




Evolution Outdated!

Responding to Evolution
Science & Religion Claims

R. Nathan Richardson

RichardsonStudies.com for slides & eBooks



Presentation Sections

- INTRO TO EVOLUTION ADVOCACY AT BYU
- NO BYU, WE DIDN'T EVOLVE FROM A SINGLE COMMON ANCESTOR WITH ANIMALS.
- CHURCH POSITION & FIRST PRESIDENCY STATEMENTS ON THE ORIGIN OF MAN
- BIOLOGY:
- HOMINID APE-MAN
- PHYLOGENETIC TREE OF LIFE
- HOMOLOGOUS SIMILAR STRUCTURES
- VESTIGIAL / USELESS STRUCTURES
- TRANSITIONAL FOSSILS
- EMBRYOLOGY
- GENETICS
- GEOLOGY:
- RADIOMETRIC DATING
- GEOLOGIC COLUMN
- AGE OF EARTH, DAYS OF CREATION
- GLOBAL FLOOD: MADE THE LAYERS & FOSSILS RECENTLY
- SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS & RACISM
- DEMONSTRATABLE VS UNINSPIRED BIAS
- AGENDA
- BOGUS TESTIMONY CLAIMS
- CONCLUSION

Me


- Science & history teacher profession.
- Graduated BYU. Several professors tried talking me into evolution. Recording of pushing common ancestor with animal.
- Active member of the church.
- Concerned about evolution advocacy.
- Leaders probably don't know how much advocacy is going on.

**INTRO TO
EVOLUTION
ADVOCACY AT
BYU**

Evolutionist BYU Professors Publish at Deseret Book 2023

BAD NEWS ->

- Sold at Deseret Book
- Promoted in Church Magazines
- Openly PUSHES evolution
- ONE-SIDED
- Ignores scriptures & prophets' teachings against evolution over 200 years.




Jamie Jensen, BYU Evolution Professor:

“She is also a member of the Broader Social Impacts Committee for the Human Origins Initiative at the Smithsonian, joining other religious scientists to help the **American public feel more comfortable with evolution.**” (Let’s Talk Science, back jacket.)

Seth Bybee also an evolution professor at BYU.


Creationists Saving Testimonies: One Pseudo-Scientific Claim at a Time



- **On page 30** they say rightly that “spiritual truth remains constant,”
- but let's remember, temporal truth is also constant. **All truth is constant.**
- **On page 34** they say “we have encountered individuals who have the **mistaken idea that providing pseudoscience will somehow save testimonies.** They place the blame for declining religious devotion among the rising generation squarely on science [evolution] and believe that creating and teaching an alternative to science [evolution] will not threaten testimonies and will **help** students avoid spiritual conflict.”
- In reality, creation advocates don't create “an alternative to science,” they promote an alternative to evolution. Here again they equate evolution theory with science! We aren't throwing out the scientific method (though evolutionists do when they classify evolution is a ‘historic science’ where ‘experimentation’ is ‘inappropriate.’)
- **Hint: Anything that disagrees with evolution must be pseudo-science!**

No Science for God?

- science “cannot offer evidence for or against the existence of God.” (Let’s Talk Science p19)
- REALLY? All of nature is evidence for God!
- **On page 28** the authors say “these evidences [of nature] would hardly witness to them [non-believers] of a Supreme Creator,” yet the scripture plainly says the witness of nature is for everyone. Alma 30:44 says nature is a “witness” for God.
- “searching for **signs** of God’s existence, while possible to receive, equally puts our testimony in jeopardy.” pg24
- (Last I checked, signs follow faith. It’s those who don’t see signs that should really question their methods. God says study things out in your mind, don’t just ask!)
- “a testimony pertains to spiritual matters,” pg. 29.
- But once we have that testimony of spiritual matters, it should by necessity shape our views of temporal matters! **The spiritual informs the temporal!**




Commanded to Expose Hidden Popular Agendas

D&C 123:11-15

“11 And also it is an imperative duty that we owe to all the rising generation, and to all the pure in heart— 12 For there are many yet on the earth among all sects, parties, and denominations, who are blinded by the subtle craftiness of men, whereby they lie in wait to deceive, and who are only kept from the truth because they know not where to find it— 13 Therefore, that we should waste and wear out our lives in bringing to light all the hidden things of darkness, wherein we know them; and they are truly manifest from heaven— 14 These should then be attended to with great earnestness. 15 Let no man count them as small things; for there is much which lieth in futurity, pertaining to the saints, which depends upon these things.”

ABOMINATION

Trendy Latter-day Saints Join the Evolution Bandwagon



Galileo Galilei

When he encountered false science & religion claims:

- *“Upon hearing such carping insolence, my zeal could not be contained... I decided to appear openly in the theater of the world as a witness of the sober truth.” (Galileo Galilei, Dialogue)*

Brigham's Vision for His School

On page 37 they quote Brigham Young calling for us to embrace all knowledge.


The issue is that evolution isn't knowledge, and Brigham knew that! Therefore, they are taking Brigham's statement out of context.

So long as they are quoting Brigham, let's look at how Brigham really felt about evolution:


“We have enough and to spare, at present in these mountains, of schools where young infidels are made because the teachers are so tender-footed that they dare not mention the principles of the gospel to their pupils, but have no hesitancy in introducing into the classroom the theories of **Huxley**, of **Darwin**, or of Miall . . . this course I am resolutely and **uncompromisingly opposed to**, and I hope to see the day when the doctrines of the gospel will be taught in all our schools, when the revelation of the Lord will be our texts, and our books will be written and manufactured by ourselves and in our own midst. As a beginning in this direction I have endowed the **Brigham Young Academy at Provo.**” (Brigham Young, Letters of Brigham Young to His Sons, p. 200)

Elder Oaks recent letter: BYU should make students aware of evolution but not advocate this theory of men.

Secular professors are OUT OF LINE with church teachings.




In 1909, 3 BYU professors were **fired** by Joseph F. Smith for **promoting scripture as not being revelation** from God, and for **promoting Darwinian Evolution**, and for their **progressive interpretation of Church history.**



Ben Spackman: Secular Liberal Evolutionist LDS Who Says Scriptures Are Just Symbolic

Dissertation
“The Scientist
is Wrong”
could have
been called
“Joseph
Fielding Smith
is Wrong”



Thanks to Daniel
Burdette for research
on a few of these.

<https://benspackman.com/videos-podcasts-and-more/>

Anonymous Sources Push Evolution

THE CHURCH OF
JESUS CHRIST
OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS

Libraries ▾ Serve ▾ Living Life ▾ About Us ▾

Organic Evolution

2022


Organic Evolution

Priesthood Quorums' Table. 1910

Origin of Man.—"In just what manner did the mortal bodies of Adam and Eve come into existence on this earth?" This question comes from several High Priests' quorums.

Of course, all are familiar with the statements in Genesis 1: 26, 27; 2: 7; also in the Book of Moses, Pearl of Great Price, 2: 27; and in the Book of Abraham 5: 7. The latter statement reads: "And the Gods formed man from the dust of the ground, and took his spirit (that is, the man's spirit) and put it into him; and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living soul."

These are the authentic statements of the scriptures, ancient and modern, and it is best to rest with these, until the Lord shall see fit to give more light on the subject. Whether the mortal bodies of man evolved in natural processes to present perfection, through the direction and power of God; whether the first parents of our generations, Adam and Eve, were transplanted from another sphere, with immortal tabernacles, which became corrupted through sin and the partaking of natural foods, in the process of time; whether they were born here in mortality, as other mortals have been, are questions not fully answered in the revealed word of God. For helpful discussion of the subject, see IMPROVEMENT ERA, Vol. XI, August 1908, No. 10, page 778, article, "Creation and Growth of Adam;" also article by the First Presidency, "Origin of Man," Vol. XIII, No. 1, page 75, 1909.



What does the Church believe about evolution?
October 2016

What does the Church believe about evolution?

2016



Photograph of fossils from iStockphoto/Thinkstock

The Church has no official position on the theory of evolution. Organic evolution, or changes to species' inherited traits over time, is a matter for scientific study. Nothing has been revealed concerning evolution. Though the details of what happened on earth before Adam and Eve, including

Darwin's Disciples favorite 3
anonymous articles most frequently cited

Anonymous Sources Push Evolution



Gospel Library
8/25

Search results from churchofjesuschrist.org for the query "what+does+the+church+believe+about+evolution%3F&type=web&page=1".

Filter Results:

- All Content
- Scriptures
- General Conference
- Come, Follow Me
- General Handbook
- Newsroom
- Books and Lessons
- Liahona
- For the Strength of Youth
- Children's Content
- YA Weekly
- Church History
- Topics and Questions
- Basic Beliefs
- BYU Speeches

Search results:

- <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/what-does-the-church-believe-about-evolution> **Anonymous**
What does the Church believe about evolution?
Organic **evolution**, or changes to species' inherited traits over time, **is** a matter for scientific study. Nothing **has** been revealed concerning **evolution**. Though ...
- <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/organic-evolution> **Anonymous**
Organic Evolution
Meanwhile, Apostle and future **Church** President Joseph Fielding Smith **believed** that the Biblical account of the Creation **did** not allow for the long spans ...
- <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/my-answer-to-evolution> **"Name Withheld"**
My Answer to Evolution
When your biology teacher says the facts point to **evolution**, **what do you say?** **"Do you believe in God?"** **"Yes,"** I stammered. I couldn't **believe** it. Here I was ...
- <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/religion-and-science> **Anonymous**
Religion and Science
Overview · Are science and religious belief compatible with one another? · **What does the Church teach about evolution** and the origin of humans? · How can I ...
- <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/the-origin-of-man> **#5 The Origin of Man**
"And now, behold, I say unto you, that these **are** the generations of the heaven and of the earth, when they were created, in the day that I, the Lord God, made ...

Elder Oaks Warns Against **Anonymous** Sources

- As Elder Dallin H. Oaks taught in the April 2017 conference, we should beware anonymous articles. He said, “We should...be cautious about the motivation of the one who provides information. That is why the scriptures warn us against priestcraft (see 2 Ne. 26:29). If the source is **anonymous** or unknown, the information may also be suspect.... We should not consider secular prominence or authority as qualified sources of truth. We should be cautious about relying on information or advice offered by ... **anonymous** internet sources.” (Oct. 2018, Truth and the Plan)
- Darwinists in the church cite these **anonymous** articles in their defense:
 1. The 2002 Organic Evolution Church History page.
 2. The 2016 Church Position New Era article.
 3. The 1910 statement from the Improvement Era which they say is First Presidency but actually is anonymous.

No Position? Ignoring prophetic teachings?



Google

what does the lds church believe about evolution

X | Microphone | Search icons

Grid icon | Profile icon (D)

BYU
https://biology.byu.edu › summary-of-cjclids-the...

Evolution and the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints

While BYU scientists touted their Apostolic approval to teach evolution and the neutral or positive Church statements, it is easy to see why many LDS have ... **“neutrality”**

Pg. 1

churchofjesuschrist.org
https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org › history › topics

Organic Evolution

The modern science of evolution can be traced back to the work of Charles Darwin and Gregor Mendel in the mid-1800s. Based on his study of animal species, ...

Anonymous

Through the ages, some without scriptural understanding... have deduced that, because of certain similarities between different forms of life, there has been a natural selection of the species, or organic evolution from one form to another. To me, such theories are unbelievable!

LDS Church President Russell M Nelson

Russell M Nelson Continues Mormon Tradition To Deny ... [Visit >](#)

Our families may be corrupted by worldly trends and teachings unless we know how to use [The Book of Mormon] to expose and combat the falsehoods in socialism, organic evolution, rationalism, humanism, etc. Our missionaries are not as effective unless they are "hissing forth" with it.

Ezra Taft Benson, Church President

More Momon Thoughts on Evolution - wasmormon.org [Visit >](#)

"If evolution is true, the church is false"

Doctrines of Salvation, Volume 1, p89
Joseph Fielding Smith, 10th President of the Church

If evolution is true, the church is false" - Joseph Fielding ... [Visit >](#)

Beware **Attacks from Within** the Church:

Boyd K Packer:

- “Atheists and agnostics make nonbelief their religion and today organize in unprecedented ways to attack faith and belief. They are now organized, and they pursue political power. You will be hearing much about them and from them. Much of their attack is indirect in mocking the faithful, in mocking religion. The types of Sherem, Nehor, and Korihor live among us today (see Jacob 7:1–21; Alma 1:1–15; Alma 30:6–60). Their arguments are not so different from those in the Book of Mormon. You who are young will see many things that will try your courage and test your faith. **All of the mocking does not come from outside of the Church.** Let me say that again: All of the mocking does not come from outside of the Church. Be careful that you do not fall into the category of mocking.” (President Boyd K Packer, Jan. 16 2007 [Lehi's Dream and You - Boyd K. Packer - BYU Speeches](#)))

Introduction to Controversial Statements in “Let’s Talk Science & Religion”

- “[Jamie] is also a member of the Broader Social Impacts Committee for the Human Origins Initiative at the Smithsonian, joining other religious scientists to help the American public feel more comfortable with evolution.” -back cover fold
- “all living things on earth (both plants and animals) share a common ancestor.” -pg. 48
- “why are homo sapiens (us) the only species left among our human-like ancestors?” pg. 39
- “...strong evidence that we all shared a common beginning.” Pg. 53
- “...humans and animals hint at an evolutionary past.” Pg. 53
- “...there are at least 21 known species of hominin (ancestors of our species) that once existed on our planet dating back 5 million years. Modern Homo sapiens first appeared around 300,000 years ago.” -pg. 62
- “...these specimens are physically different enough from us (using the phylogenetic-species concept) to be considered a different species.” -pg. 62
- “Given the evidence, science suggests the human body is a product of evolution.” -pg. 62

Introduction to Controversial Statements in “Let’s Talk Science & Religion” pg.2

- On page 62 they claim we have 300 "Homo neanderthal" specimens and 18 "Homo naledi" (“the latest hominid discovery”) specimens which supposedly lived 400,000 years ago.
- “evolutionary leftovers” pg. 54
- “scientists have not come lightly to the conclusion that all organisms evolved on earth. They have accumulated mountains of evidence...” pg. 56
- “the varying views [on evolution] of church leaders over time.” Pg. 50
- “Neither [1st Presidency] statement confirmed or denied the claims of evolutionary science...” pgs. 49-50
- “Young earth creationism is not supported by the science that shows our earth has existed for at least 4.5 billion years and that life has existed upwards of 3.5 billion years.” Pg.20
- “potential issues of compatibility [with evolution] only arise if one stipulates that the creative periods had to occur in the exact order described...” pg. 57
- “the most appropriate version of evolution, from a scientific standpoint, is agnostic, often referred to as “naturalistic” evolution.” Pg. 21
- “science is agnostic.” Pg. 16

Introduction to Controversial Statements in “Let’s Talk Science & Religion” pg.3

- “[science] cannot offer evidence for or against the existence of God.” Pg. 19
- “these evidences [of nature] would hardly witness to them [non-believers] of a Supreme Creator” pg. 28
- “scientific evidence will not reveal God to us.” Pg. 29
- “a testimony pertains to spiritual matters” pg. 29
- “searching for signs of God’s existence, while possible to receive, equally puts our testimony in jeopardy.” Pg. 24
- “[scriptures are] not meant to be a scientific textbook on how the creation took place.” Pg. 50
- “we have encountered individuals who have the mistaken idea that providing pseudoscience will somehow save testimonies. They place the blame for declining religious devotion among the rising generation squarely on science [evolution] and believe that creating and teaching an alternative to science [evolution] will not threaten testimonies and will help students avoid spiritual conflict.” Pg. 34
- “You can almost think of educating ourselves and our children [about evolution] as a vaccination against Satan’s attempts to destroy our faith...[Satan] seeks to infuse doubt into our minds when we encounter something in science [evolution] that seems to disagree with what we thought about the world.” Pg. 35
- “[We are] concerned with the rising secularity in the youth. We suggest that the solution is to endow your children (and yourself) with the truth, with the real science [evolution] and, if needed, seeking and offering ways to reconcile science [evolution] with what we believe.” Pg. 35
- “this [“nonthreatening”] approach is effective in increasing evolution acceptance.” Pg. 36

Introduction to Controversial Statements in “Let’s Talk Science & Religion” pg.4

- “recognize that in both science and religion we still have much to learn.” Pg. 38
- “we need to learn to feel comfortable with not having all the information right now.” Pg. 38
- “Comfort with Uncertainty.” Pg. 38
- “[take] time to learn and progress without having to make a decision that places science [evolution] and religion at odds with one another.” Pg. 38
- “when people encounter information about a topic that seems to contradict their worldview, they tend to assume science [evolution] is useless in answering questions about that topic.” Pg. 42
- “We sometimes set up a culture that demands that we “know” the truth of all things.” Pg. 46
- “Lastly, if learning scientific theories puts your faith in jeopardy, choose your faith. Choose your **faith until you can better understand the science** (or until science can provide better explanations).” Pg. 46
- “...the earth is about 4.6 billion years old. We can also look to science to learn when the first life-forms appeared.” Pg. 52
- “the first living things began to appear at least by 1.9 billion years ago and possibly even before, at 3.4-3.6 billion years ago. Thus, if God prepared evolution as a mechanism for creation, then this creation presumably began with this first life-form, which then transformed through generations...” pg. 52

AND MANY MORE!



What's Going on at BYU?

Here's a BYU class on evolution as the “**cornerstone of biology:**”

Our Recent Letter From Elder Oaks:

BYU should make students aware
BUT NOT ADVOCATE evolution.
Evolution is a theory of men.



BIO 420

Evolutionary Biology


[Biology](#) • College of Life Sciences

Course Information

Course Description

Intensive examination of evolution as the conceptual cornerstone of biology.

What's Going on at BYU?



Bean Life Science Museum

We inspire wonder, understanding, and reverence for our evolving planet.

Mon-Fri 10 AM - 9 PM
Saturdays 10 AM - 5 PM

FREE ADMISSION

- “...reverence for our evolving planet,”

BYU Bean Life Science Museum: Pre-Adamites



BYU Bean Museum Pushing Evolution - BOO!

- Humans having a common ancestor with animals, “we are all connected” to various reptiles and sea creatures, in the “evolutionary tree of life”:



**NO BYU, WE DIDN'T
EVOLVE FROM A
SINGLE COMMON
ANCESTOR WITH
ANIMALS.**

Darwin: Our Ancestors Not Noble

- **Darwin** was honest when he pointed out that, according to his theory of the common origins of all living things, humans have "a pedigree of prodigious length, but **not**, it may be said, of **noble** quality." (**Charles Darwin**, *Descent of Man*, pp. 164-165, 1897 edition.)
- **Darwin** went so far as to mock the faithful, saying, "It is only...**arrogance** which made our forefathers declare that they were **descended from...gods.**" (*The Descent of Man*, pp. 31-32)

Guilty as charged. **Luke 3:38** says, "**Adam, which was the son of God.**"

In 1909 the First Presidency of the restored Church said: Mankind are the "**Direct lineal offspring of deity.**"

If you want people to take the "child of God" doctrine seriously, stop teaching evolution!



Latter-day Saints Should Know Better than to Claim Non-Divine Origins of Adam

- The latter-day saints are endowed with the understanding that God has a tangible body (D&C 130:22),
- He is married to a woman (D&C 130:2 etc.)
- that procreation is divine when used properly (1 Cor. 11:11, Gen. 1:28), and
- that “children are an heritage from the Lord” (Psalm 127:3-5).
- Evolution is an insult to God.
- God made many worlds, and could bring new life here.

- Evolutionists have various theories about Adam, but all of them insist on including evolution!




Adam's Dad Really Was God: Prophetic Teachings

- “**Joseph Smith** is reported...to have taught that God was the great **head of human procreation** – was really and truly the **Father of both our spirits and our (physical) bodies**” (Andrus, Hyrum, God, Man, and the Universe, pp. 351-354).
- **Brigham Young**: “Mankind are here because they are **offspring** of parents (Adam and Eve) who were first **brought here** from another planet, and power was given them to propagate their species, and they (were) commanded to multiply and replenish the earth...(God) created man as we create our children; for **there is no other process of creation** in heaven, on the earth, in the earth, or under the earth, or in all the eternities, that is, that (was), or that ever will be...**We are flesh of (God's) flesh, (and) bone of his bone**” (Journal of Discourses 11:122; 9:283, October 1859).
- **Joseph F. Smith**: “...**Man was born of woman**; Christ the Savior was born of woman; and God the Father was born of woman. **Adam, our earthly parent, was also born of woman into this world**, the same as Jesus and you and I...” (Pres. Joseph F. Smith, Deseret News, Section 3, p. 7, 27 December 1913).



What is the Purpose of Evolution?


- Avowed atheist William Craig said that **what evolution has done is destroy the idea of a first man.**
- Evolution has always been about getting God out of nature.
- If nature doesn't need God, He probably doesn't exist.



Elder Holland: Adam Eve & The Garden Were Real


- “In our increasingly secular society, it is as **uncommon as it is unfashionable to speak of Adam and Eve or the Garden of Eden or of a “fortunate fall” into mortality.** Nevertheless, the simple truth is that we **cannot fully comprehend** the Atonement and Resurrection of Christ and we **will not adequately appreciate** the unique purpose of His birth or His death—in other words, there is no way to truly celebrate Christmas *or* Easter—without understanding that there was **an actual Adam and Eve who fell from an actual Eden, with all the consequences that fall carried with it.** I do not know the details of what happened on this planet before that, but I do know **these two were created under the divine hand of God,** that for a time they lived alone in a paradisiacal setting where there was **neither human death nor future family,** and that through a sequence of choices they transgressed a commandment of God which required that they leave their garden setting but which allowed them to have children before facing physical death.” (Jeffrey R. Holland April 2015 Where Justice Love and Mercy Meet [Where Justice, Love, and Mercy Meet \(churchofjesuschrist.org\)](http://www.churchofjesuschrist.org))

- D&C & Bible & Book of Mormon & POGP insists Adam was real.



“the Book of Mormon exposes the enemies of Christ [and] confounds false doctrines” (Teachings of Presidents of the Church: Ezra Taft Benson [2014], 132).

- "Ammon said unto him: I am a man; and **man in the beginning was created after the image of God**, and I am called by his Holy Spirit to teach these things unto this people, that they may be brought to a knowledge of that which is just and true;" (Alma 18:34)
- “And now, behold, if Adam had not transgressed he would not have fallen, but he would have remained in the garden of Eden. And **all things which were created must have remained in the same state in which they were after they were created; and they must have remained forever**, and had no end. 23 And they would have had no children; wherefore they would have remained in a state of innocence, having no joy, for they knew no misery; doing no good, for they knew no sin.” (2 Ne. 2:22-3)
- Notice: It doesn't say “in the beginning man was slime” or “man was made in the image of monkey.”



NO DEATH BEFORE THE FALL

RUSSEL M. NELSON: "The creation of a **PARADISIACAL PLANET** came from God. **MORTALITY AND DEATH CAME INTO THE WORLD** through the Fall of Adam. Immortality and the possibility of eternal life were provided by the Atonement of Jesus Christ." (Russell M. Nelson, April 2000, General Conference, "The Creation")

<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/general-conference/2000/04/the-creation?lang=eng>)

Remember **2 Ne. 2:22** emphatically states that “all” things must have remained in the state after which they were created were it not for the fall of Adam. It reads: “**...all things which were created must have remained in the same state in which they were after they were created; and they must have remained forever, and had no end. 23 And they would have had no children; ...**”

(No natural selection) (No millions of years) (No ape-man ancestors)

No Pre-Adamic People

Moses 1:34 is clear that Adam was the first man: "And the first man **of all men** have I called Adam, which is many."

D&C 84:16 also shows that Adam was the first man: "And from Enoch to Abel, who was slain by the conspiracy of his brother, who received the priesthood by the commandments of God, by the hand of his father Adam, who was **the first man**—"

Remember that Eve is "**the mother of all living**" (Gen. 3:20), not just those who came after Adam.

Harold B Lee: "I was somewhat sorrowed recently to hear someone, a sister who comes from a church family, ask, "What about the pre-Adamic people?" Here was someone who I thought was fully grounded in the faith. I asked, "What about the **pre-Adamic people?**" She replied, "Well, aren't there evidences that people preceded the Adamic period of the earth?" I said, "**Have you forgotten the scripture that says, 'And I, the Lord God, formed man from the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul, the first flesh upon the earth, the first man also....'**" (Moses 3:7) I asked, "Do you believe that?" She wondered about the creation because she had read the theories of the scientists, and the question that she was really asking was: **How do you reconcile science with religion? The answer must be, If science is not true, you cannot reconcile truth with error.**" (Harold B. Lee, "First Presidency Message: Find the Answers in the Scriptures," Ensign, Dec. 1972, 2.)

Bruce R. McConkie: "**Obviously, the whole doctrine of the fall, and all that pertains to it, is diametrically opposed to the evolutionary assumptions relative to the origin of species.**" (Bruce R. McConkie, A New Witness for the Articles of Faith [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1985], xv)]


Joseph Fielding Smith taught that the revelation on Adam as the "First Flesh" indicates that there were no mortal creatures or death before him. He said, "Then what is meant by the "first flesh"? It is simple when you understand it. Adam was the first of all creatures to fall and become flesh, and flesh in this sense means mortality, and all through our scriptures the Lord speaks of this life as flesh, while we are here in the flesh, so Adam became the first flesh. **There was no other mortal creature before him, and there was no mortal death until he brought it . . .**" (Joseph Fielding Smith, Seek Ye Earnestly [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1970], 281.)

Marion G. Romney against pre-Adamites:

“For many years I had an assignment from the First Presidency to serve on what was known as the Church Publications Committee. We were expected to read and pass upon material submitted for use in the study courses of our auxiliary organizations. In reading these materials my spirit was sometimes offended by the use of language which expressed the views of those who did not believe in the mission of Adam. I have reference to words and phrases such as **“primitive man,” “prehistoric man,” “before men learned to write,”** and the like. Sometimes these terms are used in ways which evidence a misunderstanding of the mission of Adam. The connotation of these terms, as used by unbelievers, is **out of harmony with our understanding** of the mission of Adam, as taught by such teachers as Enoch, Moses, and Nephi. Adam fell that men might be” (2 Ne. 2:25). There were **no pre-Adamic men in the line of Adam**. The Lord said that Adam was the first man (see Moses 1:34, Moses 3:7; D&C 84:16). The Lord also said that Adam was the first flesh (see Moses 3:7), which, as I understand it, means the first mortal on the earth. I understand from a statement made by Enoch, in the book of Moses, that there was **no death in the world before Adam** (see Moses 6:48; 2 Ne. 2:22). Enoch also said that a record of Adam was kept in a book which had been written under the tutelage of the Almighty himself...I am not a scientist. I do not profess to know much about what they know. My emphasis is on Jesus Christ, and him crucified, and the revealed principles of his gospel. **If, however, there are some things in the strata of the earth indicating there were men before Adam, then they were not the ancestors of Adam.** And we should avoid using language and ideas that would cause confusion on this matter. (President Marion G Romney, <https://www.lds.org/ensign/1980/09/records-of-great-worth?lang=eng>)

Who will you follow? Scriptures and prophets, or theories of men?

Darwin: Sith Lord Dictator



A man with a long white beard and a blue lightsaber, looking intense. The background is dark with a blue glow from the lightsaber.

EVOLUTION IS EVIL!

A man with a beard and a grey robe, shouting with his mouth wide open. The background is dark and smoky.

**YOU WERE MEANT TO
DESTROY THE THEORIES OF MEN,**

A man with long, dark, wavy hair, looking intensely at the camera. The background is dark and smoky.

TO ME CREATION IS EVIL

A man with a beard and a grey robe, shouting with his mouth wide open. The background is dark and smoky.

THEN YOU TRULY ARE LOST!

A man with a beard and a grey robe, shouting with his mouth wide open. The background is dark and smoky.

NOT JOIN THEM!



**IT'S OVER PROFESSOR,
I HAVE THE FACTS!**

YOU UNDERESTIMATE MY FUNDING


imgflip.com



**IT'S OVER PROFESSOR,
I HAVE THE HOLY SCRIPTURES**

YOU UNDERESTIMATE MY FUNDING

imgflip.com





**LUKE, HOMINIDS
ARE YOUR FATHER**



**LUKE, I AM
YOUR FATHER**



NOOOOO!!!!!!!




NOOOOO!!!!!!!



**CHURCH POSITION &
FIRST PRESIDENCY
STATEMENTS ON THE
ORIGIN OF MAN**

Where did life come from? Transpermia?



Plants, animals, or humans did not hitchhike to Earth through the airless, frigid reaches of space onboard a meteorite that would have vaporized on arrival. Without evolution, and without transport aboard meteorites, we are left with only one option: life was placed on Earth by a Higher Intelligence.




1st Presidency Statements

“Neither
[1909 or 1925 First Presidency]
statement **confirmed or denied**
the claims of **evolutionary**
science...”

(Let's Talk p49-50)

OH REALLY.



1st Presidency Statements:

What are they referring to if not Evolution?

- Here is President Smith in 1909:
- **“It is held by some that Adam was not the first man upon this earth, and that the original human being was a development from lower orders of the animal creation. These, however, are the theories of men. The word of the Lord declares that Adam was ‘the first man of all men’ (Moses 1:34), and we are therefore in duty bound to regard him as the primal parent of our race. It was shown to the brother of Jared that all men were created in the beginning after the image of God; and whether we take this to mean the spirit or the body, or both, it commits us to the same conclusion: **Man began life as a human being, in the likeness of our heavenly Father.**”**
- Here is President Grant in 1925:
- **“...All men and women are in the similitude of the universal Father and Mother, and are **literally sons and daughters** of Deity...**Man is the child of God, formed in the divine image and endowed with divine attributes**, and even as the **infant son of an earthly** father and mother is capable in due time of becoming a man, so that undeveloped offspring of celestial parentage is capable, by experience through ages and aeons, of evolving into a God.”**

1st Presidency Statements:

Why did they leave this part out?


- This from the 1909 is left out: *"The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints basing its belief on divine revelation, ancient and modern, proclaims man to be the **direct and lineal offspring of Deity**."* (The Origin of Man, 1909 First Presidency)
- The middle 2 paragraphs of the Grant 1925 quote **which the authors left out** emphasize the point that Adam and Eve were literal lineal corporal reproductive offspring of God. Here is what they left out in those three little dots: **“Adam, our great progenitor, “the first man,” was, like Christ, a pre-existent spirit, and, like Christ, he took upon him an appropriate body, the body of a man, and so became a “living soul.” The doctrine of pre-existence pours wonderful flood of light upon the otherwise mysterious problem of man’s origin. It shows that man, as a spirit, was begotten and born of heavenly parents, and reared to maturity in the eternal mansions of the Father, prior to coming upon the earth in a temporal body to undergo an experience in mortality. The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, basing its belief on divine revelation, ancient and modern, **proclaims man to be the direct and lineal offspring of Deity**. By his Almighty power God organized the earth, and all that it contains, from spirit and element, which exist co-eternally with himself.”**

No Official Church Position on Evolution?

- On page 50 they cite: an ANONYMOUS New Era article:

“The Church **has no official position** on the theory of evolution. Organic evolution, or changes to species’ inherited traits over time, is a matter for scientific study. Nothing has been revealed concerning evolution. Though the details of what happened on earth before Adam and Eve, including how their bodies were created, have not been revealed, our teachings regarding man’s origin are clear and come from revelation.” (New Era Magazine, Oct. 2016, [What does the Church believe about evolution? \(churchofjesuschrist.org\)](http://www.churchofjesuschrist.org))

- Elder Boyd K. Packer’s response: [“**Twice the First Presidency has declared the position of the Church on organic evolution.** The first, a statement published in **1909** entitled The Origin of Man was signed by Presidents Joseph F. Smith, John R. Winder, and Anthon H. Lund. The other, entitled Mormon View of Evolution, signed by Presidents Heber J. Grant, Anthony W. Ivins, and Charles W. Nibley, was published in **1925**. It follows very closely the first statement, indeed quotes directly from it.”](#) (Boyd K. Packer, The Law and the Light, Book of Mormon Symposium, BYU, 30 October 1988)



No Official Church Position on Evolution?


- “The Church has no official position on the theory of evolution. Organic evolution, or changes to species’ inherited traits over time, is a matter for scientific study. Nothing has been revealed concerning evolution. Though the details of what happened on earth before Adam and Eve, including how their bodies were created, have not been revealed, our teachings regarding man’s origin are clear and come from revelation.” (New Era Magazine, Oct. 2016, [What does the Church believe about evolution? \(churchofjesuschrist.org\)](http://www.churchofjesuschrist.org))

Fact Check:

- There’s no author with this statement.
- Church teachings have consistently been against evolution.
- This is not an official 1st Presidency statement, or in scripture, so it isn’t canonized doctrine.
- Many canonized doctrines reject evolution.
- Do we officially believe The Book of Mormon? Do we officially liken it unto ourselves (1 Ne. 19:23)?

Church Leaders Changing their Mind?


- “...varying views [on evolution] of church leaders over time.”
(Let’s Talk p50)
- Actually, for 200 years the restoration has consistently rejected Darwinian evolution. A few were open to an older Earth, but NONE were open to Adam coming from the lower animals. Not BH Roberts, Talmage, or Widsoe.



Church Message has been Consistent

- Add Nelson to the list of directly refuted.
- Widstoe & Talmage were the only Apostles who suggested evolution. Eyring Sr. is not an apostle, yet they cite him too.
- The Church's doctrine has not changed.
- Just because members now embrace evolution and teach it at BYU doesn't mean it's Church doctrine.

Expressed Views of Presidents of the Church
(on Organic Evolution as it relates to the Creation of Man)



<https://josephsmithfoundation.org/faqs/science/08-conflicting-presidents-are-there-many-conflicting-opinions-with-diversity-of-viewpoint-among-the-previous-presidents-of-the-church-on-the-theory-of-organic-evolution-have-some-spoken-for-some-a/>

Remember: Russel M. Nelson

The Very Recent Living Prophet v Evolution



- **NO SPECIES CHANGE:** “to think that man evolved from one species to another is, to me, incomprehensible... Man has always been man. Dogs have always been dogs. Monkeys have always been monkeys. It’s just the way genetics works... (2007, Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life interview with Russel M Nelson <https://bycommonconsent.com/2007/05/20/elder-nelson-doesnt-believe-in-evolution/>)
- **NO DEATH BEFORE THE FALL:** "The creation of a **PARADISIACAL PLANET** came from God. **MORTALITY AND DEATH CAME INTO THE WORLD** through the Fall of Adam. Immortality and the possibility of eternal life were provided by the Atonement of Jesus Christ." (Russell M. Nelson, April 2000, General Conference, "The Creation" <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/general-conference/2000/04/the-creation?lang=eng>)
- **NO BIG BANG:** “...some people erroneously think that these marvelous physical attributes happened by chance or resulted from a big bang somewhere. Ask yourself, “Could an explosion in a printing shop produce a dictionary?” The likelihood is *most* remote. But if so, it could never heal its own torn pages or reproduce its own newer editions!” (Russel M Nelson, Conf. Report April 2012, Thanks Be To God <https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/general-conference/2012/04/thanks-be-to-god?lang=eng>)
- **PS – Why didn’t these statements make it into the “Let’s Talk Science & Religion” book?** All they said about Nelson was that he said the new Life Science building would be a nice place to study.

Nelson Continues



"Through the ages, some without scriptural understanding have tried to explain our existence by pretentious words such as ex nihilo (out of nothing). Others have deduced that, because of certain similarities between different forms of life, there has been a natural selection of the species, or organic evolution from one form to another. Still others have concluded that man came as a consequence of a “big bang” that resulted in the creation of our planet and life upon it. To me, such theories are unbelievable!" -- Russell M Nelson, BYU, 1987, "The Magnificence of Man"

"The creation of a PARADISIACAL PLANET came from God. MORTALITY AND DEATH CAME INTO THE WORLD through the Fall of Adam. Immortality and the possibility of eternal life were provided by the Atonement of Jesus Christ." -- Russell M Nelson, April 2000, General Conference, "The Creation"


<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/general-conference/2000/04/the-creation?lang=eng>

President Nelson has given 3 subsequent General Conference talks on the creation and has been consistent in his teachings on these points.

They say Satan is Anti-Evolution?

“You can almost think of educating ourselves and our children [about evolution] as a vaccination against Satan’s attempts to destroy our faith...[Satan] seeks to infuse doubt into our minds when we encounter something in science [evolution] that seems to disagree with what we thought about the world.” Pg. 35


“we have encountered individuals who have the mistaken idea that providing **pseudoscience** will somehow save testimonies. They place the blame for declining religious devotion among the rising generation squarely on science [evolution] and believe that creating and teaching an alternative to science [evolution] will not threaten testimonies and will help students avoid **spiritual conflict**.” Pg. 34



On page 42 they denounce dogmatism (a stubborn insistence on being right), yet ironically throughout the whole book they dogmatically insist that evolution must be true. They go so far as to cite D&C 50:3, “**And also Satan hath sought to deceive you, that he might overthrow you.**” Yet they won’t apply this to evolution.

Promoting the Mountains of Evidence?

- “scientists have not come lightly to the conclusion that all organisms evolved on earth. They have accumulated mountains of evidence...” (Let’s Talk Science p56)
- They talk about presenting evolution in a “nonthreatening” way. They say, “this approach is effective in increasing evolution acceptance.” (Let’s Talk Science p36)



Let's Find Out



BIOLOGY

Evolution Definitions

Evolution—The gradual process of change that occurs in populations of organisms over a long period of time. It manifests itself as new characteristics in a species, and the *formation of new species*. Bib 34 p117

Species—One population of organisms, all the members of which are able to breed amongst themselves or through artificial insemination and are able to produce fertile offspring. Bib 34 p305

Microevolution—The change of traits *within a species*.

Natural Selection (In nature)—The breeding process in nature by which organisms adapt and produce changes in traits *within a species*.

Selective Breeding (Domesticated)—The process of breeding organisms for specific characteristics that produce desired traits *within a species*.

Adaptation—The change of traits within a species in nature or domesticated species.

Macroevolution—The theoretical change of a species *into a new species*.


Speciation—The formation of one or more new species from an existing species. Bib 34 p305

HOMINID

APE-MAN

Human-Like Ancestors?

- “why are homo sapiens (us) the only species left among our human-like ancestors?” (Let’s Talk pg. 39)
- “...humans and animals hint at an evolutionary past.” Pg. 53
- “...there are at least 21 known species of hominin (ancestors of our species) that once existed on our planet dating back 5 million years. Modern Homo sapiens first appeared around 300,000 years ago.” -pg. 62
- “...these specimens are physically different enough from us (using the phylogenetic-species concept) to be considered a different species.” -pg. 62
- “Given the evidence, science suggests the human body is a product of evolution.” -pg. 62
- On page 62 they claim we have 300 "Homo neanderthal" specimens and 18 "Homo naledi" (“the latest hominid discovery”) specimens which supposedly lived 400,000 years ago.




Artistic license on hominid museum displays!

The bones don't show:

- Eye whites
- Skin color
- Hair amount
- Lip size
- Fat content
- Posture



Are these Your Human-Like Ancestors?



Supposedly pre-human fossils are often just humans.

Humans come in many shapes and sizes.

Some are short.

Some are crippled and bent.

Some have different-shaped heads.

Some of them are small children.




Are these Your Human-Like Ancestors?



Kyphosis

Normal Spine

Scoliosis




DON'T JUDGE ME BECAUSE I'M

DIFFERENT

[Kyphosis/Scoliosis - Goodman Campbell](#)
[Kyphosis - Wikipedia](#)

The 98% similarity to chimp DNA claim



- Chimps' genomes are 4.3% bigger. This doesn't match the claim of 98% similar DNA.
- They intentionally left out a lot of information. The **real similarity is between 66 and 86%**, which doesn't allow for the hundreds of millions of changes in the time allotted for evolution.
- We also have similar genetic similarities to cows and dogs and corn. (About **40-60% similar with bananas.**)
- (Go figure, the same engineer used similar coding for his various creations!)

Thanks to Genesis Apologetics and the Genesis Impact documentary for some of these ideas. See https://youtu.be/H2sWzApuuvc?si=Zkw_egj8YU4DshbK

Additional points:

- The 98% similarity figure **ignores** roughly **18% of the chimp** genome and **25% of the human** genome that do not align well.
- Differences include **35 million** single nucleotide differences **and over 40 million insertion/deletion** events (indels).
- Cannon sense check: We seem pretty different to me!

The general lack of 'hominid' fossils



- Lucy is about 3 million years old. The claimed **hominid fossils from 2 to 3 million years ago fit in a shoe box**. This doesn't give much evidence of transitions.
- Darwin admitted the lack of transitional fossils to be a strong point against his theory.
- **All of the hominid fossils could fit in the back of a pickup truck. This is all the evidence they have for the entire supposed human line.**
- The leading paleontologist on hominids says there are **more scientists studying this than there are findings** of it.
- He says the **skulls you see on National Geographic** are incredibly rare, there are **only a few dozen** of those; most of what they work with are tiny fragments of incomplete findings.

Thanks to Genesis Apologetics and the Genesis Impact documentary for some of these ideas. https://youtu.be/H2sWzApuuvc?si=Zkw_egj8YU4DshbK


Ardi

- Ardi has the **same brain size** as a chimp.
- They ran 11 different models of configurations, and **chose the one that fit their theory**.
- Based on what they selected from these 11 models, they say it walked up right because the vertebrae fit into the skull. However, the **bones are missing the neck vertebrae**, and missing the complete base of the skull where it would fit in.
- They say it must have walked up right based on the curve of the spine, but they are **missing the spine**, which they just estimated based on the pelvis.
- The **pelvis wasn't intact** either. They reconstructed what they thought the pelvis would look like, and based on that, they made what they thought the (missing) spine would look like.
- It was digitally **reconstructed 14 times before they chose** the one they liked.
- **Many scientists disagree** with the claims that this creature **walked upright**, citing a **lack of evidence** and **excessive speculation**.
- Her **hands and feet look ape-like**.
- The specimen clearly has the head etc. of a chimp, and they base their speculation that it was an upright walker on a bump on the pelvis.
- From 100 bones over a **30 ft** radius.
- The picture they showcase is an **enhanced computer animation**; the actual bones found were in **terrible condition**.
- It took **3 years** to dig up and **10 years** to put together. **None** of the bones were found **connected** together.

Thanks to Genesis Apologetics and the Genesis Impact documentary for some of these ideas. See https://youtu.be/H2sWzApuuvc?si=Zkw_egj8YU4DshbK

Image: Ardi and Lucy Reconstruction Comparison (Keith Chan, CC BY-SA 4.0 <<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons)


Image: Ardi: Paleontological collections of the National Museum of Ethiopia (Sailko, CC BY 3.0 <<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons)



STUPID!

3.2 million-year-old “Lucy” Australopithecus – Grandma?

- Lucy was found over a 2-mile radius. Much of Lucy was found in a room-sized area, but the **knee was 1.6 miles away** and 200 ft deeper. They say the **knee shows evidence of upright** walking. They say its probably from a **different hominid**, but they put it on Lucy.
- They put **white eyes** on it like humans.
- A 2015 study found part of the **backbone** actually belongs to an extinct **baboon**.
- The **hip** was badly broken and **reconstructed** in a way to make it appear to walk upright. The plaster cast was **reshaped** to make it **less flat (ape-like)** and more round (human-like) so it would appear to walk upright, since that’s what the theory called for.
- They put **feet like a human** all toes pointing forward. The **St Louis zoo put human foot bones on** The Lucy but not one foot or hand bone was found for Lucy.
- The discoverer was 2 weeks away from running out of **grant money** to discover the missing link of evolution.
- They say the **bones** of Lucy are **slightly bigger** than normal which proves it's becoming human but this is not good logic, there are various animals with **certain breeds having larger bones** than others.
- They named it Lucy because they were listening to The Beatles song Lucy in the sky with diamonds which stands for LSD. These bones would deceive the whole world, quite a trip! At least the devil has a sense of humor.



[Lucy \(Australopithecus\) - Wikipedia](#)

More on Lucy



- Lucy is considered the best hominid evidence and is paraded in textbooks as such.
- It is claimed that they found 400 of these but what they mean is not 400 specimens, but **400 bone pieces**.
- **30%** of those pieces are **teeth**.
- They say they found 400 specimens like Lucy, but really, all they have is enough **bones to fill a little bucket**.
- Lucy was found **spread over three meters** on a hillside in **hundreds of pieces**.
- **20 tons of sediment** were sifted to find **20%** of her bones.
- Artists have rendered Lucy as having **human-like hands human-like feet and white eyes**, yet none of this was evident in the fossils.
- Female chimps are the **same height and weight** as Lucy.
- They didn't find Lucy's skull, only skull fragments. Lucy discovers said that Lucy's skull was almost entirely missing.
- There's a bone at the bottom of Lucy's skull, and they say it's not supposed to be there; it's a typical baboon bone.
- Lucy's **spine is typical** of the ape, it **enters** the skull at an **angle**, so they have to **walk hunched** down.
- Lucy's **hands were very ape-like**.
- They **didn't find any feet of Lucy**, yet they put feet on the **displays** of Lucy, notably **human feet**.
- They found a **human-like footprint** and human bones a **thousand miles away** from the Lucy find and claimed that the print was of '**Lucy's species**.' Nevermind that the footprint looked like an adult male size 10 human foot, not like something that would belong to 3.5 foot Lucy.
- A recent study suggests that Lucy died falling from **40 feet high up in a tree**. What was she doing up there if she could walk?
- See *Dr. Oxman: Order of Man*.


(Thanks to The Genesis Impact for some of these ideas. See https://youtu.be/H2sWzApuuvc?si=Zkw_egj8YU4DshbK)

Lucy? 2004 Indonesian 3'7" Hobbit Pygmy Skulls

- **Bones found here are similar in size to the famous 1974 Lucy skeleton in Africa that researchers say is 3.2 million years old.**
- Pygmy relatives who live just a half mile from the cave have documented **photographic evidence** of their **ancestors who shared physical characteristics with the remains** found in the cave.
- These pygmy bones are **not mineralized like Lucy** and researchers claimed **dates of only several thousand years.**

Others suggest the similarities between Lucy and other short monkeys like the bonobo, similar to the chimpanzee. One study suggests that Lucy died from the impact of falling 40 feet from a tree, climbing like a typical monkey.

Stating that Lucy is some creature between apes and man is pure speculation without evidence! Lucy may be a monkey, may be a human. But not an ape human hybrid, there's no such thing. The word of God says animals reproduce after their own kind.



Homo Habilis

- Habilis is claimed to come after Lucy in the evolutionary line.
- They've not found the complete creature, they have fewer than 100 pieces of what they think belongs to him. The creature is shown in museums around the world in complete form.
- The creature is shown with white (human-like) eyes.
- Human tools have been found by the creature, but it's unknown if they were **used by the creature or on the creature.**
- Near the site there were many types of tools and many animals in the same dig as a formation known to have been made by nomadic people.
- There were many animals and tools outside the hut and many mounds; clearly, **people** were living there.
- The lead researcher **said the area was a lot like what we see today.**
- The Stone tools were complex.
- (Thanks to The Genesis Impact for some of these ideas. See https://youtu.be/H2sWzApuuvc?si=Zkw_egj8YU4DshbK)



Skull image: KNM ER 1813 (H. habilis): John Hawks, Marina Elliott, Peter Schmid, Steven E. Churchill, Darryl J. de Ruiter, Eric M. Roberts, Hannah Hilbert-Wolf, Heather M. Garvin, Scott A. Williams, Lucas K. Deleuzene, Elen M. Feuerriegel, Patrick Randolph-Quinney, Tracy L. Kivell, Myra F. Laird, Gaokgathe Tawane, Jeremy M. DeSilva, Shara E. Bailey, Juliet K. Brophy, Marc R. Meyer, Matthew M. Skinner, Matthew W. Tocheri, Caroline VanSickle, Christopher S. Walker, Timothy L. Campbell, Brian Kuhn, Ashley Kruger, Steven Tucker, Alia Gurtov, Nompumelelo Hlophe, Rick Hunter, Hannah Morris, Becca Peixotto, Maropeng Ramalepa, Dirk van Rooyen, Mathabela Tsikoane, Pedro Boshoff, Paul H.G.M. Dirks, Lee R. Berger, CC BY 4.0

<<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons
Image: Homo habilis - forensic facial reconstruction, Cicero Moraes, CC BY-SA 4.0
<<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons

Computer Image: Screenshot Homo habilis, Cicero Moraes, CC BY-SA 4.0
<<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons



Neanderthal

- Neanderthals were humans.
- DNA shows they lived and worked with humans.
- They were accepted in the same clan and community as humans.
- They have jewelry artwork weapons etc.
- They dived for shells, made musical instruments, and yet the museums and show them as foolish apes.




Image: Neanderthal at AMNH: Ryan Schwark, CC0, via Wikimedia Commons

Image: Homo sapiens neanderthalensis-Jäger (From Wikipedia page on Neanderthals with this caption: “Reconstruction of a Neanderthal man butchering a goat at the [Neanderthal Museum](#)”) Neanderthal-Museum, Mettmann, CC BY-SA 4.0 <<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons

Image: (Featured on Wikipedia page on Neanderthal with this caption: “Reconstruction of [Oase 2](#) with around 7.3% Neanderthal DNA (from an ancestor 4–6 generations back)”: Homo sapiens sapiens, Oase, Rumänien (Daniela Hitzemann): Daniela Hitzemann (photograph), CC BY-SA 4.0 <<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>>, via Wikimedia Commons

Admitted Fraudulent Human-Like Ancestors



- ← **Pittdown Man:** Jawbone of an orangutan with fragments of a modern human skull. It was praised as the missing link for 40 years before the **hoax** was discovered. 500 academic journal articles were written on it.
- **Hilton Man:** The jaw was broken and the teeth filed down to fool people but it was in **textbooks for decades** until proved a fraud in the 50s.
- ← **Nebraska Man:** Used as evidence for evolution for a long time all they had of him was **one tooth**. Later they found the tooth was from a **pig**.
- Will you keep waiting for more fraud debunks, or are you ready to change your worldview?



**BAD HAIR CUT
KINDA UGLY**



**MUST BE
A HOMINID**

“the fossil record has been described as a **chaos** of anthropological nomenclature and critics have deemed the conventions of **human paleontology peculiarly permissive.**” (Fossil Evidence, The human evolution journey: Frank E. Poirier, Second Edition, C. V. Mosby Company, 1977, p15)

Enlarged Brow Ridge


- Common today.
- Pre-flood people who lived longer likely developed larger brow ridges, as it never stops growing.
- Using the chewing muscles a lot pulls on the bones and changes the shape of the skull.



Elongated Skulls

- Warped from human practices, as is seen by Chinese foot binding, African neck stretching, etc.
- Or it's aliens. JK.

[Artificial cranial deformation - Wikipedia](#)



Are these Your Human-Like Ancestors?

- Supposedly pre-human fossils are often just apes & monkeys.
- Monkeys & apes come in many shapes and sizes.
- They have different heights.
- They have different head shapes.
- Monkey skeletons are often depicted standing upright.
- Monkey skeletons are often depicted with human toes.




[Primate - Wikipedia](#)
[Monkey - Wikipedia](#)

No Fossil Progression Into Walking Man




"We have a desire to see the story of bipedalism as a linear, progressive thing... But evolution doesn't evolve toward anything; it's a messy affair, full of diversity and dead ends."

Will Harcourt-Smith - Anthropologist
American Museum of Natural History



Fossil Record Far from Perfect





- “A detailed and **continuous record of transition between species is missing**, those neat sedimentary layers, as Gould noted time and again, never revealing precisely the phenomena that Darwin proposed to explain... **‘most of the fossil record does not support a strictly gradualistic account’**... precisely what Darwin’s theory demands.”
(David Berlinski, educator and former professor at Columbia University)





Evolution a Subjective Shell Game

- They always point to some other department for the best evidence of evolution! An expert in evolutionary plant biology said the best evidence for evolution is the whale pelvis. It's a shell game – the answer is just over there! Nothing to see here.
- “One of the major stumbling blocks is the **lack of evidence** concerning fossil forms and the **ignorance about the direction of evolutionary trends and rates** of evolution. This creates a serious problem, since **without data, weighting of characters in classification is largely subjective, and a truly evolutionary classification will never be a reality.**” Frank E. Poirier, Fossil Evidence, p12; UM2 p180

No Progression to Bi-Pedalism



Fake Hominid Ancestor Tree



Humans
With
No
Ancestor
and a
25 Million
Year Age

False
Primate
Evolution
Tree

No Continuous Transition

- “A detailed and **continuous record of transition between species is missing**, those neat sedimentary layers, as Gould noted time and again, never revealing precisely the phenomena that Darwin proposed to explain... **‘most of the fossil record does not support a strictly gradualistic account’**... precisely what Darwin’s theory demands.”

(David Berlinski, educator and former professor at Columbia University)



No Fossil Trends for Evolution

- “One of the major stumbling blocks is the **lack of evidence** concerning fossil forms and the **ignorance about the direction of evolutionary trends and rates** of evolution. This creates a serious problem, since **without data, weighting of characters in classification is largely subjective, and a truly evolutionary classification will never be a reality.**” Frank E. Poirier, Fossil Evidence, p12; UM2 p180




PHYLOGENETIC TREE OF LIFE

(TREE OF DEATH)



All Living Things Share a Common Ancestor?

- “all living things on earth (both plants and animals) share a common ancestor.”
(Let’s Talk Science p48)



Tree of Life?



- “Placeholder” names are used for intermediates when nothing is found.
- Image: UM2



No Common Ancestors

“The rooting of the universal tree is hopelessly compromised.”

(W. Ford Doolittle, evolutionary and molecular biologist who was a professor at Dalhousie University)




Joseph Fielding Smith commented on the absurd logic involved in common origin claims.

He said, "Let us suppose for the sake of argument, that the first speck of life was an amoeba. We can suppose for that is in keeping with the entire doctrine of organic evolution, for its **entire structure is based on supposition**, and cannot be based on anything else—so, we will suppose, that back several millions or billions of years—no one was there to watch the process by which this speck of life came spontaneously into existence—the amoeba suddenly appeared and multiplied, as the amoeba will do, and after millions of years, it, or one of its descendants began to develop fins, then a head and then a tail and after several more millions of years it became a fish, or a tadpole, or a brachiopod, or a trilobite, or a snail, even a worm—it **makes no difference** which, **one guess is as good as another**—and becoming tired of the water it came out upon the land, leaving its companions to develop into aquatic [sic] animals, while it dug itself in the soil and became a plant, a fern, a rose bush or a tree. Then another, discovering that the land was pleasant, also came forth from the water and became a frog, a toad, a lizard or a snake and in course of time its descendant became a tiger, a lion, a bear, an elephant, dinosaur or a little timid lamb; perhaps it took to the air as a dove, a robin, a hawk or an eagle. Why go any farther? **Does it not all sound extremely ridiculous?** Well, so it is! Yet it is **this kind of rubbish that is put forth apparently in all seriousness**. Books are written about it; lectures are given in class rooms, from pulpits and platforms, and thousands of well meaning people say they believe it! Then again the question arises: Each of these animals had to have a companion, and we find ourselves in a quandary to discover just why and how both males and females came into existence, both in the animal world and among trees and other vegetation. So we find ourselves floundering in the depths of an unfathomable hypothesis about which no one has ever been able to do more than to make an uncertain guess. Others of this amoeba's descendants became a bee, a wasp or a grasshopper, a gnat or a fly. Among these **wonderful mutations** there also came forth a monkey, then a baboon, a gorilla and then man! My dear friends, cannot you see how utterly foolish it all is? Why is it that thousands of intelligent looking human beings are willing to accept these stupid teachings? Frankly it is because Satan has deceived them and they love darkness rather than the light. **Surely the day has come** prophesied by Paul and written in his second Epistle to the Thessalonians: **“And then shall that wicked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming: Even him, whose coming is after the working of Satan with all power and signs and lying wonders, And with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they receive not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believe not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness.” (2 Thes. 2:8-12)**" (Joseph Fielding Smith, Man: His Origin & Destiny, Ch. 7 The Hypothesis of Organic Evolution)


**HOMOLOGOUS
SIMILAR
STRUCTURES**

Similar (Homologous) Structures Mean Evolution?

- “the similarities in body structure of humans and animals hint at an evolutionary past.” (Let’s Talk p53)



They Look Pretty
Different to Me



Why Similar Structures?

- Same Designer!
- Can't Account for Vast Differences.
- No transitional fossils between species.



Does Any Similarity Mean Descendance?

- Did large **spoons** descend from small spoons?
- The **octopus** & human eye are similar, so did we descend from Octopus? If so, then why are we so different from the octopus in almost every other way?
- When it comes **Specific gravity of blood**, snakes and frogs are closer to humans than people and monkeys. So some evolutionists say our grandpa was more directly a snake, not a monkey.
- The **rat disease** of the Dark Ages only attacked people and Norway rats. So did we descend from rats, even more directly than all other animals?
- One scientist concluded that due to similarities in **calcium phosphorous ratios** in bone structures, we are directly related to turtles and elephants, and monkeys came from geese (or geese from monkeys), and that the dog was related to the horse, not the cat.
- Based on **amino acid cytochrome C** similarities, one evolutionist researcher concluded that people are more closely related to turtles than turtles are to rattlesnakes, and that people are more closely related to bread mold than sunflowers are related to bread mold.
- Evolutionists didn't know how creatures with one kind of **eye** could possibly have descended from creatures with another kind of eye, so they came up with the term "convergent evolution." Coming up with a new term doesn't solve an impossible problem. There are also creatures like various types of insects, closely related, but with dramatically different eye types.
- You might as well claim that because all land vertebrates have a common ancestor because they all have 2 eyes.
- Does a vacuum and a human share a common ancestor because they both have a long cord (intestine)?

Genes Don't Align with Homologies

- “non-homologous structures [are] produced by organisms with supposedly homologous genes, but organisms with different genes can also produce similar structures.” (Homology: A Concept in Crisis <http://www.arn.org/docs/odesign/od182/hobi182.htm>; research summarized by Jonathan Wells and Paul Nelson)

Genetics Not so homologous? Scientists Call for “De Novo” (Out of Nothing)

“10-20% of genes lack recognizable homologs in other species.” (*Trends in Genetics* 2009, *More than just orphans: are taxonomically-restricted genes important in evolution?* <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19716618>) In other words 10 – 20% of genes in species don't have evidence of ancestry.


This is further discussed in an article available in *Nature Reviews*, Genetics 2011. It said, “[E]very evolutionary lineage harbors orphan genes that **lack homologues in other lineages and whose evolutionary origin is only poorly understood**. Orphan genes might arise from duplication and rearrangement processes followed by fast divergence; however, **de novo evolution** out of non-coding genomic regions is emerging as an important additional mechanism.” (*The evolutionary origin of orphan genes*. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/?term=The+evolutionary+origin+of+orphan+genes%2C+Nature+Reviews>)

This sudden appearance of genetic material by “de novo”, or out of nothing, through material process, lacks credibility in the light of several other studies. In the journal *Nature* in 2012, it was reported that the ENCODE Project revealed that by their analysis, 80 percent of the human genome has a “biochemical function” (*An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human genome* <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v489/n7414/full/nature11247.html>) The lead researcher also expressed his thoughts that this percentage of functionality would move to a statistical 100 percent. (Ewan Birney, ENCODE project’s Lead Analysis Coordinator “It’s likely that 80 percent will go to 100 percent.” ENCODE: the rough guide to the human genome, By Ed Yong | September 5, 2012 <http://blogs.discovermagazine.com/notrocketscience/2012/09/05/encode-the-rough-guide-to-the-human-genome/#.WlmL0nllCM8>) This level of functionality in a genome removes most all of the opportunity for non coding regions of the cell to be the incubators for the “de novo” or out of nothing sudden emergence of proteins.

**VESTIGIAL /
USELESS
STRUCTURES**


Vestigial (Useless) Structures?

- Animals & people have “evolutionary leftovers” (Let’s Talk p54)



No Vestigial Structures

- There are no useless organs. We keep discovering immune functions etc. for all human organs.
- There are no intermediate organs.
- The human coccyx supports weight, supports muscle, & helps balance.
- The whale pelvis is essential for reproduction.



By the way...

- *Darwin said he envisioned a polar bear could turn into a whale*
- *A plant biologist said the whale pelvis is the most convincing evidence he was aware of for evolution.*



Formerly Vestigial, Now Understood


- At one time evolutionists listed 180 vestigial structures in the human body. (Darrow, Clarence and William J. Bryan. (1997). *The World's Most Famous Court Trial: The Tennessee Evolution Case* Pub. The Lawbook Exchange, Ltd. p. 268)
- In the past these structures were routinely surgically removed and discarded. Today it is recognized that every one of these structures in the human body serves a purpose.
(Vestigial Organs Not So Useless After All, Studies Find, National Geographic News, <https://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2009/07/090730-spleen-vestigial-organs.html>)
(See also Dr. Jerry Bergman, George Howe, *Vestigial Organs Are Fully Functional: A History and Evaluation of the Vestigial Organ Origins Concept Book*)
- Things once working in organisms can break down. Fish living in a cave may, after a period of time, lose their sight, etc. But considering human life, each of these structures once claimed to be vestigial has shown function or purpose. (Dr. Jerry Bergman, George Howe, *Vestigial Organs Are Fully Functional: A History and Evaluation of the Vestigial Organ Origins Concept Book*)
- It is said by some that in the distant past these structures had different or greater functionality, and evidence of past function is claimed by an appeal to other living creatures which may have a similar structure that do have different or greater function. Such arguments are circular reasoning because it is assumed that the evolutionary history is already demonstrated.

TRANSITIONAL FOSSILS

If Humans Transitioned, Why Haven't These Guys?




- In just 2000 generations, humans changed species?
- Why do we still have monkeys?
- Where are the cat-dogs?
- Modern animal fossils are found next to dinosaurs, but they don't show that in museums.



Transforming Species?

- “...humans and animals hint at an evolutionary past.” (Let’s Talk Pg. 53)




Darwin Aware of Fossil Record Issues: Sudden Appearances in Lowest Rock Layers

- “There is another and allied difficulty, which is much more serious. I allude to the manner in which **many species in several of the main divisions of the animal kingdom suddenly appear in the lowest known fossiliferous rocks.** Most of the arguments which have convinced me that all the existing species of the same group are descended from a single progenitor, apply with nearly equal force to **the earliest known species.**” (Charles Darwin, *The Origin of Species*, Chapter 10, On The Imperfection Of The Geological Record)



Restorer Joseph Smith: Only After Their Kind



- “God has made certain decrees which are fixed and immovable; for instance— God set the sun, the moon and the stars in the heavens, and gave them their laws conditions and bounds, which they cannot pass, except by his commandments; they all move in perfect harmony in their sphere and order, and are as lights, wonders, and signs unto us. The sea also has its bounds which it cannot pass. God has set many signs on the earth, as well as in the heavens; for instance, the oak of the forest, the fruit of the tree, the herb of the field—all bear a sign that seed hath been planted there; for **it is a decree of the Lord that every tree, plant, and herb bearing seed should bring forth of its kind, and cannot come forth after any other law or principle.**” (Joseph Smith, *Teachings of the Prophet Joseph Smith*, pg 198, selected and arranged by Joseph Fielding Smith [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1976], 197)
- Prophets of the **restoration from the beginning** have taught doctrines which are NOT in alignment with Darwinian evolution! **Creation doctrines** are an important part of the **restoration!**

Crossing a Canyon Analogy No Evidence of Transitional Species

“I jumped across this canyon.”

“There were buttes I jumped between,
it took me 50 years.”

“The buttes are gone now, you can’t
see them anymore.”

**Unbridgeable chasms even at the
smallest levels of life!**

Credit: Behe, Darwin’s Black Box

- **“punctuated equilibrium,”**
suggesting that long periods of stasis
(no change) occurred between
somewhat rapid periods of change




Bag of Marbles: Transitional Species & Common Ancestor?

- “This bag has all the colors of the rainbow.”
- “This bag has intermediate colors between all colors.”
- After pulling many marbles out, I only get the same 3 colors.
- We know by now that these intermediate species don’t exist!
- No common ancestor!
- Credit: Steven Meyer, Darwin’s Doubt



Reptile Becoming a Bird?

- Let's Talk pg. 54



Archeopteryx: Just a Bird



- Platypus: More Unique than Archeopteryx
 - has fur, lays eggs, is a mammal, nurses, chews food with plates rather than teeth
- Some modern birds have winged claws.
 - Hoatzin of South America, Touraco of Africa, Ostrich
- Some modern birds have teeth.
 - Graylag Goose, Merganser, Double Toothed Kite, etc.
- NO TRANSITIONAL FOSSILS for any
 - Modern birds same level & below archeopteryx
- God is Creative
- No scales becoming feathers



Image: Wikipedia


Image: Ostrich - Wikipedia

Image: Hoatzin - Wikipedia

Do Birds Have Teeth? - 8 Birds That Have "Teeth" (birdhelpful.com)

Archeopteryx: Teeth on Birds Aren't Special

- “However, other extinct ancient birds had teeth, and every other category of vertebrates contains some organisms with teeth, and some without (amphibians, reptiles, extinct birds, mammals, etc.).”—*P. Moody, Introduction to Evolution (1970), pp. 196-197



- Evolution scientist P. Moody also acknowledges that it's nothing strange for a bird to have teeth. He says, "However, other extinct ancient birds had teeth, and every other category of vertebrates contains some organisms with teeth, and some without (amphibians, reptiles, extinct birds, mammals, etc.)."—*P. Moody, Introduction to Evolution (1970), pp. 196-197
- Is Archaeopteryx really a transitional fossil? There are modern birds in the same (Jurassic) period, as well as modern birds before this period. One evolutionist admitted that "It is obvious that we must now look for the ancestors of flying birds in a period of time much older than that in which Archaeopteryx lived."—*J. Ostrom, Science News 112 (1977), p. 198.
- One evolutionist textbook plainly admitted that the entire field of bird evolution is speculative: "The origin of birds is largely a matter of deduction. There is **no fossil evidence** of the stages through which the **remarkable change** from reptile to bird was achieved."—*W.E. Swinton, Biology and Comparative Physiology of Birds, Vol. 1 (1980), p. 1

Archeopteryx: Teeth on Birds Aren't Special



No Bird Evolution Fossils


- “The origin of birds is largely a matter of deduction. There is no fossil evidence of the stages through which the remarkable change from reptile to bird was achieved.”—*W.E. Swinton, *Biology and Comparative Physiology of Birds*, Vol. 1 (1980), p. 1
- “It is obvious that we must now look for the ancestors of flying birds in a period of time much older than that in which Archaeopteryx lived.”—*J. Ostrom, *Science News* 112 (1977), p. 198.

No Transforming Species in the Fossil Record

- **“you cannot connect one fossil with any other to form a narrative.”** (Henry Gee, well-known paleontologist and senior editor of Nature, Europe’s most popular scientific journal, in his book, In Search of Deep Time)
- **“the fossil record has been described as a chaos of anthropological nomenclature and critics have deemed the conventions of human paleontology peculiarly permissive.”** (Fossil Evidence, The human evolution journey: Frank E. Poirier, Second Edition, C. V. Mosby Company, 1977, p15)


Modern Animal Fossils Found with Dinos

- Fossils of modern animals are found alongside fossils of dinosaurs. Snakes, birds, and other animals of all types.
 - See Ark in the Darkness documentary.
- They never show these together in museums.
- They want you to think modern animals didn't exist back then!
- They want you to think reptile dinosaurs turned into birds.
 - See analysis of new Dinosaur Series on Netflix by Answers in Genesis and Joel Paleart
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pM4FVhVxiXU>



Agendas in a New Dinosaur Series on Netflix

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pM4FVhVxiXU> **Answers in Genesis and Joel Paleart - Highlights**
- Excellent video reviewing a Netflix series on dinosaurs, showing the flaws, assumptions,
- They push millions of years over and over. They ensure they say the word "millions" tons of times, making it appear like brainwashing. The same for the word "evolve" and "evolution," they make sure you hear those words alot!
- They speak of the rock layers of Jurassic etc. as though they were eras in time, not just rock layers.
- They push the unproven connection between birds and dinosaurs to try and blur the lines between obviously very different kinds of animals.
- No, they don't find feathers on these reptiles, they just chose when they want to throw those in. Scientists have criticized the series for choosing at random when to put feathers on the dinosaurs, despite feathers not being found in the fossils.
- An obvious bird fossil with feathers is found, and they animate it as though it were a reptile dinosaur transitioning. They show it with unfolded wings, a straight neck, and straight legs, and making it run like a reptile to make it look more like a reptile. They don't allow for birds living at the same time as dinosaurs, despite the fossils clearly showing that. Birds have thin tails, birds have special hinges to flap wings, etc. They try to blur these lines (promoting species change and evolution).
- They show eggs saying there is a new species emerging from the eggs, but they don't mention who laid those eggs.
- Note: You might call this the 'trans-species' movement, scary stuff, and definitely non-Biblical. End note.
- They allow for rain for millions of years, but get mad when Christians say it rained 40 days at Noah's flood.
- They show flooding, needing to describe the marine fossils in high places, but the flooding is never allowed to be a global flood.
- They make claims of dramatically fluxuating weather.
- They promote death before Adam's fall in their claims of evolution before man.
- Note: Of course evolutionists don't recognize the reality of Adam at all. This is multiple levels of heresy. End note.
- They show death is the hero. But death wasn't part of God's original creation, Christ came to conquer death.



Peppered Moth Fake Adaptation




"...we can't prove that no one species has changed..."
Charles Darwin



"According to Sargent,
one thing is certain:
the famous photos of moths
on tree trunks were **faked**,
using dead moths and a log."

Fraudulent methods were used that don't imitate real moth behavior. For example, they hang out not on the trunk but up hidden in the leaves.



Regardless, even if you can demonstrate species adaptation, that is not the same as changing into a new species, and does nothing for Darwinian evolution.



No New Species Witnessed

Philosophy: What if you wait millions of years?

- That's not science!
- Let me know when you have.
- Left to themselves, species revert to natural stock.
- In all our crossbreeding, we can't get a new species.
- A cross of 2 species becomes infertile, like the mule. Genetic limits are obvious.
- Not just no new species in the wild, there's no new species anywhere!



“But we haven’t waited millions of years.”


- Yep.
- That’s not science.



We're Still Here!


If these things didn't change, why would humans evolve to a different species in just 2,000 generations?

The won't show modern animals with dinosaur displays, though they are found together!



Not Changing into New Species

- “bacteria were still bacteria, even after 45,000 generations. To put this in perspective, consider that it was suggested by molecular evolutionists (as of 2012) that man evolved from an ape-like creature some 60,000 years ago in Africa (see Genographic Project Age Trend in subchapter 10.8). If we take 60,000 years divided by 30 years—an approximate human generation—we end up with 2,000 years. That means that ‘Homo sapiens’ ‘evolved’ from a near ape-like creature after only 2,000 generations! After 45,000 generations, simple bacterium is still simple bacterium, but advanced human beings supposedly evolved from an ape-like creature to become the marvelous beings we are today in just 2,000 generations? Where is the logic in this ‘modern’ science assumption?” p277



They Aren't Transforming



1. Evolution of species doesn't occur, if this little changed since "millions of years ago" when fossils formed.
 2. Fossils weren't made so long ago, or else they wouldn't be so similar to today's lifeforms
 3. Human's couldn't have "evolved" from lower species, even given 60,000 years as they say.
- Not all fossils are familiar with animals living today. So what about those weird fossils? Many species became completely extinct in the flood, their entire populations being wiped out together.

The Non-existent Cat-Dog

- Where's the intermediate species?
- There should be 1000s.
- Would be impossible to tell what is what.
- We see in reality clear major differences, such that a child can point out what is what.



**IF MONKEYS BECAME HUMANS,
WHY DO WE STILL HAVE MONKEYS?**



ARE THEY ALL THE RETARDED MONKEYS?

Ancient
evolving
horse bones

Modern
horse bones of
various breeds

Corporate needs you to find the differences
between this picture and this picture.

Hominid
skulls

Skulls
of monkeys
and people

Corporate needs you to find the differences
between this picture and this picture.

They're the same picture.

They're the same picture.

**THE
MISSING LINK**

**BETWEEN
ANCIENT
HORSES AND
MODERN HORSES**



Change
ACROSS
species
(speculative)




Change
WITHIN
species
(demonstratable)

President Nelson: Limits of Genetics

- “to think that man evolved from one species to another is, to me, incomprehensible... Man has always been man. Dogs have always been dogs. Monkeys have always been monkeys. It’s just the way genetics works... (2007, Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life interview with Russel M Nelson
<https://bycommonconsent.com/2007/05/20/elder-nelson-doesnt-believe-in-evolution/>)
- “...some people erroneously think that these marvelous physical attributes happened by chance or resulted from a big bang somewhere. Ask yourself, “Could an explosion in a printing shop produce a dictionary?” The likelihood is *most* remote. But if so, it could never heal its own torn pages or reproduce its own newer editions!” (Russel M Nelson, Conf. Report April 2012, Thanks Be To God
<https://www.churchofjesuschrist.org/study/general-conference/2012/04/thanks-be-to-god?lang=eng>)



PS- Why didn't they include these statements in their book on science and latter-day saint religion?




- Are we witnessing the “trans-species” movement?
- Does this encourage “transgenderism”?
- Yep. Bill Nye talks about how gender fluidity is “just evolution.”

EMBRYOLOGY

Animal-Like Embryos?


- Human embryos have “gills and tails.” (Let’s Talk p55)



No Animal-Like Embryos

- No gill slits, no oxygen extraction from fluids.
- Small round beginning doesn't mean common ancestor!
- Haeckel changed sizes of heads, eyes, trunks, etc. His ape and man skeleton drawings changed heights and showed apes with upright postures.
- Conversation with pet store lady: "I've been lied to!" ...Better than mermaid ancestor.

Image: Evolution Cruncher by Vance Farrell



Who's Your Daddy?

- Conversation with pet store lady:

*"I thought we were descended from a mermaid!
I've been lied to!"*

You have a better than mermaid ancestor!



- Maybe some witchcraft was involved in turning us from mer-people into humans?



GENETICS


DNA = Evolution?

- “...all life on earth, including humans, read DNA that way. ...This is strong evidence that we all shared a common beginning.” (Let’s Talk Science p53)



DNA Doesn't Mean Evolution

- Similar DNA indicates a common Creator, not a common ancestor.
- DNA differences are dramatic and unexplained.
- For even one gene to evolve by natural selection, it would take longer than the entire timeframe given by mainstream scientists.
- Genetics have proven that there are limits to how much a species can change, limits are set.




DNA = Recent Eve

“If molecular evolution is really neutral at these sites, a high mutation rate would indicate that Eve lived about 6500 years ago –


a figure clearly incompatible with current theories on human origins.”

Mitochondrial Eve, TREE, vol. 12, No. 11, November 1997, p422



DNA = Recent Eve

- There is also a bottleneck in human population at the time of Noah, just as expected from a global flood.





“Simulations based on a model of human population history and geography find that an individual that is the genealogical ancestor of all living humans existed just a few thousand years ago.”

Jotun Hein, *Nature*,
30 September 2004, p518



Fig 14.6.4



“At no point does the breeder produce a breed of pigeon that is so extreme that one can no longer consider it a pigeon...endless varieties can be produced but in no case are new species formed.”
(In Search of Deep Time: Henry Gee, The Free Press, 1999, p33)

“you cannot connect one fossil with any other to form a narrative.” (Henry Gee, well-known paleontologist and senior editor of Nature, Europe’s most popular scientific journal, in his book, In Search of Deep Time)


But what if we wait millions of years? **“A set of ideas that cannot, in principle, be falsified is not science.”** (Evolution as Fact and Theory, Stephen Jay Gould, Discover, May 1981, p35)

More on Breeding & Genetics

- You can't just throw in "well you can't see a new species because it takes millions of years" as many do, because that isn't potentially falsifiable and therefore is not science, by definition. Its just a story: p313
- "A set of ideas that cannot, in principle, be falsified is not science." (Evolution as Fact and Theory, Stephen Jay Gould, Discover, May 1981, p35)
- Dog experiment: never speciation. Most variety of any species, but still a dog. Artificial insemination needed as cross different sizes & temperaments.
- Some cow development to give more milk etc., but still a cow.
- Natural selection wouldn't select things in a progressive order, that refutes the law of entropy, that things tend to break down.
- Of course things can adapt and breed for characteristics within a species, but ONLY within their species.
- This is an example of truth mixed with error: micro-evolution vs macro-evolution


They Drop Dates That Don't Fit

Geological Time Scale
With Evolution of Life
Through the Fossil Record
(U. S. Geological Survey)





Evolutionists
Admit:

- “If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely ‘out-of-date,’ we just drop it.”
- (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, “C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology,” Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].)



Majority of Radiocarbon dates Deemed “Unacceptable”



- “It may come as a shock to some, but fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as ‘acceptable’ by investigators.” (*J. Ogden III, “The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon,” in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.)


“It’s just the way genetics works”



Russel M. Nelson,
pioneer heart surgeon & prophet:
“to think that man evolved from one species to another is, to me, incomprehensible... **Man has always been man. Dogs have always been dogs. Monkeys have always been monkeys.** It’s just the way genetics works...

(2007, Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life interview with Russel M Nelson


<https://bycommonconsent.com/2007/05/20/elder-nelson-doesnt-believe-in-evolution/>)



One evolutionist told me ‘this fact doesn’t reject evolution.’ But zoom out, evolution says monkeys CHANGED into what became MAN! Evolution says ALL life came from a SINGLE COMMON ANCESTOR.

GEOLOGY

Brigham Young: Geological Processes Can Be Fast




Brigham Young pointed out limitations of the geologists, and emphasized the instantaneous creative power of God. He said, “Geologists will tell us the earth has stood so many **millions** of years. Why? Because the Valley of the Mississippi could not have washed out under about so many years, or so long a time. The Valley of Western Colorado, here, could not have washed out without taking such a length of time. **What do they know about it? Nothing in comparison.** They also reason about the age of the world by the marvelous specimens of petrification that are sometimes discovered. Now we can show them plenty of places where there are trees, perfect stone, running into the solid rock, and perhaps the rock is forty, fifty, or a hundred feet above the tree. Yet it is a perfect tree. There is the bark, there is the heart, and there is the outer coating between the heart and the bark, all perfect rock. **How long did it take to make this tree into rock?** We do not know. I can tell them, simply this—when the Lord Almighty brings forth the power of his chemistry, he can combine the elements and make a tree into rock in **one night or one day, if he chooses**, or he can let it lie until it pulverizes and blows to the four winds, without petrifying, just as he pleases.” (Brigham Young, The Fullness of the Gospel—Its Power to Unite—Its Comprehensiveness—Definition of Its Priesthood—Condition of Apostates; Discourse by President Brigham Young, delivered in the New Tabernacle, Salt Lake City, Sunday Afternoon, August 11, 1872.)

RADIOMETRIC DATING




Radiometric Dating = Old Earth? Ancient Life?

- “...the earth is about 4.6 billion years old. We can also look to science to learn when the first life-forms appeared.” (Let’s Talk p52)
- “the first living things began to appear at least by 1.9 billion years ago and possibly even before, at 3.4-3.6 billion years ago. Thus, if God prepared evolution as a mechanism for creation, then this creation presumably began with this first life-form, which then transformed through generations...” (Let’s Talk p52)




Old Earth Essential to Evolution Theory

- “The belief that **species are immutable** [unchangeable] productions was almost **unavoidable** as long as the history of the world was thought to be of **short duration**.”— *Charles Darwin, Origin of the Species (conclusion to second edition).




Radiometric Dating Issues

- Build on **unprovable assumptions**
 - How much carbon is initially present?
 - Did argon leak in or out?
 - Is the decay rate constant?
 - Has the atmosphere always been the same?
 - Have catastrophic events influenced timetables?
- We can't tell what the weather will be in 1 week, why would we know the environment of 1 billion years ago?



More Radiometric Dating Issues



- Radiometric dates of known ages are wrong. Like witnessed rock formations from lava flow.
- Unreliable: You often get a different age when sending 2 of the same sample in.
- Inconsistent: Sending the same sample in several times with a different label will get different results.
- Dinosaurs carbon date as thousands, not millions of years old. Typically they refuse to carbon date dinosaur fossils since their theory says they are too old.
- Dinosaur fossils have elastic tissue, indicating thousands not millions of years old.



Evolutionists Admit **Selective Date Acceptance**


- “If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely ‘out-of-date,’ we just drop it.” (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, “C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology,” Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].)
- “It may come as a shock to some, but fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as ‘acceptable’ by investigators.” (*J. Ogden III, “The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon,” in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.)

Geological Time Scale
With Evolution of Life
Through the Fossil Record
(U. S. Geological Survey)




They Drop Dates That Don't Fit

Geological Time Scale
With Evolution of Life
Through the Fossil Record
(U. S. Geological Survey)





*Evolutionists
Admit:*

- “If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely ‘out-of-date,’ we just drop it.”
- (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, “C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology,” Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].)



Majority of Radiocarbon dates Deemed “Unacceptable”



- “It may come as a shock to some, but fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as ‘acceptable’ by investigators.” (*J. Ogden III, “The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon,” in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.)

GEOLOGIC

COLUMN


Origins of the Geologic Column: Mere Correlation

The complete 'Geologic Column' does not exist anywhere on Earth and was **only built by correlation**, as stated in college geology books: "Because we **cannot find sedimentary rocks representing all of earth time neatly in one convenient area**, we must piece together the rock sequence from locality to locality. This process of tying one rock sequence in one place to another in some other place is known as correlation." (Physical Geology, **L. Don Leet** (Harvard) & **Sheldon Judson** (Princeton), p.181.)

Another textbook echoed the concept that evolution was the premise the entire geologic column was based on: "A rock that had an **early form** of an organism was clearly older than rocks containing later forms. Furthermore, all rocks that had the early form, **no matter how far apart those rocks were geographically**, would have to be the same age ... fossil successions made it possible to say that the Cambrian rocks are older than the Ordovician rocks. In this way our geologic time table came into being....**Without the theory of evolution and the interdisciplinary science of paleontology, it could not exist.**" (Geology, **Putman & Bassett**, p.544.)


Stacking theory upon theory like this is bound for ruin.

Geological Time Scale
With Evolution of Life
Through the Fossil Record
(U. S. Geological Survey)




They Drop Dates That Don't Fit

Geological Time Scale
With Evolution of Life
Through the Fossil Record
(U. S. Geological Survey)





Evolutionists
Admit:

- “If a C-14 date supports our theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a footnote. And if it is completely ‘out-of-date,’ we just drop it.”
- (*T. Save-Soderbergh and *Ingrid U. Olsson, “C-14 Dating and Egyptian Chronology,” Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, ed. *Ingrid U. Olsson (1970), p. 35 [also in *Pensee, 3(1): 44].)



Majority of Radiocarbon dates Deemed “Unacceptable”



- “It may come as a shock to some, but fewer than 50 percent of the radiocarbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as ‘acceptable’ by investigators.” (*J. Ogden III, “The Use and Abuse of Radiocarbon,” in Annals of the New York Academy of Science, Vol. 288, 1977, pp.167-173.)

Missing Fossils

MYSTERY:

600 Million Years of layers in the Grand Canyon should reveal BILLIONS of plant & animal fossils...

It's said that the Grand Canyon has many fossils in the Colorado River. These "so-called recent fossils are not actually fossilized (rock) remains, but consist of bones, hair, and other organic material usually found in caves...impressions...tracks...**only imprints** of the former terrestrial organisms- **not the actual preserved organism** itself." P187

Grand Canyon - Missing Fossils

Geologic Time Period
Age (Millions of Years)




Why are all these Fossils Missing?

Nevertheless, **textbooks claim** that the Grand Canyon "rocks contain a succession of fossils, which reveals the evolution of new organisms" P186

Missing Layers

Grand Canyon - Missing Layers

- It's not just fossils that are missing from the Grand Canyon.
- Clearly modern science's explanations for Grand Canyon formations are incorrect.
- UM evidence suggests that the layers of the GC were deposited in the flood, and an earthquake then exposed those layers.
- If the GC were worn down by a long time of river water exposure, the sides would appear more smooth. More on this in the flood chapter.



1 Layer of Organic Topsoil Forming Since Flood

Formation rate shows this global topsoil layer began to form about 4000 years ago, the time of the flood.

Soil Formation Evidence

“According to some of the **quantitative measurements** made at the erosion stations, nature requires **not less than 400 years to build one single inch of the topsoil** of some of our important types of farm land. This appears to be true, for example, of the very extensive soil, the Shelby loam, occurring over the rolling parts of the Corn Belt, in Northern Missouri and Southern Iowa.” Note 8.6b

After identifying his “quantitative measurements,” he goes on to discuss the average depth of topsoil in the area of Northern Missouri and Southern Iowa: “Many people have the idea that the soil (as distinguished from the subsoil) is much deeper than it really is. **On examining 172 soil samples collected from 34 states** and representing, very largely, important upland types it was found that the soil depth as recorded **averaged only 9 inches**. Many of our most important types of farm land range from only about 3 to 7 inches in depth of topsoil.” Note 8.6b

A little math and Bennett’s “**not less than 400 years**” to produce one inch of topsoil derives some interesting figures. We’ll use his minimum rate of 400 years-per-inch and then compare that with a rate of 500 years-per-inch to arrive at the approximate time necessary to produce 9 inches of top soil: $400 \text{ years/inch} \times 9 \text{ inches} = \mathbf{3,600 \text{ years}}$
 $500 \text{ years/inch} \times 9 \text{ inches} = \mathbf{4,500 \text{ years}}$ A period of 4,000 years falls roughly between these two possibilities, which is supported by Bennett’s Corn Belt topsoil analysis of the central USA. In areas that are dryer, one inch of topsoil takes much longer to form. Consequently, topsoil depth is much less in more arid climates. In either case, the time frame of several thousand years for the single layer of topsoil is a tremendously simple, yet *significant* Mark of the Universal Flood.





Fig 8.6.4 – Here the cross sections of topsoil layers from different climate environments from around the world show a *single layer of organic soil* at the top of the section. This defines the vast majority of the surface area on the continents and testifies of two things; first, the continents were not subducted and uplifted multiple times as modern geology claims, and secondly, the thickness of the organic soil layer on the surface identifies the time each layer took to form. Because soil formation times can be generally determined, such soil layers indicate a worldwide event took place only several thousand years ago, depositing the sediment beneath the soil layer.

Cuvier, Father of Paleontology, Got it Right.

Cuvier demonstrated that the fossils “**did not gradually change from one form into another.**”



Georges Cuvier

Others not so much:

“**fossils** found in layers of sedimentary rock ‘**always succeed one another in the same order.** Fossils **thus** became **the key** that allowed geologists to identify the relative ages and sequences of **rock layers regardless of**

their location” (Marvels and Mysteries of The World Around Us: General Consultant: Rhodes W. Fairbridge, Professor Of Geology, Columbia University, The Reader’s Digest Association, Inc., 1972, p18)

James Hutton & others used uniformitarianism to challenge the Bible: “Present is key to the Past”, No Catastrophe, No Flood

2 Peter 3:3-7: Knowing this first, that there shall come in the **last days** scoffers, walking after their own lusts, **4** And saying, **Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation. 5** For this they **willingly are ignorant** of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: **6** Whereby the world that then was, **being overflowed with water, perished: 7** But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store, reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men.

- The uniformitarian theory of geology is fulfillment of prophecy.
- This is truth mixed with error. We have laws of nature in operation today which still operate, but they deny God’s influence and catastrophe forming the Earth as we know it today.
- It’s more accurate to say that the past reveals the present than that the present reveals the past (pointed out in Ark and the Darkness documentary).





Image: James Hutton, Wikipedia.
Painted by [Sir Henry Raeburn](#) (1776)

We “seldom find a complete historical record as we dig down through the rocks” –Richard Dawkins

(By seldom he means never!)

When asked where you can find the geologic column in nature, the typical response is, “I’m not sure where the fossil layers are.”

Geological Time Scale With Evolution of Life Through the Fossil Record (U. S. Geological Survey)



Magical Circular-Reasoning Column



- I had a college geology assignment where we were supposed to explain how it ISN'T circular reasoning to say layers show age of rocks, and rocks show age of layers. Nobody could do it, we all just wrote more circular reasoning in our essays, and the professor approved.
- Dr. Kent Hovind tells of a time when his child was at a museum, and was told the rocks date the soil, and elsewhere that the soil dates the rocks. The child pointed out that this is circular reasoning, and the man admitted the child was right!



Man-Made Museums

This is the **only** place where we find ordered layers of fossils represented.

Where in nature do we find the **real** ordered layers of fossils?



**AGE OF EARTH,
DAYS OF CREATION**

How Old Is Earth Really?

7000 Year Temporal Lifespan of Earth

D&C 77:6-7, 12



“6 Q. What are we to understand by the book which John saw, which was sealed on the back with seven seals? A. We are to understand that it contains the revealed will, mysteries, and the works of God; the hidden things of his economy concerning this earth during **the seven thousand years of its continuance, or its temporal existence.** 7 Q. What are we to understand by the seven seals with which it was sealed? A. We are to understand that the first seal contains the things of the first thousand years, and the second also of the second thousand years, and so on until the seventh.” 12 “Q. What are we to understand by the sounding of the trumpets, mentioned in the 8th chapter of Revelation? A. We are to understand that **as God made the world in six days, and on the seventh day he finished his work, and sanctified it, and also formed man out of the dust of the earth, even so, in the beginning of the seventh thousand years will the Lord God sanctify the earth,** and complete the salvation of man, and judge all things, and shall redeem all things, except that which he hath not put into his power, when he shall have sealed all things, unto the end of all things; and the sounding of the trumpets of the seven angels are the preparing and finishing of his work, in the beginning of the seventh thousand years—the preparing of the way before the time of his coming.”

• **MORTAL LIFE ON EARTH HAS NOT BEEN GOING ON FOR MORE THAN THIS PROSCRIBED WINDOW!**


Witnesses: 1 Day to God is 1000 Years to Man (Literally)



- “8 But concerning the coming of the Lord, beloved, I would not have you ignorant of this one thing, that one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day.” JST 2 Peter 3:8
- "Fig. 1. Kolob, signifying the first creation, nearest to the celestial, or the residence of God. First in government, the last pertaining to the measurement of time. The measurement according to celestial time, which celestial time signifies one day to a cubit. One day in Kolob is equal to a thousand years according to the measurement of this earth, which is called by the Egyptians Jah-oh-eh." Facsimile 2 Figure 1
- “4 And the Lord said unto me, by the Urim and Thummim, that Kolob was after the manner of the Lord, according to its times and seasons in the revolutions thereof; that one revolution was a day unto the Lord, after his manner of reckoning, it being one thousand years according to the time appointed unto that whereon thou standest. This is the reckoning of the Lord’s time, according to the reckoning of Kolob. 5 And the Lord said unto me: The planet which is the lesser light, lesser than that which is to rule the day, even the night, is above or greater than that upon which thou standest in point of reckoning, for it moveth in order more slow; this is in order because it standeth above the earth upon which thou standest, therefore the reckoning of its time is not so many as to its number of days, and of months, and of years. 6 And the Lord said unto me: Now, Abraham, these two facts exist, behold thine eyes see it; it is given unto thee to know the times of reckoning, and the set time, yea, the set time of the earth upon which thou standest, and the set time of the greater light which is set to rule the day, and the set time of the lesser light which is set to rule the night. 7 Now the set time of the lesser light is a longer time as to its reckoning than the reckoning of the time of the earth upon which thou standest. 8 And where these two facts exist, there shall be another fact above them, that is, there shall be another planet whose reckoning of time shall be longer still; 9 And thus there shall be the reckoning of the time of one planet above another, until thou come nigh unto Kolob, which Kolob is after the reckoning of the Lord’s time; which Kolob is set nigh unto the throne of God, to govern all those planets which belong to the same order as that upon which thou standest. 10 And it is given unto thee to know the set time of all the stars that are set to give light, until thou come near unto the throne of God. 11 Thus I, Abraham, talked with the Lord, face to face, as one man talketh with another; and he told me of the works which his hands had made;” Abraham 3:4-11

Scripture Gets Scientific: Creation Days & Earth's Age Beyond Mere Metaphor

Temporal lifespan of Earth is 7000 years: D&C 77:6-7, 12:
Day to God 1000 Years to Man: JST 2 Peter 3:8; Facs. 2 Fig. 1; Abr. 3:6-11
ADAM DIED IN THE DAY HE ATE THE FRUIT: NONE HAVE LIVED OVER 1000 YEARS



Abraham Implies Evolution?


- **On page 52** they cite Abraham 4:21 as evidence that God could have used evolution. Read it and see for yourself:

“**21** And the Gods prepared the waters that they might bring forth great whales, and every living creature that moveth, which the waters were to bring forth abundantly after their kind; and every winged fowl after their kind. And the Gods saw that they would be obeyed, and that their plan was good.”

- Let’s look at the next verses for more context:


“**22** And the Gods said: We will bless them, and cause them to be fruitful and multiply, and fill the waters in the seas or great waters; and cause the fowl to multiply in the earth. **23** And it came to pass that it was from evening until morning that they called night; and it came to pass that it was from morning until evening that they called day; and it was the fifth time.”

Clearly we are dealing with single days, whether those are our 24 hour days, or God’s 1000 year days.



Scripture Got Creation Order Wrong? Creation Scriptures Not Scientific?

- “potential **issues** of compatibility [with evolution] **only arise if one stipulates** that the creative periods had to **occur** in the exact **order described...**” (Let’s Talk p57)
- Creation accounts from Genesis Moses and Abraham are “**not meant to be a scientific textbook on how the creation took place.**” pg. 50 Then they have a footnote after that claim, and guess what it’s to? Some random guys podcast. Were scriptures supposed to mislead us into a totally wrong version of creation?



Evolution Order EXACT OPPOSITE of Scripture!

Bible: earth before sun & stars. **Evolution**: Sun & stars before earth.

Bible: oceans before land. **Evolution**: land before oceans.

Bible: light before sun. **Evolution**: sun before light.

Bible: land plants before marine life. **Evolution**: marine life before land plants.

Bible: fruit trees before fish. **Evolution**: fish before fruit trees.

Bible: fish before insects. **Evolution**: insects before fish.

Bible: plants before sun. **Evolution**: sun before plants.

Bible: birds before reptiles. **Evolution**: reptiles before birds.

Bible: man brought death into the world. **Evolution**: death brought man into the world.


Bible: God created man. **Evolution**: man created God.

Sun on 4th Day of Creation, an Error?

- Temple recently corrected creation account to match bible with sun on 4th day.
- “**And there shall be no night there; and they need no candle, neither light of the sun; for the Lord God giveth them light: and they shall reign for ever and ever.**” (Rev. 22:5)
- Even children understand that alternative light sources can be used.
- Even if sun was behind clouds until 4th day, why insist scripture is wrong?



Revelation Required to Learn Creation Truth (So Don't Promote Unscriptural Creation Ideas) Jacob 4:8-10



“8 Behold, great and marvelous are the works of the Lord. How unsearchable are the depths of the mysteries of him; and it is impossible that man should find out all his ways. And no man knoweth of his ways save it be revealed unto him; wherefore, brethren, despise not the revelations of God. 9 For behold, by the power of his word man came upon the face of the earth, which earth was created by the power of his word. Wherefore, if God being able to speak and the world was, and to speak and man was created, O then, why not able to command the earth, or the workmanship of his hands upon the face of it, according to his will and pleasure? 10 Wherefore, brethren, seek not to counsel the Lord, but to take counsel from his hand. For behold, ye yourselves know that he counseleth in wisdom, and in justice, and in great mercy, over all his works.”


Don't Twist Scripture to Fit Agendas

- Alma 13:20 warns against those who would change plain meanings of scripture to fit their agendas,


“Now I need not rehearse the matter; what I have said may suffice. Behold, the scriptures are before you; if ye will wrest them it shall be to your own destruction.”

- D&C 10:63 continues to explain the role of scripture,

“And this I do that I may establish my gospel, that there may not be so much contention; yea, Satan doth stir up the hearts of the people to contention concerning the points of my doctrine; and in these things they do err, for they do wrest the scriptures and do not understand them.”



Beware Rejecting Creation Truth: Book of Mormon Warnings



2 Ne. 1:10: “But behold, when the time cometh that they shall **dwindle in unbelief**, after they have received so great blessings from the hand of the Lord—having a **knowledge of the creation** of the earth, and all men, knowing the great and marvelous works of the Lord from the creation of the world; having power given them to do all things by faith; having all the commandments from the beginning, and having been brought by his infinite goodness into this precious land of promise—behold, I say, if the day shall come that **they will reject** the Holy One of Israel, the true Messiah, their Redeemer and their God, behold, the judgments of him that is just shall rest upon them.”

3 Nephi 16:10: “And thus commandeth the Father that I should say unto you: At that day when the Gentiles shall sin against my gospel, and shall reject the fulness of my gospel, and shall be lifted up in the pride of their hearts above all nations, and above all the people of the whole earth, and shall be filled with all manner of lyings, and of deceits, and of mischiefs, and all manner of hypocrisy, and murders, and priestcrafts, and whoredoms, and of secret abominations; and if they shall do all those things, and shall reject the fulness of my gospel, behold, saith the Father, I will bring the fulness of my gospel from among them.”

GLOBAL FLOOD:

MADE THE

LAYERS & FOSSILS

RECENTLY

Secular BYU Professors Call for Evolution-Friendly Local Flood

BYU Hawaii President Richard T. Wootton in his book *Saints and Scientists*

said, “(Gen. 7:19-20) To take this to mean that the tops of all the mountains, and Ararat, were covered at least 15 cubic deep and the whole earth correspondingly takes an **extremely Literal and narrow** reading of Genesis. It hinges on **inflexible rendition** of two words, **all** and **whole**. It gives **no recognition** that it may only [be] a report of the scene **as it appeared to the local observer**, rather than as if god himself were the writer, which, if one wishes to be literal, the Bible itself does not literally affirm.” (Richard T. Wootton—President BYU Hawaii 1959-1964, *Saints and Scientists*, p.45-46)

As for me and my house, we will continue to take scripture literally, and we will maintain our inflexible view of the words ‘all’ and ‘whole.’

Duane E. Jeffrey claims that the scripture isn’t clear on the flood. He says, “**Latter-day scriptures do not really clarify** the question of whether the Noachian flood covered the entire earth or if it was a more localized event. **Clearly**, through out our tradition’s history, we have tended to read the flood as universal, but I believe that **is less from the influence of scripture** itself and far more because we have been culturally predisposed to read it that way” (Duane E. Jeffery—BYU Professor 1969—currently listed emeritus/retired; *Noah’s Flood: Modern Scholarship and Mormon Traditions*, oct 2004, Sunstone, p.35; <https://www.sunstonemagazine.com/issues/134.pdf>)

Believe in Scripture Again

Scientists 200 years ago




Proof that global flood
wiped out dinos 4500yrs ago

Scientists today



Local flood,
millions of years

imgflip.com



THE WORD OF THE LORD IS CLEAR

NOAH'S FLOOD COVERED THE WHOLE EARTH

imgflip.com

1 Peter 3:20-21; Genesis 6:12-13, 17-19; Genesis 7:4, 11, 17-24; Ether 13:2; Moses 8:3

Why does a GLOBAL flood matter?

- Flood shows a young earth.
- **Fossils** laid down and made in global flood, not over millions of years.
- Disproves geologic column **layers** being separated by deep time. The layers are just layers with names, they aren't eras of time!

Common Sense: Not a Local Flood

- Noah could have just **moved**.
- Noah would not have had to take the **animals**.
- God's judgement on the whole world was completed, not just one area.
- Jesus compares the global judgement of the flood as a type of judgement that will happen at His worldwide return (Matthew 24:37–39).
- The Bible say all flesh died in this event.
- The flood was a **symbol** of Earth's immersion baptism.
- God didn't use anyone else to renew his covenant, Noah was the only person available.
- There are **300 legends** of a worldwide flood around the world, involving only a few survivors.
- Hundreds of **geological** evidences around the world indicate a major flood at the time indicated by the Bible about 4,500 years ago.
- A local flood could not **last as long** as the Bible describes or cover the **mountains**. (See the Ark in the Darkness documentary.)
- **Recurring local floods** would have violated God's covenant to not flood the Earth again.
- The ark was much bigger than typical boats of the time.
- Evolutionists push a local flood because they want global **fossils to fit in their geologic column** of millions of years. The **global flood shows a young Earth**, which can't allow for evolution, even if such were possible.
- **Quartz-based fossils aren't forming today** – unique conditions for fossilization existed during the flood. Anywhere you see fossils, Noah's flood was there!
- Prototypical animals were called to the Ark by God, and could easily have been put into hibernation by God for an easy voyage.
- It's easier to believe that all the animals **originated from the ark than to believe that all the animals originated from slime!** (Thanks for that point from Dr. Kent Hovind.)

James Hutton & others used **uniformitarianism** to challenge the Bible: “Present is key to the Past”, **No Catastrophe, No Flood**

2 Peter 3:3-7: Knowing this first, that there shall come in the **last days** scoffers, walking after their own lusts, **4** And saying, **Where is the promise of his coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as they were from the beginning of the creation.** **5** For this they **willingly are ignorant** of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: **6** Whereby the world that then was, **being overflowed with water, perished:** **7** But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store, reserved unto **fire** against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men.

- The uniformitarian theory of geology is fulfillment of prophecy.
- This is truth mixed with error. We have laws of nature in operation today which still operate, but they deny God’s influence and catastrophe forming the Earth as we know it today.
- It’s more accurate to say that the past reveals the present than that the present reveals the past (pointed out in Ark and the Darkness documentary).
- **Bible** says global flood. Take it seriously.
- In the last days, people will be **WILLINGLY IGNORANT** of the flood. Dr. Kent Hovind says this means “dumb on purpose.” They chose to reject the evidence. Elder McConkie says the test of life is to see whether we will believe the truth, or believe a LIE.




Image: James Hutton, Wikipedia. Painted by [Sir Henry Raeburn](#) (1776)

270+ Similar Global Flood Stories

- Over 270 of these myths have been identified across the world, from the Americas, to Europe, to the Middle East, to the Far East.
- (A list of collected flood myths can be found at <https://www.talkorigins.org/faqs/blood-myths.html> and a sampler of some of these myths can be reviewed in this video https://youtu.be/hxEg9P29SHs?si=U9zOc02_zkLylUc and this one <https://youtu.be/Pce--fdyCs0?si=Hw2eRpTqU4JfwmCL>)
- William T. Pelletier, Ph. D, reinforcing this passing down of Flood memories, writes on his website:
- “And this is exactly what we do find all over the world — flood stories from at least 265 different people groups, many including a version of Noah’s name.
 - 95% of them say the flood was worldwide, killing almost everyone
 - 70% say the flood was sent by “god”
 - 60% say the flood was because of man’s wickedness
 - 66% say a god forewarned the family of the flood
 - 70% say one family was saved on a boat
 - 25% say animals were also saved on the boat
 - 57% say survivors landed on a mountain
 - 7% mention a rainbow – 9% say exactly eight people were saved.”

(William T. Pelletier, Ph. D, Evidence of Noah’s Flood: Worldwide Memories
<https://biblescienceguy.wordpress.com/2022/06/08/evidence-of-noahsflood-worldwide-memories/>)

Scriptures Indicate a Real Worldwide Flood

- **Genesis 6:12-13, 17-19:** “**12** And God looked upon the earth, and, behold, it was corrupt; for **all** flesh had corrupted his way upon the earth. **13** And God said unto Noah, The end of **all** flesh is come before me; for the earth is **filled** with violence through them; and, behold, **I will destroy them with the earth.**”
- “**17** And, behold, I, even I, do bring a flood of waters upon the earth, to destroy **all** flesh, wherein *is* the breath of life, from under heaven; *and every thing that is in the earth shall die.* **18** But with thee will I establish my covenant; and thou shalt come into the ark, thou, and thy sons, and thy wife, and thy sons’ wives with thee. **19** And of **every living thing of all flesh**, two of every *sort* shalt thou bring into the ark, to keep *them* alive with thee; they shall be male and female.”

Scriptures Indicate a Real Worldwide Flood,

Page 2

- **Genesis 7:4, 11, 17-24** establishes the universality of the flood:
- **“4 For yet seven days, and I will cause it to rain upon the earth forty days and forty nights; and every living substance that I have made will I destroy from off the face of the earth.”**
- **“11 ¶ In the six hundredth year of Noah’s life, in the second month, the seventeenth day of the month, the same day were all the fountains of the great deep broken up, and the windows of heaven were opened. 17 And the flood was forty days upon the earth; and the waters increased, and bare up the ark, and it was lifted up above the earth.”**
- **“18 And the waters prevailed, and were increased greatly upon the earth; and the ark went upon the face of the waters. 19 And the waters prevailed exceedingly upon the earth; and all the high hills, that were under the whole heaven, were covered. 20 Fifteen cubits upward did the waters prevail; and the mountains were covered. 21 And all flesh died that moved upon the earth, both of fowl, and of cattle, and of beast, and of every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth, and every man: 22 All in whose nostrils was the breath of life, of all that was in the dry land, died. 23 And every living substance was destroyed which was upon the face of the ground, both man, and cattle, and the creeping things, and the fowl of the heaven; and they were destroyed from the earth: and Noah only remained alive, and they that were with him in the ark. 24 And the waters prevailed upon the earth an hundred and fifty days.”**

LDS Bible Dictionary on The Flood

- Accounts Worldwide
- To Cleanse Earth
- Many supporting scriptures.
- Authenticity affirmed by latter-day revelation.

The tradition of a great flood is found in nearly every ancient culture. A Babylonian account closely resembles the record in the Bible, but the biblical account differs from all others in its religious value and the purpose of it. The scriptural account teaches that the Flood was sent to cleanse the earth because of the wickedness of the people. Noah and his family were saved because they were righteous ([Gen. 6:9](#); [Moses 8:27](#)). The authenticity of the Genesis account of the Flood is confirmed by latter-day revelation as recorded in [Moses 7:34, 42–43](#); [8:8–30](#). See also [Ether 13:2](#).

Restorer Joseph Smith: Global Flood

“In the days of Noah, God **destroyed the world** by a flood, and He has promised to **destroy it by fire in the last days...**”
(Teachings of the Prophet Joseph Smith, selected and arranged by Joseph Fielding Smith [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1976], 337)

“Noah was born to **save seed of everything**, when the **earth was washed** of its wickedness by the flood.” (Teachings of the Prophet Joseph Smith, selected and arranged by Joseph Fielding Smith [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1976], 12)




Image: Likely William Warner Major see https://web.archive.org/web/20230122022915/http://silvarepcent.com/photofound/photofound/Photograph_Found/Appendedix_C.html, Public domain, via Wikimedia Commons

Prophets Teach a Global Flood Baptism

- **Peter, New Testament, 1 Peter 3:20-21**
- **Joseph Smith, T.P.J.S. p.12**
- **Brigham Young, JD, 1:274; JD 8:83**
- **Lorenzo Snow, The Only Way to Be Saved (London: D. Chalmers, 1841), 3-4.**
- **Joseph Fielding Smith, Doctrines of Salvation, 2:320; Man His Origin and Destiny, 433-36**
- **John A. Widtsoe, Evidences and Reconciliations, 127**
- **And Others: Elders Orson Pratt, Orson F. Whitney, Bruce R. McConkie**

Brigham Young Teaches The Worldwide Flood

- “All the rest [save eight souls] were swept from the face of the earth. This is according to the account given to us in the Old Testament which we believe. I know that there are a great many in the world who are so wise in their own eyes that they are not disposed to believe the account contained in the Bible of the Creation, of Adam and Eve in the Garden of Eden, the antediluvian world and other things, but we profess to believe, and we do or should believe these things.” (Brigham Young, Journal of Discourses, 13: 314)
- “The earth, the Lord says, abides its creation; it has been baptized with water, and will, in the future, be baptized with fire and the Holy Ghost, to be prepared to go back into the celestial presence of God.” (Discourses of Brigham Young, selected and arranged by John A. Widtsoe [Salt Lake City: Deseret Book Co., 1954], 393.)

John Taylor Teaches The Worldwide Flood

- “Then a flood came and the inhabitants of the world, with the exception of a very few, were swept from it, after the Gospel had been preached to all who then lived and all had had an opportunity to believe in and obey it...” (Journal of Discourses, 26 vols. [London: Latter-day Saints’ Book Depot, 1854- 1886], 17: 206)
- “Look again at the time that Noah came from the ark, after he and his household were saved from the flood that drowned the world; they were the only ones that were righteous. When Noah and his family had seen the dreadful wreck, the awful calamity, the heart-rending scenes of distress and anguish, trouble and death, that overwhelmed the world...” (Journal of Discourses, 26 vols. [London: Latter-day Saints’ Book Depot, 1854- 1886], 1: 371)
- “the world was to be destroyed by a flood; and there were provisions made for the continuance of the human family, and it was made known to Methuselah that his seed should be the medium through which should be perpetuated the human family upon the earth.” (Journal of Discourses, 26 vols. [London: Latter-day Saints’ Book Depot, 1854- 1886], 21: 243)

MANY OTHER PROPHETS HAVE TAUGHT THE GLOBAL FLOOD AS WELL. For more quotes see <https://josephsmithfoundation.org/faqs/science/29-literal-flood-does-lds-doctrine-support-a-literal-universal-flood-what-does-this-mean-for-the-theory-of-darwinian-evolution/>

Introduction to Flood Geology

- **AGE:** Lack of erosion on many landscapes proves only thousands of years of **age**, not millions.
- **HOW MADE:** Hot pressurized water (hypretherm) is required to form most rocks & minerals.
- **COVERAGE:** Almost all of earth's worldwide **sand clay silt ores & fossils** were not from mountain erosion over time, but were made in the flood. They aren't made in normal conditions.
- **WHERE & WHEN:** Most fossils are near the surface, indicating a 1 time event making them all. They aren't being made in normal circumstances.
- **WATER DEPTH:** Water **Depth** of 30k ft (5.5mi) needed for the correct pressure to form rocks. Evidence of even **mountaintops** being covered in water.
- **HEAT SOURCE:** Earthquake **friction** generates the **heat** for hypretherms (not magma, & isn't constant)
- **WATER SOURCE:** Flood water **source** primarily from **under** crust. Crust submerged in flood. Worldwide **pipes** where water & minerals came from deep in earth.
- **FLOOD CAUSE:** Flood **triggered** by **comet** passing near earth, disrupting balanced forces, resulting in crust breaking & submerging.
- **Microbes** deep in earth build minerals & explain different colors of rocks, explaining why we see "random" differently colored mineral deposits, which erosion cannot explain.
- **Creation granite** rock was from before the flood, **post-flood rock** with microbe life is from after the flood.

Where Did the Flood Water Come From?

Water Planet Makes it Obvious





Not Just Rain!

“If all of it [the water vapor in the atmosphere] abruptly fell as rain, the 3,100 cubic miles of water would cover the earth with barely an inch.” Bib 13 p39

Fountains Below:

“In the six hundredth year of Noah’s life, in the second month, the seventeenth day of the month, the same day were all the fountains of the great deep broken up, and the windows of heaven were opened.” Genesis 7:11, KJV


Earth as a Geode



What Triggered the Fountains?

UF Comet Passes Close to the Earth

- William Whiston, 1600s, scientist ridiculed for suggesting a comet triggered the flood of Noah.
- Continents got dunked, baptized, then went down.
- “As in the days of Noah” the end times will be. Scriptures speak of end time comets. So a flood comet is likely.
- Pangea will literally return (D&C 133:23-24). Symbols: 7, split, gather.




- 1. Two Forces hold Earth's Crust in Equilibrium**
Diagram showing Earth with a green arrow for Centrifugal Force pointing outwards and a yellow arrow for Gravitational Force pointing inwards.
- 2. Comet Passes Close to the Earth**
Diagram showing a comet streaking past Earth, with the text "Earth's Motion Disrupted".
- 3. Earth's Rotation Rate is Reduced**
Diagram showing Earth with a smaller green arrow for "Less Centrifugal Force" and a yellow arrow for "Same Gravitational Force".
- 4. Crusts Collapse, Continents Submerge**
Diagram showing the crust sinking, with labels for "Continental Crust" and "Oceanic Crust".
- 5. Hydrofountains at Plate Boundaries**
Diagram showing water being forced through cracks in the crusts, with labels for "Continental Crust" and "Oceanic Crust".
- 6. Hyprethermal Conditions**
Diagram showing intense heat and pressure, with text: "Water Pressure 30,000 ft. = 13,395 psi" and "Frictional Heat 350°-400°C (650°-750°F)".
- 7. Rotation Rate Increases, Flood Waters Recede**
Diagram showing Earth with a larger green arrow for "Increasing Centrifugal Force" and a yellow arrow for "Same Gravitational Force".
- 8. Two Forces Came Back into Equilibrium**
Diagram showing Earth with a green arrow for "Centrifugal Force" and a yellow arrow for "Gravitational Force" pointing inwards.

Admission of Major Flooding

We can't explain what we see in geology based on a slow continuous uniform theory. North America flooded, everywhere else would have too.

They'll never admit the flooding covering everything!

They admit global flooding on Mars, a comparatively barren dry planet.



"Sea level was several hundred feet higher than it is today".

Woolnough quotes a geologist; "...the fundamental conception that **all geological processes in the past are not fundamentally different** from those which are still active at the present day...", and then adds his own commentary:

"Such statements, perfectly correct in their immediate context, represent the explicit statement of the limited Doctrine of Uniformity, and **do not suggest a complete admission of the possible existence, in past geological periods, of sets of conditions vastly and fundamentally different from those prevailing at the present time.**" Note 8.1c

"In fact, the interior of North America was flooded, all across the Great Plains, from the Gulf of Mexico northward through Canada. **Sea level was several hundred feet higher than it is today.**" Note 8.2a

What's that doing up here...?

- the Alps, Mt. Timpanogos, Everest, Himalayas
- NO Evidence of Gradual Mountain Rising
- We think of a classroom globe with bumps on it for mountains; if that were truly to **scale, you wouldn't feel any bumps** – that's how small the mountains are in comparison to the whole earth.
- Remember mountains were made at the creation, it's likely these were a similar height during the flood.



Pillow Lava
Only Formed Under Water

Fig 8.2.1 – These rounded objects are actually pillow lava that formed off the Hawaiian coast. This type of lava forms in shallow water, demonstrating that the tallest mountains in the world were once covered with water because they have pillow lava deposits high on their slopes. Courtesy of NOAA.

“At Kathmandu, Nepal, nearly a mile above sea level, **fossilized sea shells** are sold as souvenirs in open-air markets. They come not from the coast, 500 miles away, but **from the Himalaya Range to the north.**” Bib 112 p50

“Even the summit of **Mount Everest** displays yellow bands of limestone that were formed underwater out of the remains of **countless marine organisms.**”

Bib 112 p55


“Pillow lava is a familiar phenomenon. It can be seen in shallow water off Hawaii and even **in the Alps...**” Bib 112 p46



Mt. Cloudcroft Fossils

Sea Shells and Fossils on Mountain Tops

If mountains are not rising, how did oceans cover them?



Mt. Timpanogos Fossil

Fig 8.2.2 – Fossilized seashells are found near the summits of Mt. Timpanogos, Utah, USA and Mt. Cloudcroft, New Mexico, USA, both over 9,000 feet (2,700 meters) in elevation with no evidence they are rising. Since such fossil formations could have only formed in an ocean, it is clear that water once covered these and other mountains around the globe. The real question is *how were they covered?* Geologists have relied upon uniformitarianism—but this theory has failed, leaving modern geology with no real mechanism to answer this question. The Universal Flood Model finally identifies the mechanism explaining how a universal flood covered the entire surface of the Earth, including all of its mountains.

“Mount Makalau on the border of Nepal and Tibet is nearly 27,800 feet (8,500 m) high, only marginally lower than the tallest mountain in the world – Mount Everest – which stands to the west of it. **On its crest lie the youngest rocks and the ancient seabed of the primeval Tethys Ocean.**” Bib 113 p45


Oceanic Limestone Mountains

Dolomite is a limestone from the ocean.

There's no evidence of these mountains gradually rising.

They were covered in water!






Mars Mega-flood (Why Not Earth?)

The large boulders (which include **rounded pebbles** and cobbles as are formed in water) on flat plain are direct evidence of floodwaters passing. From space this looks like a large floodplain. It was said that this Martian flood was so big it would make the combined stream flows of the Mississippi, Amazon, and Nile rivers to look like a trickle, and could have filled the Mediterranean Sea overnight. So why isn't flooding as obvious everywhere on earth? **On Earth, vegetation, a thicker, more active atmosphere, and more extensive erosion forces have concealed** some of the Earth's own Flood evidences.

“How could the water have disappeared so fast? **Where did it go?** Maybe some was lost for good when it evaporated and rose high in the atmosphere, where radiation split it into atoms that escaped to space. Some of it remains on Mars, frozen at the poles. And much may be **hiding underground**, possibly **in glaciers buried beneath the dust.**” Note 8.2q

“Perhaps the layers in the Surveyor images represent the only record of the erosion of landscapes long gone because the **processes that created them no longer operate on Mars.** ‘Craters the size of Washington, D. C., were completely filled and then exhumed,’ says Edgett. ‘Unbelievable amounts of material were **moved around in ways that just don't add up.**’” Note 8.2s p38

As we see, the waters covering Mars which resulted in the erosion floodplains we see today aren't there anymore, and are perhaps frozen below the dust.



Mars
Geyser
Hydrofountains

English Channel Mega-Floods Acknowledged

“The theory that Britain became an island during a **catastrophic flood—rather than through the course of normal erosion—**was first proposed in the 1980s. The new study [2007], outlined in the scientific journal Nature, used high [higher]-resolution sonar data that were previously unavailable to produce three-dimensional, high-quality imagery of the region.” Note 8.2t

“The first was probably **100 times greater than the average discharge of the Mississippi River,**’ said Sanjeev Gupta, a geologist at Imperial College London and co-author of the study. ‘But that’s a conservative estimate—it could have been **much larger.**’” Note 8.2u

“He explained that **erosion by river or ocean also can’t account for the underwater valley, because it is too wide and has structures characteristic of a major flood.** “The valley cuts across a large number of rock types, simply ignores the different layers,’ he said, explaining that **only a rapid, enormous and powerful flood can account for such bedrock-scouring features.**” Note 8.2u





Fig 8.2.6 – Evidence of the English Channel Megaflood lies under present-day ocean waters between Britain and France. Modern science has never seen or recorded a megaflood of this magnitude, demonstrating that although geological evidence exists, the flood event was unknown to mankind. The evidence for the catastrophic flood that carved out the English Channel did not become available until 2007 when the channel topography was revealed using new underwater mapping technology.

Scabland Megaflood Acknowledged

“As a hypothesis, substantive **uniformitarianism evolved** as an **alternative** geological explanation to assumptions of special creations and **interferences by Divine Providence.**” Bib 157 p4

Of the channeled Scablands: “**Only in the last two decades has the flood hypothesis gained general acceptance.**” Bib

“The Channeled Scabland emphatically **did not form by a continuous** progression of processes that were similar to those we observe **in action today.**” Bib 157, p5



Scabland Flooding



Scabland Megaflood Evidence




“Only in the last two decades has the flood hypothesis gained general acceptance.”

Victor R. Baker, 1981
Catastrophic Flooding
The Origin of the Channeled Scabland

Fig 8.2.8 – The Channeled Scabland Megaflood area illustrated in the map above covers most of the eastern side of the state of Washington. Almost a century ago, when the evidence for this flood was first presented, modern geologists dubbed it an “outrageous hypothesis” and emphatically resisted the empirical evidence of the megaflood. How could they have missed for so many decades the evidence of such a huge geological event lying right in their backyard? No one had ever seen such a large flood and according to Hutton’s uniformity principle, the past was presumably a continuous progression of events still seen today. However, researchers now acknowledge “The Channeled Scabland **emphatically did not form by a continuous progression of processes that were similar to those we observe in action today.**”^{Bib 157, p5}


Scientists begin to understand!
Flood-Based Extinction of Dinos



Tsunamis and fast-moving water swept away whole herds of animals trying to escape the rising water; this is one reason thousands of animals are found in common flood-sediment graves today.

Mass Extinction: A Single Event

Comet theory is on it's way out; flood theory is in.



"This was no ordinary spring flood from one of the streams in the area, but a catastrophic inundation".

Many researchers point to boiling seas linked to mass extinction.

"What could such a deposit represent? **None of the bones we found had been chewed by predators.** But most of the bones were in a poor condition. They were either broken or damaged some other way, some broken in half, some apparently sheared lengthwise. **They were all oriented from east to west, which was the long dimension of the deposit.** Smaller bones, like hand and toe bones, skull elements, small ribs and neural arches of vertebrae, were rare in most of the deposit. At the easternmost edge of the deposit, however, these bones were the most common elements. All the **bones were from individuals ranging from 9 feet long to 23 feet long.** **There wasn't one baby in the whole deposit.** The bone bed was, without question, an extraordinary puzzle." Note 8.2e p129

"This was **no ordinary spring flood** from one of the streams in the area, but a **catastrophic inundation.**" Note 8.2e p131

Paleontologists have been puzzled for a long time as to why so many of the Earth's species disappeared at the same time. In a *Nature* August 2003 article *Boiling seas linked to mass extinction*, one Harvard paleontologist concluded that "there's no consensus as to what happened:"

"Up to **95% of Earth's marine species** disappeared at the end of the Permian period. Some **70% of land species**, including plants, insects and vertebrates, also perished. 'It's arguably the single most important event in biology but there's no consensus as to what happened,' says paleontologist Andrew Knoll of Harvard University in Cambridge, Massachusetts." Note 8.2c

"I think paleontologists are now coming full circle and leading the way, saying that the extinction was **extremely abrupt,**' Becker notes. 'Life vanished quickly on the scale of geologic time, and it takes something **catastrophic to do that.**'" Note 8.2g

Tsunamis and fast-moving water swept away whole herds of animals trying to escape the rising water; this is one reason thousands of animals are found in common flood-sediment graves today.

"Life was flourishing on the Earth about 250 million years ago, then during a brief window of geologic time **nearly all of it was wiped out...**

"The terrible event had been lost in the amnesia of time for eons. **It was only recently** that paleontologists, like hikers stumbling upon an unmarked grave in the woods, **noticed a startling pattern in the fossil record: Below a certain point in the accumulated layers of earth, the rock shows signs of an ancient world teeming with life. In more recent layers just above that point, signs of life all but vanish.**

"Somehow, most of the life on Earth perished... Scientists call it... **'the Great Dying.'**" Note 8.2f

“No Survivors? Then where do all the stories come from, I wonder?”

Book of Job

Josephus

Alexander the Great

Marco Polo

The Epic of Gilgamesh

St. George Slaying the Dragon →

Many sources affirm that dinosaurs weren't “pre-historic,” and that they must have lived with humans.

UM points out that we likely overestimate how many dinosaurs there were in general, and they likely lived in groups dispersed throughout the world. Naturally they wouldn't get along well with people, and wouldn't be living near people. Their fossils would show up in different places too.



Image: Wikipedia. Saint George slaying a dragon. Depictions of this and other dinosaurs are found everywhere around the world.

Cambrian Explosion = Mass Extinction @ Flood

- “The burst of animal life 540 million years ago was so sudden that paleontologists came to call it the Cambrian explosion. In just a few million years, a hiccup in geological time, the oceans filled with representatives of almost all modern phyla—the forebears of clams and crabs, starfish and snails, and even animals with the hint of backbone. Going from the lifeless Precambrian rocks to the fossil-rich layers of the Cambrian was like walking past an empty lot on Tuesday and finding a fully furnished house in the same place on Wednesday.” (Life Grows Up, Richard Monastersky, National Geographic, April, 1998, p111)



Tuesday - No House



Wednesday - Finished House

(The flood mass extinction is what gives the “Cambrian explosion” of fossils. Fossils only formed in the flood hypretherm. Sudden mass deposits of fossils are evidence of the flood.)

The key is to know the **recipe** as revealed by scripture & real science!

Ryan W. Drum's Experiments – Failed.
Anne C. Sigleo's Experiments – Failed.

Universal Model Experiments – Success


“The experimental process took four years and 77 runs before being able to produce quartz crystals and minerals, including quartz fossils on demand.” P217

Used pressure estimate based on 30,000ft of water which would have covered mountains, as the Bible says.

There are also reports of petrified wood and petrified other items being made in short amounts of time in modern accounts, but these are calcification, not silicification (quartz). To understand and replicate nature's fossil record, we must be able to reproduce quartz fossils in a lab.

PS – So much for evolution being a ‘historic’ science where experiments aren't used. We use experiments to prove evolution is fake!

1st Ever Man-Made Petrified Wood Fossil – Via Flood **Simulation** **(Under 3 Days)**



Mt. St. Helens: Not Making Fossils No Flood Conditions, & Time Decays

The modern day Mt. Saint Helens eruption provided another example of rapid erosion and deposition of many layers of differing sediment, which geologists had previously thought impossible over such a short period of time.

Compare this to the Yellowstone where fossils were made. The difference? Yellowstone was made during the flood, hyperthermal conditions were met, fossils were formed.



Mt. Saint Helens
1980 Eruption
Mudflow

1. Are any of these buried tree trunks being preserved?
2. Is any of the wood becoming silicified?
3. Is there bright colored sediment found associated with the wood deposits?



Fossils Formed Quickly & Recently in Special Flood Conditions: Yellowstone etc.


Could rains, mudflow & volcanic ash have made the fossils? No.

What if we wait millions of years? No.

- These elements cause immediate decay, nothing would be there to fossilize millions of years later.
- Catastrophic events like volcanism make all trees fall, so why are some petrified trees standing?
- These can't petrify for many reasons, such as rapid decay, and insufficient water and pressure to turn wood and bone into rock.
- Multi-colored sediment around fossils only comes from biological sediment of hydrofountains.
- Yellowstone's fossils were from the flood hypretherm. This is why we have fossils from Yellowstone, but not from the eruption of Mt. Saint Helens.


Investigators resisted flood or mud burial explanations because **theories involving great flooding** and mudflow were **not popular** in geology.

They don't want to admit lots of water going on in fossilization, that sounds too much like what *Christian scientists have always been saying*, that the flood of Noah made the fossils.



These fossils are too ‘fresh’ to justify erosion over millions of years.


The arid desert environment where these fossils lie sees little rain, but **millions of years would have completely wiped out the clay hills, which today change noticeably after each rainstorm.** The aggregation and condition of the petrified wood fossils are simply too ‘fresh’ to justify erosion over millions of years



The UF Hypretherm-fossil origin is documented in every petrified wood deposit.



Why Swarm Toxic Resin?



Winged Termites
Amber Evidence

“Organisms that fall into sticky resin and became immediately immersed are sealed away under very special and unique conditions. Thus far these circumstances are unrivaled for their successful preservation of organisms, and the factors responsible remain a mystery. The conditions appear to be more or less uniform, and preservation occurs rapidly.”



Termites leave the nest in large swarms after the first good soaking rain of the rainy season.


During the flood swarms landed on the only refuge not in water: resin covered trees.

Why are marine organisms by trees?

Unique water environment for fossilization confirmed.

Fish and frog eggs trapped in the resin of tree sap becoming amber stone doesn't happen everyday.

This is another sign of the unique worldwide flood which made these stones.




It's a Water Environment for Fossilization, After All

Amber Enhydros




Liquid water pockets in these rocks (enhydros) attest to the watery environment of their fossilization.

Heart-Urchin Enhydro Fossil



Grand Canyon's Earthquake Origin



8.3.13 - The Grand Canyon Earthquake Origin diagram illustrates the 1200-foot difference in the two rims of the world's most famous canyon. Geologists have apparently overlooked the physical evidence of the Grand Canyon's origin. They have never seen an earthquake shift anywhere near the size of the shift produced by the Grand Canyon Earthquake. Grand Canyon data - <http://www.nps.gov/grca/parkmgmt/statistics.htm>.


Seismicity of Arizona 1990-2001



Fig 8.3.11 - The Seismicity of Arizona is revealed as red dots mark earthquake locations. Notice that the majority of them are located near the Grand Canyon. USGS source.

What is the only known mechanism for large areas of the Earth's crust to drop quickly? Earthquakes!

Grand Canyon and Arizona Fault Lines



The canyon itself is the biggest fault.



Waimea Canyon, the “Grand Canyon of the Pacific” Also Made by Earthquake

Waimea Canyon on the island of Kauai, Hawaii, U.S.A., is known as the **Grand Canyon of the Pacific**. The canyon’s acknowledged **“enormous fault”** and a **“big collapse”** of part of the island is anecdotal evidence further confirming the **earthquake origin** of this canyon and **Arizona’s Grand Canyon**.

“Geologically the canyon is carved into the tholeiitic and post-shield calc-alkaline lavas of the canyon basalt. The lavas of the canyon provide evidence for **massive faulting and collapse in the early history of the island**. The west side of the canyon is all thin, west-dipping lavas of the Napali Member, while the east side is very thick, flat-lying lavas of the Olokele and Makaweli Members. **The two sides are separated by an enormous fault along which a large part of the island moved downwards in a big collapse.**”

Note 8.3i



Here we see the Grand Canyon wasn't eroded by typical water, or it would look like the lower portion of this sandstone at Lake Powell:




Fig 8.3.15 – The mountains surrounding Lake Powell on the Arizona/Utah border show a clear distinction between layers exposed to heavy erosion and layers showing almost no erosion. The smoothed and well worn lower areas are strong evidence of a megaflood in this area. It would have been similar to the Channeled Scabland Megaflood in Washington and the English Channel Flood, yet there is no mention of such an event in the geological literature. The modern man-made Lake Powell's water level does not cover the smoothed sandstone rocks. Because so little erosion has occurred since the walls were smoothed, the megaflood event that shaped them must have been within the last several thousand years. There are many areas that show similar flood-worn rocks lying in areas where little water exists today. When the significance of the UF event is realized, the origin of places like this will finally be known.

From the air, this section of the Grand Canyon, the Little Colorado River Canyon looks like a **crack in the Earth's crust**—because it is. Notice the two small hills in the background; these are volcanic, formed by earthquakes. The **edges** of this canyon are **sharp**, indicative of only a few thousand years of erosion, not millions of years as taught in geological textbooks.

- The mountains surrounding Lake Powell on the Arizona/Utah border show a clear distinction between layers exposed to **heavy erosion and layers showing almost no erosion**. The smoothed and **well worn lower areas are strong evidence of a megaflood in this area**. It would have been similar to the **Channeled Scabland Megaflood in Washington and the English Channel Flood**, yet there is no mention of such an event in the geological literature. The modern man-made **Lake Powell's water level does not cover the smoothed** sandstone rocks. Because **so little erosion has occurred since the walls were smoothed, the megaflood event that shaped them must have been within the last several thousand years**. There are many areas that show similar flood-worn rocks lying in areas where little water exists today. When the significance of the UF event is realized, the origin of places like this will finally be known.


Hydrofountain, Not Erosion: Death Valley Sand Dune and Clay

Sand and clay deposits like these originate from hydrofountains.



Death Valley Sand & Clay Evidence

There's no white mountains for these piles to have eroded from.



They're from underground hydrofountains.

Does this clay look like it came from the surrounding mountains?

Does this sand look like it came from the surrounding mountains?

Fault lines run through the area, evident by lava extrusions, showing earthquake friction made fountains erupt spewing clay and sand onto the surface.

Fig 8.5.12 – In the false color Landsat satellite image of Death Valley, California, a variety of mineral deposits are apparent. Notice the two patches of white, which are Racetrack Playa Clay and Mesquite Sand Dunes. There are no 'white' mountains around them from which weathered or eroded material appears to have come. Apparently, this was overlooked by geologists, which is understandable since they had no knowledge of hydrofountains and their landscape-changing role, especially during the Flood. Fault lines run right through this area, evident by the lava extrusions in the vicinity, which testify to the earthquake friction that was generated here, which caused hydrofountains to erupt and spew clay and sand onto the surrounding surface.

Missing Fossils

MYSTERY:

600 Million Years of layers in the Grand Canyon should reveal BILLIONS of plant & animal fossils...

It's said that the Grand Canyon has many fossils in the Colorado River. These "so-called recent fossils are not actually fossilized (rock) remains, but consist of bones, hair, and other organic material usually found in caves...impressions...tracks...**only imprints** of the former terrestrial organisms- **not the actual preserved organism** itself." P187

Grand Canyon - Missing Fossils

Geologic Time Period
Age (Millions of Years)



Why are all these Fossils Missing?

Nevertheless, textbooks claim that the Grand Canyon "rocks contain a succession of fossils, which reveals the evolution of new organisms" P186

1 Layer of Organic Topsoil Forming Since Flood

Formation rate shows this global topsoil layer began to form about 4000 years ago, the time of the flood.

“According to some of the **quantitative measurements** made at the erosion stations, nature requires **not less than 400 years to build one single inch of the topsoil** of some of our important types of farm land. This appears to be true, for example, of the very extensive soil, the Shelby loam, occurring over the rolling parts of the Corn Belt, in Northern Missouri and Southern Iowa.” Note 8.6b

After identifying his “quantitative measurements,” he goes on to discuss the average depth of topsoil in the area of Northern Missouri and Southern Iowa: “Many people have the idea that the soil (as distinguished from the subsoil) is much deeper than it really is. **On examining 172 soil samples collected from 34 states** and representing, very largely, important upland types it was found that the soil depth as recorded **averaged only 9 inches**. Many of our most important types of farm land range from only about 3 to 7 inches in depth of topsoil.” Note 8.6b

A little math and Bennett’s “**not less than 400 years**” to produce one inch of topsoil derives some interesting figures. We’ll use his minimum rate of 400 years-per-inch and then compare that with a rate of 500 years-per-inch to arrive at the approximate time necessary to produce 9 inches of top soil: $400 \text{ years/inch} \times 9 \text{ inches} = \mathbf{3,600 \text{ years}}$
 $500 \text{ years/inch} \times 9 \text{ inches} = \mathbf{4,500 \text{ years}}$ A period of 4,000 years falls roughly between these two possibilities, which is supported by Bennett’s Corn Belt topsoil analysis of the central USA. In areas that are dryer, one inch of topsoil takes much longer to form. Consequently, topsoil depth is much less in more arid climates. In either case, the time frame of several thousand years for the single layer of topsoil is a tremendously simple, yet *significant* Mark of the Universal Flood.

Soil Formation Evidence




Fig 8.6.4 – Here the cross sections of topsoil layers from different climate environments from around the world show a *single layer of organic soil* at the top of the section. This defines the vast majority of the surface area on the continents and testifies of two things; first, the continents were not subducted and uplifted multiple times as modern geology claims, and secondly, the thickness of the organic soil layer on the surface identifies the time each layer took to form. Because soil formation times can be generally determined, such soil layers indicate a worldwide event took place only several thousand years ago, depositing the sediment beneath the soil layer.

A Huge Pipe


Rock:

Agaltha Peak

The sediment around this mountain didn't erode over a long period, no deep valleys exist for the particles to leave. Note the large open pipe shapes.

They claim these are normal volcano remnants. The differences include: no melt, no flow, no erosion exposure, no time, the colored microbe clay still there.

Agathla Peak Hydromountain




Non-Melted Minette
Hyprethermally Grown



Surrounding plain
has no deep valleys
or riverbeds.

Agathla minette was not melted when it erupted on to the surface, as proven by this artificially melted minette specimen.



Hydrofountain
Opening

Erupted
Minette
Debris



Another open pipe
near Agathla.



How was Agathla covered by sediment that eroded away leaving only the minette mineral behind?



Devils Tower: A hydromountain-hydrocrater system

There are three geological features necessary to establish a hydrocrater-mountain origin. They are a diatreme, a fault (for heat), and the presence of a water source.

The tower 'intrusion' itself is evidence of a diatreme, so the first feature is a given.

“Devils Tower is near the middle of the **collapsed dome.**” Note 8.7k

“**Three faults** were observed in the area of the National Monument.” Note 8.7k

sits alongside the Bell Fourche River




Fig 8.7.8 – For the first time, we have a mechanism that can explain how Devils Tower, in Wyoming , USA, was formed. Investigators of this magnificent Tower have identified all the geological features needed to explain the landform as a Hydromountain. The Tower lies in a crater, has a number of faults, and a source of water. A diatreme must also be present for this mountain to be an intrusive feature of the landscape.

Believe in Scripture Again

Scientists 200 years ago




Proof that global flood
wiped out dinos 4500yrs ago

imgflip.com

Scientists today



Local flood,
millions of years



1 Peter 3:20-21; Genesis 6:12-13, 17-19; Genesis 7:4, 11, 17-24; Ether 13:2; Moses 8:3

**SOCIAL
IMPLICATIONS
& RACISM**

Yikes! Darwin Deeply Racist!

EVOLUTION
EXPLAINS
EVERYTHING!

WAIT SCIENTIFIC
RACISM IS
THE THEME OF...



ORIGIN OF SPECIES

BY MEANS OF NATURAL SELECTION, OR THE
PRESERVATION OF FAVORED RACES IN
THE STRUGGLE FOR LIFE

BY

CHARLES DARWIN, M.A., LL.D., F.R.S.

(Title page of Darwin's book
republished in 1902,
refers to 1859 original title
including:

“favored races.”)

Darwinism: Key to Death Cults

- Richard Weikart, author of “From Darwin to Hitler: Evolutionary Ethics, Eugenics and Racism in Germany” and “Darwinian Racism: How Darwinism Influenced Hitler, Nazism, and White Nationalism” says, “Examining Hitler’s ideology, the official biology curriculum, the writings of Nazi anthropologists, and Nazi periodicals, we find that **Nazi racial theorists did indeed embrace human and racial evolution**. They not only taught that humans had evolved from primates, but they believed the Aryan or Nordic race had **evolved to a higher level than other races** because of the harsh **climatic** conditions that influenced **natural selection**. They also claimed that Darwinism underpinned specific elements of Nazi racial ideology, including **racial inequality, the necessity of the racial struggle for existence, and collectivism.**”

(Richard Weikart,


<https://www.csustan.edu/sites/default/files/History/Faculty/Weikart/Darwinism-in-Nazi-Racial-Thought.pdf>)

- Darwin’s philosophy caused the World Wars!

- Mao Zedong, the greatest killer of all time, used evolution to justify his work. He said, “The foundation of Chinese Socialism rests on Darwin and the theory of evolution.” (Communist dictator Mao Zedong. Source: Yabya, Communism in Ambush, 130.)






(Image: Wiki Commons)



Treating Man as Animal

- Ota Benga was “...caged at the Bronx Zoo where he came to be ‘exhibited’ in the zoo’s Monkey House as part of a display intended to promote the concepts of human evolution and scientific racism....represented as the lowest form of human development.”

(Wiki/Ota_Benga)





**SOMEONE STRONG
SHOULD KILL
THE WEAKER RACES**

YOU'RE JOKING

FOR THE GOOD OF HUMANITY

imgflip.com



GOD USED EVOLUTION

SURVIVAL OF THE FITTEST


imgflip.com

School Shooters:

Often excuse their actions on Darwinism
Eliminating the Weak


Evolutionists call for
“evolution acceptance”
so we can ‘apply
evolution in our daily
lives.’

How do you do that?





WE DO NOT APPROVE OF YOUR EVOLUTION THEORY



BUT EVERYBODY'S TEACHING IT THESE DAYS



GRANT YOU THE RANK OF MASTER, WE DO NOT



WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO TEACH US?

EVOLUTION

imgflip.com

**JUST SACRIFICED MY LIFE TO
KEEP COMMUNISTS OUT OF AMERICA**



MY KIDS PROMOTE COMMUNISM


imgflip.com

**INSTALLED EVOLUTION
AS DICTATOR OF ALL SCIENCE**



WHAT HAVE I DONE

imgflip.com



**NATURE'S
EVIDENCE FOR A CREATOR**



PARADIGM OF EVOLUTION

THE GRAND ORGANIZING PRINCIPLE

imgflip.com



**MOM HE SAYS
ITS 'SURVIVAL
OF THE FITTEST'**

imgflip.com



**I DON'T
THINK SO**

DEMONSTRATABLE

VS

UNINSPIRED BIAS

AGENDA

First Presidency



Calls for **DEMONSTRATABLE** Science

"Our religion is **not hostile to real science**. That which is **demonstrated**, we accept with joy; but vain philosophy, human theory and mere speculations of men, **we do not accept** nor do we adopt anything contrary to divine **revelation** or to good **common sense**. But **everything that tends to right conduct, that harmonizes with sound morality and increases faith in Deity**, finds favor with us no matter where it may be found."

(from "WORDS IN SEASON FROM THE FIRST PRESIDENCY": Deseret Evening News December 17, 1910, part 1 p.3) (excerpt from the BYU packet on evolution <http://biology.byu.edu/DepartmentInfo/EvolutionandtheOriginofMan.aspx>.)

No Experiments? Who's being unscientific?

- “Evolutionary biology, in contrast with physics and chemistry, is a **historical science** – the evolutionist attempts to explain events and processes that have already taken place. **Laws and experiments are inappropriate techniques** for the explication of such events and processes.” (Author **Ernst Mayr**, delivered in a lecture after receiving the Crafoord Prize from the Royal Swedish **Academy of Science**.)
- "All sciences begin with speculation, only Darwinism ends with it." (Biochemist Michael Behe, “Darwin’s Black Box,” Afterward.)



President Benson

Names 5 Anti-Christs at General Conference

- “As a watchman on the tower, I feel to warn you that one of the chief means of misleading our youth and destroying the family unit is our educational institutions. President Joseph F. Smith referred to false educational ideas as one of the three threatening dangers among our Church members. There is more than one reason why the Church is advising our youth to attend colleges close to their homes where institutes of religion are available. It gives the parents the opportunity to stay close to their children; and if they have become alert and informed as President McKay admonished us last year, these parents can help expose some of the deceptions of men like

Sigmund Freud, Charles Darwin, John Dewey,

Karl Marx, John Keynes, and others. Today there are much worse things that can happen to a child than not getting a full college education. In fact, some of the worst things have happened to our children while attending colleges led by administrators who wink at subversion and amorality.” (Ezra Taft Benson, Strengthening the Family, Conference Report, October 1970, pp. 21-25, also quoted in The Teachings of Ezra Taft Benson, 307.)

Evolution: an “Alternative to Christianity”

“Evolution is a
carefully protected state
religion.”


(Dr. Kent Hovind,
Creation Seminar Series, see
drdino.com & creationism.org).

“Evolution is promulgated
as an ideology, a secular
religion—a full fledged
alternative to Christianity,
with meaning and morality...
Evolution is a religion.
This was true of evolution
in the beginning, and it is
true of evolution still today!”

*Michael Ruse – Prof. of Philosophy
and Zoology, University of Guelph*

Was Darwin from God?

- Darwin's personal life was fraught with contention, despair, cruelty, etc. Later in life he became disgusted with nature and art. The fruit of his theory was despair. Similarly, many who encounter Darwinism today adopt a gloomy outlook on life.
- “And also trust no one to be your teacher nor your minister, except he be a man of God, walking in his ways and keeping his commandments.” (Mosiah 23:14).




Example of an Inspired Scientist: Isaac Newton Learned by Revelation

- “All my discoveries have been made in answer to prayer.”
 - “I believe the more I study science, the more I believe in God.”
 - “A man may imagine things that are false, but he can only understand things that are true.”
-
- Did Darwin study this way?



Image: Isaac Newton, Wiki Commons

Book of Mormon Warns Against False Teachers



- 2 Ne. 28:9: “**9** Yea, and there shall be many which shall teach after this manner, false and vain and foolish doctrines, and shall be puffed up in their hearts, and shall seek deep to hide their counsels from the Lord; and their works shall be in the dark.”
- 2 Ne. 28:11-12: “**11** Yea, they have all gone out of the way; they have become corrupted. **12** Because of pride, and because of **false teachers**, and false doctrine, their churches have become corrupted, and their churches are lifted up; because of pride they are puffed up.”
-
- 2 Ne. 28:14-15: “**14** They wear stiff necks and high heads; yea, and because of pride, and wickedness, and abominations, and whoredoms, they have all gone astray save it be a few, who are the humble followers of Christ; nevertheless, they are led, that in **many instances they do err because they are taught by the precepts of men**. **15** O the wise, and the learned, and the rich, that are puffed up in the pride of their hearts, and all those who **preach false doctrines**, and all those who commit whoredoms, and pervert the right way of the Lord, wo, wo, wo be unto them, saith the Lord God Almighty, for they shall be thrust down to hell!”



imgflip.com

In China, you can question Darwin, but not the government. In the US, you can question the government, but not Darwin.



imgflip.com



Occasionally a biology textbook will **bring up intelligent design only to say that there's no evidence** for it and that it's **just based on the Bible**. But of course, they don't let students view any of the materials defending intelligent design scientifically.
(Jonathan Wells in “The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism”)

Academic Journals Not For You

- Michael Behe and other scientists trying to publish intelligent design academic papers in science journals are denied. They say it's **not scientific because it's not published in journals**, and they **won't publish it because it's not scientific** (because it can't be found in academic journals).

(From Jonathan Wells in “The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism”)



Smithsonian: No Suggesting Purpose

The Smithsonian was going to have a show where they talked about evolution and drew a philosophical opinion from it that the cosmos might be **designed for a reason**. Evolutionists everywhere were outraged and got the Smithsonian to cancel the show.

The Smithsonian said they decided to cancel the show because upon further analysis they concluded that such a show would not be in keeping with the mission of the Smithsonian.

The Smithsonian is **fine with mixing in philosophy with their science when it comes to philosophies that say there is nothing** in the universe and we are all there is in the cosmos, but if ever you want to suggest the possibility of a philosophy that there might be something of design in the universe and purpose, they don't allow that.

When the Smithsonian considered airing this show, one evolutionist tried bribing the Smithsonian **\$20,000 to not play the film**. A critic of evolution heard about this, called the guy, and threatened to show the movie in Europe unless he paid him \$20,000, also.

(From Jonathan Wells in “The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism”)


Creationism is religion! So is evolution.



Silence Teachers

- A public high school teacher named Dehart **mentioned the possibility of intelligent design** in his school, and the school board approved of it. He didn't put forth his opinion; he just pointed out that there was another possibility, and the ACLU crushed him, **ending his career** as a public teacher.

(From The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism by Jonathan Wells PhD)



Smart People Disagreeing with Evolution

To demonstrate that there is controversy in science today about evolution, consider groups such as **Dissent from Darwin**

(<https://dissentfromdarwin.org>). Their site features a series of scientists who openly express their view that natural selection (the heart of Darwinian evolution) is wholly insufficient to explain natural processes. The site features a researcher who had written a textbook on evolution who said, **“students at least should have the opportunity to learn about the flaws and limits of Darwin’s theory while they are learning about the theory’s strongest claims.”**



Beware Attacks from Within the Church:


Boyd K Packer

- “Atheists and agnostics make nonbelief their religion and today organize in unprecedented ways to attack faith and belief. They are now organized, and they pursue political power. You will be hearing much about them and from them. Much of their attack is indirect in mocking the faithful, in mocking religion. The types of Sherem, Nehor, and Korihor live among us today (see Jacob 7:1–21; Alma 1:1–15; Alma 30:6–60). Their arguments are not so different from those in the Book of Mormon. You who are young will see many things that will try your courage and test your faith. **All of the mocking does not come from outside of the Church.** Let me say that again: All of the mocking does not come from outside of the Church. Be careful that you do not fall into the category of mocking.” (President Boyd K Packer, Jan. 16 2007 [Lehi's Dream and You - Boyd K. Packer - BYU Speeches](#)))

Beware Deception: Joseph F. Smith

- “Let it not be forgotten that the evil one has great power in the earth, and that by every possible means he seeks to darken the minds of men, and then offers them **falsehood and deception in the guise of truth**. Satan is a **skilful imitator**, and as genuine gospel truth is given the world in ever-increasing abundance, so he spreads the counterfeit coin of false doctrine. Beware of his spurious currency, it will purchase for you nothing but disappointment, misery and spiritual death. The ‘**father of lies**’ he has been called, and such an adept has he become, through the ages of practice in his nefarious work, that were it possible he would deceive the very elect” (Gospel Doctrine, 5th ed. [1939], 376).

GOES TO PUBLIC SCHOOL, BELIEVES IN EVOLUTION






Science **VS** **MILLIONS OF YEARS OF EVOLUTION**


<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBSERVABLE	<input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVABLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TESTABLE	<input type="checkbox"/> TESTABLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REPEATABLE	<input type="checkbox"/> REPEATABLE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FALSIFIABLE	<input type="checkbox"/> FALSIFIABLE
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RELIGION: AN INTEREST, A BELIEF, OR AN ACTIVITY THAT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO A PERSON OR GROUP.

Source: Merriam-Webster Dictionary

NOW OTHER SCIENTISTS ARE DISCOVERING THIS.







EVOLUTION IS NOW ORTHODOX



**YOUR NEW
ORTHODOXY IS DISTURBING**

ONE DOES NOT SIMPLY

**PUBLISH EVIDENCE AGAINST
EVOLUTION IN ACADEMIC JOURNALS**

imgflip.com




Honey, evolution is just popular among professors.




But aren't they the ones that matter?



imgflip.com






The world right now



Mothers homeschooling
their children

WHEN YOU ASK HOMESCHOOLED KIDS



PROBLEMS WITH EVOLUTION

**I'VE GROWN
ACCUSTOMED TO**



**BEING MADE FUN OF
FOR BEING A CREATIONIST**

imgflip.com

WHEN YOUR KID FINALLY UNDERSTANDS



THAT EVOLUTION IS FAKE NEWS

imgflip.com

Unashamed



**PROFESSORS SITTING AROUND ALL DAY
WRITING ARTICLES FOR EVOLUTION ON TAX \$**

**VS. THAT GUY WHO HAS A DAY JOB BUT
EXPOSES EVOLUTION ON EVENINGS AND WEEKENDS**

I'm tired, boss.

EVOLUTION IS EVIL!



TO ME CREATION IS EVIL



THEN YOU TRULY ARE LOST!



**INTERESTED
IN SCIENCE**



HIGHLY SKILLED






**CONFUSED ABOUT
CONFLICTING THEORIES**



EVOLUTIONIST





**PUBLISH ME IN YOUR JOURNALS,
SILENCE MY OPPOSITION,
ADVANCE ME IN THE ALGORITHMS,
GIVE ME ALL THAT I ASK,**

**AND I'LL GIVE YOU
A MONOPOLY ON SCIENCE**

kids learn
evolution

evolution
all
anyone sees

adults
learn
evolution

researchers
publish evolution



EVOLUTION IS TRASH!




**BUT EVERYBODY
AGREES IT'S TRUE!**



NOBODY HAS PROVED IT!



**WE DON'T
NEED PROOF,
WE'VE PUBLISHED!**



THAT'S NOT HOW SCIENCE WORKS!




**EVOLUTION IS
SUPPORTED BY
1000'S OF SCIENTISTS!**



**GEOCENTRISM
WAS SUPPORTED BY
1000'S OF SCIENTISTS.**



**WELL WE FINALLY
GOT HIM GANG**



**NOW LET'S SEE
WHOSE BEHIND EVOLUTION**



\$\$\$



**IT'S OVER PROFESSOR,
I HAVE THE FACTS!**

YOU UNDERESTIMATE MY FUNDING



**ENDLESS TAX DOLLARS
TO UPHOLD EVOLUTION**

**LEAGUES OF LAWYERS
TO STOP ANY
TEACHER WHO QUESTIONS
EVOLUTION IN THE SCHOOLS**

**RIDICULED FOR
POINTING OUT OBVIOUS
FLAWS IN EVOLUTION**

YOU'RE PLAYING WITH THE BIG BOYS NOW!

imgflip.com



**ACADEMIC
JOURNALS**

**CREATION
TRUTH**




**EGYPT'S MANDATORY PUBLIC
SCHOOLS TEACHING EVOLUTION**

LET THE CHILDREN GO

In the early 2000s Kansas took macroevolution out of their biology curriculum. Evolutionists got together and made it so those high school credits wouldn't count towards graduation. (Jonathan Wells in "The Politically Incorrect Guide to Darwinism")

EVOLUTION IN KIDS BOOKS



KEY TO TAKING OVER THE GALAXY

THE HILLS ARE ALIVE



WITH EVIDENCE FOR CREATION



imgflip.com

**HOW DO YOU SOLVE A
PROBLEM LIKE CREATION RESEARCH?**



SHUT IT DOWN

imgflip.com




**BOGUS
TESTIMONY
CLAIMS**

What's Going On?




Silly Claims About Science & Testimony

- **On page** 29 they speak of spiritual things being learned by spiritual methods, and temporal things being learned by temporal methods. This shortchanges both methods.
- **On page** 29 they refer to the spiritual truths we can learn. Why are they unwilling to get into those truths? Why don't we talk about the spiritual truths about the creation?
- **On page** 30 they ironically warn people against the dangers of “**new scientific discovery**” which could shake spiritual faith. Are they warning people against science which doesn't support evolution?




What They've Been Taught




- **On page** 34 they say, “when individuals find out that there is overwhelming scientific evidence to refute what they’ve been taught, they start to wonder about the truthfulness of other things they’ve been taught (for example, resurrection, the Atonement, and the reality of a Savior and a Heavenly Father). The result is absolutely heartbreaking.” On this tragedy we agree.
- I ask then, what exactly is “what they’ve been taught” which contradicts science and favors religious doctrines?
- You wouldn’t happen to be referring to teachings which don’t support evolution, would you? Because when youth are taught that evolution is false, and that the fall atonement and resurrection are true (all of those contradict evolution), yes, encountering supposed evidence for evolution may rock them.
- Sadly, those who teach evolution are the poison, not the cure.
- Yes, we reject falsehood of the Devil which contradicts scripture.

“What we thought about the world”




- On page 35 they say that Satan “seeks to infuse doubt into our minds when we encounter something in science that seems to disagree with what we thought about the world.”
- So just what did we think about the world? That man was made by God, not from a monkey? Is that one of the things we thought about the world that we will have to let go of? Or perhaps that God placed different kinds of animals on the earth, does that have to go too?

Teach Evolution At Home




- On page 35 they call for parents to “**teach science in your homes**”; by this do they mean teach evolution in your homes? They want you to teach the gospel alongside evolution, but this is only to make the difficult process of converting people to evolution easier. Evolution isn't natural, it doesn't make sense, and it takes lots of brainwashing to swallow.
- They go on to say this teaching can be done ‘**bathed in the light of the gospel**’
- These are like oil and water, they don't mix! Only the most superficial vague version of Christianity can allow evolution, not the full scope of the restoration.

Concerned with Rising Secularity?



- **On page 35** they ironically claim to be “concerned with the rising secularity in the youth. We suggest that the solution is to endow your children (and yourself) with the truth, with the real science and, if needed, seeking and offering ways to reconcile science with what we believe.”
- Again, here they attack any science which isn't pro-evolution as not being the “real science,” and they equate evolution with “the truth.”
- And boy are they right, there will be lots of reconciling to be done, because the truth of evolution and the truth of God are two very different things.

“A Complete understanding”



- **On page 37** they call for “a complete understanding of science.” Does that mean acceptance of evolution? They claim that understanding evolution is the only way to be inoculated against “alternative ideas from the world that may shake our testimonies.”
- Are they referring to a testimony of evolution? Is their deepest fear is that their carefully established evolutionary worldview (which they have dedicated their lives to) might be wrong? And what alternative ideas are they referring to?
- By advocating evolution, they are aligning themselves with the voice of the world.
- The only conclusion we can make is that the alternative views they are referring to are actually correct views of creation, which don't match evolution.

Confidence in Joseph Smith's Teachings



“I have that absolute confidence in every vision, in every manifestation, in every revelation that has come to us through the Prophet Joseph Smith. I know he spoke the truth. ... Everything has worked out harmoniously and according to the revelations we find in the Old Testament and in the New.”

President Joseph Fielding Smith (1876–1972), “Joseph Smith’s First Prayer,” *Improvement Era*, June 1960, 401.


Which do we choose, teachings of the bible and the restoration, or teachings of evolution which are routinely contradictory?

Certainty Should Be Our Reality

- On page 38 they begin an entire chapter titled “Comfort with Uncertainty.” They advocate that it’s ok for us to feel bad about evolution and how much it contradicts religion.
- Shouldn’t these bad feelings warn us?
- Aren’t we supposed to heed the spirit which warns us of falsehood, rather than dismiss these impressions into a category of uncomfortable acceptance? Christ taught that “ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free.” (John 8:32). The Book of Mormon which teaches that “ye may know the truth of all things.” (Moroni 10:5).
- Beware becoming overly attached to the theory of evolution, or you might become “past feeling, that ye could not feel his words” (1 Ne. 17:45).




Much to Learn, but Don't Dismiss What We Already Know




- On page 38 they point out that we must “recognize that in both science and religion we still have much to learn.”
- But they don't acknowledge what we DO know about science and religion. Both directly contradict evolution theory.

Contradictory Information & Bogus Science

- **On page 42** they say, “when people encounter information about a topic that seems to contradict their worldview, they tend to assume science is useless in answering questions about that topic.”
- Are they saying that people are stupid for not accepting all mainstream science claims?
- Are they insisting that any views which don't align with what mainstream science journals happen to be promoting at the time are mistaken?
- Have they forgotten the many times that science has gotten it wrong?




Wait Millions of Years to Figure This Out?



- **On page 38** they call for “time to learn and progress without having to make a decision that places science [evolution] and religion at odds with one another.”
- Evolution is so backwards, that they’ll probably need a few million years to make it sound like it matches the gospel of Christ! What is taking them so long to figure this one out?
- Surely the devil has deceived the whole world (Rev. 12:9), and we are sad for people who have fallen into the trap of believing this fabrication (Moses 7:28).
- We have basic surefire tests to prove whether something is of God or not based on whether it persuades us to believe in Christ (Moroni 7:14-17), and evolution’s one goal is to persuade people to NOT believe in Christ.

Faith Until Science?

- **On page 46** they claim, “Lastly, if learning scientific theories puts your faith in jeopardy, choose your faith. Choose your **faith until you can better understand the science** (or until science can provide better explanations).”
- **On page 38** they ironically claim that “we need to learn to feel comfortable with not having all the information right now.”
- This is a correct principle, but the irony is that they have done it backward: they dismiss scriptures and favor secular theories as the best source of information instead.
- **Chose faith first forever!**





We Chose Faith 1st & Forever

- 1. This passage appears to say, ‘If you’re still too ignorant to accept evolution, okay... But, eventually you’ll have to accept it. In the meantime, we will perfect our brainwashing methods since no one could rationally reject evolution.’
- 2. The passage seems to indicate that we can choose our faith “until” we understand science. Does this imply that at that point we will then choose science instead of faith? Harmony of science and religion cannot work when falsehoods are being promoted in science. Only when we have true science will it match with our true religion as found in the standard works. A dogmatic unwillingness to let go of evolution will never result in harmony with religion.
- 3. The whole theme of the book is that we need to adopt an evolutionary worldview and adjust our religious thinking to accommodate evolution.
- 4. They think disbelief in evolution is because of poor teaching. The authors must accept that no matter how well they teach evolution, many people will reject it on moral scriptural logical rational natural and scientific grounds. We’ve all heard people blame the failure of bogus systems, like socialism and communism, on incorrect delivery methods.
- 5. Faith isn’t something that goes away when you learn how things work. Faith is a trust in a process you have proven to be true, which you can rely on to accomplish future works. Joseph Smith taught this in the 1st Lecture on Faith.

See also book

“Using the Book of Mormon to Combat Falsehoods in Organic Evolution” by Clark A. Peterson



CONCLUSION

Why are so many deceived about the Creation? Pg.1/2

- **Bible** says the way of truth is narrow, way of falsehood is broad.
- **Rev. 12:9** says the Devil has **deceived the whole world**.
 - We are living in the apocalypse, end of the world level deception.
 - The world is in a state of apostasy, lead by blind guides.
 - Systematic atheistic brainwashing in the realm of science has been going on for two centuries.
- **D&C 10:63** says Satan stirs us up to contention on points of doctrine.
- **2 Peter 3:3-7** says we will be **“willingly ignorant”** of the global flood.
 - Why? The flood unlocks Biblical timelines & verifies God’s word is actually historically scientifically accurate. It stops secular trendies from saying the Bible ‘isn’t a science textbook’ or ‘wasn’t written to show science.’
- **Jacob 4:8-10** says you can only understand the creation by revelation!
 - Are antagonistic atheistic secular reserchers who reject the prophets being inspired by God or the Devil? Righteous scientists will be lead to truth. Wicked scientists will be lead to falsehood.
 - The **D&C** says God does not separate spiritual and temporal. Interestingly, secular voices go out of their way to make sure we separate our spiritual and temporal. Why? Because the spiritual, which should inform the temporal, clearly rejects evolution.
 - The creation is inherently spiritual, the secular worldview will not and can not understand.
- **Mosiah 23:14** warned us to not let **ungodly** men be our **teachers**. Oops!
- We have given rational responses to evolutionists, but they ignore & refuse to publish our views. Academic BIAS is a reality!


Why are so many deceived about the Creation? Pg.2/2

- **Alma 13:20** people 'wrest' or change plain meanings of scriptures to fit their agendas.
 - In truth, for 200 years the restoration has taught against evolution, and many scriptures are plainly against it.
- **3 Nephi 16:10** God takes away the fullness from us when we reject His word.
- **2 Nephi 1:10** A time would come when, despite having a "**knowledge of the creation of the earth, and all men**" we would reject the Lord [and his doctrines]. Then comes judgement.
- **Bible** says the heart of man wants wickedness.
 - **People don't WANT to believe the truth.** It's less popular & brings more persecution. But Christ says blessed are you if you're persecuted. If people aren't against you, you need to get in the fight.
- **God doesn't want you to be forever confused.** God is not the author of confusion. You don't have to be pushed around by every wind of doctrine, pray to God (**James 1:5-6**). Study it out, but don't think you can't get answers. The wicked are '**ever learning but never able to come to a knowledge of the truth,**' but God does not intend for the righteous to *suffer* this fate. You can become confident in this doctrine just like any doctrine. 'Boldness in the presence of God' is a possibility assured in the D&C. Since God has revealed the creation in scriptures and by prophets, so you can and *should* pray & get revelation about this. If you choose not to believe and preach creation doctrines, you and your children will be susceptible to deception.
- **Science is a big part of modern society** – it will be used to bring people closer to or further away from God. Which way do you think our public secular institutions are guiding children? If you stand by, shrug your shoulders, and just watch to see what happens, the currents of the world will sweep your people away to destruction. Creation doctrines are not ones you can afford to be neutral about. The whole reason God gives us information (scripture) is so we can apply it to our lives and reject false teachings. So get deeper into the scriptures and teachings of the prophets. Discover the literally hundreds of teachings of the Brethren against evolution. **Get a testimony of the creation and preach it!**
- **D&C 123:11-15** It is our "**imperative duty**" to expose deceptive popular agendas. "**We should waste and wear out our lives**" in these efforts. Have we done so? Or do we know sports statistics instead?
- **WHO DO YOU BELIEVE?**
 - Bruce R. McConkie said the test of life is to see whether we will believe truth, or believe a lie.
 - Will you train your spirit to accept truth and reject falsehood?
 - Truth is simple and easy to understand. The wicked reject this, looking for confusing things, being unwilling to accept the truth & it's implications.

Beware the Foolishness of Men!


Don't Set Aside God's Council (from scripture and prophets)

- *“O that cunning plan of the evil one! O the vainness, and the frailties, and the foolishness of men! When they are learned they think they are wise, and they hearken not unto the counsel of God, for they set it aside, supposing they know of themselves, wherefore, their wisdom is foolishness and it profiteth them not. And they shall perish.” (2 Nephi 9:28)*



Remember

- They are pushing evolution at BYU & in popular podcasts.
- Evolution science isn't reliable.
- The restored gospel doesn't mesh with evolution.
- Let's embrace the truth! That's how we save testimonies!



“Evolution Stories”

Song by Richardson Kids

- Evolution stories that my teacher told to me,
- Are about the monkey men in ancient history.
- Long ago my monkey father came from far across the jungle,
- First a bang, then some slime, then my monkey uncle!

GODLESS PHILOSOPHY SONG

To the tune of “God Bless America”

- 1. Godless philosophy, pointless to me;
None to cause us, but Cosmos—
All that is, was, and ever shall be.
- From the big bang, to the slime soup,
To the heat death, dark and old,
Godless philosophy, it leaves me cold.
- 2. Godless philosophy, no God to love?
No Designer to guide Earth,
Through the night with a light from above.
- From the big bang, to the slime soup,
To the heat death, dark and old,
Godless philosophy; it leaves me cold,
Godless philosophy, it leaves me cold.

by David Coppedge of Creation Evolution Headlines, shared with permission. Crev.info

GORY EVOLUTION Song

To the tune of "Battle Hymn of the Republic"

- 1. No eyes have seen the story of the origin of life,
It's an empty speculation, and the obstacles are rife,
But we must explain it somehow; ICR will give us strife—
'Tis ruthless lurching on.
- Gory, gory evolution; Gory, gory evolution;
Gory, gory evolution; 'Tis ruthless lurching on.
- 2. Now the fit will be survivors, and survivors will be fit,
And survivors will survive to prove the fitness of the fit,
O, this natural selection— it's so simple, isn't it?
'Tis ruthless crawling on.
- Gory, gory evolution; Gory, gory evolution;
Gory, gory evolution; 'Tis ruthless crawling on.
- *Slower, with feeling*
3. No we've conquered education; evolution rules the land.
God is just imagination; there's no purpose, right or plan;
As we face a brave new world without a moral high command,
'Tis ruthless marching on.
- Gory, gory evolution; Gory, gory evolution;
Gory, gory evolution; 'Tis ruthless marching on.

by David Coppedge of Creation Evolution Headlines, shared with permission. [Crev,info](http://Crev.info)

PANSPERMIA Song

To the tune of “America the Beautiful”

- 1. O ugliful for birdless skies, for amber acid rain,
For purple lightning tragedies above the fruitless plain.
Panspermia, panspermia, chance shed thy spores on me;
And crowd my scenes with selfish genes from sea to lifeless sea.
- 2. O horrible for stinking slime that sees beyond the years,
Thine accidental mutants climb, evolving blood and tears.
Mutation rate, mutation rate, chance mend thine every flaw;
Select the best, blot out the rest, till red in tooth and claw.
- 3. Deplorable for meteor strikes, whose stern dispassioned stress
Bombard thy dinosaurs extinct across the wilderness.
No miracle, no miracle, may chance thy luck refine,
Till all success be randomness. Don't say that word “Design”!
- by David Coppedge of Creation Evolution Headlines, shared with permission.
[Crev,info](http://Crev.info)