing class at that point; let them be ignorant, let them learn from mom and dad not some heathen.

Note: Parents can put things in the correct spiritual context and teach abstinence before marriage in ways that no government school can. End note.

Taking life and giving life are very serious; God has rules for both, and adultery is a serious commandment that tampers with the giving and taking of life.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: This is why the Book of Mormon identifies sexual sin as next to murder in seriousness! It reads, "Know ye not, my son, that these things are an abomination in the sight of the Lord; yea, most abominable above all sins save it be the shedding of innocent blood or denying the Holy Ghost?" (Alma 39:5) End note.

1st Timothy 2:9 women should dress modestly. Women should not tempt men by the way they dress.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Elder Dallin H Oaks echoed this when he said, "Dallin H Oaks echoed this, saying the way women dress can become pornography to men." (April 2005 Pornography, General Conference). Modesty is a Zion doctrine, and you bet rejecting it has serious consequences. How long can we persist in the idea that our actions don't impact others? End note.

Evolution is connected to increasing rates of divorce, and is foundational for the humanistic theory that there is no God, that we are God, and can do whatever we want.

Note: When we set ourselves up as a law unto ourselves rather than obeying God's laws, we cut ourselves off from the many blessings and inheritances God wants to give us. We damn ourselves to something far inferior to what we could have become. End note.

Hovind asks evolutionists all around the world how they decide between right and wrong, and they have never answered it. What defines right and wrong if evolution is true?

Atheists claim to know that there is not a god, but they admit that they do not know everything, and they should consider how God could exist in the region of knowledge of what they don't have!

Atheists boast that they own creation, that they make the rules and establish the parameters of reality. That they are the kingdom and the power and the glory forever.

Note: Our actions show that many more than the self-acclaimed atheists have this view. End note.

Atheists are committed to a materialistic philosophy and cannot allow God at all.

Note: This is why they'll never find the truth of creation. Their rules out rule truth, so don't kid yourself into thinking they'll eventually find it. They wander in the wilderness, never reaching their destination. They are ever learning but never able to come to a knowledge of the truth (2 Tim. 3:7).

Once you reject God, you try to control things, and often, the first way of doing that is killing people.

See book *The Long War Against God: The History and Impact of the Creation/Evolution Conflict* by Henry Morris.

Aristotle taught a form of evolution, and the Egyptians taught a form of evolution that life evolved from slime on the Nile River.

Note: I had heard that the Egyptian legend was land coming up out of the water, this does make sense, some sort of precipitation reaction perhaps. We read of a water creation in Genesis 1. End note.

The official original title of Darwin's book was "The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection or the Preservation of Favored Races in the Struggle for Life." Darwin spoke of the civilized races replacing the Savage races. Racism became a lot more popular after evolution was taught.

Note: By their fruits, ye shall know them! (Matt. 7:16) End note.

Kingsley was a priest who went around promoting Darwin's book. He said the Australian Aborigines weren't human and could not be saved. He considered the Irish to be white chimpanzees when he saw them starving.

Aborigines have bigger jaw bones because they use their jaw a lot as a tool, so it is more developed. Any bodybuilder will tell you the bigger your muscles get, the bigger your bones will get, too.

Charles Darwin's cousin started the eugenics movement to get rid of inferior races.

Many Aborigines were killed to collect their skulls for an evolution museum.

In America, eugenics-related laws allowed doctors to sterilize people they didn't think were fit to be parents.

Ota Banga was a pygmy who was captured from his wife and children

and put in a cage with chimpanzees for a display; he later killed himself.

Note: A Baptist preacher was outraged about this caged man and got him freed, but the damage had been done, and the suicide followed shortly thereafter. The zoo had filed his teeth sharp to make him more animal-like. End note.

Darwin said, "A married man is a poor slave worse than a negro."
Darwin taught of men being superior to women.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Hovind says some things about Mormonism and race that do not accurately characterize Mormonism. Hovind also fails to address biblical provisions for slavery, etc. I don't have all the answers, but I know today that all worthy members can perform priesthood duties, a privilege that was withheld from all people (black and white) for centuries due to worldwide apostasy. End note.

Note: The whole of Abrahamic faiths grapple with these questions as there are Bible passages on this topic which cause confusion. Naturally, Christians have been world leaders in eradicating racism, and in encouraging everyone to work together as brothers and sisters in the family of God. The distant past contains many mysteries, and we don't know what God did to accommodate certain situations. But we know from many scriptures that God loves all of his children! End note.

Mussolini and Hitler were strong believers in evolution.

Hitler's book *Mein Kampf* is full of evolution philosophy. His entire thinking was dominated by the idea of one race being superior to the other.

America had racist immigration policies before World War II. Germany offered to send the Jews to America, America said we don't want them. 900 Jews were sent to America. America refused to take them, and they were sent on a boat back to Europe.

Hitler walked out of the Olympics when a black man was winning all the medals; he said, 'It is not fair to make my men race against this animal.'

Hitler saw people as a collection of chemicals that we can get certain chemicals out of them for various products, and this, of course, is taught in modern science that we are just a collection of chemicals.

Hitler said that evolution was the best way to fight religion and that "I regard Christianity as the most fatal seductive lie that ever existed."

Hitler pretended to be a Christian, but he was very anti-Christian. See the Barmen Declaration. See the book Hitler's Cross.

We wonder where the Christian resistance to Hitler was, but **we will soon be wondering where the Christian resistance to the new world order was.**

Note: We see it now. Woke cancers are taking down Western civilization. We allowed (no-fault) divorce and gay 'marriage,' and now we shouldn't be shocked to see even crazier dogmas of transgenderism and so on, finishing us off. Matt Walsh has a great book on this called The Unholy Trinity. End note.

There are Nazi alters and Nazi baptism; it is a religion.

Hitler said people are more likely to believe a big lie than a small one.

The Japanese loved Darwin's book; it studied to see which race had the least hair, and it was the Japanese, so they thought they had evolved the most. Japanese had been taught that the people they were dealing with were inferior, and this explains a lot of the horrific treatment of Japanese prisoners of war camps at Japanese concentration camps.

Marx dedicated his book to Charles Darwin "from a sincere admirer." Marx hated Christianity and capitalism. Marx never worked a day in

his life. Three of his children starved to death in infancy. 2 died by suicide. Everything Marx did was intentionally anti-Christian - if the Bible is for it, he is against it.

Property rights are essential to freedom because what good are rights if you have nowhere to exercise them? Leviticus 25:10 says in the year of Jubilee, property was returned, and you could not permanently lose your property. Karl Marx was the one who created the graduated income tax. Proverbs 13:22 says a good man leaveth an inheritance to his children's children. Karl

Marx called for a central bank and we are doing that in America today. The Federal Reserve is not federal. It is private. See the book *The Creature from Jekyll Island*.

Marx called for government ownership of communication, transportation, agriculture, labor, corporate farms, and free education for all in public schools. Hitler said, "Let me control the textbooks, and I will control the state."

Martin Luther said, "I am very much afraid that the schools will prove to be the great gates to hell unless they diligently labor in explaining the scriptures, engraving them on the hearts of youth. **I advise no one to place his child where the scriptures do not rain paramount.** Every institution in which men are not increasingly occupied with the word of god must become corrupt."

Hovind discourages public schooling and says at least send them to a bible college for a year before other university training; even if the school is not accredited, it will be a good opportunity to get them more grounded in scripture.

Hovind reports that 75% of Christian children who go to public schools leave the faith after the first year of University.

In the early days of America, public school textbooks taught the alphabet and reading with Christian messages. Bible verses for all the letters of the alphabet, etc.

Communist rules for revolution include:

- corrupting the young,
- breaking down old moral virtues,
- encouraging a soft attitude toward crime (like three strikes and you're out, which ignores victims' rights),
- dividing the people into hostile groups by race, religion, etc.
- Communists get people more interested in athletics and sex than what the government is doing (people know more about sports team statistics than who the representatives for their state are, etc.),
- get control of all media like CNN,
- destroy people's faith in their leaders,
- require registration for firearms, etc.

Lenin said, "Those who cast the votes decide nothing. Those who count the votes decide everything."

Lenin said one person with a gun can control 100 people without one. Imagine a bank robber coming in and telling everyone to lie on the floor, but all the people instead respond by drawing their own guns and telling him to lie on the floor. Dictators throughout history have wanted gun control. It's not about guns; it's about control. There's a pin people wear that says proudly unarmed, which is an invitation to be robbed.

Joseph Stalin was Christian, but reading Charles Darwin's book persuaded him to be an atheist. Stalin killed between 60 and 100 million of his own people. Evolutionists see inferior races of humans as weeds to pull out of the ground. Pol Pot killed 1/3 of his people in Cambodia. When Communists took over China in 1949, they began

executing Christians at the rate of 15,000 each month. **Mao Zedong** Murdered about 60 million people, and he listed **Darwin** and Huxley as his two favorite authors.

When communists came into schools in China, they didn't start teaching communism; they started by teaching evolution, knowing that it is the foundation of communism.

By their fruits, you shall know them. Evolution has bad fruit!

Psalm 24:1 says the Earth is the Lord's

Isaiah 14 talks about how we'll be astonished when we see this tiny little Satan guy who caused so many problems. There's an analogy of that in *The Wizard of Oz*, where they finally pull the curtain back and see that the great wizard is just a tiny little guy, symbolic of his weakness and insignificance. Tin Man was heartless commerce; the yellow brick road was gold, and Oz was ounces. Follow the yellow brick road (money talks, the golden rule: who has the gold makes the rules; that sort of thing).

Note: This all relates to evolution because the schools have been taken over by the tax dollars funding evolution-teaching. So much bias in science can be traced to who the funding is from. Evolution is also a small idea that causes an epic amount of faith crises and deception. End note.

See the book *Seven Men Who Rule the World From the Grave* by Dave Breese.

See the book *Citizens Rule Book* about jury nullification, etc. The point is not 'Did you break the law,' the point is 'Is the law reasonable.'

See the video *Megiddo*.

See the book The Committee of 300 about world domination planners. See the book The Medusa File by Roberts. See the book The Top 13 Bloodlines of the Illuminati.

David Rockefeller said we just need a crisis to get into the New World Order. There are man-made crisis events that make people cooperate, like the Civil War, War World War I, the 1929 depression (where the Federal Reserve made the stock markets crash and it got social security numbers), the Cuban Missile Crisis, The Oklahoma City bombing (intentionally allowed to get anti-terrorism legislation that had been installed in Congress). Many are convinced the government was involved in taking down the Oklahoma City building from the explosives found, etc. Research 9/11 at 911inplanesight.com.

George Bush rightly told soldiers to disobey bad orders to participate in war crimes, foreign or domestic, because they were going to be judged after this life.

Various groups bringing in the New World Order include the United Nations, the World Council of Churches, the CFR, the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderberg Group, the IMF, international bankers, The Club of Rome, communism and socialism, the National Education Association, the NOW and ACLU, and Masonic lodges (it's not just a do-gooders club)

General Albert Pike's 33rd-degree Mason instructions say that "the Masonic religion should be by all of us initiates of the high degrees maintained in the purity of the doctrine Luciferian doctrine.. yes Lucifer is God.." See the book Masonry Beyond the Light by William Schnoebelen.

Note: Freemasonry is certainly corrupt, but some of their teachings do align with old truths. The Luciferian God idea is completely evil. End note.

Jesus grew up when the Roman Empire was in charge, but he didn't spend his time trying to change the Roman Empire. He focused on people.

The Bible says to pray for those in authority, and you can't really pray for someone if you don't know their name.

Salt preserves things; Christians, as the salt of the Earth, should be a preserving (conserving, conservative) force in their communities. Furthermore, salt irritates, and if no one is irritated at you, you're not a good Christian.

Hovind called in to the overseer of science curriculum in his local school district complaining about evolution, who told him he is the only one who calls to complain.

Plenty of prophets were involved in politics, such as King David and King Solomon.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: And people want to tell Ezra Taft Benson he can't get into politics?! End note.

People will listen to sermons on dinosaurs and creation who would never otherwise come to church.

In the New Testament when they spoke to Jews they used lots of scriptures, and when they spoke to heathens they used none. In Acts 17, Paul didn't quote any scripture. Paul talked about the unknown God, the Creator who made the heavens. He used creation to win people who were not religious.

The average American watches 1500 hours of TV a year. That's enough time to read your Bible 22 times!

Make a rule that if someone swears, uses alcohol, or dresses immodestly on your TV, you shut it off for 2 hours.

Note: Interestingly, immodest dress of women was one of three main reasons a man could divorce his wife in ancient times. End note.

Proverbs 28:2 says for the transgression of the land many are the princes thereof; this explains all the bureaucrats all the managing. Heavy-handed government is God's judgment that we have earned!

Watching the weather channel is pointless. Sports take thousands of hours of dedicated practice to master, and they are pointless! The Bible says that a man who loves silver will not be satisfied with silver. This is true in lots of ways: if you have a big house, you want a bigger house; if you have a fast car, you want a faster one; if you have a lot of shoes, you want more shoes. Etc. Don't invest your life in things that are going to burn.

Some people hope to be raptured, saved, and delivered before the tribulation comes, but there are many Christians who have died in recent times without this, and we cannot say that we will be delivered any more than they have.

Note: As a part of a fallen culture, we will suffer much of their doom with them. We are called to flee Babylon, but it's not working so well. End note.

Note: very true. Hard times are here and much harder are soon to come. Prepare and get right with God.

Satan will have his new world-order government for about 7 years, according to scripture. End note.

After a thousand years, nothing will matter other than whether you have won souls for God.

Hovind Seminar 6: The Hovind Flood Theory

<https://youtu.be/JPUR8tEQQcA>

Evolution theory is an obstacle you encounter when teaching the gospel, so you ought to know how to overcome that obstacle.

In all of his work, Hovind has never seen an exception to the fact that the reason people hate the Bible is because they don't want to listen to God's laws due to their lust.

There's no scientific reason to accept evolution, and there's no scientific reason to reject the Bible; the only reason they reject the Bible is based on their lusts, their unwillingness to listen to God.

The Bible says the scoffers are dumb on purpose, willingly ignorant of how God made the heavens and how the Earth was standing in the water and out of the water. 2 Peter 3:5 reads, "For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water:" Thus we see that the two things that the scoffers are ignorant about are the creation and the flood.

They don't want to admit that God created the Earth; that would mean that He owns it and He makes the rules. They don't want to admit the flood because it means God has the authority to judge His creation. Scoffers don't like the idea of a forthcoming judgment.

It's a serious problem when we pay people to not work because God cursed the ground for our sake. Work is one of the best things for you, it's a wonderful therapy. It's a good thing that God cursed the ground so that man would have to go out to work all day and come home tired at the end of the day. Otherwise, we would just sit around and

think of ways to get in trouble. God's welfare plan is if you don't work, you don't eat. It reads, "if any would not work, neither should he eat." (2 Thes. 3:10)

Hovind did this with his kids- if they don't get their chores done, they don't eat. You give them a list of things to do in the morning, and when it's all done, they get to come to the meal. He only had to do that once to get their attention.

Note: Don't blow up about this; he is not suggesting that you starve your kids; nobody is going hungry. He's just trying to follow God's word and use effective training methods to set his kids up with lifelong habits of success. The parent who has guidelines like this helps a child understand that the child can do good things. In today's culture of not driving a child to school without a snack bag and our almost universal cultural addiction to processed drug-like foods, this is hard for us to understand. We have lost our view of what it means to have a healthy relationship with food. Furthermore, back in the day, self-discipline was expected, and parents were the ones to teach it. Getting everything you want when you want it isn't natural. A parent's job isn't always popular, but it's the most important job for any society and for any individual child. End note.

The flood left evidence of a miracle. If God just killed all the wicked, it would not leave the kind of record/evidence which the flood did. This is why God did the worldwide flood, so there would be plenty of evidence left behind that this judgement happened (which implies that judgment can and will come again when we earn it).

God telling Noah to build the boat gave everyone warning time.

It probably never rained before the flood (as evidenced by there being no rainbow before the flood (Gen. 9:11-17)) Noah said that something was going to happen that they had never seen happen before, and today, we're doing the same thing - we are saying that Christ and the

angels are going to come down out of heaven, which we have never seen before.

Note: It is likely that mists from the ground could have watered the plants in mists before the rain came. End note.

When it rains heat is released, and if it rained enough to cover Mount Everest, the heat would cook the world; we are not claiming that it rained to cover Mount Everest; Hovind says Everest was probably not there when the flood happened.

Note: Most agree that several mountains were formed in the flood. But we still have very deep waters, likely 5 miles deep covering earth. Universal Model Science gives overwhelming evidence that the fossils were formed in a very deep flood. And not much rain is needed when we know about the abundant waters beneath Earth's crust. End note.

The fountains of the deep supplied most water, most of the water of the flood.

Note: true, rain from all of the atmosphere would only cover Earth in about an inch of water. End note.

Psalms 24:1-2 says the earth was founded upon the seas. It reads, **"1 A Psalm of David. The earth is the LORD'S, and the fulness thereof; the world, and they that dwell therein. 2 For he hath founded it upon the seas, and established it upon the floods."**

Psalm 33:7 says, **"He gathereth the waters of the sea together as an heap: he layeth up the depth in storehouses."**

Psalm 136 says he stretched Earth above the waters. It reads, **"To him that stretched out the earth above the waters: for his mercy endureth for ever."**

Gen. 7:17-24 speak of the waters prevailing upon the Earth during the

flood. It reads, "17 And the flood was forty days upon the earth; and the waters increased, and bare up the ark, and it was lift up above the earth. 18 And the waters prevailed, and were increased greatly upon the earth; and the ark went upon the face of the waters. 19 And the waters prevailed exceedingly upon the earth; and all the high hills, that were under the whole heaven, were covered. 20 Fifteen cubits upward did the waters prevail; and the mountains were covered. 21 And all flesh died that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beast, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man: 22 All in whose nostrils was the breath of life, of all that was in the dry land, died. 23 And every living substance was destroyed which was upon the face of the ground, both man, and cattle, and the creeping things, and the fowl of the heaven; and they were destroyed from the earth: and Noah only remained alive, and they that were with him in the ark. 24 And the waters prevailed upon the earth an hundred and fifty days."

Job 38:8 says the Earth was born like a baby. It reads, "Or who shut up the sea with doors, when it brake forth, as if it had issued out of the womb?"

Note: It may be a reference to flood waters or creation waters. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Interestingly, Joseph Smith taught that the Earth was formed from parent Earths. End note.

Earth broke at the time of the flood; the scars are fault lines and plate boundaries.

Hovind doesn't like Pangea theory; he says the shapes fitting are a coincidence of water level. He says the fossils are everywhere, not just in the lines of connecting continents. He says all are still connected when the water is gone. He points out some shrinking of Africa turning of continents and missing central America to make the continents fit together.

Note: I promote Pangea. All the continental crust was together, not just oceanic crust joining it. The oceanic crust formed near flood time. It's also likely we have always had ocean, not just ocean from the flood. Also, the continental crust and oceanic crust are clearly different, and I say continental was made in the creation, whereas oceanic developed in the flood as the continents separated. Further, continents aren't going up and down in the water, water levels remain fairly constant, and there are no maps of vertical uplift because it's not happening. For example, the height of Mount Everest remains constant. End note.

There are no reversed polarity magnetic reversals! The textbook diagrams don't represent reality. It's likely flipped rocks and some areas with greater and lesser magnetism. Earth's magnetic field is getting weaker. It used to be too strong for life, even recently. This is an issue for evolution, so they claim these are magnetic reversals. Supposed magnetic reversals are old cracks from water rushing where basalt broke. No magnetism can exist with great heat! Water entering the crack is locked in a stronger magnetic field.

Note: This is an important point as to why there isn't a magnetic iron core in a molten earth: heat destroys magnetism! End note.

Walt Brown, author of the creation textbook "In the Beginning" (available free at Creationism.org), proposes that continents were, in fact, together before the flood, and there were large bodies of water between land areas. Here are some points of his "**Hydroplate Theory:**" Brown says there were water fountains over 20 miles high producing torrential rains.

Brown says muddy sediments were thrown up by the fountains, and these sediments made the fossils.

Brown says the mid-oceanic ridge was formed when a hydrofountain crack widened and exposed. Underlying rock, then without the cap, crust rock came upward (pressures were released).

Brown says hydroplanes moved 45mph until encountering resistance, and then buckling occurred to form mountains and ridges, which is why they are along these plate boundaries.

Brown says a huge lake spilled into the area of the Grand Canyon, forming the canyon.

Brown says there was no canopy of water firmament around Earth

Note: I think the Grand Canyon sediments were deposited in the flood, and then an earthquake ripped the hardened sediment open, creating the canyon. However, this water carving theory may be a possibility, though the canyon walls appear rigid rather than smoothed from water erosion, and one side of the canyon is much higher than the other, indicating seismic activity. End note.

Scientists studying a massive canyon on Mars claimed that it formed in weeks due to melting water from a crater that washed out the canyon quickly.

So here they are saying Mars, the planet where there's no visible water, had a massive canyon carved out by the water, but that here on Earth, where there is visible water and lots of it, it's impossible Earth's great canyon could have been quickly carved out by a large amount of water.

There's rock scrunched like an accordion - this would have formed **when the sediment was still soft.**

Hovind suggests that the oceanic crust was subducted into magma during the flood.

Note: Crustal interactions would create enough frictional heat to liquify rock without a deep magma ocean (which no one has ever seen) to melt the rock. End note.

There are only a few thousand years worth of mud in the oceans. Mainstream science says that it's because the ocean floor is being

recycled. More likely it's due to the youthful nature of the oceans!

The mountains are eroding, so why aren't they smaller by now if the Earth is so old?

Hovind says there is strong evidence for an ice age based on terminal and lateral moraines, isostatic rebound, and kettle lakes.

Mammoths are found frozen, standing up with food still in their teeth. In one year, 20,000 mammoth tusks were extracted from the ground. Estimates are that 5 million mammoths perished in one catastrophe. There's evidence of mammoths living in a warm climate, as other longhaired animals are known to do, and a great storm came, perhaps in the aftermath of the flood cataclysm, and killed them quickly, standing where they were.

Under 1,000 ft of permanently frozen ground, drillers found a 300 ft tall tree standing up. This was in Bero, Alaska, where there are no trees.

Inverse square laws apply when you're dealing with light forces, gravity, and girls. (The closer you get, the stronger the attraction, the further, the less)

Dr. Hovind has only been home 8 Sundays in 14 years.

Stonehenge was built to worship the Sun during summer solstice, but today, it does not line up due to the Earth's wobble and changing tilt. It's the same as the temple of Amun Ra; it was built to worship the sun during summer solstice, but it does not line up now. Dr. Hovind suggests that the poles of the earth were once habitable for farmland because the Lord made the Earth to be inhabited.

Note: He asks how all the craters on the moon got there because we never see

anything hitting the Moon (true). He doesn't answer the question, but here I will. The answer is that most of them are water implosion geyser craters, not impact craters. Universal Model Science has a lot of great information on this. It explains enigmas like the many double bullseye craters. End note.

Hovind says the Earth used to be much more magnetic and that this would have drawn ice comets with iron to the poles. Hovind theorizes that a dump of snow on the poles cracked the Earth, releasing The fountains of the deep and that the spreading ice caused the glacial effects that we see and the mammoths to be frozen standing up.

Note: Another theory of how plates broke is a near flyby comet, which threw off the balance of the gravitational & centrifugal forces of Earth's spin. See Universal Model Science.

There was massive runoff causing vast erosion, like the Grand Canyon.

Note: It seems more likely that the Grand Canyon was split open by an earthquake after the sediments were deposited by the flood due to its jagged edges than smooth, but massive water erosion surely was at work in many places. End note.

Genesis 10 mentions it being the time of Peleg when the earth was divided. Joctan his brother is mentioned, whose name means shortened, as in the lifespans were shortened around that time. There was likely atmospheric change after the flood resulting in shorter lifespans. Today there is more carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, shortening lifespans. By the time of Peleg, this shortening of lifespan took effect.

There are massive pockets of oil and massive fossil graveyards. Coal is from plants being buried; oil is from animals being buried.

Note: I don't know that this distinction is so clear. End note.

Mountain ranges and ocean basins form from shifting plates.

Craters on the moon and Mars may be from craters of impact from Earth when the fountains of the deep of the earth were opened and rocks came flying up out of the Earth and beyond Earth's atmosphere. Walt brown thinks that the water on Mars came from Earth when the fountains of the deep broke open, and he thinks that the craters on the moon came from rocks launched out of Earth.

Note: Or these are water fountain implosion craters rather than impact craters from water. Thus the craters would be native to those spheres, not from Earth. I think it is extremely unlikely that rock or water from Earth would make it to the moon, much less other planets. Universal Model Science has great evidence on this in the Hydroplanet Model chapter of volume 1. End note.

The canopy of water over the Earth would have broken to fall as rain, and that this would change the atmosphere, and more sunlight would get in (leading to more radiation and aging), etc.

Note: Canopy speculations are interesting but we can't test them today, so it remains a mystery. See my comments on this point earlier in my notes on seminar 3, including a link to a man who see the ice canopy in vision. End note.

Flood waters rose up for 150 days and people would have been looking for higher ground throughout that rather than just all being wiped out in the early stages. He says this is why we could be seeing less human fossils than animal fossils.

Note: It seems it could have happened faster as far as wiping people out goes with those fountains opening, sending miles long plumes of water which

would come crashing down as massive flood waters. As the old primary song goes, "the rains came down and the floods came up!" Those floods came up out of the ground, it wasn't just rainwater piling up! End note.

They're finding massive pieces of ice in space etc., water everywhere in space.

Note: There's tons of evidence on this topic in Universal Model Science. Studying the water content of other celestial bodies is a particularly fun topic as it gives clues to Earth's formation and flood. End note.

There's coal under the ice at the South Pole. Admiral Byrd saw palm leaves at the South Pole. They found plant-eating dinosaurs in northern Alaska, and today there are no plants there. Northern animals got frozen standing up because they were caught with a deep snow drift.

People think mammoths are designed for cold weather because of their long hair, but this is not so; we see many jungle animals with long hair. Mammoths were living in a tropical area, and then a massive snowstorm happened that they had not experienced anything like before, and they were caught and buried standing up in it. Hovind suggests an ice age that happened at the beginning of the flood. Some say the ice age caused the flood, others say the flood caused the ice age (note- and others say there was no ice age). Hovind says the ice age would last several hundred years after the flood. Cold air hitting the warm air would cause it to rain, this is what induced 40 days of rain at the flood.

People didn't want to carry around heavy tools, they made tools on the spot when they found a herd of animals and left them there when they left; they were not unsophisticated tools due to a lack of intelligence, they were just in a hurry.

Joshua 6 talks about the Lord shaking the Earth, a massive storm, and the fountains of the Earth being broke open in one day. We also read in Genesis that the fountains were all opened in one day.

The dump of ice on the poles caused the Earth to crack. The stronger magnetic field at that time would have caused these incoming commets of ice to go to the poles.

The Bible says all the high hills were covered, this was not a local flood, it was worldwide!

Note: Here are a few reasons the flood was worldwide: 1. It was a judgment on all the Earth.

2. It was a baptism by immersion.

3. Other local floods would violate God's covenant to not flood the Earth again.

4. Noah was used to carry on the covenant as the only one left.

5. The animals were brought since everything else was being wiped out.

6. Hundreds of geological evidences of the flood are found worldwide (see the Universal Model Science flood chapter.) End note.

Earth could be covered in water easily if it were leveled out.

Note: That's true, but we don't even need that when we know about the vast amounts of water under the crust. End note.

There are petrified clams on mountain tops in the closed position indicate **rapid** death and burial as they usually open when they die.

Soft tissue fossilizations include eggs, jellyfish, and the arm of an octopus. Very special conditions are required for fossilization, especially for soft tissue fossilization.

Note: Darwin claimed that no tissue wholly soft could be fossilized. He was wrong. End note.

British Columbia's mountains were pressed from the ends, which wrinkled them up like an accordion.

They found an 80-foot-long whale skeleton preserved with diatomaceous earth. Diatoms are usually preserved at a much slower rate, so in this instance, we have a massive deposit of diatoms. A whale at the bottom of the ocean will typically dissolve in a matter of months; even the bones will disappear.

Fossilized animals trapped in diatomaceous earth have their gills and fins extended as though they were terrified at a catastrophic event that killed them.

During the first few months of the flood, the dead animals would settle out. There are fewer human fossils found because humans are smarter and would avoid drowning until the last possible minute. Things buried on the top in very shallow soil won't fossilize. We do have some 4,000 sets of human remains found.

*Note: I think the flood was so deep that anything could have fossilized, but perhaps people made some rafts to avoid their inevitable doom a little longer.
End note.*

Moving water forms multiple layers of strata simultaneously and higher levels can even be older than lower levels.

Turbidity currents cause animals and other things in flood waters to be sorted. Swirling eddies of water can explain why we have animal bones mixed up sometimes. Fossil graveyards are very common all over the planet. We find concentrations of fossils like logs in a river jam.

There are 800,000 million fossil skeletons of vertebrate animals.

There are iguana skeletons in a Belgium coal mine extending through 100 vertical feet of rock.

There are many examples of things that have been petrified in less than 100 years. Hovind gives many examples of petrified things: petrified wood, a petrified hammer, a petrified dog in a tree, a petrified foot in a boot, a petrified hat, and a petrified crayon.

They were going to bury a man's wife next to him 14 years after his death, and when they dug the hole, water came flowing in, so they didn't bury her there. They were worried about the man being in the water, so they dug him out and found that he was petrified.

One woman did not know she was pregnant, and the baby in her died, and she was petrified. It was later seen on an x-ray when she reported pain.

Acorns were left in a bucket of water for a year and turned to stone.

For centuries, people have known how to make petrified rock out of wood so that it will last longer.

Note: I'm not aware of anyone making petrified wood experimentally other than experiments done in Universal Model Science, particularly when it comes to making quartz fossils, not just calcium carbonate. End note.

Note: Organisms can fossilize in hot springs, etc., today, but they are only calcium carbonate-based, which involves little to no pressure; these are never quartz-based, which requires hypertherm (a combination of water, pressure, and heat), which was uniquely present at Earth's creation and the flood. End note.

If there is any sorting in the fossil record, it is better explained by a

flood.

Cavitation is a process wherein moving water sucks rock off of a wall quickly.

Hydraulic plucking involves the abrasion of moving water. The moving water has materials like rocks and trees, which act as sandpaper to quickly erode through solid rock by abrasion.

Liquefaction can involve pressing sand grains and relieving pressure. You can test this by standing in the sand on a beach. Stand knee-deep in the water. The high part of the wave weighs more than the low part of the wave because there is more water there. The high part of the wave pushes down on the sand under your feet. When the low part comes past you, the pressure is relieved, and sand grains start popping up off the bottom as water squeezes out of them.

In the worldwide flood you would have a 200 ft tide every 6 hours 25 minutes. Raising and releasing pressure repeatedly would cause sorting.

One person filled an empty aquarium from the bottom by putting a bladder with many holes in it attached to a hose at the bottom. On top of that he put rock, concrete, dead fish, reptiles, mammals, and as it filled these items sorted themselves by density. Birds mammals reptiles amphibians fish was the order (remember acronym FARM). **They didn't evolve in this order like they claim, rather they sort in that order.**

In certain conditions, less dense layers can be covered by more dense layers. This occurs in a sand plume when a denser layer breaks at the top.

Ayers Rock in Australia is probably caused by a sand flow formed by

the flood. The tunnels all over these formations are air coming out.

Note: Or water coming out! The Universal Model hydroplanet chapter shows many of these; these pipes/fountains of water exiting the deep! End note.

An earthquake can shake the ground and makes it like soup. Things sink into it as we have seen with modern disasters of buildings sinking into the ground etc. due to liquefaction.

Clams are found at the bottom layers of the fossil record because that's their habitat. Birds are found at the top because that's their habitat. The bird flies around till he runs out of gas, then he's buried; but the clam is already at the bottom and would be first to be buried.

Sorting by intelligence is another idea because the clams would not have figured out how to avoid burial, and hence, they are at the bottom. Sorting by mobility is another idea. Sorting by density is another idea; of course, shells are heavier than bird feathers.

Note: Sorting by the rapidity of reproduction is another possibility. Those who reproduce in largest quantities would have first layer, filling it up fastest. Hence mammals would be at the top. Thanks to Lance Weaver for this idea. End note.

Genesis says all the mountains were covered, and then the water was assuaged or dropped down.

Psalm 104:5-6 says the waters stood above the mountains. It reads, **"5 Who laid the foundations of the earth, that it should not be removed for ever. 6 Thou coveredst it with the deep as with a garment: the waters stood above the mountains."**

If the flood was only local God would not have told Noah to build a

huge boat and put all the animals on it to save them and stay in it for a year, instead **he would have just told Noah to move!**

Note: As mentioned earlier, other reasons for a worldwide rather than a local flood are as follows:

-If the flood was local, other local floods would violate God's covenant to not flood the Earth again.

-God wouldn't have to establish the covenant with Noah if Noah wasn't the only person left.

-A local flood wouldn't have wiped out all wickedness from Earth.

-The Bible says all life on Earth died.

-Why would Noah have to bring all the animals if it were a local flood?

-Why would hundreds of world legends talk about a flood covering the whole Earth?

Psalm 104:7 says at thy rebuke they fled, referring to the water. **"It says, At thy rebuke they fled; at the voice of thy thunder they hasted away."**

Verse 8: the water goes down to the valleys that the Lord founded for them. It says, **"They go up by the mountains; they go down by the valleys unto the place which thou hast founded for them."**

When mountains lifted up, water rushed into new low places.

Large amounts of water trapped under the crust settled down after the flood.

Massive rock formations came out of the ground.

Nearly all mountain ranges follow the coastlines, probably because they formed at the same time during the flood.

See the Guadalupe River flood damages.

Bent rock layers indicate the rock was bent while it was soft because bending hard rock makes shattering fracture lines all along it. All the **layers that are bent were all soft mud at the same time, they are not different age layers.**

On the sides of Grand Canyon there are sinkholes where water flows down. Eventually this will wash out to make another side canyon.

After Noah got off the ark, it is possible that not as much percentage of the world was underwater, and this could explain why there are kangaroos in Australia. Kangaroos could have hopped to Australia from the mainland in Turkey. Less aggressive animals would be pushed to the migration fringe, preferring to run rather than fight. While these peaceful animals like kangaroos and wombats were migrating further away, the ice caps were melting, and ocean levels were rising. Then Australia became an island.

If you lowered the ocean 60 ft Russia and Alaska would be connected. If you raise the ocean 10%, the eastern half of America except some of the Appalachians would be underwater.

Water between Vietnam and Australia is very shallow, about 100 ft.

If the Earth was the size of a one-foot globe, you would not be able to find Mount Everest. Globes with bumps for mountains are extremely exaggerated. If you shrunk the Earth down to the size of a cue ball to play pool, the Earth will be rounder and smoother than the cue ball. A 5-mile mountain on an 8,000 mi Earth is insignificant.

In 1964, there was an earthquake in Alaska that dropped neighborhoods down 40 to 60 ft.

Mount St. Helens blew enough mud out that everyone on earth could

have a ton of it.

There is a place called The Little Grand Canyon because it's a 140th essentially scale model of the Grand Canyon, and it was formed in a flood!

A 1000 ft. wide 140 ft. deep channel was carved into the ground in a few hours. When dams break, natural or otherwise, things erode very quickly.

Mudflow from Mount St. Helens is stratified and people wonder why because we know it was all in one occasion. This is because **moving mud automatically stratifies**. Get a jar of dirt with water shake it up it'll settle into layers quickly.

In the aftermath of Mount St Helens many of the trees in the mud flow and spirit lake stand upright.

Hovind says many of the trees from Mount St. Helens in Spirit Lake are beginning to petrify.

Note: I don't think they are beginning to petrify; I think they are decaying and don't think we have the correct environment for fossilization; I don't think there are any in-process fossils (perhaps other than the occasional weird petrification of something); I think the wide-scale flood petrification was a very unique recipe that we had not seen in modern times, involving lots of pressure from deep waters, lots of heat from violent crustal movement, etc. End note.

We have several petrified trees which are broken into log-like small pieces. When you cut a tree down it doesn't break like that, so it must have been standing up petrified and then it fell over and was brittle and broke!

There have been many experiments where they have made coal in a matter of hours.

Note: This is right. The reason we don't make oil in the lab for commercial use is that it's cheaper to pull it out of the ground. However, the fact that these materials can be made from planets and organic matter in a short amount of time is now a proven fact. End note.

During the flood, there would have been large log mats the size of Texas where insects could survive.

The debris trails would have made layers of coal.

One coal mine had dinosaur tracks on the ceiling, so the dinosaurs marched around on this stuff as it formed.

All of the biomass on the Earth today, if it were made into coal, would not make as much coal as we see in the ground; this suggests that before the flood, there was much more biomass than we see today. Hovind suggests that there were no oceans before the flood and that where we see ocean today were forests.

Note: I don't believe it is necessary to have the whole Earth without an ocean in order to provide all the biomass for the coal formations, perhaps just a more fruitful environment in general than we see today. End note.

Human artifacts have been found in coal. A gold chain, a bell, a carved tablet, an iron pot, a soul of a shoe in Nevada, etc.

Genesis 8:2-3 says the flood waters were going and returning. It reads, "2 The fountains also of the deep and the windows of heaven were stopped, and the rain from heaven was restrained; 3 And the waters returned from off the earth continually: and after the end of the hundred and fifty days, the waters were abated."

Layers would be deposited; soft sediment would be pushed together in places, erosion would take off top layers in places, unconformities would form with new layers deposited on top of all that, etc.

Noah stayed in the ark 13 months, 7 months after he had landed. He stayed in because it was so muddy, the waters were still coming and going, there was no food out there, and there was nothing to build a house with.

Genesis says the waters decreased continually. It is probable that the ice caps retreated.

See the book Noah to Abram: The Turbulent Years: New Light on Ice Age, Cave Man, Stone Age, the Old Kingdoms by Erich A. Von Fange.

The Earth being divided in the days of Peleg many see as the continents dividing; Hovind sees it as water levels rising which divides land areas; some see it as a geographical survey to divide land ownership; others see it as language confusion after the Tower of Babel.

Note: I see it as the continents dividing, and I think most creationists do. Here's Genesis 10:25 on the Earth being divided: "And unto Eber were born two sons: the name of one was Peleg; for in his days was the earth divided; and his brother's name was Joktan." End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: There is also a modern revelation about how it'll come back together at some point, so it had to break apart sometime. Here is the passage: "He shall command the great deep, and it shall be driven back into the north countries, and the islands shall become one land; and the land of Jerusalem and the land of Zion shall be turned back into their own place, and the earth shall be like as it was in the days before it was divided" (D&C 133:23–24). End note.

The melting ice made oceans deeper, wider, and colder, and increased CO₂, shortening life spans.

Note: I'm not sure an increase in CO₂ would shorten lifespans, but lifespans were shortened. End note.

Underwater cities are found in many places. Naturally, the water there didn't used to be so deep. In the Black Sea underwater cities were found under 150 feet of water.

Whenever you put gas in your car, think about how that gas was made by formations from the flood.

Whenever you use electricity, which is likely powered by coal, think about how that coal came from the pre-flood world. We are living off of trees from the Garden of Eden! Satan is taking what God intended to remind us of the flood and is twisting it around as evidence for evolution instead!

Scripture says Jesus' soon coming to the world will be sudden like the flood was.

Hovind Seminar 7: Questions & Answers

<https://youtu.be/Bwk-DLCmDk>

1 Peter 3:15 Sanctify the Lord God in your hearts and be ready always to give an answer to every man that asks a reason of the hope that is in you with meekness and fear.

2 Timothy 2:15 **Study to show thyself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth.**

There have been many scientific falsehoods perpetuated in the past

which we now acknowledge are false, such as the geocentric model of the solar system, bloodletting, and so forth. A majority believing something does not make it true!

In the Bible the Pharisees send the soldiers to apprehend Jesus rather than going themselves, and this is what Hovind has seen, that the professors send their students, but rarely go themselves to confront him. Professors send students with a list of questions to ask him and he answers them all.

People use legal tactics to try and exclude the Christians; they make Christianity illegal at public schools. They can't handle the message so they shut down the message.

So the people don't get a chance to hear it (compare John 7).

The evidence that the Pharisees give for Jesus not being the Messiah is that none of them believe he is, and we see the same now with the belief in evolution - the popularity contest winner.

Not all scientists believe in evolution, and even if they did, that wouldn't make it true.

The Pharisees said 'this people who do not believe the law are cursed' in other words, you don't have the right degree you don't believe in the right theories.

They say 'we are smart everyone else is dumb.'

Even some of the non-believers were smart enough to see that Jesus was right, and similarly, many unbelievers today who are shown the evidence for creation do believe and see the logic of it.

There was a false assumption that Jesus would come out of Galilee; this is an example of how people try to get you to argue about the wrong question.

You can't base the truth of something on whether it gets published in an academic journal, because creation science is routinely excluded from these journals.

The science journals start with a definition of science, that it cannot include supernatural, so they exclude anything with any supernatural.

Note: Surely the definition of science needs to be changed to include the supernatural. If we can never allow for this, we will never understand how the reality of supernatural power works.

Evolution is what's not based on science: it's never observed, and it's all based on assumption. It's never been demonstrated in the laboratory.

The Book of Numbers shows that the majority can and do choose the wrong way sometimes.

The majority of the world hated Jesus and the majority of the world hates Christians.

Robert Gentry published about radio polonium halos found in granite all over the world. Everyone was fine with his research until they realized it proves that the Big Bang theory is not true. Then they shut off his funding and grant money quickly. See Halos.com (I believe the title of his book is "Creations Tiny Mystery")

Teacher Robert Dehart was told he is not allowed to tell his students about mistakes in the textbooks, because those mistakes were used to support the evolution theory. They said he could not even pass out current science journals to inform students on mistakes in the textbooks. That's not science, that's a "burn the heretic" attitude. The evolutionists are on a witch hunt against the creationists; they try desperately to get them fired.

One community college teacher was fired for exposing falsehoods in a textbook on things that had been proven wrong.

Some agree with Hovind that there are these errors in the textbooks, but they don't know what to replace those errors with, so they leave in the errors. They won't get rid of the current lies until you come up with something new to support the theory!

Note: And when they find that supposed new proof for evolution, eventually that'll turn out to be fraudulent and incomplete as well, because that theory is false, and nature will never verify it! Oh how we must be diligent in finding true science, which will destroy the false science! It's not fair that they keep in the lies till they find something that supports their theories, but we have to fight back however we can. The truth will set us free, and it's the truth that they don't want us to have. End note.

Texas University fired a professor who advocated that there might be an intelligent designer.

One science writer who had written for scores of journals and magazines was denied a job as a writer at Scientific American simply because he was a creationist.

Teacher Rod Levesque was told that he could not tell students anything that would cause them to doubt Darwin's theory.

Darwin's theory is sacred, **you don't question it without losing your job**. Russia had a similar situation - if any teacher got up and said they didn't think communism works, they would be out of a job, kicked out of the country, or killed.

In America those who don't support evolution theory are sent to academic Siberia.

One superintendent told a teacher that he could not introduce creation

science to his class, so the teacher quit.

Many good teachers are dropping out of the public school system because they are not allowed to teach the children truth.

The law does not prohibit the teaching of creation. The courts have ruled that it is okay to teach creation. But the boss says don't do it.

Note: These bosses fear any negative press, they fear any fight, they won't stand up for anything because it's not about education for them, it's about money and jobs. End note.

ACLU knows that all they have to do is threaten to sue and a school will back down. ACLU knows that they would lose, but the threat is enough to get most to back down.

A tenured professor was found to be a creationist, he was fired for that. He fought it in court and was put back in as a lab assistant. He fought further and was finally reinstated as a professor. He wrote the book "Of Pandas and People" on the biological origins of life which does not use evolution theory.

One doctor posted on a site that to get a recommendation for medical school you had to believe in evolution. If you don't believe in evolution he won't recommend you for medical school.

Go back 100 or 200 years ago, there were plenty of scientists and all of them believed in creation.

Nearly all branches of science were started by people who believed in creation. The evolutionists don't come up with anything, they come in and take over something that's already been going.

Note: Destroyers, leeches, parasites, not creators.

Harvard Princeton and Yale started out as Christian schools.

See book "In 6 Days: Why Scientists Chose To Believe In Creation"

Separation of church and state is not found in the Constitution.

Thomas Jefferson said there's a wall between the church and state due to the first amendment. But that wall is a one-dimensional wall - it is designed to keep the government out of the church, not to keep the church out of the government.

The founders voted to give a significant amount of funds to help a missionary, so they obviously didn't think that there could be no involvement.

See Wallbuilders.com by David Barton. He shows how the founders were very Christian, and that they had desires for the government to not get involved in the church, but they did have desires for the church to get involved in the government. They would have hated the idea of no Christianity in public schools.

Now, here are some questions and answers:

How do we see stars that are billions of light years away? To measure one light year you're working with an angle of .01, and then they try to measure hundreds of light years with ridiculously small angles - so it really can't be that precise.

It's like 2 people standing by each other in Florida looking at a single dot in Chicago - that would be a very small triangle.

We really don't know how far away these stars are, and we have no way of measuring them.

We also don't know what light is, whether it's a particle or a wave or a photon etc., so we really can't say we can understand and measure it.

There are only about 300 discovered supernova remnants, and this

happens about every 30 years, so why can't we see more of them if everything is very old?

We have never seen a star form. We see spots getting brighter and nebula but we can't assume that that means star birth. It could be that there was a dust cloud clearing and there was a star already behind it.

Boyles's gas laws say that you cannot progress dust into a solid matter.

It's very theoretical to claim that 20 stars exploding can create one star and this is bad economics, spending 20 to get one.

The Bible says God created all the stars and gave them for the earth to have light and has named them all.

There may be a layer of water beyond the stars.

Stephen Hawking refused to debate Hovind.

We assume that our sun is composed like the other stars.

No one has proven that black holes exist and it's based on the assumption that light can be attracted by gravity. That would mean the speed of light can't be a constant.

In Labs they've slowed the speed of light down dramatically. At Harvard Smithsonian and Cambridge they slowed it down until they got it to a complete stop. At Princeton they speeded light up to 300 times the speed of light.

They say the speed of light has declined in the last 150 years. This could be because we switched to the atomic clock to measure the speed of light. And the cesium atom light is used to measure light, so there you have light to measure light!

The Big Bang theory says the speed of light must have been much faster at the beginning.

Many admit the speed of light can change, yet they still say it's constant in the books.

See book "faster than the speed of light." Einstein was wrong about speed of light as constant.

Jesus made water into wine. He made bread for many out of a little bread. We shouldn't limit God's creative power.

Redshift, the idea that the stars are moving away, perhaps, and this was their big bang proof. Quasars show redshifts do not indicate distance.

"Evolution Cruncher" book has a section on the Doppler effect and the supposedly expanding universe.

The Hubble constant changes; it's not a constant. Reported huge errors from it.

Cepheids can't be measured by distance.

Those who question redshifts, etc., are persecuted.

Scripture says God stretched out the heavens. Perhaps this is why we have a redshift. Perhaps the light is getting tired from traveling a great distance. Perhaps light redshifts as it travels. Red shift Could be Doppler effect. Redshift could be a slowing or speeding up as light passes a dense object. Nobody knows!

Note: It's likely that "red shift" is from light passing through interstellar water, which bends the light as it goes through these medium changes. End note.

Is the sun shrinking? Yes. So it was bigger in the past. You can't say earth has been going around sun for billions of years, gravity

would've been different.

Radiometric dating? Radiometric dating would not have been possible if the geologic column had not been erected first. They use the geologic column, not carbon dating, to date fossils.

Burning trees releases CO₂ and makes neighboring trees grow faster, so it's not a crisis.

Nitrogen blasted with radiation becomes C¹⁴, which is very unstable. The half-life is how long till half of it turns back into N.

They assume the ratio of C¹⁴ in the air is the same as that found in plants and animals, though no one has ever been tested for C¹⁴ levels.

C¹⁴ is always decaying, but it's getting replenished, so you don't notice the decay until death.

Within 30,000 years the atmosphere would reach equilibrium with the C¹⁴ where you would never get any more or less C¹⁴ as the outgoing (or decay) would be the same as the incoming from the sun. The problem is that we **still have not reached equilibrium, which shows the Earth is younger** than 30,000 years old. There's more C¹⁴ now than there was 20 years ago.

See Arky.org on radiocarbon dating

Assumptions of radiocarbon dating are that the decay always occurs at the same rate, and that it started at a certain point in time which we don't know.

If you know how tall a candle is and you know how fast it is burning, you still can't tell when the candle started burning because you don't

know if the burn rate was constant and you don't know how tall the candle was in the beginning.

We don't know how much C14 was in the dinosaurs when they died.

We don't know where the dinosaurs died; we only know where they were buried.

If there was a canopy of water overhead before the flood, this would filter out a lot of the C14 radiation.

You can't assume that the pre-flood environment is the same as the modern environment when it comes to atmosphere content and radiation.

In 1970, an article said that 'if a C14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict our theories, we put it in a footnote. **And if it completely is out of the range of acceptable dates, we just drop it.**'

*Note: Here is the quote: "If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely 'out-of-date,' we just drop it." (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, "C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology," Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].) End note.*

*Note: Another researcher admitted just how many unapproved radiometric dates they throw out when he said, "It may come as a shock to some, but fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as 'acceptable' by investigators." (*J. Ogden III, "The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon," in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.) End note.*

Here are some nonsensically carbon dated items:

- A freshly killed seal carbon dated 1300 years old.
- Part of a frozen mammoth dated 40,000 another part of it dated 26,000, and the wood next to it dated 10,000.
- Shells of living snails carbon dated 20,000 years old.
- The lower leg of a mammoth radiocarbon dated 15,000 years old while its skin and flesh radio carbon dated 21,000 years old.
- A living mollusk shell carbonated as 2300 years old.
- Two mammoths side by side dated 22,000 & 16,000.
- An absolute date of "300,000 years plus or minus 300,000 years" was given.
- Two samples of the same creature often get different ages.
- There are several dinosaur dates under 30,000 years. One man sent in various dinosaur bones and didn't tell the lab that they were dinosaur bones, otherwise the lab would have said 'they're too old' and wouldn't have carbon dated them. Scientists refuse to carbonate dinosaur bones because they've decided that they're too old for carbon dating, so they use another method.

If you carbon date something of a known age it does not work, and if you carbon date something of an unknown age, it is assumed to work.

The helium content in the atmosphere is evidence that Earth is less than 2 million years old. **If radioactive decay has been going on for millions of years there would be a lot more helium.**

See book "The Mythology of Modern Dating Methods."

They say 'the rocks date the fossils, but the fossils date the rocks more accurately.'

Moon specimens were dated ranging between two and four million years old, that's a 500% error. One rock was measured to be 10,000 to

several billion years old.

See book "Bones of Contention," the last chapter called "The Dating Game" exposes how they change dates to make things fit their theories.

In potassium argon dating they're looking for no argon, just potassium as evidence of a melting for the argon gas to escape as a time of resetting the clock. It doesn't work.

Witnessed lava flows dated to be extremely old. In a matter of hours most of the argon can be distilled out. Potassium argon dating gives wildly different numbers and they pick the ones that fit their theory based on the geologic column. They're really dating things by the geologic column. (As noted earlier, they throw out radiometric dates which don't fit their arbitrary geologic column. The column was made in the 1800s, radiometric dating came in the 1900s.)

Evolutionists said a lava flow was 200 million years old but they found a human skull under it so they adjusted it to be 1/2 to 2.5 million years old. 500% error doesn't work, this would be laughed at in a court of law.

The Earth is getting older at the rate of 21 million years per year (41 years per minute) based on how much they keep changing the date to be older and older, because we keep realizing how ridiculous evolution theory is, so they make it longer to account for how ridiculous it is. (Note – recently there's been talk in the scientific community of upgrading the age from 14 billion to 27 billion. No big deal.)

Any **dating method is based on the assumptions** that

1. The original content is known
2. The decay rate is constant
3. The sample has never been contaminated

All these decay rates are based on a downhill slide not uphill; everything is decaying, this is the opposite of evolution; evolutionists need something that is improving.

The Mt. Etna eruption was witnessed, yet it was dated with potassium-argon as 250,000 years old; another witnessed eruption tested 3000, and another witnessed eruption tested 350,000.

A Hawaiian lava flow gave a date of 1.6 million, and another gave a date of 8.5 million years old though it was fresh occurring that day.

See book "The Evolution Cruncher" which gives detail of other dating methods with uranium etc. (Note - creationism.org is a good place to get this and related books).

Have fresh dinosaur bones been found? Absolutely yes.

In Alaska, they found a bed of dinosaur fossils that were not fossilized.

Porous, non-mineralized fresh bones of dinosaurs have been found in Alaska. (Note – soft tissue in dinosaur bones is another big find these days.)

What about human fossils from the flood? At the time of the flood, there were much more plants and animals than people. In the beginning, God made a world full of plant life and animal life but not people; God only made two people. Genesis 6:7 says the purpose of the flood was to destroy man off the Earth. Humans are more intelligent than animals and would have been able to find higher ground to escape the flood until the end when the flood waters covered the whole Earth. Further, humans may have avoided some of the burials that other creatures have experienced, and to fossilize, you have to be buried. (Note: everyone was buried by water.)

The Bible says there were giants in those days, and we have a giant

human thumb bone fossil from near Mount Ararat, where the ark landed in Turkey. (Note – see book *Giants in American: The Smithsonian Cover-up*)

Since humans were bigger before the flood, the bones we find might not be recognized as human. Evolution has a preconceived idea that man used to be small, and we are getting bigger and smarter. Probably exactly the opposite is true: we are getting shorter and dumber.

Where did Noah get pitch to build the ark? Before the Flood, there would not have been oil to make a pitch. However, consider that the 1828 Webster's dictionary was used before oil was used commercially, but they were still making pitch at this time. They made it from pine trees. Companies would make this pitch and sell it to waterproof boats, etc.

Was ancient man stupid? See book “The Puzzle of Ancient Man” by Don Chidic. You can learn a lot in 900 years, that's how long they lived before the flood. Adam demonstrated high intelligence. Adam walked and talked with God until the fall. He was pre-programmed with great knowledge.

Noah's Dad could have known Adam for 56 years. They lived long enough to pass their knowledge on to many generations.

We have an artifact from 1,000 years ago of a miniature airplane, yet the museum labels it as an insect-like object; no, it's a plane. An Egyptian tomb also had a model glider airplane in it from over 2000 years ago.

An ancient iron pot, older than mainstream science allows for, was found. A hammer made mostly of iron with no carbon stainless steel was found in rock, which was supposed to be 400 million years old.

Stones up to 20,000 in a wall perfectly fitting together have been found. The largest crane on Earth today can lift only 3,000 tons. See the book "Secrets of The Lost Races" by Rene Noorbergen. They knew how to do things in the past that we don't know how to do today.

A sunken ship from 100 BC with an analog computing device was found in the Aegean Sea. A battery was found in Iraq from 2000 years ago. We see Egyptian hieroglyphs with men holding wires and a generator.

We have evidence of brain surgery on skulls, which healed up, and people lived from ancient times. An Ica Stone from Peru shows a man undergoing brain surgery.

Another of these Stones shows man and dinosaur together. Others show heart surgery, amputation and artificial limbs. They also could reshape skulls.

Ancients must have had magnifying technology because the Nazca lines of spider 1/8" long have one elongated. At first we thought they were dumb for making this mistake, but upon closer observation, there's a leg thus elongated for mating.

Various artifacts use the "golden mean ratio." Nautilus, pine cone scales, ram horns, and many use that ratio.

The Great Pyramid has a broad way to a pit and a narrow way to the throne room. The king's chamber is an empty tomb. The capstone was never found. There are 144k sealed stones encased in the pyramid.

New Jerusalem may be a pyramid as that's the shape with only one cornerstone.

The dollar bill has been taken over. It says new world order on it. The left eye features a Satanic symbol. The cap is not installed, meaning Lucifer will not be the leader yet.

Note: I am familiar with some symbols having had different meanings in the past, but which symbols in recent times have been twisted into occultic meanings. I do know the US founders were good men. I also know the Federal Reserve (and our dollars are federal reserve notes) is a corrupt institution and was foisted upon Americans. End note.

Was Earth molten in creation? Scripture says the Earth was made as God moved over the waters; this means it was **not a molten mass**.

See Robert Gentry's book "Creation's Tiny Mystery" on radio polonium halos; see Halos.com. It's in granites all over the world, these little tiny rings. It's like a grenade exploding in jello, making those waves that are preserved as polonium decay send off particles that go a certain distance. You end up with a ring of particles.

Molten rock will make this Halo disappear. Polonium has a very short half-life. This original polonium leaving a ring behind demonstrates that **these rocks were never molten**. When people realized that his research went against the Big Bang theory, he lost his funding.

Note: This is a very important point. Without the molten earth, they don't have radiometric dating, which is based on a supposed molten rock clock reset. Without radiometric dating, they don't have old earth. Without the old Earth, they don't have time for evolution. Without evolution, they must confess to God as the creator of all things. It sounds peculiar, but the molten earth theory is VERY important to evolution, and they won't let it go easily. Universal Model Vol. 1 debunks the molten earth theory thoroughly. End note.

Global warming: Do we really need to kill all the babies and save all the whales? See the book "Facts Not Fear." There's no question that

we have hurt the environment, but the government is not the one to fix it.

R12 refrigerant sinks, it doesn't rise. Poke a hole in the can, put a match under it, and it will put the match out as it flows down.

A volcano can produce thousands of more times CO₂ than the history of the modern world's productions.

The real purpose of the environmental movement was to abolish private property, which was Karl Marx's communist plan. The first plank of the Communist Manifesto is to abolish private property.

Does the Green River formation prove an old earth?

Take two pieces of glass with different colored sand in between and flip it, it will automatically sort into different layers. Have only two different densities, and yet still you will get many layers. These layers are not annual deposits or anything else.

The **layers are proof of moving water**. Sometimes between the same event horizon they find different layers; this further proves that supposedly same layers are not the same.

What about the Mars rock? They think some rock found by one of the poles is from Mars and that there is evidence of bacteria in the rock that once lived there. Mars is very far away from Earth; the closest we ever get is 50,000 million miles. If Earth was the size of a 4-inch tomato, Mars would be the size of a 2-inch tomato, and these two tomatoes would be a third of a mile apart. The idea that something hit Mars knocked the chunk off, and that chunk flew all the way to Earth and landed is silly!

They don't know if this rock was really from Mars, how it would have bacteria on it that survive the vacuum of space, what it would be, and how would it survive entry and reentry.

NASA was looking for something to do to make itself look useful because its grant money was stalled in Congress at the time, so they worked on this rock. The announcement of this Mars rock finding brought them the immediate funding. It was found to be a normal carbonate crystal, but NASA kept the grant money.

Hovind does not think there is life on any planet except Earth because the scripture says that Eve is the mother of all living.

Note: Some people believe that there could be life on other worlds, and that this statement is referring to the local creation of this world. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: I say that this scripture only means that she is the mother of all living on this planet or that Eve in Eden was named after her mother, who is also named Eve. She is obviously not the mother of God the Father, and God is living and came from a prior planet. The Bible and modern revelation indicate that God has made worlds without end that have people on them. This is the work of God! End note.

Could God have used Evolution to create? No, not at all. The god of the Bible does not use evolution to create. The God who would need to use evolution is cruel, wasteful, stupid, and deceitful. God does not use misfits, blind chance, and death to create. God gets it right the first time. Natural selection is the most blind and cruel method of evolution. The struggle for life and the elimination of the weakest. All modern ethics revolt against this. An ideal society is a non-selective society where the weak are protected. The reverse of the so-called natural law. It is surprising that a Christian would defend this process as the means that God used. The Bible says waste not. The evolution God is not someone who is loving and cares about his creations. Darwin said the war of nature, famine, and death is how we get evolution.

Note: How could it be any more clear that evolution is the church of the devil? Why have so many bought into this cult of death worship? End note.

Clear evidence shows that there was a creator.

Note: This is very important; it is actually plain and obvious to tell that there is a Creator and that things are just right for life; it is only by supernatural evil power that so many have been deceived into disbelieving in the Creator! End note.

Scripture says **God made Man in his image**, so anyone wanting to say that **God used evolution is a heretic**.

If God has to use evolution, he is retarded; he does not know what he wants; he's just playing around and seeing what happens.

Evolution eliminates the need for the death of Christ.

Evolution teaches exactly the opposite of the Bible:

- The Bible says death was brought by man, and evolution says man was brought by death.
- The Bible says Earth before the sun; evolution says Sun before the Earth.
- The Bible says oceans before land; evolution says land before oceans.
- The Bible has light before the sun; evolution has the sun before the light.
- The Bible has land plants first; evolution has marine life first.
- The Bible has fruit trees before fish; evolution has fish before fruit trees.
- Bible has fish before insects; evolution has a backward.
- The Bible has plants before the sun; evolution is backward.
- The Bible has marine mammals before land mammals; evolution has a backward.
- The Bible has birds before reptiles; evolution has it backward.

-The Bible has an atmosphere between two layers of water; evolution says the atmosphere is above water.



VS



BIBLE:	EVOLUTION:
<i>Earth before sun & stars.</i>	<i>Sun & stars before Earth.</i>
<i>Oceans before land.</i>	<i>Land before ocean</i>
<i>Light before sun.</i>	<i>Sun before light.</i>
<i>Land plants before marine life.</i>	<i>Marine life before plants.</i>
<i>Fruit trees before fish.</i>	<i>Fish before fruit trees.</i>
<i>Fish before insects.</i>	<i>Insects before fish.</i>
<i>Plants before sun.</i>	<i>Sun before plants.</i>
<i>Birds before reptiles.</i>	<i>Reptiles before birds.</i>
<i>Man brought death into the world.</i>	<i>Death brought man into the world.</i>
<i>God made man.</i>	<i>Man made God.</i>

(Genesis 1)

The 1828 dictionary said “heresy” is basically a fundamentally wrong doctrine. A heretic is someone who teaches heresy.

The Bible teaches a 6-day creation, other philosophies are heretical.

Note: I think the one exception would be the 6000-year creation possibility, as scripture says 1 day to God equates to 1000 years to man (2 Peter 3:8). Hovind is aware of but isn't a fan of this theory, claiming those passages

aren't about creation, and furthermore, Hovind spiritualizes the 1:1000 ratio, claiming it just means that there's no time with God. But there are other possibilities. For one, Adam was told in the day he eats the fruit he would die, and Adam lived to be almost 1000 years, meaning he died within the 'day' he ate the fruit. This would make earth now 7000 years of creation, plus 6000 years since Eden, being in total about 13000 years old. This is one theory, the six 24-hour day theory could also be true. But we all agree, the world isn't millions of years old, certainly not billions. 7 days or 7000 years, both are very different than billions, and do not allow for man to evolve. Really no amount of time would allow for man to evolve. 2+2 will always equal 4, no matter how long you keep redoing the equation. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: The 1000:1 ratio is apparently the time on God's planet. (JST 2 Peter 3:8; Facs. 2 Fig. 1; Abr. 3:6-11). End note.

Just because someone is a heretic in one area doesn't mean you can't learn something from them; eat the meat and throw out the bones; read books from people you disagree with if they have something good to learn.

Note: This is true, and a wise student will cautiously do this, or he will be kept in great ignorance. It is obvious that we must all work together, even from different backgrounds, including different religions. Hovind also understands that there are various views among creation scientists, and he often does well in pointing out the various views and then stating his own, as would be expected of anyone. This is the proper way to go about researching. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Joseph Smith taught people to bring the truth they have and join the saints, not to discard what they have. Joseph also hired a Jewish Hebrew scholar to teach Hebrew at the School of the Prophets. End note.

Which religion is right? The Bible says not to be carried around with every wind of doctrine. Some religious ideas sound great when you

first hear them, but you have to search the scriptures. Jacob tricked his blind father; that's where the expression of pulling the wool over someone's eyes comes from. Beware feelings above the word of God.

Note: But also don't place your understanding of what a text says above what the Holy Ghost is prompting you toward. End note.

A pilot can be flying through a cloud and be going down even though they feel like they're going straight.

Note: of course, the conversion by the Holy Ghost is not just feelings; it's not something you can conjure, and it is very important because God's ways are higher than our ways, and sometimes we don't understand. If we just rely on our understanding of a book, we are relying on the arm of flesh. Ask a person to conjure up the 'feelings' of the Holy Ghost, and they can't do it – it's not something we can manipulate at will; it's beyond us. End note.

It's not the Bible and science that have a conflict, it's the Bible and evolution that have a conflict.

Note: It's not science versus religion; it's science versus evolution. End note.

The Catholic Church has said that its official position is evolution.

See the book "The Prophet" about how the Catholic church was involved in the creation of Islam to try and get the Holy Land back.

The sign that Muhammad was the Muslim Prophet was a mole on his back.

The Quran is loaded with things that are scientifically and spiritually inaccurate. It says those who leave Islam or encourage others to do so

should be seized and slain. That all who oppose the message should be killed.

See the book "Who is This Allah" by Moshe. Also, see the book "Islam: America's Trojan Horse" by Don Boys.

The Muslims are determined to fight and kill the Jews by their own admission.

Muslims say the devil lives in the nose at night, so they wash their nose in the morning.

Muslims say you can't look up while you pray, or your eyes will get snatched away.

Don't be anti-anybody; if someone is 99% right and 1% wrong, praise them for the 99% and correct them for the one (and the other way around).

Muslims bomb a lot of stuff - you can't be a good Muslim without wanting to kill people who aren't Muslim.

Note: That may be taking it too far, I'm not sure that the Muslim community looks down on those who don't want to do violent jihad, though it does appear to be a tenant of their faith. There are many good Muslim people, but I don't believe their religion is very inspired. There are good fruits, but too many bad ones. I hope that the faith of Islam can reform and be more respectful of human rights. Dennis Prager in Still the Best Hope does a good treatment of Islam, their problems, and how they can reform to become a positive influence. End note.

Are there contradictions in the bible? The Bible speaks of plant and animal made before man and later in Genesis 2 it talks about man and then plants and then animals.

Note: It's possible that Genesis 1 was the spiritual creation, and Genesis 2 was the physical creation. *End note.*

Hovind says Genesis 2 is about what happened in the garden, not the whole world. That God makes the plants that are good for food at that point and one more of each animal so Adam could name them.

Hovind claims there are no contradictions in Scripture.

Note: I believe certain scribes and people messed some things up at times. *End note.*

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: That's why we have the Joseph Smith translation to correct and enhance it. *End note.*

He goes over a few more supposed contradictions that bible bashers throw out and shows that they really aren't contradictions.

He would not want to defend any version of the Bible in a debate other than King James.

The Latin Vulgate Bible translation is based on the wrong manuscript from a cult with Origen and Alexandrian manuscripts. It's a similar case with the Greek text, and many modern Bible versions are from this.

See the book "New Age Bible Versions."

What is God like? Hovind says you simply can't understand God at all.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: I say God is an exalted man with flesh and bone and feelings. The loss of this plain and precious truth is part of why Christ's church had to be restored. *End note.*

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: Hovind talks about how God is in all places at all times and it is true that God is omnipresent, but this is not by him having no body, this is by him having receptors programmed into all the atoms everywhere so he gets instant feedback from everything. End note.

Race? There are no different races; there's only the human race, and we all have different skin colors.

Note: Hovind presents some misconstrued and outdated ideas about race and Mormonism. Though some opinions on this have varied in the past, the official position of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints is that all people are equal in the eyes of God, regardless of race. End note.

Hovind thinks the skin colors came as a result of the Tower of Babel incident.

Genesis says the tongues and the nations were the result of the Tower of Babel incident. Those of the same language would have to intermarry within that tribe.

See the book "After the Flood" by Bill Cooper.

Ham became the Africans, Japheth became the Europeans, and those of Shem became the Orientals.

Note: Universal Model Vol. 2 further vindicates this idea. End note.

The Chinese word for flood is the symbols of boat, eight, and mouth put together.

See the book "God's Promise to the Chinese."

Mitochondrial Eve – mother of the whole human race - they said at

first she lived 200,000 years ago, then they had to reconsider more evidence that she lived only 6,000 years ago. Then they said, 'We know that can't be right,' so they kept searching.

Note: Scientists have also found that parents of the entire human race existed only a few thousand years ago. Here is a quote: "If molecular evolution is really neutral at these sites, a high mutation rate would indicate that Eve lived about 6500 years ago – a figure clearly incompatible with current theories on human origins." (Mitochondrial Eve, TREE, vol. 12, No. 11, November 1997, p422) The Nature Journal echoed these facts when it said, "Simulations based on a model of human population history and geography find that an individual that is the genealogical ancestor of all living humans existed just a few thousand years ago." (John Hein, Nature, 30 September 2004, p518) End note.

Cloning? This doesn't make anything new. There were 277 failures in the attempt to make the sheep clone Dolly. It was very expensive, and this sheep aged much faster than normal and died early. It was a \$250,000 boondoggle.

Why did God make poisonous serpents? Copperhead snakes raised in a hyperbaric chamber and an increased magnetic field after a few weeks were no longer poisonous. This could have been pre-flood condition (*Note: or pre-fall condition End note.*)

Electric shock through the body neutralizes the poison bite. Some people have devices where they shock the bite sites of poisonous spiders and snakes and they're able to return to work. If more than 30 minutes has passed connect one probe to each side of the limb at the bite site and deliver shock. You can also use a spark plug to shock it.

See Wyattmuseum.com for a potential Noah's Ark finding.

Bigfoot? He has heard numerous reports of this, which do not seem to be explainable.

Note: If they exist, they are some sort of abomination, and are not to be explained by the evolution fairy tale. End note.

Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: It was taught early in the church by some that Bigfoot is Cain. I've also heard reports of these strange creatures, and it remains a mystery. End note.

Nephilim? Hovind thinks these are the 1/3 fallen angels.

Note: They may have merely been apostate men, but something supernatural may be the case; the mystery of the giants (which the Bible admits several times did exist (as in Gen. 6:4, Num. 13:33, 1 Sam. 17:4, 2 Sam. 21:20, and dozens of other passages) remains an enigma. It does appear that unauthorized mixing took place between giants from somewhere and women of Earth. End note.

Some claim the Nephilim were Seth's line. There is no evidence that it is Seth's line that is godly; these people also drown in the flood, and it is not uncommon for people to marry ungodly people; this would not produce the giant race. It was not Seth's line that was good and Cain's line that was bad, everyone was bad, that's why the flood was sent to take away all human life.

There may have been some sort of strange mixing of people and strange abilities, but these were all wiped out in the flood, and they are perhaps the source of Greek legends of gods.

Note: Hovind could be referencing the book The Genesis 6 Conspiracy, which goes into many of these topics of giants, Nephilim, and how they may be related to ancient legends. The book clearly had some incorrect ideas, but other interesting ideas. End note.

UFOs? See book “UFO End Time Delusion” by David Allen Lewis. See the book “UFO 666.” See Chuck Missler's alien encounter. See The Cosmic Conspiracy by Dan Deyo. Hovind makes several other book recommendations.

UFOs could be secret technology. They could be weather balloons. Most authorities on the subject say they are government top secret, or demonic.

Note: The reports of UFO sightings cannot be dismissed. Just what they are is unknown. Another possibility which Hovind doesn't mention is that they are crafts with android pilots from the Lost Ten Tribes to protect Earth from nuclear self-destruction, as several accounts report. I think it's a strong possibility that some are good, others are evil, and others still are merely reverse-engineered government bots. Steven Greer's Unacknowledged book and documentary are good places to learn about military sightings, but I'm uninterested in Greer's cooky “CE5” techniques to voluntarily seek UFO encounters. End note.

How long were Adam and Eve and Eden? Hovind thinks that Cain and Abel could have been born while Adam and Eve were still in Eden.

Note: The account of Cain and Abel being born is in Genesis 4, after Adam and Eve are expelled from Eden. For this reason, many believe that children weren't born to Adam and Eve until after the fall. There may have been special changes that took place at the fall which brought children. End note.

*Note: Latter-day Saint Commentary: The notion that Adam and Eve bore children while still in Eden cannot be correct, they could not have seed until they fell, that's why they chose to fall. 2 Nephi 2:22 in the Book of Mormon reads, “...**all things which were created must have remained in the same state** in which they were after they were created, and they must have remained forever, and had no end. 23 **And they would have had no children; ...**” Additionally, 2 Nephi 2:25 makes it extremely clear that*

children came only after the fall. It reads, "Adam fell that men might be." The fact that a change came to their bodies after the fall has been repeatedly taught among the latter-day saints. End note.

The words made and created are interchangeable in scripture. We have many references to God making trees and animals, and man creating trees and animals and other men.

Moses crossing the Red Sea - they weren't just waiting through water, it was a miracle where there were walls of water deep water on both sides.

They found a pillar erected by King Solomon in 1000 BC to commemorate the crossing of the Red Sea at the place where they crossed. You have to go through a narrow passage of mountains, and then you end up on a large beach, and there's no escape. That's when they have to cross. Most of the Red Sea is very deep, but there's one place where there's an underwater land bridge that is only 900 feet deep. People scuba diving there found chariots with no wheels, separated wheels, skeletons covered in coral, etc. The same type of chariot wheels that were used at that time were found there.

See the Wyatt Museum.

What is commonly accepted as Mt. Sinai is just from some random Venetian princess who said, 'I think that's Mt. Sinai,' but it's probably not. Galatians 4:24-25 says Mount Sinai is in Arabia. At the bottom of this real Mount Sinai, there's a wall drawing of a calf.

When Moses smote the rock it watered millions of people and lots of animals; it couldn't be a little stream, it was a major gushing out fountain, it had to be a river. On site there is a big boulder as large as a five-story building split in half. On both sides there are erosion marks.

Golf ball-sized pure sulfur stones fell on the city of Sodom, turning it to ash.

Wisdom teeth are not evidence of evolution; it's just that we used to be taller and live longer. Ancients used their wisdom teeth.

Note: How ironic that we call them wisdom teeth, the ancient wise ones used them and we cannot! End note.

See DrDino.com for videos of debates, homeschool resources, etc.

Kent Hovind Smashes Atheist "Professor Dave Explains" in Debate on Creation Science – Highlights

Here is a really fun debate between "Professor Dave" (atheist scientist) and Dr. Kent Hovind (Christian young earth creationist).

<https://youtu.be/lbB7AhwaZy8>

And no Dave doesn't destroy Hovind as the video title claims! It's on Dave's channel and the audience is mostly atheist, and oddly, they let Dave keep ranting after the debate is over and Kent has left.

Notice how whenever Kent brings up a good point, Dave just claims it's unrelated when it totally is. Dave claims that Kent avoids questions, but Dave continuously shuts down Kent by simply claiming that what Kent said isn't related, and Dave makes no real response.

Notice how Dave repeatedly claims that Kent "doesn't understand science" when Kent repeatedly demonstrates an understanding of science. Kent gives an overview and points out how stupid and non-

scientific evolutionary science is, and dogmatic "scientists" can't handle that.

Notice Dave spews off many theories on how life COULD have begun on Earth, yet Dr. Hovind calls Dave out to demonstrate any one of them in a lab, and Dave is stuck.

Dave makes a claim that a scientist made life in a lab, Kent looks it up on the spot and it's just some guy who copied already existing life.

Dave claims mutations occur in meiosis, which allows for evolution, but this mutation is very limited, as is evident by things not crossing to entirely different obvious species.

Dave claims Dr. Hovind is close minded viewing the world from the bible, but fails to see that he himself is just a parrot of mainstream theories. Dave fussed about Dr. Hovind not giving an inch, but when does Dave give an inch?

Notice Dave can't connect the dots of how strange it is that a rock evolved into a human.

Notice that Dave is quite rude, and hilariously, Dr. Hovind dishes some of it back at him!

This is a dose of reality and truth that Dave was NOT ready for, more than he had ever heard in his life, and the dark couldn't perceive the light.

Notice how Dave mocks Dr. Hovind for teaching at a private school, yet "professor" Dave isn't a real professor, just a teacher.

Dave repeatedly expresses his view that the bible is writing of immoral, uneducated fools.

Dave claims that Dr. Hovind is avoiding defining a kind or species, but Dr. Hovind makes obvious statements that, for example, a dog won't turn into anything but a dog, yet Dave reels and gnashes his teeth at this.

Dave claims genealogical science could prove we are related to an amoeba, but prove it; it can't.

Dr. Hovind points out that complex systems don't design themselves, as is evident by the things we make. Dave rails at this and says nature isn't like what we build, but isn't it? Nature is all the more complex and all the more impossible to make itself.

Dave claims snowflakes make themselves but fails to realize the systems for those were also by design.

There are many other ways that Dr. Kent Hovind and creationism won the debate!

[Links to Kent Hovind's Seminars Online etc.](#)

Dr. Hovind hasn't written many books, he goes by the adage that people learn 80% with their eyes and 20% with their ears, so he uses tons of fun pictures in his presentations. His seminar presentations are his main creation.

DrDino.com is his official website where you can get a thumb drive sent for a reasonable price with all his seminar presentation slides (which are over 1000 slides each), his college lecture series, debates, etc.

YouTube has some of his material, but his channel was recently taken down. But some others have posted some things. He is open about how his lectures are used, he just wants the information out.

DrDino.com also points you to where you can see Hovind's ongoing work for a reasonable subscription.

See <https://www.drdino.com/media> which lists the several channels of Dr. Hovind with extensive free videos.

Rumble: <https://rumble.com/c/kenthovindofficial>

Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/kenthovindofficial>

He is also on X, Instagram, etc.

Creationism.org is a place with much of his material free in audio and video files, including many the seminar series in many foreign languages.

The Few Remaining Kent Hovind Creationist Lectures On YouTube

YouTube took down Dr. Hovind's channel, usual reason, too much truth. Here are some remaining fragments still on YouTube. (He also has a Rumble channel where he regularly uploads his shows etc.)

Kent Hovind invites people to share his material (he isn't in it for the money, the mark of a true preacher; he wants the message to get out every way it can), so if you can, upload some of his videos to your channels.

Main 7pt Lecture Series:

[\(117\) The Age of the Earth - Kent Hovind Seminar 1 - YouTube](#) (part of a 7pt series.)

[\(117\) Seminar 2 - The Garden Of Eden by Kent Hovind - YouTube](#)

[\(117\) Seminar 3 - Dinosaurs And The Bible - YouTube](#)

(Here's a better version

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q2zkJGI_MbM)

[\(117\) Seminar 4 - Lies In The Textbook - YouTube](#)

[\(117\) Creation Science Evangelism CSE BIBLE 2003 SEMINAR 5 by Dr Kent Hovind The Dangers Of Evolution 1 - YouTube](#)

[\(117\) Creation Science Evangelism CSE BIBLE 2003 SEMINAR 6 by Dr Kent Hovind The Hovind Theory - YouTube](#)

[\(117\) CSE Seminar 7\(2003\) Questions and Answers by Kent Hovind - YouTube](#)

[\(117\) Creation Science Evangelism CSE BIBLE 2003 SEMINAR 7 by Dr Kent Hovind Questions And Answers 2 - YouTube](#)

Debates:

Hovind vs "Professor Dave" [\(117\) Professor Dave Destroys Kent Hovind \(Young Earth Creationism Debunk\) - YouTube](#)

[\(117\) 3 evolutionists vs 1 creationist - YouTube](#)

Other seminars:

- [\(117\) Is creation or evolution stupid? - facts & truth by Dr Kent Hovind - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) CSE Seminar 6\(2003\) The Hovind Theory by Kent Hovind - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) Creation Seminar | Part 1 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) Creation Seminar | Part 2 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) 19 March 2016 Saturday - Kent Hovind Conference Part 1 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) 19 March 2016 Saturday - Kent Hovind Conference Part 2 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) 19 March 2016 Saturday - Kent Hovind Conference Part 3 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) 19 March 2016 Saturday - Kent Hovind Conference Part 4 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) 19 March 2016 Saturday - Kent Hovind Conference Part 5 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) 20 March 2016 Sunday - Kent Hovind Conference Part 6 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) "In the Beginning" Seminar by Dr. Kent Hovind Session 2 - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) Childrens Video About Dinosaurs by Kent Hovind - YouTube](#)
- [\(117\) Nails by Kent Hovind - YouTube](#)
- Another version: [\(117\) Creation Science Evangelism CSE BIBLE 2003 SEMINAR 5 by Dr Kent Hovind The Dangers Of Evolution 1 - YouTube](#)
- See also [DrDino.com](#) for a few materials available for purchase, such as thumb drives with his lectures and slides.

Kent Hovind is innocent series:

https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL78fbqBIYRGodhQcxXs7yKB_mQFdCXx5g

Additional Evolution Challengers

Ark in the Darkness Documentary Highlights



The ark structure is ideal; large ships today have similar structures.

Only about 7000 animals would have to be on the ark.

The Hebrew flood word is "Mabul." It appears only twice: in the flood of Noah and in Psalm 29:10, which says God sat as king at the flood.

Gen. 6 has 60x repeated words like "all" and "every," showing Noah's flood was global.

A local flood couldn't last that long.

If the flood had been local, the ark would not have been needed, all the animals would not have needed to be on it, and Noah's family could have just walked away.

Note: If it were a local flood, the covenant never to repeat such would be violated repeatedly by now. Animals wouldn't need to board the ark.

Hundreds of world legends wouldn't attest to the worldwide flood. Hundreds of pieces of geological evidence wouldn't suggest a worldwide flood (look at Universal Model Science's chapter on geological evidence for the flood). End note.

The judgment on mankind was great "on the earth," so it wasn't a local flood. (Note – the covenant was established with Noah because he was the last man standing.)

A mere local judgment in the past means that the future Jesus would be merely local. No, both are worldwide (according to the scriptures).

Note: The fire to come will also be universal. End note.

You can't find Eden because it's buried under 1000s of feet of sediment. The pre-flood world was destroyed.

Mainstream scientists accept that there was a global flood on now dry Mars, but they refuse it for Earth, despite Earth being already mostly underwater. Earth is 70 percent covered in water.

Note: This refusal to admit a worldwide flood on Earth, despite the evidence of it in nature, must be motivated by atheism. Vindicating the Bible in such a big way would be unthinkable to establishment science. End note.

In phase 1 of the flood, the mid-ocean ridge bulges, water rises a mile, and a tsunami occurs as it erupts.

If trenches weren't so deep and some mountains less high, our world would all be underwater today.

Antonio Pellegrini, a Christian, came up with continental drift decades before Wegener. Antonio cited Genesis, which states that there was only one continent at the time of creation.


Mid-Atlantic ridges are scars from where the great deep opened.

Each large tsunami would bring another layer of sediment. These tsunamis could cover entire continents.


Dinosaurs are found in fossil beds with thousands of animals. There aren't erosion channels (indicating rivers); a powerful flood would have killed them.

There are buried trees that span several layers of sediment supposedly millions of years old. Trees can't stay intact for millions of years; if they were buried a little at a time, they would decompose. The Grand Canyon layers could have been deposited in a mere year!

Note: The Grand Canyon layers are missing organic material between the layers, and diverse fossil life between the layers. These would be evident if the layers were deposited over large periods of time. 600 million years of deposition should reveal billions of plant and animal fossils, but they're not there! End note.



Grand Canyon - Missing Layers



(Images: Universal Model Science Textbooks)

Fossil trees are missing their root systems because they were transported in tsunamis. Being bottom-heavy, they sank bottom down and were buried, thus standing.

Sea creature fossils are mixed with land creatures; they all were swept together in the flood.



Earth is mainly made of water-formed sedimentary rock layers.

Bended and folded rock could only occur if the layers were soft and pliable at their formation. **Bottom layers still had to be saturated with water without time to dry out.**

Sedimentary layers span entire continents, showing they were formed simultaneously. Sediment from the east is found in the west.

Complete rapid burial is needed for fossilization, which suggests cataclysmic events. There are trillions of fossils, yet normally, dead things decompose into dust.

Note: A special environment had to be present for quartz-based rock to be formed, which is what most fossils are. Mere calcification is not what we are dealing with in the fossil record. Only the massive flood of Noah created the exact water-pressure-heat recipe to turn bones and trees into quartz-based rock. Universal Model Science textbooks have fascinating chapters on this and demonstrate the creation of a quartz-based petrified wood fossil in a laboratory. These experiments indicate that the flood was indeed very deep, to the tune of 5 miles! End note.

Water percolated into the flood sediment, bringing minerals needed for fossilization.

Note: Remember that massive amounts of sediment are being shot up from the 'fountains of the deep.' End note.

Wasp fossils are seen with open wings and legs in flight position - they were flying to escape and were trapped. We have fossils of fish-eating other fish. Fossilization was rapid and catastrophic!

All layers have saltwater creatures.

Asteroids causing dust and climate change death wouldn't bury the dinosaurs. The asteroid was invented 40 years ago when the previous theory didn't work.

Note: Many scientists are beginning to admit that flooding caused the extinction of the dinosaurs. Yep, it was Noah's flood! This enormous one-time event changed the world in ways we scarcely comprehend. The Universal Model Science textbooks contain many quotations of mainstream scientists making these admissions. End note.

Volcanism and water are what Genesis says happened in Noah's flood, killing all the animals via volcanic openings from the ocean floors. But secular humanists say it was a meteor impact.

Box turtles, ducks, and boa constrictors—all seven groups of animals—have been **found with the dinosaurs. Museums won't show modern animals in dinosaur displays.** They want you to think these animals didn't coexist, but that evolution occurred instead.

Soft tissue in dinosaur bones smelling of purification is a recent discovery that mainstream science doesn't want to get out. These dinosaur bones have elastic material, muscle tissue, and red blood cells on them. These can't be old, 100,000 years tops, yet these are supposed to be tens of millions of years old. Sixteen types of biogenic material are found on these dinosaur bones. (*Note: It's not just bacteria that got on the bone like some scoffers claim. End note.*) Collagen lasts .001% as long as evolution requires. Scientists publishing this get fired for promoting religious views, but it's just publishing scientific evidence.

Note: Evolution strikes again! If your findings contradict that narrative, they'll be buried until we 'emerge' from the dark age of evolution! End note.

Dragon legends are about the remaining dinosaurs that were hunted.

Science proves a genetic bottleneck of the human population, as we would see from Noah's family repopulating the earth.

There are about 200 flood traditions, very similar to the Bible account, with a family surviving on a boat from a flood sent by God. These traditions are even from places far from the ocean.

Genesis 1-11 takes place before mankind scattered, and people have

legends of these shared events of creation, the flood, and then the tower of Babel.

The tower of Babel was a one-world government building project that God stopped by confusing the languages.

There's only one race; we are all from Adam. This inspires love for all.

Language families lead to dead ends, which contradicts the 'emerged' evolutionary language idea. Languages trace back to the time of the Tower of Babel.

At the time of the Tower of Babel, there were 70 nation groups. Today we have that many root languages.

People were surprised at the flood, and the second coming of Jesus will mirror this surprise. The flood was a judgment similar to what will come.

Public square teachings are against marriage and promote all forms of sexual deviation.


The Bible records predicted events that happened exactly as predicted. Jesus came to Earth at the only time all the prophecies about the Messiah could be fulfilled.

The sin of one man brought death to all. Sinlessness of one man brings life to all.

There was only 1 door into the ark, and there's only 1 way to salvation, which is Jesus Christ.

See Dr. John Baumgardner, Dr. Andrew Fabich, Dr. Gabriella Haynes, Dr. Mark Horstemeyer, Dr Charles Jackson, Dr. Terry Mortenson, Dr.

Randall price, the Logos research Association, Dr. Andrew Selling, Dr. Carl Werner.



Dragons or Dinosaurs? Creation or Evolution? By Darek Isaacs – Documentary Highlights & Commentary

Produced by Cloud Ten Pictures. These notes are in my own words and do not represent all the ideas in the presentation.

See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zgLDE_6TepM

The word dinosaur was invented after the Bible was published. They use jackal now instead of dragon because of the fear of evolutionists, but the word should be translated as dinosaur based on the descriptions of historians.

There is much lore of dragons across cultures.

One Indian legend said a giant bird that lived in the mountaintops would bring thunder when it visited them. For a bird to live on the mountaintops, it would need the updraft from a thunderstorm to get there, hence the Indians' saying it was a bird that brought lightning.

Many things that existed in the supposed age of dinosaurs are still around today, like Oaks and other trees.

We find most fossils in sediment deposited by water, like in Noah's flood, when suddenly massive amounts of water came. Most bones are very scattered since they are devoured when they fall to the floor of the ocean. Also, calcium carbonate is soluble in seawater. Hence, forming fossils is a rare thing to happen.

Note: But the near-complete skeletons and fossil graveyards indicate rapid burial. End note.

Mt. Saint Helens formed many layers of sediment, not taking hundreds of years to form but one day. This surprised geologists.

Measure current lava flow from Hawaii, and you won't get 0 years old, but ancient.

As one study, RATE, shows, radioactive decay rates have been increased in certain periods of history.

The presentation goes over evidence for the Earth being about 6000 years old. (about 50min in).

Note: Earth could be 13k with a 7k creation, applying the 1:1000 ratio that appears several times in scripture. Either way, it's a finite short amount of time, not the fantastical millions of years dreamed up by evolutionists to attempt to justify, or rather mask, their godless claims. End note.

Lava flow in a canyon (that is younger than the canyon) is measured as older than the canyon. More C14 discussion is presented.

The presentation goes over dinosaur bones found with blood cells in them; this is fresh marrow with soft blood vessels. This could not be if that animal died many years ago!

Charles Lyell said, "I am sure you may get into Q.R. [Quarterly Review] what will **free the science from Moses**, for if treated seriously, the party are quite prepared for it." (June 14 letter to George Poulett Scrope)

These teachings brought on statements such as, "Lyell saw himself as **the spiritual saviour of geology**, freeing the science from the **old dispensation of Moses.**" (Porter, Roy S. (July 1976). "Charles Lyell and the Principles of the History of Geology". The British Journal for the History of Science. **32** (2): 91–103.)

If life were to go from microbes to man, it would take **more like googol years** than billions of years; evolutionists say billions of years is **a way of saying an impossible thing can happen.**

They say that over billions of years, anything is possible, but would you claim a person could **win the lottery daily for 100 years**? This is the type of claim evolutionists make.

Evolutionists say the simplest life was long ago, like a jellyfish, but they actually have about as much DNA as we do. (Not so simple, are they?)

If you want to say things are by chance in being formed, what is the difference between billions of years vs. thousands of years?

There should be millions of species between other species in evolution, but there are not. Darwin's stages of animals, etc., are no longer what we use.

Note: Remember this key from Meyer in 'Darwin's Doubt:': With how much we know about the fossil record now, we can't claim that these transitional fossils might be out there somewhere. It's like reaching into a bag of marbles and pulling out blue, red, and yellow. At first, you think the whole rainbow might be in there, but as you keep pulling out marbles and only get the same three colors, you can't keep saying that it's likely the whole rainbow is in there, much less the whole spectrum of colors between each color! End note.

Newton, Boyle, Maxwell, Faraday, Carver, Pasteur—all these were Christian Bible-believing people. They helped open us to more science than most.

Note: I encountered a rather upset fellow once who rejoiced that religion is no longer involved in science. I can see where he is coming from, but the larger reality is that 1. Christianity gave us science as we know it, calling for a study of nature as a predictable, reliable thing made by a single God abiding by fixed laws, and 2. The spirit of God inspired godly men in the past to lead to the greatest discoveries. Today, we have fewer discoveries about nature, and many false theories prevail in our atheistic climate, without the spirit of God (who is Truth) to help us navigate. 3. Today we are ever seeking and never finding the truth. Sure, we are making advancements in technology, but no new laws of nature are being discovered, and therefore, many aspects of nature's puzzle remain unsolved. End note.

Job 40 says, "I made (this beast of beasts) **along with you.**" Some Hebrew experts say it was the largest land animal God made. It was said to have a tail like a Cedar tree. Consider the Cedars of Lebanon, they are huge. Another place says arms like great bars of iron.

Job 41 describes Leviathan as leaving a trail in the mud that shatters pots, etc. God describes it as having layers of shields with no gaps between them and breathing fire. There is a beetle that shoots hot liquid at things, the electric eel that electrocutes, and the cobra that shoots poison into the eye. There is a hollow part in the dinosaur's body with an unknown function; it could be for mixing chemicals to make fire.

Were dinosaurs on Noah's ark? The average size of a dinosaur is that of a goat. Animals were on the boat so they could reproduce. Science today teaches that they could reproduce at age 8 to 10, so young dinosaurs would have been brought. Many of them would have been wiped out by the flood.

There are legends of hunting dragons; that is one way there are fewer of them.

The ice age after Noah's flood could have killed many dinosaurs, as well.

Note: There are many ice age theories, ranging from many to none. End note.

Many advocate that humans came into existence randomly without a Creator, and they often do this, so they don't have to be accountable to a Creator. When Christ returns, evolutionary theory will utterly disappear.

Note: And we won't just say 'God used evolution.' The timetables are unnecessary when you're dealing with supernatural creation. Jesus instantly turns water into wine, heals the sick, calms the storm, etc. One by one, every aspect of the theory of evolution will fall into oblivion as the truth shines forth. End note.

Evolutionary theory is driven by paradigms, not by evidence.

The Appellation and the Himalayan mountains were formed by the flood. Fossils are present in them because animals were crushed in the flood.

Note: Another theory is that the waters went high above these mountains. Maybe it's some of both. End note.

Today, one of the biggest reasons they can't believe in Jesus is because of what they teach in science class in school; **based on what they teach in school, the Bible does not make sense!**


Today's science is proving that processes that were thought to take millions of years can be done in very short periods of time.

Note: This is true from fossilization to coal formation to layer deposition to canyon formation, etc. End note.

Man's views and opinions are always changing; rest your hopes and views on God's wisdom, not man's.

Forensic scientists don't see crimes; they make conclusions and suggestions on what could have happened. The judge and jury will determine the case by eyewitness. This is what the Bible does for us.

Review of Universal Model: A New Millennial Science Textbooks Vols. 1 & 2 by Dean Sessions



I've never seen a science work, even a creation science work, make religion so obviously scientific. The Universal Model makes a strong case for religion as a reasonable central thing that goes with science.

One strength of UM is that it demonstrates the 7000-year creation as set forth in scripture (such as 2 Peter 3:8), and shows, by citing many academics and conducting experiments, that these doctrines are what science naturally demonstrates. Even if you view days of creation as shorter than this, UM has tremendous resources to disprove evolution's millions and billions of years propaganda.

Ch. 1-4 Introduction: This prepares readers to understand that modern science is significantly flawed. People might initially see the "big picture of modern science" (against Darwin and Einstein) without seeing the evidence and automatically reject UM (of course, it's inherently hard to share new ideas with the world, and we can't get everyone on board).

Ch. 5 The Magma Pseudotheory – In Chapter 7 on water, we see lots of answers which the magma chapter poses. The diagrams and images were extra helpful in dismantling the magma theory.

Ch. 6 The Rock Cycle Pseudotheory –These writings are writings preparatory for the flood chapter and require an understanding of the magma pseudo-theory.

Ch. 7 The Hydroplanet Model – Revolutionary findings to finally prove the old water-planet idea held by people long ago. Here, the magma mysteries are answered.

Ch. 8, The Universal Flood Model—This chapter addresses the mysteries posed in Chapter 6 on the rock cycle. Hundreds of geological evidences are given for the worldwide flood.

Ch. 9 The Weather Model – This research clarifies confusing meteorology. There were exciting concepts here, particularly the geofield.

Ch. 10, The Age Model—This chapter exposes flaws in radiometric dating and provides numerous excellent examples. I particularly enjoyed the sections on DNA and dendrochronology. This chapter demonstrates true dating methods and exposes false ones. I appreciate the one-day-to-1,000-year conversion from Scripture (2 Peter 3:8 etc.) being applied to and evident in scientific research.


This chapter will open people’s eyes to how shaky modern science is since the age of the Earth is so dogmatically promoted.

The Earth’s core is crucial for determining the Earth’s age once all the pieces are put together.

Ch. 11 The Fossil Model – Most are surprised to hear of the flood fossilizing everything, UM nailed how it happened by successful experimentation. All of UM is anti-evolution, albeit in different aspects of that battle.

Ch. 12 The Evolution Pseudotheory – It’s nice that UM includes a few overviews of some contributions from other creation science texts in here, too. UM gives credit where it’s due and takes things to a whole new level. The magma exposé brings a whole new branch of strength to the anti-evolution topic, which most people miss.


Here are some great illustrations and a few scientists quoted from the evolution chapter of UM, as well as related chapters (actually, the whole of the UM books are all against evolution):



(Image: Universal Model 2)




(Image: Universal Model 2)



(Image: Universal Model 2)


Soil Formation Evidence



The above image from Universal Model Vol. 1 Ch. 8 demonstrates that continents weren't subducted and uplifted multiple times as modern geology claims, and that the thickness of the organic soil layer on the surface indicates the time each layer took to form. Because soil formation times can be generally determined, these soil layers indicate that a worldwide event took place only several thousand years ago, depositing the sediment beneath the topsoil layer.

“One of the major stumbling blocks is the **lack of evidence** concerning fossil forms and the **ignorance about the direction of evolutionary trends and rates** of evolution. This creates a serious problem, since **without data, weighting of characters in classification is largely subjective, and a truly evolutionary classification will never be a reality.**” Frank E. Poirier, Fossil Evidence, p12; Universal Model 2 p180

“We have a desire to see the story of **bipedalism as a linear, progressive** thing... but **evolution doesn't evolve toward anything**; it's a **messy** affair, full of diversity and dead ends.” (Will Harcourt-Smith – Anthropologist, American Museum of Natural History)



"...the human family of species are arranged in an orderly procession from **primitive forms up to modern Man**. But such scenarios are **subjective...they are unscientific.**" (Henry Gee)



(Images from Universal Model 2)



Ch. 13 The Living Model – Clearly explains the laws of living things, making it exciting to see the higher intelligence being emphasized. The Earth as a Pond idea was awesome. The microbe concept is certainly revolutionary and makes God's existence obvious.

Chapter 14: The History Model— This fascinating language record is based on the Tower of Babel. The simplicity of the 3 original races was mind-blowing. A family history chart tracing back to Adam is shown.

Both history and science are fraught with error, and UM is an epic help in grounding us as we approach those subjects, a reminder to take the Bible seriously and literally, etc.

Ch. 15 The Clovis Model – Human fossil artifacts in the USA show that pre-flood people lived there. This, I'm sure, will be news to many. All of this helps prove that God placed humans here at a specific time, and that humans haven't lived on earth for an extended period.

Ch. 16 The Human Model – I loved the material against childlessness and abortion. Kids these days want to know why having children is important, and this chapter explains why in a way that is relevant even for those who don't believe in God.

Some kids reject God and everything to do with God when they don't like a particular church. UM helps demonstrate how God is reasonable and how basic concepts of faith are important, even for those who don't have a trusted religion yet. It helps them not to be atheists, however popular. It demonstrates that religious people are happier, etc. There were good demonstrations in psychology and successful family life in this chapter.

I loved the political science model and its boldness in showing that we need a balance and a medium but also that the liberals have taken over and are toxic. UM does that in a scientific way. I love it when UM is bold! Truth has permission to be bold!

In the medical model, I discovered numerous new ideas that renewed my faith not only in good nutrition but also in herbalism and natural methods to help improve irregular conditions. The Jethro Kloss "Back to Eden" information about natural medicine is fascinating. I know his ideas need to be proven like any other idea, but I do see the weight of evidence from his healing many people. Naturally, academic journals, etc., will do everything they can to get rid of these things which don't cost boatloads of money, and which cure people (getting rid of their return clients)!

UM exposes many conspiracies, and many sacred texts warn of them. The conspiracies often go deeper than most are willing to admit. UM does well with the vaccine writings, showing that they have potential, but are typically useless and dangerous compared to natural immunity.

This subchapter advocates natural, whole foods and eating primarily plant based.

UM shows that humans are meant for life on Earth, which suggests a creator, that we don't thrive in artificial environments, and that this applies to what we eat as well. This is brilliant. It proves that life is intentional and full of purpose.

The noetic science stuff was interesting. UM makes a great point that conscience is beyond science, that we can prove that the spiritual realm is real, etc. UM endorses the idea that people (like prophets and other inspired individuals) can have information in ways that are purely spiritual. We say faith is just for religion, but UM shows it's for science. We also say religion is just faith, but perhaps someday soon, people will recognize that much of religion is provable, and UM has done well at highlighting this.

UM mentions that the psychics who didn't charge for their services were typically the most successful, which would make sense.

UM highlights that scientists are openly anti-religion. It does a great job of proving this, and it helps paint the picture overall that history, including the Bible, is fundamental to science. It shows that Godless science doesn't work!

The human model covers topics that are more familiar to the public and will be quite easy to read. All of UM is understandable, but this chapter, which people already know something about, will be quite easy to follow.

Volume 2 introduces the social sciences, not just the hard sciences into the picture, and it makes UM all the more beautiful and simple, not being afraid of these controversial subjects, these more 'subjective' sciences; UM makes them more objective, and shows how bias and atheistic agendas have made social sciences into watered down and less useful, and by doing this UM shows how correct use of social sciences can be very useful. Everyone would do well to remember that we have potential for both physical and social science in a good way.

By Design: Behe, Lennox, and Meyer on the Evidence for a Creator on Hoover Institution – Lecture Highlights 2022

<https://youtu.be/rXexaVsvhCM>

The Cambrian explosion and other times in the geologic record show that birds appear suddenly, reptiles appear suddenly, and fish appear suddenly; there are no intermediate species.

Findings are going away from Darwin not towards him because we are finding more unique animals not animals with similar intermediate species.

In the fossil record an animal appears stays and then disappears upon extinction or survives to today.

Just opening a niche after a mass extinction does not mean new species are going to be created because there's no code for them.

Evolution does not answer the question of the original life; it claims that life has changed, but it doesn't explain how life started.

Life cannot have originated on Earth, mathematically there's not enough time even for evolution.



In Darwin's day they did not know cells were very complex, they looked like little bobs of jelly; today we know cells are run by many complex machines. In their simplistic view of nature, they thought it was reasonable for natural selection to evolve life. But it isn't reasonable. It's WAY too complex.

Judgement Day: Intelligent Design on Trial by NOVA - Highlights & Analysis

A Dover Pennsylvania school district had science teachers read a 1-minute statement saying intelligent design (ID) is an alternative to evolution. That life is too complex to have evolved on its own, and that evolution's theories have numerous holes. Many science teachers and parents became angry about this and sued the school, saying that the school was pushing religion. The science teachers refused to read the one-minute ID possibility statement required by the board! Court trials ensued. Currently, it is considered a violation of rights to teach ID!



The evolutionists in the presentation said ID is just an attempt to push religion. They said they value their theory more than mere facts (what!?). They spoke of how evolution is much more than a theory to them, and how doubting evolution would be like saying the US Civil War never occurred (so much for evolution being a theory). They talk about the “theory of gravity” – wait, isn’t it the “law” of gravity? Yep, because we have specifically demonstrated it over and over, unlike the evolution of species (and no one can even define species, because they don’t want to be exposed when we show that one species can’t cross into another)! The evolutionists in the presentation claim that nothing has disturbed the theory of evolution for 150 years. This is ultimate pride. How can these scientists be unaware of the scores of errors in

this theory and make such a pompous statement? Ultimately, the evolutionists, of course, won the case.

The ID (intelligent design) advocates in the presentation said they wanted evolution and ID to be taught to give the students fair exposure to both theories. George W Bush favored intelligent design being taught at schools as another theory to be presented. (Good for Bush!) Of course, the presentation did a terrible job of explaining the ID view, not talking about any evidence of ID, but mainly just featuring ID people talking about how upset they are.

They put on quite a show, demonstrating the blundering horrors of the twisted creationists (obviously threats and vandalism are uncalled for, but why focus on that?), while leaving the evolutionists enthroned, not showing flagrant deception perpetrated by their hand. This bias, even in this documentary on a two-sided battle, is not surprising as NOVA itself is, of course, a dogmatic evolutionist, as all mainstream “scientific” establishments are these days.

Michael Behe, author of Darwin’s Black Box, presented a few cases for ID, such as the flagellum motor and other irreducibly complex parts. These are parts that, if removed, the whole system doesn’t work and, therefore, cannot form through gradual evolution.

Of course, NOVA gives the evolutionists plenty of time to argue about this, as most of the presentation is devoted to evolution rather than ID.

Analysis:

The real issue is that we have misunderstood the separation of church and state for a long time now. It wasn’t meant to mean the state should be free from religion, as in only allowing atheism. It was meant not to have the state push a certain church as the only true church. Saying

that intelligent design is one of various scientific theories in no way violates the separation of church and state.

Science should be concerned with pointing out flaws in all theories. If evolution doesn't hold water, they should drop it. Unfortunately, conspiring leaders dogmatically and militantly drive evolution. Ironically, atheism has become the state religion, and no dissenting views are tolerated. It's a vertical wall in the academic journals and peer review process when you try to publish anything that contradicts evolution. These professional Pharisees don't dare put their name on the line by getting involved.

One flaw in the theory of evolution is the tree of life, which has many gaps. The tree is shown a few times in the presentation, but there is no tree! There are some similar species, but there is no continuous flow of one species to the next, culminating in the evolution of the human.

One flaw of the ID theory (it's more of a tenant of popular creationism in particular than intelligent design) is that limiting idea that the creation took place in 7 days, when the Bible itself says that 1000 years to man is a day to God, meaning a 7000-year creation is wholly possible within the parameters of the 7-day narrative of the Bible. The critics of ID always talk about a ridiculous 7-day creation, when ID is not even necessarily limited to that! It could be either way.

Intelligent Design resources mentioned in the presentation:

Textbook: Of Pandas and People: The Central Question of Biological Origins, 2nd Ed.

Darwin's Black Box by Michael Behe


Discovery Institute: a major organization in favor of intelligent design

DVD: Unlocking the Mystery of Life

Book: Darwin on Trial by Phillip E. Johnson

Movie: "Inherit the Wind" is an old movie retelling the account of a Tennessee teacher fined for teaching evolution at school back in the day. They portray evolutionists as sophisticated and the ID advocates as backwards hillbillies, which is biased. One value of this movie might be in simply demonstrating to youth that there is debate, that it's not all one-sided as modern schools portray.

Book: Traipsing into Evolution by the Discovery Institute, responding the Dover case.




Additional Resources

My main video presentation responding to Let's Talk Science & Religion: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1yB5lY9WaK4&t=2865s>

See Gary Shapiro's blog "No Death Before the Fall" at <http://ndbf.blogspot.com>. (Sadly this site is no longer in operation as Gary has passed on, but some pages of it can still be found.)

Book "Man: His Origin and Destiny" by Joseph Fielding Smith. This is both a great dissertation on Church doctrines, and has several chapters specifically refuting claims of evolutionary theory. This book was written by an Apostle and was advocated by the Church for many years. Members of the Quorum of the 12 urged him to write it, and President Benson highly recommended it. Get a copy and see for yourself! <https://www.amazon.com/Origin-Destiny-Joseph-Fielding-Smith/dp/B00073363I>

Book "Universal Model: A New Millennial Science." (2 volumes) (UniversalModel.com) This is a terrific academic resource put together by a member of the Church which demonstrates the geologic fact of Noah's worldwide flood, a young earth, the impossibility of evolution from monkeys, and so on.




Book "Using the Book of Mormon to Combat Falsehoods in Organic Evolution" by Clark Peterson.


Book "The Evolution Cruncher." Get a free PDF of this excellent book and succeeding editions here

<https://evolutionfacts.com/Downloads.htm>

Book "In The Beginning by Walt Brown." Get a free PDF of this excellent book here

<https://creationism.org/books/index.htm>





A great resource to begin creation science study with many free resources is creationism.org, where you can access the Kent Hovind lecture slides & many creation flagship books as free PDFs.

Book “Bones of Contention.” Refutes leading hominid bone claims in detail.

Book “From Darwin to Hitler: Evolutionary Ethics, Eugenics and Racism in Germany” by Richard Weikart.

Documentaries:

- What you aren't being told about Astronomy – 3 vol full length programs:
- v1 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-nOIX1SsVA>
- v2 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E66409i-yn4>
- v3 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TQOsb5H3xLI>
- Our Fascinating Universe: A Journey Through God's Creation (2012) | Full Movie 55m
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yxijprXTivE>

- The Genesis Impact
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qKj1ffiU5-w>
- God of Wonders (2008) | Documentary | John Whitcomb | Dan Sheedy | Don B. DeYoung 125m
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6NIB_n8Y66o
- Mountains After the Flood by Is Genesis History
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hqIWhyElxmc>
- By Design: Behe, Lennox, and Meyer on the Evidence for a Creator [Hoover Institution](#)
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rXexaVsvhCM>
- Dragons or Dinosaurs? (2010) | Full History Documentary Movie |
- [101 Films](#)
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zgLDE_6TepM&t=1963s
- Natural Selection Song by Horrible Histories
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UAHvyURiCqY>
- Dinosaurs, Genesis, and the Gospel [Ken Ham](#)
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-iRZu5J36mY>
- The Heavens Declare | Episode 1 | The Origin of the Universe | Kyle Justice
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7iQzm4n07U>
- Answers in Genesis: The Bible Reveals the Truth about Dragons 30m
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Kaqxq15wmw&pp=ygUb_dGhIIGNyZWF0aW9uIGFkdMvudHVyZSB0ZWFT0gcjCU0KAYcqIYzv
- [The Heavens Declare: Kyle Justice:](#)
- ep 1 the origin of the universe
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7iQzm4n07U&t=2024s&p=ygUgS3lsZSBkdXN0aWNIIHRoZSB0ZWFT2ZW5zIGRIY2xhc mU%3D>
- ep 2 challenges to big bang
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D97Jn5WXTOA&pp=ygU>

[gS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HO1xwaKeyVc&pp=ygUgS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D)

- ep 3 the starlight travel dilemma
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HO1xwaKeyVc&pp=ygUgS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D>
- ep 4 solar system
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ORq67IM1hpA&pp=ygUMS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNI>
- ep 5 the sun <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WFH-q9lOz5s&pp=ygUgS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D>
- ep 6 the moon
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lkpdLC5vTR4&pp=ygUqS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmUgZXBpc29kZSA2>
- ep 7 gravity
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CNHO5M9Gtt4&t=64s&pp=ygUgS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D>
- ep8 stars
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yM0IN_NDUng&pp=ygUgS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D
- ep9 magnetism
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ik6Is76qomk&pp=ygUqS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmUgZXBpc29kZSA5>
- ep10 asteroids and comets
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qr-KFZwSOe4&pp=ygUgS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSBoZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmU%3D>
- ep11 the space race
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P5GNVeYE27E&pp=ygUr>

[S3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSB0ZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmUgZX
Bpc29kZSAxMQ%3D%3D](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N4DTgkXT18Y&pp=ygUrS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSB0ZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmUgZXBpc29kZSAxMQ%3D%3D)

- ep 12 alien life
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N4DTgkXT18Y&pp=ygUr
S3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSB0ZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmUgZX
Bpc29kZSAxMQ%3D%3D](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N4DTgkXT18Y&pp=ygUrS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNIIHRoZSB0ZWZWF2ZW5zIGRIY2xhcmUgZXBpc29kZSAxMQ%3D%3D)
- kyle justice uniquely designed keynote speaker
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cIq0nRiSIEA&pp=ygUMS
3lsZSBKdXN0aWNII](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cIq0nRiSIEA&pp=ygUMS3lsZSBKdXN0aWNII)
- Christian Parents, You MUST Show This Video to Your
Children | Ken Ham, Answers in Genesis 121m
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B-g_hk_KKro
- **Christian Astrophysicist Explains Young Earth: Dr. Jason
Lisle Interview 40m**
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dShVH3mEPGo>

They Aren't Falling for Evolution, And You Don't Have to Either!

An abundant corpus of research exists pointing out flawed claims of evolutionary science.

In this easily understandable book I highlight some key data points and philosophical arguments presented by creation science / intelligent design researchers.

Some topics treated include hominids, transitional fossils, the phylogenetic tree, homologous and vestigial structures, junk DNA, radiometric dating, the geologic column, age of the earth, the Garden of Eden, the Flood of Noah, dinosaurs, academic bias, social dangers of evolution, and more.

Just like the scriptures have always taught, man is a child of God, there was no death before the fall of Adam, the Earth is quite young, and in just about every other way, evolution is completely impossible!

When we understand that the whole reason evolution theory was invented was to get rid of God, it becomes obvious that no good fruit can come from such a corrupt tree.

Some authors and works highlighted in this volume include Darwin's Doubt by Stephen Meyer, Scientific Creationism by Henry Morris, Darwin's Black Box by Michael Behe, The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism by Jonathan Wells, Dr. Kent Hovind's Creation Seminars, Darwin on Trial by Phillip Johnson, Universal Model: A New Millennial Science by Dean Sessions, Ark in the Darkness, Dragons or Dinosaurs, Is Genesis History, and more!